DIAS

Jurisprudence

Fourth Edition

Butterworths

JURISPRUDENCE

Ву

R. W. M. DIAS

M.A., LL.B. (CANTAB.)

of the Inner Temple, Barrister Fellow of Magdalene College and Lecturer in Law in the University of Cambridge

FOURTH EDITION

LONDON BUTTERWORTHS 1976 ENGLAND: BUTTERWORTH & CO. (PUBLISHERS) LTD.

LONDON: 88 KINGSWAY, WC2B 6AB

AUSTRALIA: BUTTERWORTH PTY. LTD.

SYDNEY: 586 PACIFIC HIGHWAY, CHATSWOOD, NSW

2067

ALSO AT MELBOURNE, BRISBANE, ADELAIDE

AND PERTH

CANADA: BUTTERWORTH & CO. (CANADA) LTD.

TORONTO: 2265 MIDLAND AVENUE, SCARBOROUGH

M1P 4S1

NEW ZEALAND: BUTTERWORTHS OF NEW ZEALAND LTD.

WELLINGTON 1: T & W YOUNG BUILDING, 77-85 CUSTOMHOUSE QUAY, CPO BOX 472

SOUTH AFRICA: BUTTERWORTH & CO. (SOUTH AFRICA)

(PTY.) LTD.

DURBAN: 152-154 GALE STREET

USA: BUTTERWORTH & CO. (PUBLISHERS) INC.

BOSTON: 10 Tower Office Park, Woburn, Mass 01801

(C)

Butterworth & Co. (Publishers) Ltd. 1976

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying and recording, without the written permission of the copyright holder, application for which should be addressed to the publisher. Such written permission must also be obtained before any part of this publication is stored in a retrieval system of any nature.

 Third Edition
 .
 1970

 Amended Reprint
 .
 1973

 Fourth Edition
 .
 1976

 First Reprint
 .
 1978

 Second Reprint
 .
 1980

ISBN—Casebound: 0 406 57429 4 Limp: 0 406 57427 8

This book is sold subject to the Standard Conditions of Sale of Net Books and may not be re-sold in the UK below the net price fixed by Butterworths for the book in our current cetalogue.

Reprinted in Singapore by Tien Wah Press (Pte) Ltd.

DEDICATED TO THE AUTHORS OF THE MEANING OF MEANING (1923)

PREFACE

THE study of Jurisprudence is an opportunity for the lawyer to bring theory and life into focus, for it concerns human thought in relation to social existence. Teachers of law always hope to encourage their pupils to learn how to think rather than just what to know, and Jurisprudence is peculiarly suited to this end because it can set law in wider contexts and proceed by way of stimulating ideas and not simply by instruction. This book does not aim at evolving or demonstrating a particular theory, but seeks instead to provide a way of thinking about law. also furnishes material for the evolution of one's own ideas and the understanding of other theories and in this latter respect serves as a prelude to such contributions by inducing an informed and critical approach to them. The best guarantee of independence and originality in thought is breadth of view, a sense of perspective and a sympathetic appreciation of what people have said and are saying. No one theory provides the best or exclusive avenue to "truth", and those who choose to tread one path rather than another are not for that reason misguided and in darkness. Jurisprudence is a wide field crossed by many paths, none being superior to another, since much depends on whither one wishes to go.

With a view to giving effect to this aim an attempt has been made in this book to provide a text which might serve as a guiding-thread to the extensive literature on the topics that are dealt with, and which, it is hoped, will give readers a picture of those topics and some idea of the issues and problems they involve. The reading-lists appended to each chapter are no more than pointers for preliminary purposes only. Side by side with this, a parallel attempt has been made to provide fuller references for those wishing to delve more deeply. These are contained in the companion volume, A Bibliography of Jurisprudence, the object of which is to enable its readers to steep themselves in as many aspects as possible of the various topics by consulting a wide range of views and shades of opinion.

