


Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics

Klaus Jänich

TOPOLOGY

拓扑学



Springer

世界图书出版公司
www.wpcbj.com.cn

Klaus Jänich

Topology

Translated by Silvio Levy

With 181 Illustrations



Springer-Verlag

New York Berlin Heidelberg London Paris
Tokyo Hong Kong Barcelona Budapest

图书在版编目(CIP)数据

拓扑学 = Topology: 英文/(德)亚尼齐(Jänich, K.)著. —影印本. —北京: 世界图书出版公司北京公司, 2011. 12
ISBN 978-7-5100-4064-1

I. ①拓… II. ①亚… III. ①拓扑—高等学校—教材—英文 IV. ①O189

中国版本图书馆 CIP 数据核字(2011)第 216789 号

书 名: Topology
作 者: Klaus Jänich
中 译 名: 拓扑学
责任编辑: 高蓉 刘慧

出 版 者: 世界图书出版公司北京公司
印 刷 者: 三河市国英印务有限公司
发 行 者: 世界图书出版公司北京公司(北京朝内大街 137 号 100010)
联系电话: 010-64021602, 010-64015659
电子信箱: kjb@wpcbj.com.cn

开 本: 24 开
印 张: 8.5
版 次: 2012 年 01 月
版权登记: 图字:01-2011-0675

书 号: 978-7-5100-4064-1/O·917 定 价: 29.00 元

Preface

This volume covers approximately the amount of point-set topology that a student who does not intend to specialize in the field should nevertheless know. This is not a whole lot, and in condensed form would occupy perhaps only a small booklet. Our aim, however, was not economy of words, but a lively presentation of the ideas involved, an appeal to intuition in both the immediate and the higher meanings.

I wish to thank all those who have helped me with useful remarks about the German edition or the original manuscript, in particular, J. Bingener, Guy Hirsch and B. Sagraloff. I thank Theodor Bröcker for donating his "Last Chapter on Set-Theory" to my book; and finally my thanks are due to Silvio Levy, the translator. Usually, a foreign author is not very competent to judge the merits of a translation of his work, but he may at least be allowed to say: I like it.

Regensburg, May 1983

KLAUS JÄNICH

Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics

Editors

F.W. Gehring

P.R. Halmos

J.H. Ewing

Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics

Anglin: Mathematics: A Concise History and Philosophy.

Readings in Mathematics.

Apostol: Introduction to Analytic Number Theory. Second edition.

Armstrong: Groups and Symmetry.

Armstrong: Basic Topology.

Bak/Newman: Complex Analysis.

Banchoff/Wermer: Linear Algebra Through Geometry. Second edition.

Berberian: A First Course in Real Analysis.

Brémaud: An Introduction to Probabilistic Modeling.

Bressoud: Factorization and Primality Testing.

Bressoud: Second Year Calculus.

Readings in Mathematics.

Brickman: Mathematical Introduction to Linear Programming and Game Theory.

Cederberg: A Course in Modern Geometries.

Childs: A Concrete Introduction to Higher Algebra.

Chung: Elementary Probability Theory with Stochastic Processes. Third edition.

Cox/Little/O'Shea: Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms.

Curtis: Linear Algebra: An Introductory Approach. Fourth edition.

Devlin: The Joy of Sets: Fundamentals of Contemporary Set Theory. Second edition.

Dixmier: General Topology.

Driver: Why Math?

Ebbinghaus/Flum/Thomas: Mathematical Logic. Second edition.

Edgar: Measure, Topology, and Fractal Geometry.

Fischer: Intermediate Real Analysis.

Flanigan/Kazdan: Calculus Two: Linear and Nonlinear Functions. Second edition.

Fleming: Functions of Several Variables. Second edition.

Foulds: Optimization Techniques: An Introduction.

Foulds: Combinatorial Optimization for Undergraduates.

Franklin: Methods of Mathematical Economics.

Halmos: Finite-Dimensional Vector Spaces. Second edition.

Halmos: Naive Set Theory.

Hämmerlin/Hoffmann: Numerical Mathematics.

Readings in Mathematics.

Iooss/Joseph: Elementary Stability and Bifurcation Theory. Second edition.

