远东国际军事法庭 判决书

The Judgment of the International Military Tribunal for the Far East (中英文版)

远东国际军事法庭 编 张效林 译

中



远东国际军事法庭 判决书

The Judgment of the International Military Tribunal for the Far East (中英文版)

> 远东国际军事法庭 编 张效林 译

> > 中

CONTENTS

Part I	
Chapter 1	Establishment and proceedings of the Tribunal 3
Chapter 2	The law 23
(1).	Jurisdiction of the Tribunal 23
(2)	Responsibility for war crimes against prisoners 31
(3)	The indictment ····· 36
Chapter 3	Obligations assumed and rights acquired by Japan 45
Part II	
Chapter 4	The military domination of Japan and preparation for
	war 102
Chapter 5	Japanese aggression against China 605
(1)	nvasion & occupation of Manchuria 605
(2)	Consolidation and exploitation of Manchuria 737

Thursday, 4 November 1948 1 2 3 INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL 4 FOR THE FAR EAST Court House of the Tribunal 5 War Ministry Building Tokyo, Japan 6 vol. 1 Judgment Judgment + Opinionis, 7 The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment, 8 9 at 0930. 10 11 Appearances: 12 For the Tribunal, all Members sitting. 13 For the Prosecution Section, same as before, 14 For the Defense Section, same as before. 15 16 (English to Japanese and Japanese 17 to English interpretation was made by the 18 Language Section, IMTFE.) 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Spratt & W

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International
Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

THE PRESIDENT: All of the accused are present except HIRANUMA, SHIRATORI and UMEZU. The Sugamo prison surgeon certifies that they are ill and unable to attend the trial today. The certificates will be recorded and filed.

CLERK OF THE COURT: THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICATION OF REPUBLIC OF CHINA, THE UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND, THE UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS, THE COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA, CANADA, THE REPUBLIC OF FRANCE, THE KINGDOM OF THE NETWERLANDS, NEW ZEALAND, INDIA, AND THE COMMONWEALTH OF THE PHILIPPINES.

AGAINST

ARAKI, Sadao, DOHIHARA, Kenji, HASHIMOTO, Kingoro, HATA, Shunroku, HIRAKUMA, Kiichiro, HIROTA, Koki, HOSHINO, Naoki, ITAGAKI, Seishiro, KAYA, Okinori, KIDO, Koichi, KIMURA, Heitaro, KOISO, Kuniaki, MATSUI, Iwane, MATSUOKA, Yosuke MINAMI, Jiro, MUTO, Akira, NAGANO, Osami, OKA, Takasumi, OKAWA, Shumei, OSHIMA, Hiroshi, SATO, Kenryo, SHIGEMITSU Mamoru, SHIMADA, Shigetaro, SHIRATORI, Toshio, SUZUKI, Teiichi, TOGO, Shigenori, TOJO, Hideki, UMEZU, Yoshijiro.

JUDGMENT OF THE INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL

FOR THE FAR EAST.

- 18

THE PRESIDENT: I will now read the Judgment of the International Military Tribunal for the Far East. The title and formal parts will not be read.

PART A - CHAPTER I

Establishment and Proceedings of the Tribunel

The Tribunal was established in virtue of and to implement the Cairo Declaration of the 1st of December 1943, the Declaration of Potsdam of the 26th of July, 1945, the Instrument of Surrender of the 2nd of September 1945, and the Moscow Conference of the 26th of December, 1945.

The Cairo Declaration was made by the President of the United States of America, the President of the National Government of the Republic of China, and the Prime Minister of Great Britain. It reads as follows:

"The several military missions have agreed upon future military operations against Japan. The Three Great Allies expressed their resolve to bring unrelenting pressure against their brutal enemies by sea, land, and air. This pressure is already rising.

"The Three Great Allies are fighting this war to restrain and punish the aggression of Japan. They covet no gain for themselves and have no thought of territorial expansion. It is their purpose that Japan

shall be stripped of all the islands in the Facific which she has seized or occupied since the beginning of the first World War in 1914, and that all the territories Japan has stolen from the Chinese, such as Manchuria, Formosa, and the Pescadores, shall be restored to the Republic of China. Japan will also be expelled from all other territories which she has taken by violence and greed. The aforesaid Three Great Powers, mindful of the enslavement of the people of Korea, are determined that in due course Korea shall become free and independent.

"With these objects in view the three Allies, in harmony with those of the United Nations at war with Japan, will continue to persevere in the serious and prolonged operations necessary to procure the unconditional surrender of Japan."

The Declaration of Potsdam (Annex No. A-1) was made by the President of the United States of America, the President of the National Government of the Republic of China, and the Prime Minister of Great Britain and later adhered to by the Union of Soviet Socialist Republic Its principal relevant provisions are:

"Japan shall be given an opportunity to end this war.

"There must be eliminated for all time the authority and influence of those who have deceived and

misled the people of Japan into embarking on world conquest, for we insist that a new order of peace, security and justice will be impossible until irresponsible militarism is driven from the world.

