北方民族大学文库

A COGNITIVE ANALYSIS OF SNAKE AND LION METAPHORS IN MANDARIN CHINESE AND BRITISH ENGLISH

汉语与英国英语中的动物隐喻认知研究

魏利霞 著

A COGNITIVE ANALYSIS OF SNAKE AND LION METAPHORS IN MANDARIN CHINESE AND BRITISH ENGLISH

汉语与英国英语中的动物隐喻认知研究

魏利霞 著



斜学出版社 北京

内容简介

The present study investigated snake and lion metaphors with both human beings and non-human beings as the target domain. Apart from showing that universality and variation between animal metaphors exist in Chinese and English, the study also modified Kövecses's (2002, 2010) dichotomy categorization of metaphors by adding another type, that is metaphors that are image and knowledge-based at the same time. Meanwhile, it has refined the Conceptual Blending Theory (CBT) by proposing a revised version which adds an output space and allocates more attention to context in the process of interpreting metaphors.

图书在版编目(CIP)数据

汉语与英国英语中的动物隐喻认知研究 = A cognitive analysis of snake and lion metaphors in mandarin Chinese and British English 英文/魏利霞著. 一北京: 科学出版社, 2013.12

(北方民族大学文库)

ISBN 978-7-03-039351-7

I. ①汉··· II. ①魏··· III. ①隐喻-对比研究-汉语、 英语 IV. ①H314②H14

中国版本图书馆 CIP 数据核字(2013)第 304623 号

责任编辑: 刘彦慧/责任校对: 宣 慧责任印制: 钱玉芬/封面设计: 无极书装

联系电话: 010-6401 9074 电子邮箱: liuyanhui@mail.sciencep.com

斜学出版社出版

北京东黄城根北街 16号 邮政编码: 100717

http://www.sciencep.com

双青印刷厂印刷

科学出版社发行 各地新华书店经销

2013年12月第 一 版 开本: A5 (890×1240) 2013年12月第一次印刷 印张: 10 3/8

字数: 420 000

定价: 58.00 元

(如有印装质量问题, 我社负责调换)

DEDICATION

To

My beloved parents, Wei Xiaozhen, Su Meiying;

To

My most beloved lecturer, Professor Zhou Zhen,
Who is as noble as a lion!

FOREWORD

This book is a revised version of my dissertation (2012, Putra University of Malaysia). In particular, the content of one section entitled "Theoretical framework" in Chapter 1 has been synthesized to Chapter 1. In addition, when the Conceptual Blending Theory was introduced in Chapter 1, the original version introduced it mainly according to Fauconnier's (1997) and Turner's (1996) description. However, the revised version introduced this theory mainly based on Fauconnier and Turner's (2002) description and synthesized necessary content of Fauconnier's (1997) and Turner's (1996). Moreover, it is a revised version because it boiled down the past studies on animal metaphors from a whole literature review section to a few paragraphs and inserted them in the body. To the methodology, considering its creative design, although the data source as well as the research design has not appeared in the body of the book, they are attached to the appendices. Finally, although the results for the snake and the lion metaphor have been displayed independently in Chapters 2 and 3, the synthesized findings from the research on these two animals have to be presented in the book too. Thus, the major findings are arranged in the INTRODUCTION section.

Specifically, after introducing the importance of metaphor, the introduction section also makes clear the objectives as well as the research questions that the study addressed. Subsequently, the major findings based on the results to both snake metaphors and lion metaphors are summarized. Since the Conceptual Metaphor Theory and the Conceptual Blending Theory are very important in investigating cognitive semantics, they are introduced briefly in Chapter 1. Following that, Chapter 2 discusses the results to the research questions obtained from the data for

the snake metaphorical expressions and metaphors. Possible reasons that lead to the differences between the snake metaphorical expressions and metaphors in Mandarin Chinese and British English are also discussed. The metaphor theories of CMT and CBT are adopted to interpret the typical fixed expressions and the conceptual metaphors generalized from the data where necessary. Chapter 3 presents and compares the results obtained for the lion expressions and metaphors in these two languages. In addition, a discussion of the reasons for the differences between the lion metaphorical expressions and metaphors in Mandarin Chinese and British English is provided where necessary. During the process of comparison, the CMT and CBT are applied to the interpretation of some typical fixed expressions and the conceptual metaphors that are generalized from the data in these two languages. Finally, Chapter 4 provides the revised version of the Conceptual Blending Theory which is derived from the study.