James: Topological and Uniform Spaces.

Jänich: Linear Algebra.

Jänich: Topology.

Kemeny/Snell: Finite Markov Chains.

Klambauer: Aspects of Calculus.

Kinsey: Topology of Surfaces.

Lang: A First Course in Calculus. Fifth edition.

Lang: Calculus of Several Variables. Third edition.

Lang: Introduction to Linear Algebra. Second edition.

Lang: Linear Algebra. Third edition.

Klaus Jänich
Fakultät für Mathematik
Universität Regensburg
8400 Regensburg
Germany

Silvio Levy (*Translator*)
Mathematics Department
Princeton University
Princeton, NJ 08554
USA

Editorial Board:

John H. Ewing
Department of Mathematics
Indiana University
Bloomington, IN 47405
USA

F.W. Gehring
Department of Mathematics
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, MI 48109
USA

Paul R. Halmos
Department of Mathematics
Santa Clara University
Santa Clara, CA 95053
USA

AMS Subject Classification: 54-01

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Jänich, Klaus.

Topology.

(Undergraduate texts in mathematics)

Translation of: Topologie.

Bibliography: p.

Includes index.

1. Topology. I. Title. II. Series.

QA611.J3513 1984 514 83-14495

Original German edition: *Topologie*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, © 1980.

© 1984 by Springer-Verlag New York Inc.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be translated or reproduced in any form without written permission from Springer-Verlag, 175 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10010, U.S.A.

This reprint has been authorized by Springer-Verlag (Berlin/Heidelberg/New York) for sale in the Mainland China only and not for export therefrom.

9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 (Second corrected printing)

ISBN 0-387-90892-7 Springer-Verlag New York Berlin Heidelberg Tokyo
ISBN 3-540-90892-7 Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York Tokyo

Contents

Introduction	1
§1. What is point-set topology about?	1
§2. Origin and beginnings	2
CHAPTER I	
Fundamental Concepts	5
§1. The concept of a topological space	5
§2. Metric spaces	7
§3. Subspaces, disjoint unions and products	9
§4. Bases and subbases	12
§5. Continuous maps	12
§6. Connectedness	14
§7. The Hausdorff separation axiom	17
§8. Compactness	18
CHAPTER II	
Topological Vector Spaces	24
§1. The notion of a topological vector space	24
§2. Finite-dimensional vector spaces	25

§3. Hilbert spaces	26
§4. Banach spaces	26
§5. Fréchet spaces	27
§6. Locally convex topological vector spaces	28
§7. A couple of examples	29
CHAPTER III	
The Quotient Topology	31
§1. The notion of a quotient space	31
§2. Quotients and maps	32
§3. Properties of quotient spaces	33
§4. Examples: Homogeneous spaces	34
§5. Examples: Orbit spaces	37
§6. Examples: Collapsing a subspace to a point	39
§7. Examples: Gluing topological spaces together	43
CHAPTER IV	
Completion of Metric Spaces	50
§1. The completion of a metric space	50
§2. Completion of a map	54
§3. Completion of normed spaces	55
CHAPTER V	
Homotopy	59
§1. Homotopic maps	59
§2. Homotopy equivalence	61
§3. Examples	63
§4. Categories	66
§5. Functors	69
§6. What is algebraic topology?	70
§7. Homotopy—what for?	74
CHAPTER VI	
The Two Countability Axioms	78
§1. First and second countability axioms	78
§2. Infinite products	80
§3. The role of the countability axioms	81
CHAPTER VII	
CW-Complexes	87
§1. Simplicial complexes	87
§2. Cell decompositions	93
§3. The notion of a CW-complex	95
§4. Subcomplexes	98
§5. Cell attaching	99
§6. Why CW-complexes are more flexible	100
§7. Yes, but . . . ?	102

CHAPTER VIII

Construction of Continuous Functions on Topological Spaces	106
§1. The Urysohn lemma	106
§2. The proof of the Urysohn lemma	111
§3. The Tietze extension lemma	114
§4. Partitions of unity and vector bundle sections	116
§5. Paracompactness	123