The principle change in the previous edition was the introduction of a "temporal" approach to legal phenomena which viii Preface

affected practically every part of the subject. The only reason why it was not made the subject of an entirely new work was that it lent itself readily as a basis for a more meaningful treatment of the existing material. The plan of the present edition is different again. Its theme is the "achievement of justice" as a major concern of law, which absorbs and extends the earlier theme. This cumulative development stems from the fact that the book was born before being conceived, which is why its gestation has been unusual, to say the least. In addition to a great deal of new matter and a great deal of excision throughout, four new chapters make their appearance, some old chapters disappear, there are now two Parts instead of three; all of which has involved extensive re-writing and re-arrangement. The increase in the length of the text by 105 pages is a matter of deep regret, especially as every effort was made to keep the increase within modest limits.

A minor innovation, introduced in the last edition and which has been continued, is an attempt to draw the book more tightly together by bringing various theories, dealt with in Part II, into the context of discussions in earlier chapters. Experience has shown that pupils are better able to appreciate a theory if it is considered while the problem, to which it is most relevant, is still hot so to speak, and before the details have been obscured by other problems. To enable this to be done without disturbing the structure of the book, forward references are given in the first Part to appropriate theories appearing in the second Part; but these need not be followed up at the suggested points unless one wishes.

The acknowledgment of the debt to others is always the pleasantest task. The help given by those mentioned in the Prefaces to the first two editions has been of permanent value and although they have been spared in the preparation of this edition, my gratitude to them can never diminish. It was my wife who was called upon to make even more exacting sacrifices than before. That the failings of this presentation are not greater than they are is due to her critical and constructive help in matters ranging from vital issues to the positioning of commas. She also devoted many hours of her valuable time to checking every word in manuscript and in proof. The kind of debt that is owing for such services cannot be put into words. A special word of thanks should also be given to that unfailingly helpful body of critics,

the undergraduates, whom I have met over the years in discussions and classes. It is for their successors that this work is designed, and if it proves to be of value in future, that might atone in some measure for the experiments that were inflicted upon them.

In conclusion, I would like to record my appreciation of the efforts of the Publishers and the Printers. As before, the whole book emerged in most accurate page proofs before I had barely got over the relief of having parted with the manuscript. They have made the arduous business of seeing it through the press as smooth as possible.

R. W. M. DIAS

March, 1976

LIST OF CASES

Decisions of the European Court of Justice are listed both alphabetically and numerically.

The numerical table follows the alphabetical.

PAGE	PAGE
A Alma S.p.A. v. The High A	
A Brewery Solus Agreement ity (1965)	
(1975) 179 Amalgamated Society of R	
Abbey, The, Malvern Wells, Ltd. Servants v. Osborne (191	•
v. Ministry of Local Govern- Amministrazione delle Fi	
ment and Planning (1951) 353 dello Stato v. S.A.C.E.	
Abley v, Dale (1851) 226 2695/70 (1975)	
Adam v. Ward (1917) 188 Ancona v. Rogers (1876)	
Adams v National Bank of Anderson (W. B.) & Sons	
Greece S.A. (1916) 291 v. Rhodes (Liverpool),	
Add: n. C (C.11/. t.) T.d (170/)	. 303
" Described 1 (1000) 201 211 Midelson, Etc. V. Daniel (1	(924). 233 . 201
Adkins v. Children's Hospital Adelia v. Children's Hospital Apley Estates Co., Ltd.	
(1922)	. 322
Adler ν . George (1964) 230 Application des Gaz S.A. ν .	
Administration des Contributions Veritas, Ltd. (1974) .	. 133
Indirectes et Comité Interpro- Applin v. Race Relations	Board
fessional des Vins Doux (1975)	230, 231
Naturels v. Ramel (1971) 136 Arbon v. Anderson (1943).	
Admiralty Commissioners v. Argyll (Duchess) v. Du	
North of Scotland and Orkney Argyll (1967)	. 259
and Shetland Steam Naviga- Armory v. Delamirie (1722	
tion Co., Ltd. (1947) 135 Armstrong v. Strain (1951)	
Admiralty Commissioners v. Ashby v. White (1703)	. 49
S.S. Amerika (1917) 200 Asher v. Seaford Court E	
Ainley v. Ainley (1945) 199 Ltd. (1950)	. 397
Airey v. Airey (1958) 318 Askinex, Ltd. v. Green (1968)	69) . 16
Akar v. AG. of Sierra Leone Aspden v. Seddon (1875).	
(1970)	
Aksionairnoye Obschestvo, A. Ltd. v. Inland Revenue	
M. Luther v. James Sagor & missioners (1935).	. 235
Co., Ltd. (1921)	Group
Alexander v. D. avson (1936) 289 v. Flynn (1970)	. 284
Alfred F Beckett Itd v Ivons AG. v. Antigua Imes,	Ltd.
(1047) 1EE 1E7 (17/3)	. 344
Allen v. Flood (1898) 45 trict Council (1962)	. 273
Allen v. Jackson (1875) 213 AG. v. De Keyser's	
Allen v. Roughley (1955) 398 Hotel, Ltd. (1920).	263, 265

