

Edwin Hewitt

Kenneth A. Ross

Volume 152

Grundlehren  
der mathematischen  
Wissenschaften

A Series of  
Comprehensive Studies  
in Mathematics

# Abstract Harmonic Analysis II

抽象调和分析 第2卷

Springer

世界图书出版公司  
[www.wpcbj.com.cn](http://www.wpcbj.com.cn)

Edwin Hewitt    Kenneth A. Ross

# Abstract Harmonic Analysis

Volume II

Structure and Analysis for Compact Groups  
Analysis on Locally Compact Abelian Groups



Springer-Verlag

Berlin Heidelberg New York

London Paris Tokyo

Hong Kong Barcelona

Budapest

## 图书在版编目 (CIP) 数据

抽象调和分析. 第2卷 = Abstract harmonic analysis II: 英文/(美) 休伊特 (Hewitt, E.) 著. —影印本. —北京: 世界图书出版公司北京公司, 2013. 10  
ISBN 978-7-5100-7034-1

I. ①抽… II. ①休… III. ①抽象调和分析—英文 IV. ①O177.5

中国版本图书馆 CIP 数据核字 (2013) 第 249374 号

---

书 名: Abstract Harmonic Analysis II  
作 者: Edwin Hewitt, Kenneth A. Ross  
中 译 名: 抽象调和分析 第2卷  
责任编辑: 高蓉 刘慧

---

出 版 者: 世界图书出版公司北京公司  
印 刷 者: 三河市国英印务有限公司  
发 行 者: 世界图书出版公司北京公司 (北京朝内大街 137 号 100010)  
联系电话: 010-64021602, 010-64015659  
电子信箱: kjb@wpcbj.com.cn

---

开 本: 24 开  
印 张: 33  
版 次: 2014 年 03 月  
版权登记: 图字: 01-2013-2916

---

书 号: 978-7-5100-7034-1 定 价: 129.00 元

---

Edwin Hewitt  
Department of Mathematics GN-50  
University of Washington  
Seattle, WA 98195, USA

Kenneth A. Ross  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Oregon  
Eugene, OR 97403, USA

ISBN 3-540-58318-1 Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York  
ISBN 0-387-58318-1 Springer-Verlag New York Berlin Heidelberg

ISBN 3-540-04832-4 Hardcover Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York  
ISBN 0-387-04832-4 Hardcover Springer-Verlag New York Berlin Heidelberg

CIP data applied for

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilm or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer-Verlag. Violations are liable for prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

Reprint from English language edition:

Abstract Harmonic Analysis II

by Edwin Hewitt, Kenneth A. Ross

Copyright © 1970, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg

Springer-Verlag is a part of Springer Science+Business Media

All Rights Reserved

This reprint has been authorized by Springer Science & Business Media for distribution in China Mainland only and not for export therefrom.

*Dem Andenken  
John von Neumanns  
gewidmet*

## Preface

This book is a continuation of Volume I of the same title [Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 115]. We constantly cite definitions and results from Volume I.<sup>1</sup> The textbook *Real and abstract analysis* by E. HEWITT and K. R. STROMBERG [Berlin · Göttingen · Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag 1965], which appeared between the publication of the two volumes of this work, contains many standard facts from analysis. We use this book as a convenient reference for such facts, and denote it in the text by RAAA. Most readers will have only occasional need actually to read in RAAA.

Our goal in this volume is to present the most important parts of harmonic analysis on compact groups and on locally compact Abelian groups. We deal with general locally compact groups only where they are the natural setting for what we are considering, or where one or another group provides a useful counterexample. Readers who are interested only in compact groups may read as follows: § 27, Appendix D, §§ 28–30 [omitting subheads (30.6)–(30.60) if desired], (31.22)–(31.25), §§ 32, 34–38, 44. Readers who are interested only in locally compact Abelian groups may read as follows: §§ 31–33, 39–42, selected Miscellaneous Theorems and Examples in §§ 34–38. For all readers, § 43 is interesting but optional.

Obviously we have not been able to cover all of harmonic analysis. The field, already immense, is growing rapidly at the present day. We were limited by space, by time, by our own abilities. We have presented the parts of the subject that every harmonic analyst must know: representations of compact groups; the WEYL-PETER theorem; PLANCHEREL'S theorem; WIENER'S Tauberian theorem. Beyond this, we have been guided largely by personal inclination. As the writing progressed, one question led naturally to another.

We have omitted special topics that are not needed for our main goals and that are treated in other monographs: RUDIN [10]; R. E. EDWARDS [7], [10]; KATZNELSON [3]; KAHANE and SALEM [3]; MAURIN [1]. We regret not having presented any Lie theory beyond the rudimentary facts set down in § 29. Plainly a detailed description of the continuous unitary irreducible representations of the classical compact

---

<sup>1</sup> An exception is the Bibliography: every work cited in Vol. II is listed at the end of Vol. II.

groups, going beyond *e.g.* BOERNER [1], and of the decompositions of their tensor products, would be of immense value for noncommutative harmonic analysis. The time seems not yet ripe for such an enterprise. A larger omission is our failure to study the algebra  $M(G)$  [ $G$  a compact or locally compact Abelian group]. This algebra presents many riddles, but enough is known for a full-scale treatment to be appropriate.

