

CRC

INJURED INDEX
and
PATHOGENIC
BACTERIA:

Occurrence and Detection in
Foods, Water and Feeds

Bibek Ray

CRC PRESS

Injured Index and Pathogenic Bacteria: Occurrence and Detection in Foods, Water and Feeds

Editor

Bibek Ray

Professor of Food Microbiology
Department of Animal Science
University of Wyoming
Laramie, Wyoming



CRC Press, Inc.
Boca Raton, Florida

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Injured index and pathogenic bacteria.

Bibliography: p.

Includes index.

1. Food-Microbiology. I. Ray, Bibek.

QR115.I45 1989 615.9'529 88-22223

ISBN 0-8493-4928-1

This book represents information obtained from authentic and highly regarded sources. Reprinted material is quoted with permission, and sources are indicated. A wide variety of references are listed. Every reasonable effort has been made to give reliable data and information, but the author and the publisher cannot assume responsibility for the validity of all materials or for the consequences of their use.

All rights reserved. This book, or any parts thereof, may not be reproduced in any form without written consent from the publisher.

Direct all inquiries to CRC Press, Inc., 2000 Corporate Blvd., N.W., Boca Raton, Florida, 33431.

© 1989 by CRC Press, Inc.

International Standard Book Number 0-8493-4928-1

Library of Congress Card Number 88-22223

Printed in the United States

DEDICATION

To Purnima, Purbita, and Ranjan for their patience

PREFACE

Indicator and pathogenic bacteria are enumerated or isolated from foods, water, and feedstuffs by incubating a sample aliquot in specific selective agar or broth media. For some bacteria, additional selective environments, such as high or low incubation temperature and incubation under anaerobic or microaerophilic condition, are used. The selective conditions used to detect indicator or pathogenic bacteria presumably do not inhibit growth of physiologically normal cells of their species, but inhibit or restrict growth of other bacteria that are always present in foods, water, and feeds. It is assumed that in the selective environments, the indicator and pathogenic bacteria, even when present in low numbers and constitute only a minor population in comparison to the associated bacteria, will get selective advantage to multiply and be detected.

The selective media and other conditions, recommended for the detection of a specific indicator group or species and pathogen, are developed generally with physiologically normal cultures and in many instances are developed originally to test clinical samples. However, microorganisms present in food, water, and probably in feedstuffs, differ in several respects from those present in a clinical sample. In clinical samples the indicator and pathogenic bacteria are generally actively growing and usually present in high members. In contrast, in most food, water, and feed samples, the indicator and pathogenic bacteria are present in relatively low numbers in relation to the total microbial population. Also many of the associative microorganisms in foods, water, and feeds may be taxonomically closely related to the indicator and pathogenic bacteria and thus might not be inhibited by the selective conditions. Thus, selective media and incubation parameters developed with laboratory grown pure cultures and for clinical specimen may not be always effective for use in foods, water, and feedstuffs.

The other difference is that most foods and food ingredients, potable water, and feedstuffs are given one or more physical or chemical treatments that are known to inflict sublethal injury in bacteria. As a consequence the injured indicator and pathogenic bacteria lose their resistance to the selective media and incubation conditions and in many instances lose their viability when exposed to these environments. The selective methods thus will not detect these viable but injured indicator and pathogenic bacteria from the food, water, or feed samples. However, the injured cells are capable of repair relatively easily. The repaired cells regain resistance to the selective environments and can be detected by the specific recommended methods.

This book provides up-to-date information on the occurrence of injured indicator and pathogenic bacteria in foods, water, and feeds, and also the methods that could be used for their effective detection. This publication will be an invaluable source of information for the scientists in the food industries, food regulatory agencies, public health services, and microbiologists involved in developing methods for the detection of indicator and pathogenic bacteria from foods, water, and feeds. It will also serve as a useful guide to scientists interested in microbiological quality and standards of pharmaceutical and cosmetic products. Finally, the microbial ecologists, especially those who are interested in monitoring survival of released genetically engineered microorganisms in the environment, will find information in this book that could be helpful in developing effective methods.

THE EDITOR

Bibek Ray, Ph.D., is a professor of Food Microbiology in the Department of Animal Science, University of Wyoming, Laramie.