:	PAGE		PAGE
AG. v. Farrell (1931)	404	Beaulieu v. Finglam (1401)	246
A,-G, v. H.R.H. Prince Ernest		Beck v. Scholtz (1953)	379
Augustus of Hanover (1957) .		Becke v. Smith (1836)	229
112, 228, 229,	230	Beckett (Alfred F.), Ltd. v. Lyons	
	343		, 251
AG. v. Thornton (1824)	321	Beetham v. Trinidad Cement,	
AG. (Australia) v. Adelaide S.S.		Ltd. (1960)	282
Co. (1913)	45	Behrens v. Bertram Mills Circus,	
AG. for New South Wales v.	-	Ltd. (1957)	182
	122	Belfast Corporation v. O.D. Cars,	
AG. of New Zealand v. Lower		Ltd. (1960)	273
Hutt Corporation (1964)	273	Bendall v. McWhirter (1952)	213,
AG.'s Application, Re, AG.	2,5	Dendan V. Wie Winiter (1752)	439
	430	Benmax v. Austin Motor Co.,	737
	737	Ltd. (1955)	8
Auckland Bus Co. v. New Lynn	320		U
Borough (1965)	320	Bennett, Ex parte, Re Cunning-	124
Australian Consolidated Press,	250	ham (1967)	124
Ltd. v. Uren (1969)	259	Berendsen (S.) v. Inland Revenue	250
Automatic Wood Turning Co.,	070	Commissioners (1958)	352
Ltd. v. Stringer (1957)	278	Beresford v. Royal Insurance Co.,	
Ayerst ν . Jenkins (1873)	289		, 323
D		Berkeley v. Papadoyannis (1954).	380
В		Berliner Industriebank Aktien-	
B.C. Pea Growers v. City of		gesellschaft v. Jost (1971)	319
Portgage La Prairie (1965) .	272	Berry ν . Irish Times, Ltd. (1973).	45
Bailey v. Geddes (1938)	185	Best v. Samuel Fox & Co., Ltd.	
Bailey v. Williamson (1873) .	44		305
Baker, Re, Nichols v. Baker		Betty's Cafes, Ltd. v. Phillips	
(1890)	318	Furnishing Stores, Ltd. (1959).	189
Baker v. R. (1975)	190	Bewlay (Tobacconists), Ltd. v.	
Baker v. Turner (1950)	380	British Bata Shoe Co., Ltd.	
Banco de Vizcaya v. Don Alfonso		(1958)	243
de Borbon y Austria (1935) .	292		107
Banco, The (1971)	235	Billings v. Reed (1945)	235
Bank of England v. Vagliano		Bilston Corporation v. Wolver-	
Brothers (1891)	446	hampton Corporation (1942).	122
Bank Voor Handel En Scheep-		Bird v. Fort Frances (1949).	383
vaart v. Slatford (1951)	352	Birmingham and Midland Motor	
Barclays Bank, Ltd. v. Inland		Omnibus Co., Ltd. v. Wor-	
Revenue Commissioners (1961)	352	cestershire County Council	
Barker v. Keat (1677)	294	(1967)	273
Barker v. Levinson (1951).	177	Birmingham City Corporation	-,5
Barnes v. Irwell Valley Water	*′′	v. West Midland Baptist (Trust)	
Board (1939)	187	Association (Incorporated)	
Barnes v. Jarvis (1953)	231	(1969)	219.
Barratt u Cough-Thomas (1945)	193	Birtwistle v. Tweedale (1953)	176
Barratt v. Gough-Thomas (1945)	193		
Barratt v. Gough-Thomas (1951)		Bize v. Dickason (1786)	319
Bates' Case (1606)	103	Black Clayron International Ltd.	13/
Baxter v. Baxter (1947)	290	Black-Clawson International Ltd.	
Baylis v. Bishop of London (1913)	276	v. Papierwerke Waldhof-	225
Beachey v. Brown (1860)	325		235
Beard v. Beard (1946)	199	Bligh v. Martin (1968)	386