We could not have written this book without help. We are deeply grateful for the generous assistance offered by our friends. Valuable advice has been received from ROBERT B. BURCKEL, CLIFFORD V. COMISKY, RAOUF DOSS, ROBERT E. EDWARDS, LEE W. ERLEBACH, J. M. G. FELL, FRANZ VON KRBEK, A. JEANNE LADUKE, HORST LEPTIN, GEORGE W. MACKEY, JOHN R. McMULLEN, WILLARD A. PARKER, RICHARD S. PIERCE, ROGER W. RICHARDSON, KARL R. STROMBERG, THOMAS A. SWANSON, EUGENE P. WIGNER, and JOHN H. WILLIAMSON. Significant contributions to the final form of the monograph were made by RICHARD ILTIS, BARRY E. JOHNSON, and DANIEL RIDER. Our special thanks are due to HERBERT S. ZUCKERMAN, who has helped us far more than anyone else.

We are grateful for support from the National Science Foundation USA and the Alfred P. Sloan Jr. Foundation, and to the Universities of Oregon and Washington for secretarial assistance and for release from other duties. We are grateful to Springer-Verlag for their rapid and meticulous production of the book.

Seattle, Washington

Eugene, Oregon

August 1969

EDWIN HEWITT

KENNETH A. ROSS

## Table of Contents

Preface . . . . .	VII
Chapter Seven: Representations and duality of compact groups . . . . .	1
Section 27. Unitary representations of compact groups . . . . .	1
Section 28. More about representations of compact groups . . . . .	60
Section 29. Miscellaneous facts about representations . . . . .	115
Section 30. The TANNAKA-KREĪN duality theorem . . . . .	156
Chapter Eight: Fourier transforms . . . . .	209
Section 31. $\mathcal{L}_2$ and $\mathcal{L}_p$ transforms . . . . .	209
Section 32. Positive-definite functions and factorization theorems . . . . .	253
Section 33. BOCHNER's theorem . . . . .	291
Chapter Nine: Analysis on compact groups . . . . .	328
Section 34. Absolutely convergent Fourier series on compact groups . . . . .	328
Section 35. Multipliers over compact groups . . . . .	367
Section 36. More on multipliers over compact groups . . . . .	390
Section 37. Lacunarity for compact groups . . . . .	415
Section 38. Ideal theory for certain convolution algebras on compact groups . . . . .	449
Chapter Ten: Spectral synthesis . . . . .	484
Section 39. Ideals in regular commutative Banach algebras . . . . .	484
Section 40. Preliminaries on spectral sets . . . . .	522
Section 41. Some special sets . . . . .	552
Section 42. The failure of spectral synthesis in $\mathcal{L}_1(G)$ . . . . .	576
Chapter Eleven: Miscellany . . . . .	606
Section 43. $\mathcal{L}_p$ transforms and maximal functions . . . . .	606
Section 44. Pointwise summability for Fourier transforms . . . . .	631
Appendix D: Tensor products and von Neumann norms . . . . .	680
Appendix E: Miscellaneous facts from functional analysis . . . . .	710
Addendum to Volume I . . . . .	726
Bibliography . . . . .	729
Index of symbols . . . . .	752
Index of authors and terms . . . . .	756



## Chapter Seven

### Representations and duality of compact groups

In this chapter, we attempt to do for compact [non-Abelian] groups what we did in Chapter Six for locally compact Abelian groups. The task is a formidable one, and as the reader will see we do not give nearly so much detail about compact groups as we did for locally compact Abelian groups. A basic tool in our study is the WEYL-PETER theorem (27.40), which is the central object of § 27. In § 28, we apply representations of compact groups in several directions and also explore some interesting byways. In § 29, we study the unitary groups and present all the unitary representations of  $U(2)$  and  $O(3)$ . Duality for compact groups is taken up in § 30.

#### § 27. Unitary representations of compact groups

Like characters of a locally compact Abelian group, continuous unitary irreducible representations of a compact group<sup>1</sup> are the essential tool both for analyzing the structure of the group and for studying spaces and algebras of functions and measures defined on the group. In this section we prove a number of important facts about these representations. As usual, we begin with some definitions.

**(27.1) Definition.** Let  $S$  be a semigroup [not necessarily topological]. For a reflexive complex Banach space  $E$ ,  $E^\sim$  denotes the linear space of all bounded conjugate-linear functionals on  $E$  as defined in (22.1). Let  $E$  and  $E'$  be reflexive complex Banach spaces, and let  $V$  and  $V'$  be representations of  $S$  by bounded operators on  $E^\sim$  and  $E'^\sim$  respectively. A bounded linear transformation  $T$  carrying  $E^\sim$  into  $E'^\sim$  is called an *intertwining transformation* if

$$(i) \quad V'_x T = T V_x$$

for all  $x \in S$ . The set of all intertwining transformations for  $V$  and  $V'$  is denoted by the symbol  $\mathfrak{I}(V, V')$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathfrak{I}(V, V')$  is a

---

<sup>1</sup> Throughout this volume, we use the term "compact group" to mean exactly what the term says: a compact topological group that may or may not be Abelian. However, we will always have our eye on the non-Abelian case. From this point of view, compact Abelian groups are especially simple examples of compact groups.

closed linear subspace of the Banach space  $\mathfrak{B}(E^\sim, E'^\sim)$  consisting of all bounded linear transformations of  $E^\sim$  into  $E'^\sim$ .<sup>1</sup>

If  $\mathfrak{S}(V, V')$  contains a linear isometry (B.42) of  $E^\sim$  onto  $E'^\sim$ , then  $V$  and  $V'$  are called *equivalent representations of  $S$*  and we write  $V \sim V'$  [this definition is consistent with that of (21.8)].

