

THE COMMON LAW LIBRARY  
普通法图书馆

McGREGOR  
ON DAMAGES



麦格雷戈  
论损害赔偿

(第18版)



1917-1927

商務印書館

The Commercial Press

THE COMMON LAW LIBRARY

普通法图书馆

McGREGOR ON DAMAGES

麦格雷戈论损害赔偿

(第 18 版)

[英] 哈维·麦格雷戈 著

 商务印书馆  
The Commercial Press

2013 年·北京

图书在版编目(CIP)数据

麦格雷戈论损害赔偿:第18版:英文/(英)麦格雷戈著.  
—影印本. —北京:商务印书馆,2013  
(普通法图书馆)  
ISBN 978-7-100-09305-7

I. ①麦… II. ①麦… III. ①赔偿法—研究—英国—英文  
IV. ①D956.13

中国版本图书馆CIP数据核字(2012)第162028号

所有权利保留。  
未经许可,不得以任何方式使用。

普通法图书馆

麦格雷戈论损害赔偿

(第18版)

[英]哈维·麦格雷戈 著

---

商务印书馆出版

(北京王府井大街36号 邮政编码100710)

商务印书馆发行

三河市艺苑印刷厂印刷

ISBN 978-7-100-09305-7

---

2013年3月第1版

开本 787×1092 1/16

2013年3月第1次印刷

印张 133 3/4

定价:988.00元

Harvey McGregor

**McGREGOR ON DAMAGES**

Eighteenth Edition

© 2009, Thomson Reuters (Legal) Limited

本书由 Thomson Reuters 公司授权影印出版

· 仅限中国大陆地区销售

THE COMMON LAW LIBRARY

**McGREGOR**  
ON  
**DAMAGES**

BY

**HARVEY McGREGOR**  
Q.C., D.C.L., S.J.D.

CHAPTER 43 ON THE HUMAN RIGHTS ACT CONTRIBUTED BY  
MARTIN SPENCER Q.C.

CHAPTERS 44–46 ON PROCEDURE REVISED BY  
JULIAN PICTON

*EIGHTEENTH EDITION*

First edition	(1856)	JOHN D. MAYNE
Second edition	(1872)	LUMLEY SMITH
Third edition	(1877)	} JOHN D. MAYNE AND LUMLEY SMITH
Fourth edition	(1884)	
Fifth edition	(1894)	
Sixth edition	(1899)	
Seventh edition	(1903)	
Eighth edition	(1909)	LUMLEY SMITH, K.C.
Ninth edition	(1920)	COLEMAN PHILLIPSON
Tenth edition	(1927)	FRANK GAHAN
Eleventh edition	(1946)	HIS HONOUR JUDGE EARENGEY, K.C.
Twelfth edition	(1961)	HARVEY MCGREGOR
Thirteenth edition	(1972)	HARVEY MCGREGOR
Fourteenth edition	(1980)	HARVEY MCGREGOR, Q.C.
Fifteenth edition	(1988)	HARVEY MCGREGOR, Q.C.
Sixteenth edition	(1997)	HARVEY MCGREGOR, Q.C.
Seventeenth edition	(2003)	HARVEY MCGREGOR, Q.C.
Eighteenth edition	(2009)	HARVEY MCGREGOR, Q.C.

TO MY  
MOTHER AND FATHER

## PREFACE

Life for the legal author is not as easy as it used to be. There are two reasons for this. The first comes from the sheer weight of authority that today must be examined. Whereas in earlier days the legal author had only to concern himself with cases that appeared in the law reports, today everything is available. The modern engines of technology churn out case after case, at all levels, and do so right away so that the decisions of yesterday await one on the computer in the morning. Many of these cases will never reach print in a law report, or in a commonly used law report, boasting only a neutral citation, and this can be true even of cases which have reached the Court of Appeal—I cite as an example *Browning v Brachers* where the Court of Appeal dealt comprehensively with a number of interesting damages points. The second factor making life difficult is the sheer length of judgments. They just get longer and longer. Whereas Lord Mansfield in the 18th century and Sir George Jessel M.R. in the 19th could frame their decisions within a few pages, sometimes in a single short paragraph, a judge today may well continue paragraph after paragraph, even into the high hundreds. This unfortunate method of pronouncing judgment is particularly prevalent at first instance. It is of course true that important issues may need a full and detailed analysis, but the length today found is seldom justified. Yet all of this has to be read and examined, at least by the diligent author. In the preface to the first edition of this book that came my way some 50 years ago I boldly stated that I had read every single reported case referred to in the text or footnotes. For cases reported and unreported since the last edition I can say the same today; somehow I have managed to find the time to achieve this.