Prof. Ray obtained his B.S. and M.S. degrees in Veterinary Science from the University of Calcutta and University of Madras, India, respectively. He received his Ph.D. degree in Food Science from the University of Minnesota in 1970. His research for his dissertation was in the area of sublethal injury of *Salmonella* and its influence on their isolation from foods. In 1971 he joined the faculty in the Department of Food Science, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, and continued to conduct research in the area of sublethal injury of index and pathogenic bacteria and their detection from foods. In 1981 he joined the Department of Animal Science, University of Wyoming as Associate Professor of Food Microbiology.

Prof. Ray has received research grants and contracts from the National Science Foundation, Sea Grants, Food and Drug Administration, American Public Health Association, Binational Agricultural Research Development (with Israel), Livestock and Meat Board, University of Wyoming Grant in Aid, and Kheun Trust Fund. He is a Fellow in the American Academy of Microbiology and a member of the Editorial Board of the *Journal of Food Protection*. He has been invited to give seminars and short courses in India, England, Turkey, and Greece.

Prof. Ray is a member of the American Society of Microbiology, Institute of Food Technologists, Society of Industrial Microbiology, International Association of Milk, Food and Environmental Sanitarians, Sigma Xi, and Gamma Sigma Delta.

Prof. Ray has authored more than 50 scientific papers, reviews, and book chapters. He acted as a chapter chairman on "Repair and Detection of Injured Microorganisms" in the 2nd edition of *Compendium of Methods for the Microbiological Examination of Food* published by the American Public Health Association.

CONTRIBUTORS

Wallace H. Andrews, Ph.D.
Microbiologist
Division of Microbiology
Food and Drug Administration
Washington, D.C.

Scott E. Martin, Ph.D.
Associate Professor
Department of Food Science
University of Illinois
Urbana, Illinois

Gordon A. McFeters, Ph.D.
Professor
Department of Microbiology
Montana State University
Bozeman, Montana

Samuel A. Palumbo, Ph.D.
Microbiologist
Microbial Food Safety Research Unit
U.S. Department of Agriculture
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Bibek Ray, Ph.D.
Professor of Food Microbiology
Department of Animal Science
University of Wyoming
Laramie, Wyoming

John N. Sofos, Ph.D.
Professor
Departments of Animal Sciences, and
Food Science and Human Nutrition
Colorado State University
Fort Collins, Colorado

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter 1	
Introduction	1
Bibek Ray	
Chapter 2	
Enumeration of Injured Indicator Bacteria from Foods	9
Bibek Ray	
Chapter 3	
Methods for Recovering Injured “Classical” Enteric Pathogenic Bacteria (<i>Salmonella</i> , <i>Shigella</i> and Enteropathogenic <i>Escherichia coli</i>) from Foods	55
Wallace H. Andrews	
Chapter 4	
Injury in Emerging Foodborne Pathogens and Their Detection	115
Samuel A. Palumbo	
Chapter 5	
Detection of Injured <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> from Foods	133
Scott E. Martin	
Chapter 6	
Detection of Injured Sporeforming Bacteria from Foods	147
John N. Sofos	
Chapter 7	
Detection and Significance of Injured Indicator and Pathogenic Bacteria in Water	179
Gordon A. McFeters	
Chapter 8	
Methods for Recovering Injured Pathogenic Organisms from Animal Feeds	211
Wallace H. Andrews	
Chapter 9	
Importance and Regulatory Implications of the Recovery of Injured Microorganisms from Foods and Water	217
Wallace H. Andrews and Bibek Ray	
Index	225

Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION

Bibek Ray

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Historical 2

II. Major Emphasis..... 2

III. The Future 4

References..... 7

I. HISTORICAL

“Death of microorganisms, exposed to many sublethal treatments, is a gradual process which could be reversed under proper conditions if the reaction has not progressed too far.” This statement made by Rahn and Barnes¹ and Rahn² in 1932 clearly suggested that some physical and chemical agents in a sublethal dosage inflict reversible injuries in microbial cells. Since the beginning of this century many microbiologists recognized that pure cultures of both vegetative bacteria and bacterial spores subjected to a sublethal dosage of heat, UV light, mercuric chloride, and other agents suffered cellular damages and became more exacting in their nutritional need for subsequent growth.³⁻⁸ Microbiologists involved in the development of methods and media for the quantitative evaluation of the microbiological qualities of heat-processed foods that contained different types of microorganisms observed that supplementing nonselective types of media with yeast extracts, milk, etc., improved recovery.⁹⁻¹³ It was recommended that “This should be considered in the formulation of media for the enumeration of bacteria in heated food products and in experiments concerned with the effects of heat on microorganisms.”¹² Other researchers also observed that indicator, pathogenic, and other bacteria in frozen foods also were not effectively detected, either by nonselective or selective media, due to reversible injury.^{14,15} In 1959 Straka and Stokes¹⁶ showed that certain fractions of *Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas* spp. that survived freezing and thawing were metabolically injured and needed several types of peptides to reverse their injury. From the 1960s to the early 1980s many laboratories, mainly in the U.S., the U.K., Japan, Canada, and the Netherlands, conducted research on the sublethal injury of indicator and food and water-borne pathogens.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ These studies indicated that most physical and chemical treatments, when applied to sublethal dosages, could inflict injury on microbial cells found in food and water (see Tables 1 and 2). These cells, although constituted as part of the viable microbial population, have many altered physiological characteristics, and a specific method used in the microbiological evaluation of a sample could make the injured fraction detectable or undetectable.