**(27.2) Note.** If  $V$  and  $V'$  are equivalent representations of  $G$  by unitary operators on Hilbert spaces  $H$  and  $H'$ , and if  $T$  is an isometry in  $\mathfrak{S}(V, V')$ , then  $T$  also preserves inner products:  $\langle T\xi, T\eta \rangle = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle$  for all  $\xi, \eta \in H$ . This is pointed out in (B.43).

**(27.3) Definition.** Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. Let  $\mathcal{U}(G)$  be the set of all continuous irreducible unitary representations  $U$  of  $G$ .<sup>2</sup> Equivalence as defined in (27.1) is an equivalence relation in  $\mathcal{U}(G)$ , and it defines in the usual way the set of all equivalence classes of representations  $U$ . We denote this set by the symbol  $\Sigma$  and refer to it as the *dual object of  $G$* . Thus each  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  is a set of representations of  $G$  and consists of all representations that are equivalent to some fixed representation. We frequently write  $U^{(\sigma)}$  for a representation in the set  $\sigma$ .

**(27.4) Remarks.** (a) If  $G$  is locally compact and *Abelian*, then  $\Sigma$  is to be identified with the character group  $X$  of  $G$ , just as in (22.16.b). Two continuous characters of  $G$  are equivalent as representations if and only if they are equal. Thus each  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  contains exactly one element. The algebras  $M(G)$  and  $\mathfrak{L}_1(G)$ , and the function spaces  $\mathfrak{L}_p(G)$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ), are studied largely in terms of the behavior of Fourier-Stieltjes and Fourier transforms [see (23.9)], i.e., in terms of their "decompositions" with respect to irreducible representations of  $G$ .

(b) The present chapter is concerned with compact, not necessarily Abelian, groups, for which the situation is in some respects more complicated but in most respects simpler than for noncompact locally compact Abelian groups. Complications arise because not all representations in  $\mathcal{U}(G)$  are 1-dimensional if  $G$  is non-Abelian. However all representations

<sup>1</sup> For  $T_1, T_2 \in \mathfrak{B}(E^\sim, E'^\sim)$ , and  $\omega \in E^\sim$ , we define  $(T_1 + T_2)(\omega) = T_1(\omega) + T_2(\omega)$ ;  $\alpha T$  is defined analogously for  $\alpha \in K$ . The norm  $\|T\|$  is defined in (B.8). With these definitions,  $\mathfrak{B}(E^\sim, E'^\sim)$  is evidently a complex Banach space.

<sup>2</sup> There is a possible cardinal number paradox involved here, which we elude as follows. For a fixed group  $G$ , there is an upper bound  $m$  for the cardinal numbers of the Hilbert spaces on which  $G$  can act irreducibly. There is obviously a set  $\mathcal{H}$  of Hilbert spaces containing a Hilbert space of each cardinal  $\leq m$ , and closed under the formation of subspaces, finite direct sums (B.61) and tensor products (D.14). Limiting ourselves to representations of  $G$  by operators on spaces  $H$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ , we plainly obtain a set  $\mathcal{U}(G)$ . The exact details are of little interest for the purposes of the present book.

in  $\mathcal{U}(G)$  are *finite-dimensional* if  $G$  is compact (22.13)<sup>1</sup>, and so elementary algebra can be applied to their study. The end result is a nearly complete structure theory for subalgebras of  $M_a(G)$  for a compact group  $G$ . We will point out at appropriate places the exact rôle played by compactness in this theory.

(c) We use the symbol  $\Sigma$  in the non-Abelian case instead of  $X$  to emphasize that we are dealing with an object that is not a group. For compact non-Abelian groups  $G$ ,  $\Sigma$  admits two operations of an algebraic character, which are very useful in studying  $G$ . However,  $\Sigma$  does not form a group under these operations; see (27.51). The first of these operations is defined in (27.27), the second in (27.35).

**(27.5) Notation and remarks.** (a) For a compact group  $G$  and a fixed  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , it is obvious that all  $U^{(\sigma)} \in \sigma$  operate on Hilbert spaces of the same finite dimension. We reserve the symbol  $d_\sigma$  for the dimension of these representation spaces. Thus  $d_\sigma$  is a positive integer.

(b) Like every semigroup, a compact group  $G$  has a trivial 1-dimensional representation, namely the character identically equal to 1. We call this the *identity representation* of  $G$ , and we denote it by the symbol 1. Like all 1-dimensional representations of a semigroup, 1 is the only representation of  $G$  in its equivalence class.