PAGE	PAGE
Blundell v. Catterall (1821) . 255	British Homophone Co., Ltd. v.
Bocking ν . Roberts (1974) 386	Kunz and Crystallate Gramo-
Bolton (H. L.) (Engineering) Co.,	phone Record Manufacturing
Itd a T I Graham & Sons	Co., Ltd
Ltd. (1957) 350, 363	British Motor Trade Association
Bonham's Case (1610) . 97, 112	ν. Salvadori (1949) 355
Ltd. (1957)	British Railways Board v. Her-
(1956)	rington (1972) . 170, 197, 201,
Boomer v. Penn (1965) . 267, 425	211, 257, 262, 270, 286, 359
Borland's Trustees v. Steel Dro-	British Railways Board v. G-J.
thers & Co., Ltd. (1901) 348	Holdings (1974)
Bourne v. Keen (1919) 280	British Railways Board v. Pickin
Bowles v. Bank of England (1913) 117	(1974)
Bowmaker, Ltd. v. Tabor (1941) 38	Britt v. Buckinghamshire County Council (1964)
Bowman v. Secular Society, Ltd.	Council (1964) 235 Broadbent v. Wilks (1742) 249
(1917)	Describer and Edwards Ltd.
Boy Andrew (Owners) v. Own-	Bromilow and Edwards, Ltd. v. Inland Revenue Commission-
ers of St. Rognvald (1948) 235	(4000)
Boylan v. Dublin Corporation (1949)	ers $(19/0)$
(~~ .~)	Broom v. Morgan (1953) . 189, 210,
Boynton-Wood v. Trueman (1961) 378	321, 322
(1961)	Broome v. Cassell & Co., Ltd.
Bradbury v. Enfield London	(1971) 194
Borough Council (1967) . 281	Broome v. Director of Public
Bradford Corporation v. Pickles	Prosecutions (1974) 47
(1895) 37, 40, 43, 47, 303, 504, 644	Brough v. Nettleton (1921) . 376
Bradford Old Bank, Ltd. v. Sut-	Broughton ν. Snook (1938) . 317
cliffe (1918) 50	Brown v. Board of Education
Bradlaugh v. Gossett (1884) . 122	(1954) 128, 242
Bragg v. Crosville Motor Ser-	Brown v. Brash and Ambrose
vices, Ltd. (1959) 188	(1948) . 233, 375, 378, 379
Brassey's Settlement, Re, Bar-	Brown v. Rolls Royce, Ltd.
clay's Bank, Ltd. v. Brassey	(1960) 175
(1955) 124	Bryant v. Foot (1868) . 249, 251
Bratty v. AG. for Northern	Buccleuch (Duke) v. Inland
Ireland (1963)	Revenue Commissioners (1967) 177
Brentnall and Cleland, Ltd. v.	Buckoke v. Greater London
London County Council (1945) 177	Council (1971) 270
Bribery Commissioner v. Ran-	Bugle Press, Ltd., Re, Re Houses
asinghe (1965) . 117, 118, 119,	and Estates Ltd. (1961) 353
120, 123	Bulmer (H. P.), Ltd. v. J. Bollin-
Bridge v. Campbell Discount	ger, S.A. (1974) 179, 236
Co., Ltd. (1962) 277	Bunting v. Oregon (1916) 242
Bridges v. Hawkesworth (1851)	Burden v. Rigler (1911) 44
383, 388, 390, 391	Burmah Oil Co. (Burma Trad-
Brighton Corporation v. Pack-	ing) Itd v Lord Advocate
ham (1908) 44	(1965) 129, 263
Britain v. Rossiter (1879) . 317, 321	(1965) 129, 263 Burns \(\nu\). Bidder (1967) 424 Busch \(\nu\). Stevens (1963) 318
British Coal Corporation v. R.	Busch v. Stevens (1963) 318
(1935) 124, 237	Bushford v. Falco (1954) 379
•	• •