The studies conducted during the last 25 years could be divided into separate stages. In the 1960s most studies were conducted to develop differential media to measure both “metabolic” and “structural” injuries.¹⁷ In the 1970s, basic studies were conducted to identify the sites of cellular injuries, the mechanisms of cellular repair, and the methods to enumerate and isolate injured indicator and pathogenic bacteria from foods and water. In the later part of the 1970s and in the early 1980s, basic studies on the nature of cellular damages at the molecular level were studied.^{17,18} Currently, very few laboratories are conducting research in the area of sublethal injury in bacteria associated with foods; however, research in bacterial injury still remains viable in the area of water.¹⁹

II. MAJOR EMPHASIS

Most studies on bacterial injury during the 1960s, 1970s, and early 1980s were conducted with indicator and pathogenic bacteria. Very limited basic studies were done on the injury of bacteria associated with either food spoilage or food bioprocessing.^{17,18,20-22} However, the data presented in Table 2 revealed that not only index and pathogenic bacteria, but also food spoilage bacteria,²³⁻²⁵ bacteria used as starter cultures^{20,23} and in dietary adjunct,^{21,22} as well as yeasts^{26,27} and molds²⁸ found in foods are injured by different sublethal treatments. Although no report has yet been published on the existence of reversible injury of viruses present in foods, water, or feeds, freezing and thawing have been reported to cause reversible injury in T4 bacteriophages²⁹ and in southern bean mosaic virus virion³⁰ that affected their detection. It would be important to study the possibility of reversible injury in pathogenic viruses in foods and water, and probably in feeds.

Table 1
SUBLETHAL PHYSICAL AND
CHEMICAL TREATMENTS KNOWN TO
CAUSE REVERSIBLE INJURY IN
MICROORGANISMS

Physical stresses

Low temperature: refrigeration, freezing
 Heat: temperature and time below lethal treatment
 Drying: air drying, freeze-drying
 High solids: sugars, salts
 Radiation: UV, X-ray

Chemical stresses

Acids: organic and inorganic
 Sanitizers: chlorine, QAC
 Preservatives: sorbate, benzoate
 Toxic chemicals: mercuric chloride

Partially adapted from Ray, B., *J. Food Prot.*, 42, 346, 1979.

Table 2
MICROORGANISMS KNOWN TO SUFFER
REVERSIBLE INJURY FROM SUBLETHAL
STRESSES

Microorganisms	Main importance in foods ^a
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Indicator (some are pathogenic)
<i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i>	Indicator
<i>Klebsiella</i> sp.	Indicator (some are pathogenic)
<i>Streptococcus faecalis</i>	Indicator
<i>Salmonella</i> sp.	Pathogenic
<i>Shigella</i> sp.	Pathogenic
<i>Vibrio parahaemolyticus</i>	Pathogenic
<i>Yersinia enterocolitica</i>	Pathogenic
<i>Campylobacter jejuni</i>	Pathogenic
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	Pathogenic
<i>Clostridium perfringens</i>	Pathogenic
<i>Pseudomonas</i> sp.	Spoilage
<i>Bacillus</i> sp.	Spoilage (<i>B. cereus</i> is pathogenic)
<i>Streptococcus lactis</i>	Bioprocessing
<i>Lactobacillus bulgaricus</i>	Bioprocessing
<i>L. acidophilus</i>	Dietary adjunct
<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Bioprocessing
<i>Candida</i> sp.	Spoilage
<i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	Spoilage

Note: Information on specific microorganisms can be obtained from references in this chapter²⁰⁻²⁸ and from related chapters in this book.

^a Partially adapted from Ray, B., *J. Food Prot.*, 42, 346, 1979.