(c) Let  $\sigma$  be any element of  $\Sigma$ , let  $U^{(\sigma)}$  be in  $\sigma$ , and let  $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{d_\sigma}\}$  be a fixed but arbitrary orthonormal basis in the representation space  $H_\sigma$  of  $U^{(\sigma)}$ . For  $j, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, d_\sigma\}$ , let  $u_{jk}^{(\sigma)}$  be the function on  $G$  defined by

$$u_{jk}^{(\sigma)}(x) = \langle U_x^{(\sigma)} \xi_k, \xi_j \rangle$$

for  $x \in G$ . The functions  $u_{jk}^{(\sigma)}$  are called the *coordinate functions* for  $U^{(\sigma)} \in \sigma$  and the basis  $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{d_\sigma}\}$ . Note that  $(u_{jk}^{(\sigma)}(x))_{j,k=1}^{d_\sigma}$  is the matrix of the operator  $U_x^{(\sigma)}$  in this basis.

(d) Let  $V$  be an arbitrary finite-dimensional representation of an arbitrary semigroup  $S$ , with representation space  $H$ . Let  $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_d\}$  be any basis in  $H$ . Write

$$(i) \quad V_x \xi_k = \sum_{r=1}^d v_{rk}(x) \xi_r;$$

thus  $(v_{jk}(x))_{j,k=1}^d$  is the matrix corresponding to the operator  $V_x$  in the basis  $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_d\}$ . Since  $V_{xy} = V_x V_y$  for all  $x, y \in S$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (ii) \quad \sum_{r=1}^d v_{rk}(xy) \xi_r &= V_x(V_y \xi_k) = \sum_{r=1}^d v_{rk}(y) V_x \xi_r \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^d \sum_{s=1}^d v_{rk}(y) v_{sr}(x) \xi_s = \sum_{s=1}^d \left( \sum_{r=1}^d v_{sr}(x) v_{rk}(y) \right) \xi_s. \end{aligned}$$

<sup>1</sup> The requirement that  $U$  in  $\mathcal{U}(G)$  be unitary amounts merely to imposing a certain inner product on the representation space of  $U$ . In fact, let  $V$  be any continuous representation of  $G$  by operators on the linear space  $K^d$ . Then (22.23.a) implies that  $V$  is unitary and continuous under an appropriate inner product on  $K^d$ .

From (ii), we infer the evident fact that

$$(iii) \quad v_{jk}(xy) = \sum_{r=1}^d v_{jr}(x) v_{rk}(y).$$

That is, the mapping  $x \rightarrow (v_{jk}(x))_{j,k=1}^d$  is a representation of the semi-group  $S$  by matrices.

(e) Retaining the notation of (d), suppose that  $S$  is a group and that the operators  $V_x$  are unitary. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle V_{x^{-1}} \xi_k, \xi_j \rangle &= \langle V_x V_{x^{-1}} \xi_k, V_x \xi_j \rangle \\ &= \langle \xi_k, V_x \xi_j \rangle \\ &= \overline{\langle V_x \xi_j, \xi_k \rangle}, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$(iv) \quad v_{jk}(x^{-1}) = \overline{v_{kj}(x)}.$$

In view of (iii), (iv) simply asserts that  $(v_{jk}(x))_{j,k=1}^d$  is a unitary matrix. Both (iii) and (iv) will be useful in the sequel, in dealing with coordinate functions  $u_{jk}^{(\sigma)}$ .

(f) Let  $G$  be a compact group and let  $V$  be any continuous unitary representation of  $G$ , with representation space  $H$ . For every pair of vectors  $\xi, \eta \in H$ , the function  $x \rightarrow \langle V_x \xi, \eta \rangle$  is continuous on  $G$  (22.2). Hence this function is in  $\mathfrak{L}_1(G)$  [recall that  $\lambda(G) = 1$ ]. Whenever it is convenient to do so, we will regard  $\mathfrak{L}_1(G)$  as a subset of  $M(G)$ , as in (19.18). Thus functions  $x \rightarrow \langle V_x \xi, \eta \rangle$  are associated with measures in  $M(G)$ , may be convolved with each other and with arbitrary measures in  $M(G)$ , and so on.

Throughout (27.6)–(27.42) and (27.44)–(27.46),  $G$  will denote a fixed but arbitrary compact group, and  $\Sigma$  will denote the dual object of  $G$ .

**(27.6) Discussion.** Let  $U^{(1)}$  and  $U^{(2)}$  be equivalent representations in  $\mathscr{U}(G)$ , with representation spaces  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ . Let  $A$  be a linear isometry of  $H_1$  onto  $H_2$  that is intertwining for  $U^{(1)}$  and  $U^{(2)}$ . For arbitrary  $\xi_1, \eta_1 \in H_1$  and  $x \in G$ , we have

$$\langle U_x^{(1)} \xi_1, \eta_1 \rangle = \langle A U_x^{(1)} \xi_1, A \eta_1 \rangle = \langle U_x^{(2)} A \xi_1, A \eta_1 \rangle.$$

This shows that every function on  $G$  having the form

$$x \rightarrow \langle U_x^{(1)} \xi_1, \eta_1 \rangle, \quad (1)$$

where  $\xi_1, \eta_1 \in H_1$ , also has the form

$$x \rightarrow \langle U_x^{(2)} \xi_2, \eta_2 \rangle, \quad (2)$$

where  $\xi_2, \eta_2 \in H_2$ . Similarly, every function of the form (2) also has the form (1). Thus the family of functions having the form (1) depends only

upon the equivalence class to which  $U^{(1)}$  belongs. This observation shows that the next definition is a proper one.