Only six years may have passed since the last edition but much has happened, and change has been rife, in the interim. The House of Lords has shown itself prepared to abandon long-standing unattractive rules. Impecuniosity has gone with *Lagden v O'Connor*. Compound interest has been brought in by the revolutionary *Sempra Metals* case, requiring a wholesale revision of the chapter on interest. At the same time brakes have been applied to expanding areas—applied in *World Wildlife Fund v World Wrestling Federation* to restitutionary damages—and braking has been attempted in established areas—attempted in *The Achilles* on the rules as to remoteness of damage in contract. Sometimes the House of Lords has been interestingly divided, either by three to two—as in *Gregg v Scott* and *Chester v Ashfar* featuring clinical negligence and in *The Golden Victory* concerning cancellation of charterparties—or divided even by four to three—as in *Rees v Darlington* on the damages available to the parent of an unwanted child—so that one wonders what in these heavily disputed areas the future holds. Awards have



## PREFACE

started to appear where there was little or nothing before. This has needed the invention of a new chapter to deal with the somewhat disparate topics of invasion of privacy and misfeasance in public office. First instance courts have continued to go wrong on the recovery of costs as damages; this has required a complete rewriting of the relevant chapter. The coverage of personal injury has substantially grown, mainly to deal with the advent of periodical payments and with the introduction in the Ogden Tables of an entirely different methodology for the calculation of contingencies. With the decision in *Arnup v White* the deduction of collateral benefits can be said to have entirely disappeared in fatal accident cases; recasting has been needed here too. So I trust that the book that now is will be recognised from the book that was.

The introduction of all this new material has been partially balanced by the omission of whatever has been clearly overtaken by it. Yet while it is vital to see that modern developments are fully documented, it is important not to omit wholly the older law. Otherwise it will be lost forever. So I offer no excuse for continuing to include sometimes substantial passages showing how the law has developed in a particular area, particularly where this assists in showing how the present legal position has been reached. Moreover, there are still sections of the law of damages where up-to-date authorities are either non-existent, so that reliance on much earlier cases must suffice, or are sparse, so that earlier cases must be brought in to assist. Beyond this, there are matters of damages where old authorities still dominate. Thus the leading case on the measure of damages for the improper dishonouring of cheques by banks, *Rolin v Steward*, which has the distinction of sharing the same mid-19th century date with the still omnipresent *Hadley v Baxendale*, was much in evidence in a case in which I appeared only last year. And on a particular issue effectively concerning mitigation, *Rodocanachi v Milburn* of the 1880s and *Williams v Agius* from the beginning of the 20th century still rule the day, though it is suggested in the text that perhaps they no longer should.

A few technical matters may be touched on. I have taken the trouble personally to check, and correct where necessary, the myriad of cross-references in the footnotes of this lengthy work, knowing how irritating it is to be guided to something which turns out not to be there. For this edition I have conducted an overview of the table of cases so as to eliminate, as far I could, such errors as have crept in, in section numbering and particularly in the references to cases which appear in two or three guises. As for the index my publishers have agreed to retain it in the form in which I had originally constructed it; again I have conducted an overview. Finally, there is the use of page and paragraph references. The growing tendency for decisions to be read electronically rather than from the printed page appears to be behind two changes, the one in judgments and the other in law reports, the one advantageous and the other disadvantageous. Since the turn of the century judgments have become paragraphed. This means that should the reader of the text turn to a law report other than that cited in the text, he need not be concerned that

## PREFACE

the page reference within the report referred to or cited in the text differs from the page in the report he or she is reading; now the reference is made by paragraph and whatever the law report the paragraph number is always the same. As against this, publishers of law reports have started to cite cases not by the page in the volume but by the number of the case in the volume. For those who still wish to read their cases in traditional fashion from a book in the hand rather than electronically from a computer on the table, this form of citation is an inconvenience, as what one wants is to be able to go directly to a page rather than have to hunt for case numbers. It is therefore thought that for the convenience of such readers the page reference should be added to the case number in the volume and, when any such case is first referred to in any paragraph of the book, I have sought to make the necessary addition throughout. This is only in the footnotes as it has been impossible in the publishers' table of cases at the beginning.