CHAPTER IX

Covering Spaces	127
§1. Topological spaces over X	127
§2. The concept of a covering space	130
§3. Path lifting	133
§4. Introduction to the classification of covering spaces	137
§5. Fundamental group and lifting behavior	141
§6. The classification of covering spaces	144
§7. Covering transformations and universal cover	149
§8. The role of covering spaces in mathematics	156


CHAPTER X

The Theorem of Tychonoff	160
§1. An unlikely theorem?	160
§2. What is it good for?	162
§3. The proof	167

LAST CHAPTER

Set Theory (by Theodor Bröcker)	171
References	177
Table of Symbols	179
Index	183


Introduction



§1. What Is Point-Set Topology About?

It is sometimes said that a characteristic of modern science is its high—and ever increasing—level of specialization; every one of us has heard the phrase “only a handful of specialists . . .”. Now a general statement about so complex a phenomenon as “modern science” always has the chance of containing a certain amount of truth, but in the case of the above cliché about specialization the amount is fairly small. One might rather point to the great and ever increasing *interweaving* of formerly separated disciplines as a mark of modern science. What must be known today by, say, both a number theorist and a differential geometer, is much more, even relatively speaking, than it was fifty or a hundred years ago. This interweaving is a result of the fact that scientific development again and again brings to light hidden analogies whose further application represents such a great intellectual advance that the theory based on them very soon permeates all fields involved, connecting them together. Point-set topology is just such an analogy-based theory, comprising all that can be said in general about concepts related, though sometimes very loosely, to “closeness”, “vicinity” and “convergence”.

Theorems of one theory can be instruments in another. When, for instance, a differential geometer makes use of the fact that for each point and direction there is exactly one geodesic (which he does just about every day), he is



taking advantage of the Existence and Uniqueness Theorem for systems of second-order ordinary differential equations. On the other hand, the application of point-set topology to everyday uses in other fields is based not so much on deep theorems as on the unifying and simplifying power of its system of notions and of its felicitous terminology. And this power stems, in my understanding, from a very specific source, namely the fact that *point-set topology makes accessible to our spatial imagination a great number of problems which are entirely abstract and non-intuitive to begin with*. Many situations in point-set topology can be visualized in a perfectly adequate way in usual physical space, even when they do not actually take place there. Our spatial imagination, which is thus made available for mathematical reasoning about abstract things, is however a highly developed intellectual ability which is independent from abstraction and logical thinking; and this strengthening of our other mathematical talents is indeed the fundamental reason for the effectiveness and simplicity of topological methods.

§2. Origin and Beginnings

The emergence of fundamental mathematical concepts is almost always a long and intricate process. To be sure, one can point at a given moment and say: Here this concept, as understood today, is first defined in a clear-cut and plain way, from here on it “exists”—but by that time the concept had always passed through numerous preliminary stages, it was already known in important special cases, variants of it had been considered and discarded, etc., and it is often difficult, and sometimes impossible, to determine which mathematician supplied the decisive contribution and should be considered the originator of the concept in question.

In this sense one might say that the system of concepts of point-set topology “exists” since the appearance of Felix Hausdorff’s book *Grundzüge der*

Mengenlehre (Leipzig, 1914). In its seventh chapter, "Point sets in general spaces", are defined the most important fundamental concepts of point-set topology. Maurice Fréchet, in his work "Sur quelques points du calcul fonctionnel" (*Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* 22), had already come close to this mark, introducing the concept of metric spaces and attempting to grasp that of topological spaces as well (by axiomatizing the notion of convergence). Fréchet was primarily interested in function spaces and can perhaps be seen as the founder of the function analytic branch of point-set topology.

But the roots of the matter go, of course, deeper than that. Point-set topology, as so many other branches of mathematics, evolved out of the revolutionary changes undergone by the concept of geometry during the nineteenth century. In the beginning of the century the reigning view was the classical one, according to which geometry was the mathematical theory of the real physical space that surrounds us, and its axioms were seen as self-evident elementary facts. By the end of the century mathematicians had freed themselves from this narrow approach, and it had become clear that geometry was henceforth to have much wider aims, and should accordingly be made to work in abstract "spaces", such as n -dimensional manifolds, projective spaces, Riemann surfaces, function spaces etc. (Bolyai and Lobachevski, Riemann, Poincaré "and so on"—I'm not so bold as to try to delineate here this development process...). But now another contribution of paramount importance to the emergence of point-set topology was to be added to the rich variety of examples and the general ripeness to work with abstract spaces: namely, the work of Cantor. The dedication of Hausdorff's book reads: "To the creator of set theory, *Georg Cantor*, in grateful admiration."


