

Essential Classics of World Literature

BEST STORIES OF EDGAR ALLAN POE

Edgar Allan Poe



全国百佳出版社
中央编译出版社
Central Compilation & Translation Press

Edgar Allan Poe

BEST STORIES OF
EDGAR ALLAN POE



全国百佳出版社
中央编译出版社
Central Compilation & Translation Press

Edgar Allan Poe
A Selection of Edgar Allan Poe's Stories and Poems

New York: Random House, 1988

图书在版编目(CIP)数据

爱伦·坡短篇小说集:英文/(美)爱伦·坡
(Poe,E.A.)著.—北京:中央编译出版社,2012.3
ISBN 978-7-5117-1317-9


I. ①爱… II. ①爱… III. ①英语—语言文教②短篇
小说—小说集—美国—现代 IV. ①H319.4:I

中国版本图书馆CIP数据核字(2012)第006483号


爱伦·坡短篇小说集(英文版)

出版人	和 龑
责任编辑	韩慧强
责任印制	尹 珺
出版发行	中央编译出版社
地 址	北京西城区车公庄大街乙5号鸿儒大厦B座(100044)
电 话	(010) 52612345(总编室) (010) 52612363(编辑室) (010) 66130345(发行部) (010) 52612332(网络销售部) (010) 66161011(团购部) (010) 66509618(读者服务部)
网 址	www.cctpbook.com
经 销	全国新华书店
印 刷	北京瑞哲印刷厂
开 本	880×1230毫米 1/32
字 数	308千字
印 张	10.25
版 次	2012年5月第1版第1次印刷
定 价	28.00元


本社常年法律顾问:北京大成律师事务所首席顾问律师鲁哈达
凡有印装质量问题,本社负责调换。电话:010-66509618



Drawing of Edgar Allan Poe
By Aubrey Beardsley




Black Cat—Illustrations of Short Stories by Edgar Allan Poe
By Aubrey Beardsley



The Cask of Amontillado—Illustration for Edgar Allan Poe's Story
By Harry Clarke



Ligeia—Illustration for *Edgar Allan Poe's Story*
By Harry Clarke



The Premature Burial—Illustration for Edgar Allan Poe's Story
By Harry Clarke



Descent into the Maelstrom—Illustration for *Edgar Allan Poe's Story*
By Harry Clarke



The Pit and the Pendulum—Illustration for *Edgar Allan Poe's Story*
By Harry Clarke



The Man of the Crowd—Illustration for *Edgar Allan Poe's Story*
By Harry Clarke



TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
THE MURDERS IN THE RUE MORGUE	1
THE MYSTERY OF MARIE ROGÊT	47
THE GOLD-BUG	113
THE BLACK CAT	160
THE FALL OF THE HOUSE OF USHER	173
SILENCE—A FABLE	198
THE MASQUE OF THE RED DEATH	203
THE CASK OF AMONTILLADO	212
THE IMP OF THE PERVERSE	221
THE ISLAND OF THE FAY	229
LANDOR'S COTTAGE	236
WILLIAM WILSON	252
THE TELL-TALE HEART	279
BERENICE	286



THE MURDERS IN THE RUE MORGUE

*What song the Syrens sang, or what name Achilles assumed
when he hid himself among women, although puzzling
questions, are not beyond all conjecture.*

—Sir Thomas Browne

THE MENTAL features discoursed of as the analytical, are, in themselves, but little susceptible of analysis. We appreciate them only in their effects. We know of them, among other things, that they are always to their possessor, when inordinately possessed, a source of the liveliest enjoyment. As the strong man exults in his physical ability, delighting in such exercises as call his muscles into action, so glories the analyst in that moral activity which disentangles. He derives pleasure from even the most trivial occupations bringing his talent into play. He is fond of enigmas, of conundrums, of hieroglyphics; exhibiting in his solutions of each a degree of acumen which appears to the ordinary apprehension præternatural. His results, brought about by the very soul and essence of method, have, in truth, the whole air of intuition.

The faculty of resolution is possibly much invigorated by mathematical study, and especially by that highest branch of it which, unjustly, and merely on account of its retrograde operations, has been called, as if par excellence, analysis. Yet to calculate is not in itself to analyse. A chess-player, for