In pure-culture studies, injured fractions of the indicator and pathogenic bacteria were detected by enumerating a sample simultaneously on nonselective and selective media. This was based on the observation that the injured cells of a species developed a sensitivity to many chemicals used in many selective media, and to which the uninjured or normal cells were resistant. It was assumed that the injured cells would rapidly multiply in the nonselective

Table 3
EFFICIENCY OF NONSELECTIVE PLATING MEDIA TO ENUMERATE
HEAT-STRESSED BACTERIA

Bacterial species	Treatment	Colony counts in plating media		
		Nutrient agar	Milk agar	Beef infusion agar
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Heat (57°C, 5 min)	183 × 10 ³	87 × 10 ³	233 × 10 ³
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	Heat (55°C, 7 min)	204 × 10 ²	187 × 10 ²	44 × 10 ³
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> spores	Heat (100°C, 20 min)	53 × 10 ³	164 × 10 ³	32 × 10 ³
<i>Streptococcus liquefaciens</i>	Heat (62°C, 15 min)	2 × 10 ³	325 × 10 ³	108 × 10 ³
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	Heat (57°C, 5 min)	117 × 10 ³	56 × 10 ³	66 × 10 ³

Adapted from Nelson, F. E., *J. Bacteriol.*, 45, 395, 1943.

media and these media would enumerate all the survivors, while the selective media will enumerate only the normal cells. However, some earlier studies showed that even nonselective complex media did not facilitate the growth of all the survivors. Many surviving bacterial cells failed to form colonies equally well in different media (see Table 3). After the recognition of metabolic injury by Straka and Stokes,¹⁶ the inability of injured bacterial cells to form colonies in a nonselective medium was thought to be due to the failure of the medium to supply the necessary nutrients. Later studies showed that supplementing a nonselective medium, such as tryptone-glucose-extract (TGE) agar, with compounds that degrade hydrogen peroxide or block its formation increased the enumeration of stressed bacterial cells by 2000- to 3000-fold over the counts, on nonsupplemented TGE agar.³¹ It was proposed that these supplements reduced the formation and facilitated the degradation of hydrogen peroxide that could form spontaneously in the media and to which the injured bacterial cells are extremely susceptible. The supplementation of selective media with one or more of these compounds has considerably increased the enumeration of injured cells.³¹ It would probably be important to study whether or not the incorporation of these compounds in the media could increase the enumeration of the surviving population of microorganisms from foods and water. If a significant and consistent increase is found, such a compound or compounds can then be included in the compositions of media recommended for the microbiological examination of foods and water.

III. THE FUTURE

Historically, the existence of reversible cell injury in microorganisms that have been exposed to sublethal physical and chemical treatments was conceived by the microbiologists who were studying the lethality of various agents on pathogenic and index bacteria.^{1,2} It remained up to the food microbiologists to prove, with both pure culture and processed foods, the existence of reversible injury in microorganisms. Their studies also revealed the sites of damage, the nature of cellular damage, and the mechanisms of repair of injury.^{17,18} From these studies, methods were developed that would allow the resuscitation and subsequent enumeration and isolation of the injured cells from food samples.¹⁷ Similar studies by the water microbiologist also showed the presence of injured bacteria in water and the effectiveness of resuscitative methods on the detection of the injured cells.¹⁹ As indicated previously, most studies on injury were conducted in indicator and pathogenic bacteria. The bulk of evidence, published during the 1960s and 1970s, was helpful in making the bacterial reversible injury cross the barrier of “mere academic interest” to possible “regulatory implication”. Books dealing with the microbiological examination of foods either devoted new chapters^{32,33} to or suggested precautionary steps³⁴⁻³⁶ on the implications and methods