The law is stated as it stood on completion of the text at the beginning of 2009. References to case reports appearing afterwards and before final proof stage of cases included in the text have been added. So too where a higher court has affirmed, or reversed, a case appearing in the text, this is commented on by way of addendum, as with the important decisions of the Court of Appeal in *Peters v East Midlands Health Authority*, considering the rights of the severely injured claimant to choose between costly private care and free institutional care, and in *Copley v Lawn*, dealing with an issue as to damages where cars are hired from credit hire companies, an issue which has split first instance courts.

I am again immensely grateful to my two Chambers colleagues, Martin Spencer Q.C. and Julian Picton, to the former for doing wonders with damages under the now not so new Human Rights Act and to the latter for doing equal wonders with the procedural aspects of damages under the also not so new Woolf reforms. This has allowed me to concentrate on the vast panorama of the substantive common law of damages, for which I still consider a unity in content, and also in style, essential.

Hailsham Chambers  
4 Paper Buildings  
Temple  
September 2009

HARVEY MCGREGOR Q.C.

# CONTENTS

	PAGE
<i>Table of Cases</i> . . . . .	xxvii
<i>Table of Statutes</i> . . . . .	cxliv
<i>Table of Statutory Instruments</i> . . . . .	clii
<i>Table of Civil Procedure Rules</i> . . . . .	cliv

## BOOK ONE

### GENERAL PRINCIPLES

	PARA.
1. DEFINITION, SCOPE, OBJECT AND TERMINOLOGY . . . . .	1-001
1. Definition of the term damages . . . . .	1-001
2. Scope of a textbook on damages. . . . .	1-019
3. Object of an award of damages . . . . .	1-021
4. Terminology . . . . .	1-029

## PART ONE

### THE HEADS OF COMPENSATORY DAMAGES

2. PECUNIARY LOSSES . . . . .	2-001
I. CONTRACT . . . . .	2-002
1. Basic pecuniary losses: the normal measure of damages . . . . .	2-002
2. Consequential pecuniary losses. . . . .	2-026
II. TORT . . . . .	2-042
1. Basic pecuniary losses: the normal measure of damages . . . . .	2-043
2. Consequential pecuniary losses. . . . .	2-046
3. NON-PECUNIARY LOSSES . . . . .	3-001
I. TORT . . . . .	3-002
II. CONTRACT . . . . .	3-013