PAGE	PAGE
Butler v. Board of Trade (1971). 266	Central London Property Trust
Butler or Black v. Fife Coal Co.	v. High Trees House (1947) . 439
(1912)	Chaffers v. Goldsmid (1894) 41, 43
Button v. Director of Public Pro-	Chamberline v. Harvey (1696) . 261
secutions (1965) 200	Chaplin v. Boys (1968) . 170, 194
Byrne v. Deane (1937) 45	Chapman v. Chapman (1954) .
Byrne v. Hoare (1965) 383	165, 178, 207
Byrne v. Kinematograph Renters	Chapman v. Honig . 35, 41, 54, 291,
Society, Ltd. (1958) 278	305
	Chapman v. Pickersgill (1762) . 59
C	Charter v. Race Relations Board
C.H.T., Ltd. v. Ward (1963) . 177	(1973) 82, 155, 234
Caisse Regionale de Securité	Cheney v. Conn (1968) . 16, 112,
Sociale du Nord-Est v. Goffart	292
(1969) 179	Cheng v. Governor of Penton-
Calder v. Bull (1789) 128	ville Prison (1973). 230, 231, 241
Caldow v. Pixell (1877) 52	Chic Fashions (West Wales),
Caledonian Rail. Co. v. North	Ltd. v. Jones (1968) 165, 207, 266
British Rail. Co. (1881) 227	Chillingworth v. Esche (1924) . 319
Caller v. Caller (1968) 339	Ching Garage, Ltd. v. Chingford
Calvin's Case (1608) 342	Corporation (1961) 273
Campbell v. Paddington Corpor-	Chisholm v. London Passenger
ation (1911)	Transport Board (1939) 185
Campbell Discount Co., Ltd. v.	Chung Chi Cheung v. R. (1939). 291
Bridge (1961)	Clayton v. Heffron (1960) 122
Candler v. Crane, Christmas &	Clayton v. Woodman & Son
Co. (1951) 184, 200, 207	(Builders), Ltd. (1962) . 184, 187
Cane (Valuation Officer) v.	Clayton Newbury, Ltd. v. Find-
Royal College of Music (1961) 189	lay (1953) 178
Cann v. Willson (1888) 200	Clifford Sabey (Contractors), Ltd.
Canterbury (Viscount) v. AG.	1
(1842)	Clifford Davis Management, Ltd. v. W.E.A. Records, Ltd. (1975) 281
Carpenters Co. v. British Mutual Banking Co., Ltd. (1938) . 271	Clore v. Theatrical Properties,
	Ltd. and Westley & Co., Ltd.
Carr v. Broderick & Co., Ltd. (1942)	(1936)
(1942)	Close v. Steel Co. of Wales, Ltd.
Carrington ν . Roots (1837) . 316	(1962) 195
Cartwright v. Post Office (1969). 273	Coburn v. Colledge (1897) 318
	Cochrane, Re (1840) 197
	Cohen, Re, National Provincial
Case of the Sheriff of Middlesex (1840)	Bank, Ltd. v. Katz 382
(2010)	Cole v. Police Constable 443A
Cassell & Co., Ltd. v. Broome	(1937) 43
(1972) . 191, 204, 213, 259, 285	Colin Smith Music, Ltd. v. Ridge
Cassidy v. Ministry of Health	(1975)
(1951)	Collco Dealings, Ltd. v. Inland
Castioni, Re (1891)	Revenue Commissioners (1962)
Cattle v. Stockton Waterworks	235, 292
Co. (1875) 291, 303	College of Phisitians v. Cooper
Cavanagh v. Ulster Weaving	(1675)
Co., Ltd. (1960) . 175, 271, 282	Collins v. Godefroy (1831) 310