Table 4
SOME AREAS WHERE INFORMATION ON REVERSIBLE INJURY IN
MICROORGANISMS COULD HAVE IMPORTANT APPLICATIONS

Areas of study	Application of information
Rapid detection methods	Detection of index and pathogenic bacteria from foods, water, and feeds
New method development	Detection of newly emerging pathogens from foods, water, and feeds
Irradiated foods	Resuscitation methods of pathogens, indicator, and other microbes
Comparison of several media (selective or nonselective)	To determine the relative efficiency of several media used to detect a specific group or species of microorganisms
Effectiveness of some current methods	To detect pathogenic viruses from foods and water and other bacteria and yeasts and molds from foods
Efficiency of cryopreservatives	Transport and storage of refrigerated food samples in a frozen state for microbiological analysis
Effect of residual chlorine in water	Lethal effect on indicator and pathogenic bacteria during transport and storage prior to analysis
Rates of thawing (of frozen) and rehydration (of dried) foods	Optimum rates for effective detection of microorganisms from foods
Preventing the repair of injured spoilage microorganisms and enhancing their death	Increased shelf life of refrigerated foods
Increased resistance to freezing and drying of starter cultures	Extension in storage time and use of cultures for direct fermentation
Stability of plasmids in genetically engineered bacteria and the hybridomas	Long-term preservation of economically important microbial cultures, including those used in food fermentation, and hybridomas used for the production of monoclonal antibodies
Injury of genetically engineered microbial cultures when released into the environment	Monitoring the survival rate of these laboratory cultures in soil and water, and in the presence of pesticides, insecticides, etc.

Partially adapted from Ray, B., *J. Food Prot.*, 49, 651, 1986.

of detection of injured bacteria from foods. In addition, two books were published on the importance and implication of bacterial reversible freeze-injury in 1984.^{34,38}

Currently, very little research on microbial injury is being done in the area of food microbiology. In contrast, many important basic and applied studies are being conducted in the water microbiology area.^{39,40} In the past, food microbiologists directed their research activities toward the effective detection of injured index and pathogenic bacteria. Very little or no studies were done in other areas that might also be important in food microbiology.¹⁸ Also, basic information generated from these studies could be valuable in other areas of microbiology. These aspects are briefly discussed below (see Table 4).

Currently, the possible applications of "genetic probes" and "enzyme immunoassay" in the rapid detection of pathogens from foods are being investigated.⁴¹ However, these methods are effective only when pathogens have efficiently multiplied during the preenrichment/enrichment stage. Unless they are properly resuscitated, the injured cells of pathogens fail to multiply. To make these rapid and expensive methods effective, studies should be done on the rapid resuscitation and the subsequent multiplication of injured pathogens. This should include the influences of optimum pH, temperature, and composition of a medium as well as any possible inhibitory effect of food components on the resuscitation of injured cells.¹⁸ In the development of a selective method for the effective detection of any newly emerging pathogen, the selective agents, their concentrations, and other selective conditions should be evaluated for their noninhibitory effects on injured cells prior to their inclusion in a

recommended method.⁴² The irradiation of certain foods has been in use in several countries and now is being used in selected food items in the U.S. The effectiveness of the currently available resuscitation methods on the detection of microorganisms injured by a low dosage of irradiation needs to be studied. Data on comparative studies about the efficiency of different selective media on the enumeration and isolation of index and pathogenic bacteria from semipreserved foods and water are published from time to time.⁴⁴ However, one should recognize that the different media, even when recommended for use on a bacterial group or species, contain different selective agents, and injured cells show different degrees of developed susceptibility to these agents. For a comparison of the efficiency of the selective media, the food or water sample should be incubated in a resuscitation medium prior to testing with selective media.¹⁸ Studies on T4 phages and southern bean mosaic virus have indicated that sublethal stresses could cause reversible injury with the loss of their adsorption to host cells by altering conformation of the surface protein subunits.^{29,30} As the current methods of detecting pathogenic viruses in foods and water are based on the ability of the virus to adsorb on host cells in a cell culture, it should be worthwhile to study the effect of sublethal treatment on the adsorption of pathogenic viruses to host cells. Also, the effectiveness of media containing oxgall to enumerate *Lactobacillus acidophilus*²¹ and that of acidified media to enumerate yeasts and molds²⁷ from foods exposed to sublethal conditions should be evaluated. For microbiological quality evaluation, many foods and food ingredients are currently being transported to distant laboratories in frozen condition. Also, these samples may be thawed and refrozen for testing. This procedure, due to the lethal and sublethal effects of freezing and thawing, may not indicate the real microbiological quality during sampling of the products as well as it can introduce variability in the results. This could probably be reduced by freezing the sample in a suitable cryoprotective agent. Similarly, the presence of residual chlorine in water could alter the microbiological quality between the time of sampling and testing. The procedures for finding the optimum thawing rate of frozen products and the optimum rehydration rate for dried products need to be investigated to minimize microbial death and injury, as both these techniques are known to influence the detection of microbial cells from frozen and dried foods. The injury of spoilage bacteria, yeasts, and molds can also be used advantageously to extend the shelf life of sublethally processed (such as pasteurized, acidified, frozen and thawed, irradiated at low dosage, etc.), refrigerated, semipreserved foods. By maintaining a low enough temperature, the injured cells should be prevented from repair, and by adding suitable additives to which they have developed a sensitivity, the injured cells can be killed.⁴⁷ This will allow only the uninjured cells among the viable population of psychrotrophic microorganisms to multiply. With good sanitation to keep the initial population of psychrotrophic spoilage bacteria low and the use of a selective storage environment, the shelf life of many refrigerated, semipreserved foods could be prolonged.¹⁷ There is a great interest in using concentrated cultures directly for the production of fermented foods and food ingredients. Although methods of preparing frozen starter concentrates of selected strains have been achieved, there are disadvantages in handling frozen cultures. Also, many of these cultures could not be stored in the food processing plants for long. Finally, these methods do not effectively preserve many starter strains, even though they carry desirable traits. The success of producing dried, concentrated starter cultures is much more limited. It is believed now that reversible injury is an intermediate state between normal and lethal or irreversible injury or death of microbial cells.⁴⁸ An understanding of the sites and nature of reversible injury due to the freezing and/or drying of microbial cells will help us to recognize the physical and biochemical basis of such injury and to develop procedures to prevent the changes associated with injury. This in turn will help us to develop freezing or drying methods for the long-term preservation of desired starter cultures with high survival rates.¹⁷ Genetically engineered microorganisms with the desirable traits and hybridomas for the production of monoclonal antibodies are now being