(27.7) **Definition.** For  $\sigma$  in  $\Sigma$ , we define  $\mathfrak{X}_\sigma(G)$  as follows. For  $U^{(\sigma)}$  in  $\sigma$ , with representation space  $H_\sigma$ ,  $\mathfrak{X}_\sigma(G)$  is the set of all finite complex linear combinations of functions of the form

$$x \rightarrow \langle U_x^{(\sigma)} \xi, \eta \rangle$$

as  $\xi, \eta$  vary over  $H_\sigma$ . For a subset  $P$  of  $\Sigma$ , we write  $\mathfrak{X}_P(G)$  for the smallest linear space of functions containing  $\bigcup_{\sigma \in P} \mathfrak{X}_\sigma(G)$ . We write  $\mathfrak{X}_\Sigma(G)$  simply as  $\mathfrak{X}(G)$ . Functions in  $\mathfrak{X}(G)$  are called *trigonometric polynomials* [on  $G$ ].

Note that  $\mathfrak{X}(G) \subset \mathfrak{C}(G)$ , since the representations  $U^{(\sigma)}$  are continuous.

The term "trigonometric polynomial" is justified by the special case  $G = T$ . Here the functions  $x \rightarrow \langle U_x \xi, \eta \rangle$  all have the form  $\exp(it) \rightarrow \alpha \exp(int)$  (23.27.a), and  $\mathfrak{X}(T)$  consists of all functions  $\sum_{k=-n}^n \alpha_k \exp(ik t)$ , i.e., of all trigonometric polynomials in the ordinary sense. Note also that if  $G$  is Abelian, then  $\mathfrak{X}(G)$  is the set of all linear combinations of continuous characters of  $G$ . Functions in  $\mathfrak{X}(G)$  are also called *representative functions* by some writers.

(27.8) **Remarks.** (a) For  $U^{(\sigma)} \in \sigma \in \Sigma$ , let  $\{\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_{d_\sigma}\}$  be an orthonormal basis in the representation space  $H_\sigma$  of  $U^{(\sigma)}$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathfrak{X}_\sigma(G)$  is the smallest linear subspace of  $\mathfrak{C}(G)$  that contains the coordinate functions  $u_{j,h}^{(\sigma)}$  for  $U^{(\sigma)}$  and this basis ( $j, h \in \{1, 2, \dots, d_\sigma\}$ ). Likewise, for  $P \subset \Sigma$ ,  $\mathfrak{X}_P(G)$  is the smallest linear subspace of  $\mathfrak{C}(G)$  that contains all the coordinate functions  $u_{j,h}^{(\sigma)}$  as  $\sigma$  varies over  $P$ .

(b) The function spaces  $\mathfrak{X}_\sigma(G)$  and  $\mathfrak{X}_P(G)$ , where  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  and  $P \subset \Sigma$ , are left and right translation invariant subspaces of  $\mathfrak{C}(G)$ . [It suffices to observe that if  $f$  has the form  $f(x) = \langle U_x \xi, \eta \rangle$ , then  ${}_a f$  and  $f_a$  also have this form. Indeed, we have

$$f_a(x) = \langle U_{xa} \xi, \eta \rangle = \langle U_x (U_a \xi), \eta \rangle$$

and

$${}_a f(x) = \langle U_{ax} \xi, \eta \rangle = \langle U_x \xi, U_{a^{-1}} \eta \rangle.]$$

(c) As pointed out in (23.19), continuous characters of  $G$  form an orthonormal set in  $\mathfrak{L}_2(G)$ . If  $G$  is Abelian,  $\mathfrak{X}(G)$  is uniformly dense in  $\mathfrak{C}(G)$ , as shown in (23.20), and it follows from (12.10) that  $X$  is a *complete* orthonormal set in  $\mathfrak{L}_2(G)$ . Analogous facts hold in the non-Abelian case. The WEYL-PETER theorem [(27.40) *infra*] states that the functions  $d_\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} u_{j,h}^{(\sigma)}$ , as  $\sigma$  varies over all of  $\Sigma$ , form a complete orthonormal set in  $\mathfrak{L}_2(G)$ . As in the Abelian case, the orthogonality relations can be proved without recourse to the fact that  $\mathfrak{X}(G)$  is uniformly dense in  $\mathfrak{C}(G)$ . We will establish these relations before setting up the machinery needed to

prove [in (27.39)] that  $\mathfrak{X}(G)$  is dense in  $\mathfrak{C}(G)$ . We begin with some simple algebraic facts.