"A topological space is a pair consisting of a set and a set of subsets, such that..."—it is indeed clear that the concept could never have been grasped in such generality were it not for the introduction of abstract sets in mathematics, a development which we owe to Cantor. But long before establishing his transfinite set theory Cantor had contributed to the genesis of point-set in an entirely diverse way, about which I would like to add something.

Cantor had shown in 1870 that two Fourier series that converge pointwise to the same limit function have the same coefficients. In 1871 he improved this theorem by proving that the coefficients have to be the same also when convergence and equality of the limits hold for all points outside a finite exception set $A \subset [0, 2\pi]$. In a work of 1872 he now dealt with the problem of determining for which *infinite* exception sets uniqueness would still hold.

An infinite subset of $[0, 2\pi]$ must of course have at least one cluster point:



This is a very “innocent” example of an infinite subset of $[0, 2\pi]$. A somewhat “wilder” set would be one whose cluster points themselves cluster around some point:



Cluster point of cluster points


Cantor now showed that if the sequence of subsets of $[0, 2\pi]$ defined inductively by $A^0 := A$ and $A^{n+1} := \{x \in [0, 2\pi] \mid x \text{ is a cluster point of } A^n\}$ breaks up after finitely many terms, that is if eventually we have $A^k = \emptyset$, then uniqueness *does* hold with A as the exception set. In particular a function that vanishes outside such a set (but not identically in the interval) cannot be represented by a Fourier series. This result helps to understand the strange convergence behavior of Fourier series, and the motivation for Cantor's investigation stems from classical analysis and ultimately from physics. But because of it Cantor was led to the discovery of a new type of subset $A \subset \mathbb{R}$, which must have been felt to be quite exotic, especially when the sequence A, A^1, A^2, \dots takes a *long* time to break off. Now the subsets of \mathbb{R} move to the fore as objects to be studied in themselves, and, what is more, studied from what we would recognize today as being a topological viewpoint. Cantor continued along this path when later, while investigating general point sets in \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{R}^n , he introduced the point-set topological approach, upon which Hausdorff could now base himself.

*

I do not want to give the impression that Cantor, Fréchet and Hausdorff were the only mathematicians to take part in the development and clarification of the fundamental ideas of point-set topology; but a more detailed treatment of the subject would be out of the scope of this book. I just wanted to outline, with a couple of sketchy but vivid lines, the starting point of the theory we are about to study.

CHAPTER I

Fundamental Concepts



§1. The Concept of a Topological Space

Definition. A *topological space* is a pair (X, \mathcal{O}) consisting of a set X and a set \mathcal{O} of subsets of X (called “open sets”), such that the following axioms hold:

Axiom 1. Any union of open sets is open.

Axiom 2. The intersection of any two open sets is open.

Axiom 3. \emptyset and X are open.

One also says that \mathcal{O} is the *topology* of the topological space (X, \mathcal{O}) . In general one drops the topology from the notation and speaks simply of a topological space X , as we’ll do from now on:

Definition. Let X be a topological space.

(1) $A \subset X$ is called *closed* when $X \setminus A$ is open.

(2) $U \subset X$ is called a *neighborhood* of $x \in X$ if there is an open set V with $x \in V \subset U$.

- (3) Let $B \subset X$ be any subset. A point $x \in X$ is called an *interior*, *exterior* or *boundary* (or *frontier*) *point of B*, respectively, according to whether B , $X \setminus B$ or neither is a neighborhood of x .
- (4) The set \dot{B} of the interior points of B is called the *interior* of B .
- (5) The set \bar{B} of the points of X which are not exterior points of B is called the *closure* of B .