developed for use in food fermentation and for the detection of pathogens in foods.⁴⁹ Also, many microbial strains and cell lines are being developed for use in controlling different microbial and genetic diseases in humans and animals. Successful methods for the preservation of these microbial strains and cell lines have to be developed so that they do not lose the newly acquired traits. Limited studies have shown that some bacterial strains during cryopreservation could lose their genetic materials associated with the engineered traits.⁵⁰ Finally, the rate of disappearance from the environment of genetically engineered laboratory cultures of microorganisms when released into the environment for some beneficial effect, such as the prevention of ice nucleation and frost damage by spraying nonice-nucleating variants, needs to be monitored. However, these laboratory cultures may suffer reversible injury by the environmental stresses (i.e., sunlight, temperature fluctuation, the lack of necessary nutrients, competition from associative microorganisms, insecticides, etc.) and develop the need for special nutrients as well as a sensitivity to some chemicals. This possibility should be considered in the methods used for monitoring these cultures.

REFERENCES

1. **Rahn, O. and Barnes, M. N.**, An experimental comparison of different criteria of death in yeast, *J. Gen. Physiol.*, 16, 579, 1932.
2. **Rahn, O.**, *Physiology of Bacteria*, P. Blakiston Son, Philadelphia, 1932.
3. **Wright, J. H.**, The importance of uniform culture media in the bacteriological examination of disinfectants. *J. Bacteriol.*, 2, 315, 1917.
4. **Curran, H. R. and Evans, F. R.**, The importance of enrichments in the cultivation of bacterial spores previously exposed to lethal agencies, *J. Bacteriol.*, 34, 179, 1937.
5. **Fay, A. C.**, The effect of hypertonic sugar solutions on the thermal resistance of bacteria, *J. Agric. Res.*, 48, 453, 1934.
6. **Latarajet, R. and Caldas, L. R.**, Restoration induced by catalase in irradiated microorganisms, *J. Gen. Physiol.*, 35, 455, 1952.
7. **Heinmets, F., Lehman, J., Taylor, W. W., and Kathan, R. H.**, The study of factors which influence metabolic reactivation of the ultraviolet inactivated *Escherichia coli*, *J. Bacteriol.*, 67, 511, 1954.
8. **Hershey, A. D.**, Factors limiting bacterial growth. VII. Respiration and growth properties of *Escherichia coli* surviving sublethal temperatures, *J. Bacteriol.*, 38, 563, 1939.
9. **Ayers, S. H. and Mudge, C. S.**, Milk powder agar for the determination of bacteria in milk, *J. Bacteriol.*, 5, 565, 1920.
10. **Yale, M. W.**, Standard agar counts as compared with those on improved agars at 32°C, *Am. J. Public Health*, 28, 148, 1938.
11. **Phelan, J. F.**, Some effects of the proposed new bacteriological techniques, *J. Dairy Sci.*, 19, 385, 1936.
12. **Nelson, F. E.**, Factors which influence the growth of heat-treated bacteria. I. A comparison of four agar media, *J. Bacteriol.*, 45, 395, 1943.
13. **Heather, C. D. and Vanderzant, W. C.**, Effect of plating medium on the survival of heat-treated cells of *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, *Food Res.*, 22, 146, 1957.
14. **Gunderson, M. F. and Rose, K. D.**, Survival of bacteria in a precooked frozen food, *Food Res.*, 13, 254, 1948.
15. **Hartsell, S. E.**, The longevity and behavior of pathogenic bacteria in frozen foods: the influence of plating media, *Am. J. Public Health*, 41, 1072, 1951.
16. **Straka, R. P. and Stokes, J. L.**, Metabolic injury to bacteria at low temperature, *J. Bacteriol.*, 78, 181, 1959.
17. **Ray, B.**, Methods to detect stressed microorganisms, *J. Food Prot.*, 42, 346, 1979.
18. **Ray, B.**, Impact of bacterial injury and repair in food microbiology: its past, present and future, *J. Food Prot.*, 49, 651, 1986.
19. **LeChevallier, M. W. and McFeters, G. A.**, Enumeration of injured coliforms in drinking water, *J. Am. Water Works Assoc.*, 77, 81, 1985.
20. **Cowman, R. A. and Speck, M. L.**, Low temperature as an environmental stress on microbial enzymes, *Cryobiology*, 5, 291, 1969.
21. **Johnson, M., Ray, B., and Speck, M. L.**, Freeze-injury in cell wall and its repair in *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *Cryoletters*, 5, 171, 1984.