**(27.9) Theorem [SCHUR's lemma].** *Let  $F$  be an arbitrary field. Let  $E_j$  be a vector space over  $F$ , and let  $\mathfrak{M}_j$  be an irreducible set of linear operators on  $E_j$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ).<sup>1</sup> Suppose that there is a linear transformation  $A$  carrying  $E_1$  into  $E_2$  such that  $A \circ \mathfrak{M}_1 = \mathfrak{M}_2 \circ A$ . Then either  $A$  is the zero transformation or  $A$  is a one-to-one transformation carrying  $E_1$  onto  $E_2$ .*

**Proof.** Consider the linear subspace  $A(E_1)$  of  $E_2$ . For  $M_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_2$ , choose  $M_1 \in \mathfrak{M}_1$  such that  $A \circ M_1 = M_2 \circ A$ . Then we have

$$M_2(A(E_1)) = M_2 \circ A(E_1) = (A \circ M_1)(E_1) = A(M_1(E_1)) \subset A(E_1). \quad (1)$$

Thus  $A(E_1)$  is a subspace of  $E_2$  that is invariant under all operators in  $\mathfrak{M}_2$ , and since  $\mathfrak{M}_2$  is by hypothesis irreducible, we see that  $A(E_1) = \{0\}$  or  $A(E_1) = E_2$ . The first possibility simply means that  $A = 0$ . If  $A \neq 0$ , then  $A(E_1) = E_2$ . To show that  $A$  is one-to-one, we consider the set  $N$  of  $x_1 \in E_1$  such that  $A(x_1) = 0$ . Clearly  $N$  is a linear subspace of  $E_1$ , and  $N \neq E_1$  since  $A \neq 0$ . For  $M_1 \in \mathfrak{M}_1$ , we have  $A \circ M_1 = M_2 \circ A$  for some  $M_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_2$  and hence

$$A(M_1(N)) = M_2(A(N)) = M_2(\{0\}) = \{0\}.$$

Thus  $M_1(N) \subset N$  and  $N$  is a subspace of  $E_1$  that is invariant under all operators in  $\mathfrak{M}_1$ . Since  $\mathfrak{M}_1$  is irreducible,  $N$  is equal to  $\{0\}$ , and so  $A$  is one-to-one.  $\square$

**(27.10) Corollary.** *Let  $F$  be an algebraically closed field. Let  $E$  be a finite-dimensional vector space over  $F$  and let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be an irreducible set of linear operators on  $E$ . Let  $A$  be a linear operator on  $E$  such that  $AM = MA$  for all  $M \in \mathfrak{M}$ . Then  $A = \alpha I$  for some  $\alpha \in F$ .*

**Proof.** There is an  $\alpha \in F$  such that  $(A - \alpha I)^{-1}$  does not exist. For, consider the function  $x \rightarrow \det(A - xI)$  mapping  $F$  into  $F$ . This is a polynomial in  $x$ , and since  $F$  is algebraically closed it has a root  $\alpha$ . For this  $\alpha$  we have  $\det(A - \alpha I) = 0$  and so  $(A - \alpha I)^{-1}$  does not exist<sup>2</sup>. The operator  $A - \alpha I$  has the property that  $(A - \alpha I)\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}(A - \alpha I)$ . An application of (27.9) shows that  $A - \alpha I = 0$ .  $\square$

We now apply (27.9) and (27.10) to unitary representations of our compact group  $G$ .

**(27.11) Discussion.** Let  $U^{(1)}$  and  $U^{(2)}$  be continuous unitary representations of a compact group  $G$ , not necessarily irreducible or even

<sup>1</sup> By *irreducible* we mean here that no proper linear subspace of  $E_j$  is invariant under all operators in  $\mathfrak{M}_j$ . See (21.26) for the definition if  $E_j$  is a *topological* linear space.

<sup>2</sup> This is a thoroughly elementary fact. See for example BIRKHOFF and MACLANE [1], Chap. X, Theorem 3.

finite-dimensional. Let  $H_j$ , with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_j$  and norm  $\| \cdot \|_j$ , be the representation space of  $U^{(j)}$ . We will always suppose that representation spaces for unitary representations are Hilbert spaces. Let  $B$  be a bounded linear transformation mapping  $H_1$  into or onto  $H_2$ . For  $\xi_j \in H_j$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ), define

$$(i) \quad C_B(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \int_G \langle U_x^{(2)} B U_x^{(1)} \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle_2 dx.$$

Here the integral is with respect to normalized Haar measure  $\lambda$  on  $G$ : recall that  $\lambda(G) = 1$  and that  $\lambda$  is both right and left invariant [(15.9) and (15.13)]. The integrand in (i) is continuous. To see this, write

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle U_x^{(2)} B U_x^{(1)} \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle_2 - \langle U_y^{(2)} B U_y^{(1)} \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle_2| \\ & \leq |\langle B U_x^{(1)} \xi_1, U_x^{(2)} \xi_2 \rangle_2 - \langle B U_y^{(1)} \xi_1, U_y^{(2)} \xi_2 \rangle_2| \\ & \quad + |\langle B U_x^{(1)} \xi_1, U_y^{(2)} \xi_2 \rangle_2 - \langle B U_y^{(1)} \xi_1, U_y^{(2)} \xi_2 \rangle_2| \\ & \leq \|B\| \cdot \|\xi_1\|_1 \cdot \|U_x^{(2)} \xi_2 - U_y^{(2)} \xi_2\|_2 + \|B\| \cdot \|U_x^{(1)} \xi_1 - U_y^{(1)} \xi_1\|_1 \cdot \|\xi_2\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Then apply (22.8), remembering that  $U^{(1)}$  and  $U^{(2)}$  are continuous representations. Hence the integral in (i) exists and is a complex number.