PAGE	PAGE
Collins v. Minister of the Interior (1957) 126	Crinton v. Minister for Justice (1959)
Collymore v. AG. of Trinidad	Crofter Hand Woven Harris
and Tobago (1969) . 159, 284	Tweed Co., Ltd. v. Veitch
Colonial Bank v. Whinney (1885) 348	(1942) 45
Commercial and Estates Co. of	Crouch v. Crédit Foncier of Eng-
Egypt v. Board of Trade (1925) 291	land (1873)
Commissioner for Railways v.	Crowe (Valuation Officer) v.
Quinlan (1964) 211	Lloyds British Testing Co.,
Compania Colombiana de Se-	Ltd. (1960) 236
guros v. Pacific Steam Naviga-	Crowhurst v. Maidment (1953) . 380
tion Co. (1965) 317	Crown Lands Commissioners v.
Compania Naviera Vascongada	Page (1960)
v. The Cristina (1938) 291	Croxford v. Universal Insurance
Congreve v. Home Office (1976)	Co., Ltd. (1936) 227, 241
47, 54, 111, 141, 280	Cullimore v. Lyme Regis Cor-
Connors Brothers, Ltd. v. Con-	poration (1962)
nors (1940)	Cunard v. Antifyre, Ltd. (1933) . 187
Consett Industrial and Provident	
Society, Ltd. v. Consett Iron	Cunningham, Re, Ex parte Ben- nett (1967) 124
	nett (1967) 124 Curtis Moffat, Ltd. v. Wheeler
	1
	(1929)
Conway v. George Wimpey & Co., Ltd. (1951) 176	
- 17 - X 1 - X	Customs and Excise Commis-
Conway v. Rimmer (1967) 129, 170,	sioners v. Top Ten Promotions. Ltd. (1969) 244
194, 286 Conway v. Wade (1908) 355	tions, Ltd. (1969) 244 Cutler v. McPhail (1962) 322
	Cutler v. Wandsworth Stadium,
Cooper v. Phibbs (1867) 37 Copyright Owners Reproduc-	Ltd. (1949) 241
tion Society v. E.M.I. (Austra-	1
11.5 Page 1.1 (4050) 104	Czarnikow (C.), Ltd. v. Koufos (1966)
Corbett v. Burge, Warren and	(1966) 197
Ridgley, Ltd. (1932) 304	D
Corbett v. Corbett (1971) . 213, 428	
Corfu Channel Case, The (1948). 163	Da Costa en Schake N.V. ν.
Corocraft, Ltd. v. Pan American	Nederlandse Adminstratie van
Airways, Inc. (1969) 229, 235, 292	Belastingen: 28-30/62 (1963) . 179
Costa v. Ente Nazionale per	Daimler Co., Ltd. v. Continental
L'Energia Elettrica (Enel): 6/64	Tyre and Rubber Co. (Gt.
(1964) 132, 135, 136	Britain), Ltd. (1916) 351
Cotter v. Lynch Brothers (1972). 284	Daly v. Liverpool Corporation
Coutts & Co. v. Browne-Lecky	(1939)
(1947) 47	Dando (S. L.), Ltd. v. Hitchcock
Cove v. Flick (1954). 379, 380	(1954)
Crabb v. Arun District Council	Davey v. Harrow Corporation
(1975) 439	(1958) 187
Craddock v. Hampshire County	David v. Abdul Cader (1963) .
Council (1958) 189	40, 49, 54, 55
Craxfords (Ramsgate), Ltd. v.	Davie v. New Merton Board
Williams and Steer Manufac-	Mills, Ltd. (1959) . 185, 200, 224,
turing Co., Ltd. (1954) 316	283
·	1 200