These are then the basic concepts of point-set topology; and the reader who is being introduced to them for the first time should at this point work out a couple of exercises, in order to become familiar with them. Once, when I was still a student at Tübingen, I was grading some exercises after a lecture on these fundamental concepts. In the lecture it had already been established that a set is open if and only if all of its points are interior, and one exercise went like this: Show that the set of interior points of a set is always open. In came a student asking why we had not accepted his reasoning: "The set of interior points contains only interior points (an indisputable tautology); hence, the problem is trivial." There were a couple of other graders present and we all zealously tried to convince him that in talking about interior points you have to specify what set they are interior to, but in vain. When he realized what we wanted, he left, calmly remarking that we were splitting hairs. What could we answer?

Therefore, should among my readers be a complete newcomer to the field, I would suggest him to verify right now that the interior of B is the union of all open sets contained in B , and that the closure of B is the intersection of all closed sets containing B . And as food for thought during a peaceful afternoon let me add the following remarks.

Each of the three concepts defined above using open sets, namely, "closed sets", "neighborhoods" and "closure", can in its turn be used to characterize openness. In fact, a set $B \subset X$ is open if and only if $X \setminus B$ is closed, if and only if B is a neighborhood of each of its points, and if and only if $X \setminus B$ is equal to its closure. Thus the system of axioms defining a topological space must be expressible in terms of each one of these concepts, for instance:

Alternative Definition for Topological Spaces (Axioms for Closed Sets). A topological space is a pair (X, \mathcal{A}) consisting of a set X and a set \mathcal{A} of subsets of X (called "closed sets"), such that the following axioms hold:

- A1. Any intersection of closed sets is closed.
- A2. The union of any two closed sets is closed.
- A3. X and \emptyset are closed.

This new definition is equivalent to the old in that (X, \mathcal{O}) is a topological space in the sense of the old definition if and only if (X, \mathcal{A}) is one in the sense of the new, where $\mathcal{A} = \{X \setminus V \mid V \in \mathcal{O}\}$. Had we given the second definition first, closedness would have become the primary concept, openness following

by defining $X \setminus V$ to be open if and only if $V \subset X$ is closed. But the definition of concepts (2)–(5) would have been left untouched and given rise to the same system of concepts that we obtained in the beginning. It has become customary to start with open sets, but the idea of neighborhood is more intuitive, and indeed it was in terms of it that Hausdorff defined these notions originally:

Alternative Definition (Axioms for Neighborhood). A topological space is a pair (X, \mathcal{U}) consisting of a set X and a family $\mathcal{U} = \{\mathcal{U}_x\}_{x \in X}$ of sets \mathcal{U}_x of subsets of X (called “neighborhoods of x ”) such that:

- N1. Each neighborhood of x contains x , and X is a neighborhood of each of its points.
- N2. If $V \subset X$ contains a neighborhood of x , then V itself is a neighborhood of x .
- N3. The intersection of any two neighborhoods of x is a neighborhood of x .
- N4. Each neighborhood of x contains a neighborhood of x that is also a neighborhood of each of its points.

One can see that these axioms are a bit more complicated to state than those for open sets. The characterization of topology by means of the closure operation, however, is again quite elegant and has its own name:

Alternative Definition (The Kuratowski Closure Axioms). A topological space is a pair $(X, \bar{})$ consisting of a set X and a map $\bar{}: \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ from the set of all subsets of X into itself such that:

- C1. $\overline{\emptyset} = \emptyset$.
- C2. $A \subset \bar{A}$ for all $A \subset X$.
- C3. $\bar{\bar{A}} = \bar{A}$ for all $A \subset X$.
- C4. $\overline{A \cup B} = \bar{A} \cup \bar{B}$ for all $A, B \subset X$.

Formulating what exactly the equivalence of all these definitions means and then proving it is, as we said, left as an exercise to the new reader. We will stick to our first definition.

§2. Metric Spaces

As we know, a subset of \mathbb{R}^n is called open in the usual topology when every point in it is the center of some ball also contained in the set. This definition can be extended in a natural way if instead of \mathbb{R}^n we consider a set X for which the notion of distance is defined; in particular every such space gives rise to a topological space. Let's recall the following