The functional  $(\xi_1, \xi_2) \rightarrow C_B(\xi_1, \xi_2)$  is accordingly defined on  $H_1 \times H_2$ . For fixed  $\xi_1$ , it is bounded, additive, and conjugate-linear as a function of  $\xi_2$ . By (B.45), there is for each fixed  $\xi_1 \in H_1$  an element  $\eta_2 \in H_2$  such that  $C_B(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \langle \eta_2, \xi_2 \rangle_2$  for every  $\xi_2 \in H_2$ . Define the mapping  $A_B$  by  $A_B \xi_1 = \eta_2$ , so that we have

$$(ii) \quad C_B(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \langle A_B \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle_2.$$

It is obvious that  $A_B$  is linear. Also  $A_B$  is bounded and  $\|A_B\| \leq \|B\|$ , since

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_B \xi_1\|_2^2 &= \|\eta_2\|_2^2 = C_B(\xi_1, \eta_2) \leq \max \{ \|B U_x^{(1)} \xi_1\|_2 \|\eta_2\|_2 : x \in G \} \\ &\leq \|B\| \|\xi_1\|_1 \|A_B \xi_1\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

The usefulness of the operators  $A_B$  depends upon the following fact.

**(27.12) Theorem.** *Notation is as in (27.11). The linear transformation  $A_B$  is an intertwining transformation for  $U^{(1)}$  and  $U^{(2)}$ :*

$$(i) \quad A_B U_y^{(1)} = U_y^{(2)} A_B \quad \text{for all } y \in G.$$

**Proof.** This follows at once from the right invariance of  $\lambda$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A_B U_y^{(1)} \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle_2 &= \int_G \langle U_x^{(2)} B U_x^{(1)} U_y^{(1)} \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle_2 dx \\ &= \int_G \langle U_y^{(2)} U_{(xy)^{-1}}^{(2)} B U_{xy}^{(1)} \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle_2 dx = \int_G \langle U_y^{(2)} U_x^{(2)} B U_x^{(1)} \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle_2 dx \\ &= \int_G \langle U_x^{(2)} B U_x^{(1)} \xi_1, U_y^{(2)} \xi_2 \rangle_2 dx = \langle A_B \xi_1, U_y^{(2)} \xi_2 \rangle_2 \\ &= \langle U_y^{(2)} A_B \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\xi_j$  is arbitrary in  $H_j$ , (i) is proved.  $\square$

We need one more preliminary result.

**(27.13) Theorem.** For  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ , let  $U^{(j)}$  be a unitary representation of an arbitrary group  $G$  with representation space  $H_j$ . Suppose that  $U^{(1)}$  is irreducible and that there is a bounded linear space isomorphism  $A$  that carries  $H_1$  onto  $H_2$  and is an intertwining transformation for  $U^{(1)}$  and  $U^{(2)}$ . Then there is a positive real number  $\beta$  such that  $\beta A$  is a linear isometry of  $H_1$  onto  $H_2$ . Thus  $U^{(1)}$  and  $U^{(2)}$  are equivalent in the sense of (27.1).

**Proof.** It is clear that  $U^{(2)}$  is irreducible. Define the adjoint mapping  $A^\sim$  of  $H_2$  onto  $H_1$  through the relation

$$\langle A^\sim \xi_2, \xi_1 \rangle_1 = \langle \xi_2, A \xi_1 \rangle_2 \quad (1)$$

for all  $\xi_j \in H_j$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ). As in (27.11), the right side of (1) is conjugate-linear and continuous in  $\xi_1$  for each fixed  $\xi_2$  and so has the form  $\langle \eta_1, \xi_1 \rangle_1$ ; we take  $A^\sim \xi_2 = \eta_1$ . It is easy to see that  $A^\sim$  is a bounded linear space isomorphism of  $H_2$  onto  $H_1$ .

The transformation  $A^\sim$  is intertwining for  $U^{(2)}$  and  $U^{(1)}$ . In fact:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U_x^{(1)} A^\sim \xi_2, \xi_1 \rangle_1 &= \langle A^\sim \xi_2, U_x^{(1)} \xi_1 \rangle_1 = \langle \xi_2, A U_x^{(1)} \xi_1 \rangle_2 \\ &= \langle \xi_2, U_x^{(2)} A \xi_1 \rangle_2 = \langle U_x^{(2)} \xi_2, A \xi_1 \rangle_2 = \langle A^\sim U_x^{(2)} \xi_2, \xi_1 \rangle_1. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\xi_j$  is arbitrary in  $H_j$ , so that  $A^\sim U_x^{(2)} = U_x^{(1)} A^\sim$  for all  $x \in G$ .

Now we have  $A^\sim A U_x^{(1)} = A^\sim U_x^{(2)} A = U_x^{(1)} A^\sim A$ , i.e.,  $A^\sim A$  commutes with all  $U_x^{(1)}$ . Clearly  $A^\sim A \neq 0$ , and we apply (21.30) to infer that  $A^\sim A = \alpha I$  for some nonzero complex number  $\alpha$ . For  $\xi_1, \eta_1 \in H_1$ , the foregoing yields

$$\alpha \langle \xi_1, \eta_1 \rangle_1 = \langle A^\sim A \xi_1, \eta_1 \rangle_1 = \langle A \xi_1, A \eta_1 \rangle_2. \quad (1)$$

Let  $\eta_1 = \xi_1 \neq 0$  in (1); this implies that  $\alpha$  is real and positive. Let  $\beta = \alpha^{-1/2}$ ; then (1) shows that  $\beta A$  is an isometry of  $H_1$  onto  $H_2$ .  $\square$

**(27.14) Theorem.** Notation is as in (27.11). Let  $U^{(1)}$  and  $U^{(2)}$  be irreducible. If there is a  $B$  for which the transformation  $A_B$  is nonzero, then  $U^{(1)}$  and  $U^{(2)}$  are equivalent representations.