CONTENTS

PART TWO

THE LIMITS OF COMPENSATORY DAMAGES

4.	THE GENERAL PROBLEM OF LIMITS . . . . .	4-001
	1. Interrelation of existence and extent of liability . . . . .	4-002
	2. Interrelation of various facets of the extent of liability. . . . .	4-014
5.	REDUCTION OF DAMAGES FOR CONTRIBUTORY NEGLIGENCE. . . . .	5-001
	1. Liability in tort . . . . .	5-003
	2. Liability in contract . . . . .	5-009
	3. Liability under the Misrepresentation Act . . . . .	5-017
6.	RE MOTENESS OF DAMAGE . . . . .	6-001
	I. TORT . . . . .	6-004
	(A) CAUSATION . . . . .	6-005
	1. Cause in fact and cause in law . . . . .	6-005
	2. Cause in fact: the norm and the exceptions . . . . .	6-015
	3. Cause in law: direct consequences . . . . .	6-029
	4. Cause in law: consequences following upon a new intervening force . . . . .	6-033
	(B) SCOPE OF PROTECTION: THE LIMITS OF POLICY . . . . .	6-080
	1. Damage where no separate liability has been estab- lished . . . . .	6-081
	2. Foreseeable damage caused in an unforeseeable manner or to an unforeseeable degree where a breach of duty to the claimant to take care has been established . . . . .	6-084
	3. Damage to a secondary interest where a separate liability in respect of a primary interest has been established . . . . .	6-120
	4. Damage outside the scope of the duty . . . . .	6-130
	II. CONTRACT . . . . .	6-136
	(A) CAUSATION . . . . .	6-137
	1. Direct consequences . . . . .	6-139
	2. Consequences following upon a new intervening force . . . . .	6-140
	(B) SCOPE OF PROTECTION: CONTEMPLATION OF THE PARTIES . . . . .	6-155
	1. The rule in <i>Hadley v Baxendale</i> . . . . .	6-157
	2. The rule restated in <i>Victoria Laundry v Newman</i> in 1949 . . . . .	6-159
	3. The restated rule as qualified in <i>The Heron II</i> in 1967 . . . . .	6-161
	4. The decision in <i>The Achilleas</i> in 2008 . . . . .	6-165
	5. The degree of likelihood required . . . . .	6-174

CONTENTS

6. The degree of knowledge required . . . . .	6-186
7. The overall requirements of public policy . . . . .	6-200
8. Main types of contract in which the rule in <i>Hadley v Baxendale</i> has been developed . . . . .	6-203
7. MITIGATION OF DAMAGE. . . . .	7-001
I. VARIOUS MEANINGS OF THE TERM "MITIGATION" . . . . .	7-001
1. Principal meaning: the three rules as to the avoiding of the consequences of a wrong . . . . .	7-002
2. The two subsidiary or residual meanings . . . . .	7-008
II. THE RULE AS TO AVOIDABLE LOSS: NO RECOVERY FOR LOSS WHICH THE CLAIMANT OUGHT TO HAVE AVOIDED . . . . .	7-014
1. Various aspects of the rule . . . . .	7-015
2. The rule and its relationship to the normal measure of damages . . . . .	7-033
3. Illustrations of circumstances raising the issue of whether loss should have been avoided . . . . .	7-041
4. Standard of conduct which the claimant must attain when assessing what steps should have been taken by him . . . . .	7-070
III. THE COROLLARY: RECOVERY FOR LOSS INCURRED IN ATTEMPTS TO MITIGATE THE DAMAGE . . . . .	7-091
IV. THE RULE AS TO AVOIDED LOSS: NO RECOVERY FOR LOSS WHICH THE CLAIMANT HAS AVOIDED, UNLESS THE MATTER IS COLLATERAL . . . . .	7-097
1. The three subdivisions of the rule . . . . .	7-099
2. Various aspects of the rule . . . . .	7-102
3. Actions taken after the wrong by the claimant. . . . .	7-106
4. Actions taken after the wrong by third parties . . . . .	7-137
5. Actions taken before the wrong by the claimant . . . . .	7-147
8. CERTAINTY OF DAMAGE . . . . .	8-001
I. THE PROBLEM OF CERTAINTY . . . . .	8-001
II. CIRCUMSTANCES IN WHICH DAMAGES MAY BE AWARDED ALTHOUGH THE NATURE OF THE DAMAGE PREVENTS ABSOLUTE CERTAINTY OF PROOF . . . . .	8-004
1. Where damage is presumed. . . . .	8-004
2. Where the loss is non-pecuniary . . . . .	8-007
3. Where it is uncertain how a pecuniary loss is to be measured. . . . .	8-008
4. Where it is uncertain how much of the loss, pecuniary or non-pecuniary, is attributable to the defendant's breach of duty . . . . .	8-010