Upon the accomplishment of this book, I would like to extend my sincere thanks and gratitude to the members on my Thesis Supervisory Committee and Examination Committee first. I am grateful for Dr. Lim, Dr. Mardziah and Dr. Tan for giving me constructive suggestion by interpreting the data from the cultural perspective and improving my work into a much concise one.

Additionally, I'd like to express my sincere thanks to Ningxia University and Universiti Putra Malaysia, because the former offered me opportunity to be a candidate for the GRF scholarship provided by Universiti Putra Malaysia and the latter granted me the GRF scholarship so that I could focus on my study there without too much financial burden. In addition, I would also like to thank Beifang University of Nationalities for its financial support of the publishing of this book, which definitely eases my burden to some degree.

Moreover, I'd like to thank Zoltán Kövecses for his positive comment on the target domains that I've identified from my study

and the tables I adopted to list the domains. Meanwhile, I'd also like to thank Daniel Casasanto for his help in offering me the latest articles concerned with embodiment.

Furthermore, the help from my friends, academic sisters and classmates in China should not be forgotten either. They are Li Dayan, Li Qiqi, Ma Minjie, Ma Xiaoyu, Ma Yanhong, Meng Li, Wang Furong and Zhou Zihan, who are of great help to me when I was in need of necessary materials.

My sincere thanks also go to Professor Li Fuyin and Miss Liu Yanhui. It is Professor Li, one of the leading figures of Cognitive Linguistcs in China, who recommended my work to the Science Press and opened a new door for me. It is Miss Liu, the English editor, who took all the trouble to contact with me and help me to improve this work. Without their help, it is a doubt if this book can be displayed to the reader this way and this fast.

Last but not least, I am very grateful to my family members and my teacher parents Professor Zhou Zhen and Professor Ding Wenying. In particular, I own sincere thanks and gratitude to my parents for their understanding and support to my study. I am indebted to my teacher parents for the generous encouragement they consistently elaborated upon me which was the spine of my spirit and uplifted me to head forward all the time.

In close, I am very thankful for all kinds of help I've obtained from those I have mentioned above but I myself am responsible for all the blemishes and inappropriate opinions in the book.

Wei Lixia

CONTENTS

FOREWORD
INTRODUCTION1
CHAPTER 1 TWO METAPHOR THEORIES19
1.1 Conceptual Metaphor Theory
1.2 Conceptual Blending Theory
interpretation of snake and lion metaphorical expressions40
1.4 Summary
CHAPTER 2 SNAKE METAPHORS IN MANDARIN
CHINESE AND BRITISH ENGLISH48
2.1 Snake metaphors in Mandarin Chinese
2.1.1 Overview of the snake metaphors5
2.1.2 Snake metaphors with human beings as the target domain56
2.1.3 Snake metaphors with non-human beings as the target domain.74
2.2 Snake metaphors in British English
2.2.1 Overview of the snake metaphors98
2.2.2 Snake metaphors with human beings as the target domain 102
2.2.3 Snake metaphors with non-human beings as the target domain 110
2.3 A comparison of snake metaphors in Mandarin Chinese and
British English
2.3.1 The differences between snake metaphors in Mandarin
Chinese and British English
2.3.2 The differences between snake metaphors in Mandarin Chinese
and British English when the target domain is human beings 124
2.3.3 The differences between snake metaphors in Mandarin

	Chinese and British English when the target domains are
	non-human beings
2.3.4	Causes for universality and variation of snake metaphors
	in Mandarin Chinese and British English149
2.4	Summary
CHAPT	TER 3 LION METAPHORS IN MANDARIN CHINESE
	AND BRITISH ENGLISH169
3.1	Lion metaphors in Mandarin Chinese169
3.1.1	Overview of the lion metaphors
3.1.2	Lion metaphors with human beings as the target domain 174
3.1.3	Lion metaphors with non-human beings as the target domain. 201
3.2 I	Lion metaphors in British English212
3.2.	1 Overview of the lion metaphors
3.2.2	2 Lion metaphors with human beings as the target domain 218
3.2.3	Lion metaphors with non-human beings as the target domain 228
3.3	A comparison of lion metaphors in Mandarin Chinese and
	British English241
3.3.	1 The differences between lion metaphors in Mandarin Chinese
	and British English
3.3.	2 The differences between lion metaphors in Mandarin Chinese
	and British English when the target domain is human beings 245
3.3.	3 The differences between lion metaphors in Mandarin Chinese
	and British English when the target domains are non-human
	beings
3.3.	4 Causes for universality and variation of lion metaphors in
	Mandarin Chinese and British English274
3.4	Summary283
CHA	PTER 4 REVISED CONCEPTUAL BLENDING
	THEORY286
4.1	Implication one