PAGE	PAGE
Davies v. Elsby Brothers, Ltd.	Duck v. Mayeu (1892) 322
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Dullewe v. Dullewe (1969) 232, 237
(
Davies, Jenkins & Co., Ltd. v.	2 44100 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Davies (1968) 221, 226	Dutton v. Bognor Regis Urban
Davis (Clifford) Management,	District Council (1972) . 55, 175,
Ltd. v. W.E.A. Records, Ltd.	193, 270
(1975)	Duval v. Seguin (1972) 338
De Funis v. Odegaard (1974) . 67	Dwyer ν . Mansfield (1946) 275
Delaney v. Smith (T. P.), Ltd.	Dyson Holdings, Ltd. v. Fox
(1946)	(1975)
De Morgan v. Metropolitan	_
Board of Works (1880) 44	E
Denby (William) & Sons, Ltd. v.	E. B. M. Co., Ltd. v. Dominion
Minister of Health 278	Bank (1937) 349
Derry v. Peek (1889). 304	Ealing London Borough Council
Dewhirst, Re, Flower v. Dew-	v. Race Relations Board (1972)
hirst (1948) 277	219, 234, 236, 242
De Wutz v. Hendricks (1824) . 292	East Ham Borough Council v.
Deyong v. Shenburn (1946) . 305	Bernard Sunley & Sons, Ltd.
	(1965) 187
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	East Suffolk Rivers Catchment
293, 321	
Dimes v. Grand Junction Canal	Board v. Kent (1941) 39, 54, 272
(Proprietors) (1852) 278, 352	Eastbourne Corporation v. Fortes
Director of Public Prosecutions	Ice Cream Parlour (1955) 202
ν. Bhagwan (1972) 43	Eastern Counties Building Soci-
Director of Public Prosecutions	ety ν . Russell (1947) . 254
ν. Brooks (1974) 393	Eastern Distributors, Ltd. v.
Director of Public Prosecutions	Goldring (Murphy, Third
ν. Kent and Sussex Contractors,	Party) (1957) 317
Ltd. (1944) 351	Eastham ν . Newcastle United
Diwell ν . Farnes (1959) 290	Football Club, Ltd. (1964) 261, 288
Dixon v. Tommis (1952) . 378, 379	Eastman Photographic Materials
Dockers Labour Club and In-	Co., Ltd. v. The Comptroller-
stitute, Ltd. v. Race Relations	General of Patents (1898) . 231
Board (1974) 242	Ebbw Vale Urban District Coun-
Dodworth ν. Ďale (1936) 277	cil v. South Wales Traffic Area
Doe d. Harding v. Cooke (1831). 397	Licensing Authority (1951) . 347
Doe d. Hughes v. Dyeball (1829). 397	Edgington v. Clark (Macassey
Doe d. Burrough v. Reade (1807) 397	Trustees of Whitely House
Donoghue v. Stevenson (1932)	Trust) (1963) 387
174, 186, 187, 188, 189, 192, 196,	Edgington, Bishop and Withy ν .
207, 269, 288, 294, 408	Swindon Borough Council
Dorset Yacht Club, Ltd. v. Home	(1939) 273
Office (1969) 269	Edinburgh and Dalkeith Rail.
Doughty v. Turner Manufactur-	
ing Co., Ltd. (1964) . 175, 194	Edwards v. AG. for Canada (1930)
Dowty Boulton Paul, Ltd. v.	
Wolverhampton Corporation	\\
(1971)	Edwards v. Porter (1925)
Dransfield v. British Insulated	Egerton v. Earl Brownlow (1853)
Cables, Ltd. (1937) 195	275, 289