22. Ray, B. and Johnson, M. C., Freeze-drying injury of surface layer protein and its protection in *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *Cryoletters*, 7, 210, 1986.
23. Miller, L. L. and Ordal, Z. J., Thermal injury and recovery of *Bacillus subtilis*, *Appl. Microbiol.*, 24, 878, 1972.
24. Kukulinsky-Fuller, J. C. and Nelson, F. E., Enumeration of temperature-stressed *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* utilizing selective procedures, *J. Food Sci.*, 42, 415, 1977.
25. Gray, R. J. H., Witter, L. D., and Ordal, Z. J., Characterization of mild thermal stress in *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, *Appl. Microbiol.*, 26, 78, 1973.
26. Stevenson, K. E. and Clark, P. C., Thermal injury and recovery of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *J. Food Sci.*, 41, 136, 1976.
27. Nelson, F. E., Plating medium pH as a factor in apparent survival of sublethally stressed yeasts, *Appl. Microbiol.*, 24, 236, 1972.
28. Adams, G. H. and Ordal, Z. J., Effects of thermal stresses and reduced water activity of conidia of *Aspergillus flavus*, *J. Food Sci.*, 41, 547, 1976.
29. Steele, P. R. M., Latent injury in frozen-thawed bacteriophage T4Bo, *J. Hyg.*, 77, 113, 1976.
30. Sehgal, O. P. and Das, P. D., Effect of freezing on conformation and stability of the virions of southern bean mosaic virus, *Virology*, 64, 180, 1975.
31. McDonald, L. C., Hackney, C. R., and Ray, B., Enhanced recovery of injured *Escherichia coli* by compounds that degrade hydrogen peroxide or blocks its formation, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 45, 360, 1983.
32. Ray, B. and Adams, D. A., Jr., Repair and detection of injured microorganisms, in *Compendium of Methods for the Microbiological Examination of Foods*, 2nd ed., Speck, M. L., Ed., American Public Health Association, Washington, D.C., 1984, 112.
33. Hurst, A., Injury and its effects on survival and recovery, in *Microbial Ecology of Foods*, Vol. 1, Silliker, J. H., Elliot, R. P., Baird-Parker, A. C., Bryan, F. L., Christian, J. H. B., Clark, D. S., Olson, J. C., Jr., and Roberts, T. A., Eds., Academic Press, New York, 1980, 205.
34. Hartman, P. A., Green, W. L., Huskey, C. E., and Salinger, A. C., Coliform bacteria, in *Standard Methods for the Examination of Dairy Products*, 14th ed., Marth, E. L., Ed., American Public Health Association, Washington, D.C., 1978, 97.
35. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Isolation and Identification of Salmonella, in *Bacteriological Analytical Manuals Food and Drug Administration*, Washington, D.C., 1976, chap. 6.
36. *Standard Method for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*, 16th ed., American Public Health Association, Washington, D.C., 1985.
37. Hurst, A. and Nasim, A., Eds., *Repairable Lesions in Microorganisms*, Academic Press, New York, 1984.
38. Andrew, M. H. E. and Russell, A. D., Eds., *The Revival of Injured Microbes*, Academic Press, New York, 1984.
39. LeChevallier, M. W., Singh, A., Schiemann, D. A., and McFeters, G. A., Changes in virulence of water borne enteropathogens with chlorine injury, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 50, 412, 1985.
40. LeChevallier, M. W., Schiemann, D. A., and McFeters, G. A., Factors contributing to the reduced invasiveness of chlorine-injured *Yersinia enterocolitica*, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 53, 1358, 1987.
41. Flowers, R., Comparison of rapid *Salmonella* screening methods and the conventional culture methods, *Food Technol.*, 39(3), 103, 1985.
42. Ray, B. and Johnson, M. C., Survival and growth of freeze-stressed *Campylobacter jejuni* cells in selective media, *J. Food Safety*, 6, 183, 1984.
43. Huhtanen, C. N., Shieh, J., Wierbicki, E., Zaika, L., Jenkins, R. K., Buchanan, R. L., and Thayer, D. W., Effect of sugar and low-dose irradiation on toxin production by *Clostridium botulinum* in comminuted bacon, *J. Food Prot.*, 49, 112, 1986.
44. Devenish, J. A., Ciebin, B. W., and Brodsky, M. H., Novobiocin brilliant green glucose agar: new medium for isolation of salmonellae, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 52, 539, 1986.
45. Ray, B., Jezeski, J. J., and Busta, F. B., Isolation of *Salmonella* from naturally contaminated dried milk products. III. Influence of pre-enrichment conditions, *J. Milk Food Technol.*, 35, 607, 1972.
46. Ray, B. and Speck, M. L., Freeze-injury in bacteria, *Critical Rev. Clin. Lab. Sci.*, 4, 161, 1973.
47. Shibasaki, I. and Tsuchido, T., Enhancing effect of chemicals on the thermal injury of microorganisms, *Acta Aliment. Acad. Sci. Hung.*, 2, 327, 1973.
48. Ray, B., Reversible freeze injury, in *Repairable Lesions in Microorganisms*, Hurst, A. and Nasim, A., Eds., Academic Press, New York, 1984, 237.
49. Hass, M. J., Methods and applications of genetic engineering, *Food Technol.*, 38(2), 69, 1984.
50. Nierman, W. C. and Feldblyum, T., Cryopreservation of cultures that contain plasmids, in *Development in Industrial Microbiology*, Vol. 26, Society for Industrial Microbiology, Arlington, VA, 1985, 423.