ATVITE OF	
_655 A116	

4.2	Implication	on two	287
4.3	Summary	7	289
REFE	RENCES		291
APPE	ENDIX A	DATA SOURCE	304
APPE	ENDIX B	RESEARCH DESIGN	307

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	Ontological correspondences of ANGER IS A DANGEROUS
	ANIMAL26
Table 1.2	Epistemic correspondences of ANGER IS A DANGEROUS
	ANIMAL26
Table 2.1	
	terms of source and target domains52
Table 2.2	Snake metaphorical expressions in Mandarin Chinese
	in terms of specific aspects of the source domain
	and specific target domains53
Table 2.3	Evaluation of snake metaphorical expressions in Mandarin
	Chinese
Table 2.4	Snake metaphorical expressions in Mandarin
	Chinese when the target domain is human beings56
Table 2.5	Snake metaphorical expressions in Mandarin
	Chinese when the target domain is non-human beings75
Table 2.6	Evaluations of the 11 main non-human target
	domains in Mandarin Chinese93
Table 2.7	Snake metaphorical expressions in British English
	in terms of source and target domains99
Table 2.8	Snake metaphorical expressions in British English in
	terms of specific aspects of the source domain and
	specific target domains100
Table 2.9	Evaluation of snake metaphorical expressions in
	British English101
Table 2.10	Snake metaphorical expressions in British English
	when the target domain is human beings103
Table 2.11	Snake metaphorical expressions in British English

			6	
d				
		æ		
۹		ä		

	when the target domain is non-human beings111
Table 2.12	Evaluations of the six main non-human target domains
	in British English
Table 2.13	Snake conceptual metaphors for human beings in
	Mandarin Chinese and British English128
Table 2.14	Snake metaphorical expressions in Mandarin Chinese
	and British English when the target domain is
	non-human beings
Table 2:15	Snake metaphorical expressions for the three shared
	target domains in Mandarin Chinese and British
	English
Table 2.16	Snake conceptual metaphors for non-human beings
	in Mandarin Chinese and British English141
Table 2.17	Categories of the main target domains in
	Mandarin Chinese and British English157
Table 3.1	Lion metaphorical expressions in Mandarin Chinese
	in terms of source and target domains171
Table 3.2	Lion metaphorical expressions in Mandarin Chinese in
	terms of specific aspects of the source domain and
	specific target domains
Table 3.3	Evaluation of lion metaphorical expressions in
	Mandarin Chinese
Table 3.4	Lion metaphorical expressions in Mandarin Chinese
	when the target domain is human beings175
Table 3.5	Lion metaphorical expressions in Mandarin Chinese
	when the target domain is non-human beings203
Table 3.6	Evaluation of the seven main target domains in
	Mandarin Chinese
Table 3.7	Lion metaphorical expressions in British English in terms
	of source and target domains214
Table 3.8	Lion metaphorical expressions in British English in
	terms of specific aspects of the source domain and

	specific target domains21	5
Table 3.9	Evaluation of lion metaphorical expressions in British	
	English	7
Table 3.10	Lion metaphorical expressions in British English when	
	the target domain is the human being21	9
Table 3.11	Lion metaphorical expressions in British English when	
	the target domain is non-human beings22	9
Table 3.12	Evaluation of the seven main non-human target	
	domains in British English23	7
Table 3.13	Lion conceptual metaphors for human beings in	
	Mandarin Chinese and British English24	9
Table 3.14	Lion metaphorical expressions in Mandarin Chinese	
	and British English when the target domain is	
	non-human beings	3
Table 3.15	Lion metaphorical expressions for the four shared target	
	domains in Mandarin Chinese and British English26	4
Table 3.16	Lion conceptual metaphors for non-human beings in	
	Mandarin Chinese and British English26	9
Table 3.17	Categories of the main target domains in Mandarin	
	Chinese and British English27	8

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	LOVE IS A JOURNEY	20
Figure 1.2	The Basic Integration Network	35
Figure 1.3	Dashe da qicun, qinzei xian qinwang/打蛇打七寸,擒贼先擒王	41
Figure 1.4	Dashe da qicun/打蛇打七寸	42
Figure 1.5	Dashe da qicun,qinzei xian qinwang/打蛇打七寸, 贼先擒王	禽 43
Figure 1.6	Dashe da qicun/打蛇打七寸	44
Figure 1.7	Shizi da kaikou/狮子大开口	46
Figure 4.1	The Revised Basic Integration Network	289
Figure App	endix B Research Design	308

INTRODUCTION

This chapter begins by emphasizing the importance of metaphor to human beings' thought, and then it highlights the lack of studies on animal metaphors, particularly the metaphors concerned with animals in Mandarin Chinese and British English cross linguistically. In order to address this lack, this study targets three objectives to locate the similarities and differences between Mandarin Chinese and British English animal metaphors by focusing on snake and lion metaphorical expressions. Next, the objectives and research questions formulated for the study are put forward. Subsequently, the major findings derived from this study are mentioned briefly. This is followed by the outline of this book.