example, does the one without effort at the other. It follows that the game of chess, in its effects upon mental character, is greatly misunderstood. I am not now writing a treatise, but simply prefacing a somewhat peculiar narrative by observations very much at random; I will, therefore, take occasion to assert that the higher powers of the reflective intellect are more decidedly and more usefully tasked by the unostentatious game of draughts than by the elaborate frivolity of chess. In this latter, where the pieces have different and bizarre motions, with various and variable values, what is only complex is mistaken (a not unusual error) for what is profound. The attention is here called powerfully into play. If it flag for an instant, an oversight is committed resulting in injury or defeat. The possible moves being not only manifold but involute, the chances of such oversights are multiplied; and in nine cases out of ten it is the more concentrative rather than the more acute player who conquers. In draughts, on the contrary, where the moves are unique and have but little variation, the probabilities of inadvertence are diminished, and the mere attention being left comparatively unemployed, what advantages are obtained by either party are obtained by superior acumen. To be less abstract—let us suppose a game of draughts where the pieces are reduced to four kings, and where, of course, no oversight is to be expected. It is obvious that here the victory can be decided (the players being at all equal) only by some *recherché* movement, the result of some strong exertion of the intellect. Deprived of ordinary resources, the analyst throws himself into the spirit of his opponent, identifies himself therewith, and not unfrequently sees thus, at a glance, the sole methods (sometime indeed absurdly



simple ones) by which he may seduce into error or hurry into miscalculation.

Whist has long been noted for its influence upon what is termed the calculating power; and men of the highest order of intellect have been known to take an apparently unaccountable delight in it, while eschewing chess as frivolous. Beyond doubt there is nothing of a similar nature so greatly taxing the faculty of analysis. The best chess-player in Christendom may be little more than the best player of chess; but proficiency in whist implies capacity for success in all those more important undertakings where mind struggles with mind. When I say proficiency, I mean that perfection in the game which includes a comprehension of all the sources whence legitimate advantage may be derived. These are not only manifold but multiform, and lie frequently among recesses of thought altogether inaccessible to the ordinary understanding. To observe attentively is to remember distinctly; and, so far, the concentrative chess-player will do very well at whist; while the rules of Hoyle (themselves based upon the mere mechanism of the game) are sufficiently and generally comprehensible. Thus to have a retentive memory, and to proceed by "the book," are points commonly regarded as the sum total of good playing. But it is in matters beyond the limits of mere rule that the skill of the analyst is evinced. He makes, in silence, a host of observations and inferences. So, perhaps, do his companions; and the difference in the extent of the information obtained, lies not so much in the validity of the inference as in the quality of the observation. The necessary knowledge is that of what to observe. Our player confines himself not at all; nor, because the game is the object, does he reject deductions from things



external to the game. He examines the countenance of his partner, comparing it carefully with that of each of his opponents. He considers the mode of assorting the cards in each hand; often counting trump by trump, and honor by honor, through the glances bestowed by their holders upon each. He notes every variation of face as the play progresses, gathering a fund of thought from the differences in the expression of certainty, of surprise, of triumph, or of chagrin. From the manner of gathering up a trick he judges whether the person taking it can make another in the suit. He recognises what is played through feint, by the air with which it is thrown upon the table. A casual or inadvertent word; the accidental dropping or turning of a card, with the accompanying anxiety or carelessness in regard to its concealment; the counting of the tricks, with the order of their arrangement; embarrassment, hesitation, eagerness or trepidation—all afford, to his apparently intuitive perception, indications of the true state of affairs. The first two or three rounds having been played, he is in full possession of the contents of each hand, and thenceforward puts down his cards with as absolute a precision of purpose as if the rest of the party had turned outward the faces of their own.

The analytical power should not be confounded with ample ingenuity; for while the analyst is necessarily ingenious, the ingenious man is often remarkably incapable of analysis. The constructive or combining power, by which ingenuity is usually manifested, and to which the phrenologists (I believe erroneously) have assigned a separate organ, supposing it a primitive faculty, has been so frequently seen in those whose intellect bordered otherwise upon idiocy, as to have attracted general observation among



writers on morals. Between ingenuity and the analytic ability there exists a difference far greater, indeed, than that between the fancy and the imagination, but of a character very strictly analogous. It will be found, in fact, that the ingenious are always fanciful, and the truly imaginative never otherwise than analytic.

The narrative which follows will appear to the reader somewhat in the light of a commentary upon the propositions just advanced.

Residing in Paris during the spring and part of the summer of 18—, I there became acquainted with a Monsieur C. Auguste Dupin. This young gentleman was of an excellent—indeed of an illustrious family, but, by a variety of untoward events, had been reduced to such poverty that the energy of his character succumbed beneath it, and he ceased to bestir himself in the world, or to care for the retrieval of his fortunes. By courtesy of his creditors, there still remained in his possession a small remnant of his patrimony; and, upon the income arising from this, he managed, by means of a rigorous economy, to procure the necessities of life, without troubling himself about its superfluities. Books, indeed, were his sole luxuries, and in Paris these are easily obtained.

Our first meeting was at an obscure library in the Rue Montmartre, where the accident of our both being in search of the same very rare and very remarkable volume, brought us into closer communion. We saw each other again and again. I was deeply interested in the little family history which he detailed to me with all that candor which a Frenchman indulges whenever mere self is his theme. I was astonished, too, at the vast extent of his reading; and, above all, I felt my soul enkindled within me by the wild fervor,