**Proof.** Both  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are finite-dimensional. SCHUR's lemma (27.9) and (27.12) imply that  $A_B$  is a linear space isomorphism of  $H_1$  onto  $H_2$ ; (27.13) implies that  $\beta A_B$  is an intertwining linear isometry for a positive real number  $\beta$ . Thus  $U^{(1)}$  and  $U^{(2)}$  are equivalent.  $\square$

Theorem (27.14) contains the essentials of the orthogonality relations for functions in  $\mathfrak{X}(G)$ , which we now describe.

**(27.15) Theorem.** Let  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  be distinct elements in  $\Sigma$ , and let  $f_j$  be a function in  $\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma_j}(G)$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ). Then

$$(i) \int_G f_1 \bar{f}_2 d\lambda = 0.$$



**Proof.** We select  $U^{(1)}$  in  $\sigma_1$  and  $U^{(2)}$  in  $\sigma_2$  and use the notation of (27.11). Choose orthonormal bases  $\{\zeta_{j1}, \dots, \zeta_{jd_j}\}$  in  $H_j$  ( $j=1, 2$ ). Linearity shows that (i) will be established as soon as we prove that

$$\int_G \langle U_x^{(1)} \zeta_{1j}, \zeta_{1k} \rangle_1 \overline{\langle U_x^{(2)} \zeta_{2l}, \zeta_{2m} \rangle_2} dx = 0 \quad (1)$$

for  $j, k=1, \dots, d_1$  and  $l, m=1, \dots, d_2$ . Theorem (27.14) shows that the function  $C_B(\xi_1, \xi_2)$  of (27.11.i) is zero for all  $\xi_j \in H_j$ , regardless of what linear transformation  $B$  is chosen. Fix indices  $j, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, d_1\}$  and  $l, m \in \{1, 2, \dots, d_2\}$ . Let  $B$  be the linear transformation such that  $B(\zeta_{1k}) = \zeta_{2m}$  and  $B(\zeta_{1r}) = 0$  for  $r \in \{1, 2, \dots, k-1, k+1, \dots, d_1\}$ . We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle B U_x^{(1)} \zeta_{1j}, U_x^{(2)} \zeta_{2l} \rangle_2 &= \left\langle \sum_{r=1}^{d_1} \langle U_x^{(1)} \zeta_{1j}, \zeta_{1r} \rangle_1 B \zeta_{1r}, \sum_{s=1}^{d_2} \langle U_x^{(2)} \zeta_{2l}, \zeta_{2s} \rangle_2 \zeta_{2s} \right\rangle_2 \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{d_1} \sum_{s=1}^{d_2} \langle U_x^{(1)} \zeta_{1j}, \zeta_{1r} \rangle_1 \overline{\langle U_x^{(2)} \zeta_{2l}, \zeta_{2s} \rangle_2} \langle B \zeta_{1r}, \zeta_{2s} \rangle_2 \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{d_1} \sum_{s=1}^{d_2} \langle U_x^{(1)} \zeta_{1j}, \zeta_{1r} \rangle_1 \overline{\langle U_x^{(2)} \zeta_{2l}, \zeta_{2s} \rangle_2} \delta_{rk} \delta_{ms} \\ &= \langle U_x^{(1)} \zeta_{1j}, \zeta_{1k} \rangle_1 \overline{\langle U_x^{(2)} \zeta_{2l}, \zeta_{2m} \rangle_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the integrand in (1) has the form of an integrand in (27.11.i), and as remarked above, this proves (1).  $\square$

In describing the orthogonality relations for equivalent representations, it is convenient to consider first a single representation.

**(27.16) Lemma.** Let  $U$  be a continuous irreducible unitary representation of  $G$  with  $d$ -dimensional representation space  $H$ . Let  $B$  be any linear operator on  $H$ . Then the operator  $A_B$  defined in (27.11) is equal to  $d^{-1} \text{tr}(B) \cdot I$ .

**Proof.** The operator  $A_B$  is an intertwining operator for  $U$ :  $A_B U_x = U_x A_B$  for all  $x \in G$ . Since  $U$  is irreducible, (21.30) or (27.10) implies that  $A_B = \alpha_B I$ , where  $\alpha_B \in K$ . Let  $\{\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_d\}$  be any orthonormal basis in  $H$ . Corollary (D.18) shows that

$$\text{tr}(B) = \sum_{r=1}^d \langle B \zeta_r, \zeta_r \rangle.$$

For each  $x \in G$ , we have

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \text{tr}(B) &= \text{tr}(U_x(U_x^{-1}B)) = \text{tr}(U_x^{-1}B U_x) \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^d \langle U_x^{-1}B U_x \zeta_r, \zeta_r \rangle = \sum_{r=1}^d \langle B U_x \zeta_r, U_x \zeta_r \rangle. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1)$$