## CONTENTS

5. Where it is uncertain whether a particular pecuniary loss will be or would have been incurred . . . . .	8-021
9. PAST AND PROSPECTIVE DAMAGE . . . . .	9-001
I. INTRODUCTORY: CIRCUMSTANCES IN WHICH THE SAME SET OF FACTS GIVES RISE TO MORE THAN ONE CAUSE OF ACTION . . . . .	9-003
1. Where there are two separate acts resulting in two separate wrongs . . . . .	9-003
2. Where a single act violates two separate interests protected by the law . . . . .	9-004
3. Where a single act constitutes a continuing wrong . . . . .	9-010
4. Where a single act not actionable <i>per se</i> causes separate damage on two separate occasions . . . . .	9-014
II. PAST LOSS: DAMAGE BEFORE ACCRUAL OF THE CAUSE OF ACTION . . . . .	9-015
III. PAST LOSS: DAMAGE BEFORE COMMENCEMENT OF THE ACTION . . . . .	9-018
IV. PROSPECTIVE LOSS: DAMAGE AFTER COMMENCEMENT OF THE ACTION . . . . .	9-024
1. The rule . . . . .	9-024
2. The corollary . . . . .	9-030

## PART THREE

### DAMAGES NOT BASED STRICTLY ON COMPENSATION

10. NOMINAL DAMAGES . . . . .	10-001
I. CIRCUMSTANCES GIVING RISE TO AN AWARD OF NOMINAL DAMAGES . . . . .	10-001
1. Where there is <i>injuria sine damno</i> . . . . .	10-001
2. Where damage is shown but its amount is not sufficiently proved . . . . .	10-004
II. AMOUNT AWARDED; NOMINAL AND SMALL DAMAGES DISTINGUISHED . . . . .	10-006
III. PRACTICAL FUNCTIONS OF NOMINAL DAMAGES . . . . .	10-007
11. EXEMPLARY DAMAGES . . . . .	11-001
I. THE GENERAL BAN ON EXEMPLARY DAMAGES . . . . .	11-001
II. CASES IN WHICH EXEMPLARY DAMAGES MAY BE AWARDED. . . . .	11-009
1. Types of claim in which exemplary damages are possible . . . . .	11-011

CONTENTS

2. The three categories in which exemplary awards are possible . . . . .	11-017
III. COMPUTATION OF THE EXEMPLARY AWARD . . . . .	11-033
1. Various criteria applied by the courts. . . . .	11-033
2. The question of vicarious liability. . . . .	11-047
3. The irrelevance of the criteria in the second common law category. . . . .	11-050
12. RESTITUTIONARY DAMAGES . . . . .	12-001
I. CONCEPT AND MEANING OF RESTITUTIONARY DAMAGES . . . . .	12-001
II. CIRCUMSTANCES GIVING RISE TO RESTITUTIONARY DAMAGES . . . . .	12-007
1. Liability in tort . . . . .	12-007
2. Liability in contract . . . . .	12-023
III. PARTICULAR ASPECTS OF THE CLAIM . . . . .	12-045
13. LIQUIDATED DAMAGES . . . . .	13-001
1. Historical development of liquidated damages and penalties . . . . .	13-003
2. Nature and effect of liquidated damages and penalties. . . . .	13-009
3. Rules for distinguishing liquidated damages from penalties. . . . .	13-027
4. Main types of contract in which the rules have been developed . . . . .	13-051
5. Related situations. . . . .	13-089

PART FOUR

VARIOUS GENERAL FACTORS IN THE ASSESSMENT OF DAMAGES

14. THE INCIDENCE OF TAXATION . . . . .	14-001
I. INCOME TAX. . . . .	14-002
(A) THE RULE IN <i>GOURLEY'S CASE</i> . . . . .	14-002
(B) TYPE-SITUATIONS IN WHICH THE RULE IN <i>GOURLEY'S CASE</i> MAY APPLY . . . . .	14-005
1. General considerations . . . . .	14-005
2. Tort. . . . .	14-024
3. Contract . . . . .	14-041
(C) THE PRACTICAL APPLICATION OF THE RULE IN <i>GOURLEY'S CASE</i> . . . . .	14-055
1. Burden of proof. . . . .	14-055
2. Calculation of the tax . . . . .	14-059