. 16

- 22

"The terms of the Cairo Declaration shall be carried out and Japanese sovereignty shall be limited to the islands of Frashu, Hokkaido, Kyushu, Shikoku and such minor islands as we determine.

"We do not intend that the Japanese people shall be enslaved as a race or destroyed as a nation, but stern justice shall be meted out to all war criminals including those who have visited cruelties upon our prisoners."

The Instrument of Surrender (Annex No. A-2) was signed on behalf of the Emperor and Government of Japan and on behalf of the nine Allied Powers. It contains inter alia the following proclemation, undertaking, and order:

"We hereby proclaim the unconditional surrender to the Allied Powers of the Japanese Imperial General Headquarters and all Japanese armed forces and all armed forces under Japanese control wherever situated.

"We hereby undertake for the Emperor, the Japanese Government, and their successors, to carry out the provisions of the Potsdam Declaration in good faith, and to issue whatever orders and take whatever action

may be required by the Supreme Commander for the Allied Powers or by any other designated representatives of the Allied Powers for the purpose of giving effect to the Declaration.

"The authority of the Emperor and the Japanese Government to rule the State shall be subject to the Supreme Commander for the Allied Powers who will take such steps as he deems proper to effectuate these terms of surrender. We hereby command all civil, military, and naval officials to obey and enforce all proclamations, orders, and directives deemed by the Supreme Commander for the Allied Powers to be proper to effectuate this surrender and issued by him or under his authority."

By the Moscow Conference (Annex No. A-3) it
was agreed by and between the Governments of the United
States of America, Great Britain, and the Union of Soviet
Socialist Republics with the concurrence of China that:

"The Supreme Commander shall issue all orders for the implementation of the Terms of Surrender, the occupation and control of Japan and directives supplementary thereto."

Acting on this authority on the 19th day of
January, 1946, General MacArthur, the Supreme Commander
for the Allied Powers, by Special Proclamation established
the Tribunal for "the trial of those persons charged

21_,

 individually or as members of organizations or in both capacities with offences which include crimes against peace." (Annex No. A-4) The constitution, jurisdiction and functions of the Tribunal were by the Proclamation declared to be those set forth in the Charter of the Tribunal approved by the Supreme Commander on the same day. Before the opening of the Trial the Charter was amended in several respects. (A copy of the Charter as amended will be found in Annex No. A-5).

On the 15th day of February, 1946, the Supreme Commender issued an Order appointing the nine members of the Tribunal nominated respectively by each of the Allied Powers. This Order also provides that "the responsibilities, powers, and duties of the Members of the Tribunal are set forth in the Charter thereof..."

By one of the amendments to the Charter the maximum number of members was increased from nine to eleven to permit the appointment of members nominated by India and the Commonwealth of the Philippines. By subsequent Orders the present members from the United States and France were appointed to succeed the original appointees who resigned and the members from India and the Philippines were appointed.