The importance of the metaphor

Cognitive Semantics is one of the two important parts in Cognitive Linguistics (Evans V et al. 2006: 4). It represents "an approach to the study of mind and its relationship with embodied experience and culture" (Evans V et al. 2006: 153) and it employs language as the main methodological tool of doing research on conceptual organization and structure.

As an important section of cognitive semantics, metaphor fails to receive just treatment neither from most people nor from the non-cognitive linguists. The former regard it as a device of poetic imagination and rhetorical flourish on the one hand and a feature of

language on the other, which result in their belief that they can handle things without paying heed to metaphor $^{\odot}$. The latter only regards it as one figure of speech which is only an ornamental device used in rhetorical style (Lee D 2001: 6, Ungerer F et al. 1996: 115).

However, many cognitive linguists have realized the importance of metaphor, and hold a different point of view from most people or the non-cognitive linguists. For example, Lakoff and Johnson (1980: 3) believe that metaphor is ubiquitous in human beings' daily life not only in language, but also in thought and action, which means human beings' ordinary conceptual system is fundamentally metaphorical in nature and one way to seek this out is by looking at language where conceptual metaphor is pervasive in both thought and language (Lakoff G et al. 1999: 45). In other words, metaphor is an integral part of everyday language and thought (Turner M 1991, Sweetser E 1990). Johnson (1987: 15) also claims that a metaphor is not just a linguistic expression used for artistic or rhetorical purposes but it is a process of human understanding by which people obtain meaningful experience that they can understand. That means metaphor may be regarded as "an essential tool in language, thought, and communication" (Steen G 2008: 214). Furthermore, cognitive linguists also agree that the pervasiveness of metaphor lies in its grounding in the embodied experience of human beings.

The lives of humans are intimately connected to animals. Since humans have embodied mind, and their understanding of the world as well as their experience in the world interact, whatever concepts they have are grounded in the experience and the culture they are involved and immersed in. In the same vein, out of their intimate connection with animals, all of these will be reflected in their daily life.

① Metaphor: It refers to the conceptual mapping, which is easily confused with "metaphorical expression" that refers to an individual linguistic expression that is sanctioned by a mapping (Lakoff, 2006: 192).

Therefore, people might assume that there are a lot of metaphors that can be generated from people's understanding about animals. However, studies on animal expressions are relatively few (Hsieh S C Y 2006, 2004) and studies on animal metaphors across cultures are not extensive enough (Talebinejad M R et al. 2005). The number of studies is in fact not in line with the status occupied by animals in humans' hearts and minds. Therefore, this state of affairs leaves a lot of room for continued research in this particular area of metaphors.

In addition, Kövecses (2010: 103) states that there are two trends in researching metaphors. One trend focuses on metaphor universality which is explainable with embodiment. The other trend focuses on metaphor variation which can be explained with context. In addition, he also distinguishes two different methods of metaphor research (Kövecses Z 2005: 32). One is cognitively-oriented which adopts self-made data for analysis, and the other is language-use-oriented which adopts corpus as data source. In other words, the cognitively-oriented method uses de-contextualized data to research on metaphor, while the language-use-oriented method uses contextualized data to do the research.

Nicaise (2010: 65) noticed that most research studies adopted the cognitively-oriented method on metaphor research. The demerits of adopting such method on metaphor research are obvious. First, the conclusion derived on the basis of self-made or de-contextualized data is up to the readers' decision on whether the examples made by the researchers are plausible or not (Brandt L et al. 2005: 220). Second, analyzing a metaphor based on de-contextualized data cannot guarantee the full exploration of the metaphor's meaning (Coulson S et al. 2005: 1522). Therefore, many scholars advocate the use of contextualized data in research and emphasize the importance of context in metaphor understanding (Brandt L et al. 2005: 219, Littlemore J 2003: 283, Brandt L et al. 2002: 69).