CONTENTS

II. CAPITAL GAINS TAX . . . . .	14-062
1. General considerations . . . . .	14-062
2. Tort . . . . .	14-066
3. Contract . . . . .	14-071
15. THE AWARDING OF INTEREST . . . . .	15-001
I. INTRODUCTORY . . . . .	15-001
II. THE POSITION BEFORE <i>SEMPRA METALS</i> . . . . .	15-005
A. INTEREST OUTSIDE STATUTE: INTEREST AS DAMAGES . . . . .	15-005
B. INTEREST CONFERRED BY STATUTE: INTEREST ON DAMAGES . . . . .	15-030
1. Limits of the statutory provision . . . . .	15-036
2. Categories to which statutory interest applies . . . . .	15-043
III. THE POSITION AFTER <i>SEMPRA METALS</i> . . . . .	15-060
1. The decision. . . . .	15-061
2. The analysis. . . . .	15-065
3. The results . . . . .	15-069
IV. CALCULATION OF THE AMOUNT OF INTEREST . . . . .	15-073
1. Period of time for which interest is awarded . . . . .	15-073
2. Rate of interest awarded . . . . .	15-104
3. Amount on which interest is awarded: interest and taxation . . . . .	15-135
16. THE EFFECT OF CHANGES IN VALUE . . . . .	16-001
1. Changes in the value of property. . . . .	16-002
2. Changes in the value of services . . . . .	16-006
3. Changes in the value of money . . . . .	16-008
17. THE RECOVERY OF COSTS, DAMAGES AND FINES INCURRED IN PREVIOUS PROCEEDINGS . . . . .	17-001
I. INTRODUCTORY: THE RECOVERY AS DAMAGES OF THE NOW CLAIMANT'S OWN COSTS IN THE PREVIOUS PROCEEDINGS . . . . .	17-003
II. COSTS IN PREVIOUS PROCEEDINGS BETWEEN THE SAME PARTIES . . . . .	17-020
1. Further proceedings where no separate independent cause of action is available . . . . .	17-021
2. Further proceedings where a separate independent cause of action is available . . . . .	17-023
III. COSTS, DAMAGES AND FINES IN PREVIOUS PROCEEDINGS BETWEEN THE NOW CLAIMANT AND THIRD PARTIES . . . . .	17-039
1. The rule as it has developed . . . . .	17-039
2. Main type-situations in which costs, damages and fines have been claimed as damages . . . . .	17-045
3. The various aspects of remoteness of damage involved . . . . .	17-056
4. Amount recoverable . . . . .	17-085



CONTENTS

18. DAMAGES IN ACTIONS SURVIVING DEATH . . . . .	18-001
1. Damages in actions surviving death. . . . .	18-001
2. Measure of damages in such actions . . . . .	18-003

*BOOK TWO*

PARTICULAR CONTRACTS AND TORTS

19. THE MEASURE OF DAMAGES IN CONTRACT AND TORT COMPARED. . . . .	19-001
---	--------

*PART ONE*

CONTRACT

20. SALE OF GOODS . . . . .	20-001
I. BREACH BY SELLER . . . . .	20-002
1. Non-delivery . . . . .	20-002
2. Delayed delivery . . . . .	20-036
3. Breach of condition: goods properly rejected . . . . .	20-056
4. Breach of condition or warranty as to quality, fitness or description: goods accepted . . . . .	20-057
5. Breach of condition as to title or of warranty of quiet possession . . . . .	20-105
6. Breach of condition: loss of the right to reject the goods . . . . .	20-107
II. BREACH BY BUYER . . . . .	20-109
1. Non-acceptance. . . . .	20-110
2. Failure to procure the opening of a letter of credit . . . . .	20-127
3. Other breaches . . . . .	20-129
21. HIRE AND HIRE-PURCHASE OF GOODS. . . . .	21-001
I. BREACH BY LENDER. . . . .	21-001
1. Non-delivery . . . . .	21-001
2. Breach of warranty . . . . .	21-003
II. BREACH BY HIRER . . . . .	21-009
1. Non-acceptance and related breaches. . . . .	21-009
2. Damage to the goods or their destruction . . . . .	21-018
3. Failure to surrender the goods . . . . .	21-021
22. SALE OF LAND . . . . .	22-001
I. BREACH BY SELLER . . . . .	22-001
(A) FAILURE TO COMPLETE. . . . .	22-003