Pursuant to the provisions of Article 9(c) of the Charter each of the accused before the opening of

```
the Trial appointed counsel of his own choice to represent
 2 him; each accused being represented by American and
 3 Japanese counsel.
            On the 29th of April, 1946, an indictment,
 5 which had previously been served on the accused in
  conformity with the rules of procedure adopted by the
  Tribunal, was lodged with the Tribunal.
 8
            The Indictment (Annex No. A-6) is long, containing
   fifty-five counts charging twenty-eight accused with
10
   Crimes against Peace, Conventional War Crimes, and Crimes
11
   against Humanity during the period from the 1st of
12
   January, 1928, to the 2nd of September, 1945,
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

It may be summarized as follows:

In Count 1 all accused are charged with conspiring as leaders, organisers, instigators or accomplices between 1st January 1928 and 2nd September 1945 to have Japan, either alone or with other countries, wage wars of aggression against any country or countries which might oppose her purpose of securing the military, navel, political and economic domination of East Asia and of the Pacific and Indian oceans and their adjoining countries and neighboring islands.

Count 2 charges all accused with conspiring throughout the same period to have Japan wage aggressive war against China to secure complete domination of the Chinese provinces of Liaoning, Kirin, Heilungkiang (Manchuria), and Johol."

count 3 charges all accused with conspiracy over the same period to have Japan wage aggressive war against China to secure complete domination of China.

Count 4 charges all accused with conspiring to have Japan, alone or with other countries, wage aggressive war against the United States, the British Commonwealth, France, the Netherlands, China, Portugal, Thailand, the Philippines and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics to secure the complete domination of East Asia and the Pacific and Indian Oceans and their

adjoining countries and neighboring islands.

Count 5 charges all accused with conspiring
with Germany and Italy to have Japan, Germany and Italy
mutually assist each other in aggressive warfare against
any country which might oppose them for the purpose of
having these three nations acquire complete domination
of the entire world, each having special domination in
tits own sphere, Japan's sphere to cover East Asia and
the Pacific and Indian Oceans.

Counts 6 to 17 charge all accused except CHIRATORI with having planned and prepared aggressive war against named countries.

Counts 18 to 26 charge all accused with initiat-

Counts 27 to 36 charge all accused with waging aggressive war against named countries.

Count 37 dargescertain accused with conspiring to murder members of the armed forces and civilians of the United States, the Philippines, the British Commonwealth, the Netherlands and Thailand by initiating unlawful hostilities against those countries in breach of the Hague Convention No. III of 18th October 1907.

Count 38 charges the same accused with conspiring to murder the soldiers and civilians by initiating hostilities in violation of the agreement between the United

States and Japan of 30th November 1908, the Treaty between Britain, France, Japan and the United States of 13th December 1921, the Pact of Paris of 27th August 1928, and the Treaty of Unity between Thailand and Japan of 12th June 1940.

.17

Counts 39 to 43 charge the same accused with the commission on 7th and 8th December 1941 of murder at Pearl Harbour (Count 39) Kohta Behru (Count 40) Hong Kong (Count 41) on board H. M. S. Petrel at Shanghai (Count 42) and at Davao (Count 43).

Count 44 charges all accused with conspiring to murder on a wholesale scale prisoners of war and civilians in Japan's power.

Counts 45 to 50 charge certain accused with the murder of disarmed soldiers and civilians at Nanking (Count 45) Centon (Count 46) Hankow (Count 47) Changsha (Count 48) Hengyang (Count 49) and Kweilin and Liuchow. (Count 50).

Count 51 charges certain accused with the murder of members of the armed forces of Mongolia and the Soviet Union in the Khalkin-Gol River area in 1939.

Count 52 charges certain accused with the murder of members of the armed forces of the Soviet Union in the Lake Khasan area in July and August 1938.

Counts 53 and 54 charge all the accused except

OKAWA and CHIRATORI with having conspired to order, 1 authorize or permit the various Japanese Theatre Commanders, the officials of the War Ministry and local camp and labour 3 unit officials frequently and habitually to commit breaches 4 of the laws and customs of war against the armed forces, 5 prisoners of war, and civilian internees of complaining 6 powers and to have the Gove-nment of Japan abstain from 7 taking adequate steps to secure the observance and prevent 8 breaches of the laws and customs of wer. 9 Count 55 charges the same accused with having 10 recklessly disregarded their legal duty by virtue of their 11 12 offices to take adequate steps to secure the observance 13

and prevent breaches of the laws and customs of war.

There are five appendices to the Indictment:

Appendix A summarizes the principal matters and

events upon which the counts are based.

Appendix B is a list of Treaty Articles.

Appendix C specifies the assurances Japan is alleged to have broken.

Appendix D contains the laws and customs of war alleged to have been infringed.

Appendix E is a partial statement of the facts with respect to the alleged individual responsibility of the accused.

These appendices are included in Annex A-6.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

During the course of the Triel two of the accused, MATSUOKA and NAGANO, died and the accused OKAWA was declared unfit to stand his trial and unable to defend himself. MATSUOKA and NAGANO were therefore discharged from the Indictment. Further proceedings upon the Indictment against OKAWA at this Trial were suspended.

On the 3rd and 4th of May the Indictment was read in open court in the presence of all the accused, the Tribunal then adjourning till the 6th to receive the pleas of the accused. On the latter date pleas of "not guilty" were entered by all the accused now before the Tribunal.

The Tribunal then fixed the 3rd of June following as the date for the commencement of the presentation of evidence by the Prosecution.

In the interval the Defence presented motions challenging the jurisdiction of the Tribunal to hear and decide the charges contained in the Indictment. On the 17th of May, 1946, after argument, judgment was delivered dismissing all the said motions "for reasons to be given later." These reasons will be given in dealing with the law of the case in Chapter II of this part of the judgment.

The Prosecution opened its case on the 3rd of

June, 1946, and closed its case on the 24th of January 1947.

The presentation of evidence for the Defence

opened on the 24th of February, 1947, and closed on the

12th of January 1948, an adjournment having been granted from the 19th of June to the 4th of August 1947, to permit defense counsel to co-ordinate their work in the presentation of evidence common to all the accused.

Prosecution evidence in rebuttal and defense evidence in reply were permitted; the reception of evidence terminating on the 10th of February 1948. In all-4335 exhibits were admitted in evidence, 419 witnesses testified in court, 779 witnesses gave evidence in depositions and afficavits, and the transcript of the proceedings covers 48,412 pages.

Closing arguments and summations of prosecution and defense counsel opened on the 11th of February and closed on the 16th of April 1948.

Having regard to Article 12 of the Charter,
which requires "an expeditious hearing of the issues"
and the taking of "strict measures to prevent any action
which would cause any unreasonable delay", the length
of the present trial requires some explanation and comment

In order to avoid unnecessary delay which would have been incurred by adopting the ordinary method of translation by interrupting from time to time evidence, addresses and other matters which could be prepared in advance of delivery, an elaborate public address system was installed. Through this system whenever possible a si-

multaneous