Chapter 2

ENUMERATION OF INJURED INDICATOR BACTERIA FROM FOODS

Bibek Ray

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction	10
A.	Significance of Indicator Bacteria in Food	10
B.	Characteristics of Indicator Bacteria	11
1.	Coliform Group	11
2.	Fecal Coliform Group	11
3.	<i>E. coli</i>	11
4.	Enterobacteriaceae Group	12
5.	Enterococci or Fecal Streptococci Group	12
C.	Recommended Methods to Detect Indicator Bacteria from Foods	13
1.	Enumeration of the Coliform Group	13
a.	MPN Methods	13
b.	DP Methods	14
2.	Enumeration of Fecal Coliform Group	16
a.	MPN Methods	16
b.	DP Methods	16
3.	Enumeration of <i>E. coli</i>	17
a.	MPN Methods	17
b.	DP Methods	17
4.	Enumeration of Enterobacteriaceae Group	18
a.	DP Method	18
5.	Enumeration of Enterococci (or Fecal Streptococci) Group	18
a.	DP Methods	18
b.	Presence or Absence of Enterocci	18
D.	Selectivity of Media Used for the Enumeration of Indicator Bacteria in Foods	19
II.	Sublethal Injury in Indicator Bacteria	20
A.	Measurement of Injured, Uninjured, and Dead Cells in a Population	22
B.	Altered Characteristics of Injured Indicator Bacteria	23
C.	Factors Influencing Injury in Indicator Bacteria	25
1.	Factors Inherent to Indicator Bacteria	25
2.	Conditions and Type of Sublethal Treatments	25
3.	Conditions to Detection of Injured Indicator Bacteria	26
D.	Site of Injury in Indicator Bacteria due to Sublethal Treatments	26
E.	Repair of Sublethal Injury in Indicator Bacteria	31
1.	Environment of Repair	31
2.	Metabolic Processes During Injury Repair	33
3.	Structures Being Repaired	35