PAGE	PAGE
Egerton ν . Harding (1975) 249	Falmouth Boat Construction Co.,
Egger v. Viscount Chelmsford	Ltd. v. Howell 276
(or Davies) (1965) 188	Farrell v. Alexander (1976) 194, 286
Electrical Installations at Exeter	Fell v. Burchett (1857) 243
Hospital Agreement, Re (1971) 243	Fellner v. Minister of the Interior
Elias v. Pasmore (1934) . 195, 265	(1954)
Ellen Street Estates, Ltd. v. Minis-	Fender v. Mildmay (1937). 290, 294
ter of Health (1934) 113	Fender v. St. John-Mildmay
Ellerman Lines, Ltd. v. Murray	(1938)
(1931)	Fendoch Investment Trust Co. v.
Elliot v. Lord Joicey (1935) 339	I.R. Commissioners (1945) . 236
Ellis v. Johnstone (1963) . 207, 210	Ferguson v. Earl of Kinnoull
Elwes v. Brigg Gas Co. (1886).	(1842) 55
382, 389	Fibrosa Spolka Akcyjna v. Fair-
Empson v. Smith (1966) 321	bairn Lawson Combe Barbour,
Enderby Town Football Club,	Ltd. (1943) 200
Ltd. v. Football Association,	Firma Alfons Lütticke G.m.b.H.
Ltd. (1971)	ν. Hauptzollamt Sarrelouis:
Engineering Industry Training Board v. Samuel Talbot (En-	57/76 (1971) 135
Board v. Samuel Talbot (En-	Fisher v. Bell (1961)
gineers), Ltd. (1969) 231	Fisher v. Taylor's Furnishing
English Hop Growers, Ltd. v.	Stores, Ltd (1956) . 189, 259
Dering (1928) 282	Fletcher v. Budgen (1974) 233
Entick v. Carrington (1765) .	Fletcher's Application, Re (1970) 55
95, 195, 262, 265, 266	Foakes v. Beer (1884) 200
Errington v. Minister of Health	Forbes v. Cochrane (1824)
(1935) 278	Foster v. Driscoll (1929) 292
Escoign Properties, Ltd. v. Inland	Fowley Marine (Emsworth), Ltd.
Revenue Commissioners (1958)	ν. Gafford (1968) 382
232, 238, 244	Fox, Re, Brooks v. Martson
Estler v. Murrells (1959) 249	(1913) 404
Ewart ν . Ewart (1959) 228	Francis v. Chief of Police (1973). 265
Export Tax on Art Treasures	Francis ν . Yiewsley and West
(No. 2) Re, E.C. Commission	Drayton Urban District Coun-
v. Italy: 48/71 135	cil (1958) 202
Eyre v. Johnson (1946) 326	Francis Jackson Developments,
Eyston v. Studd (1574) 231	Ltd. v. Hall (1951) 229
	Frank Warr & Co., Ltd. v. Lon-
F	don County Council (1904) . 54
F. A. & A. B., Ltd. v. Lupton	Franklin v. AG. (1974) 343
(Inspector of Taxes) (1972) .	Franklin v. Minister of Town and
183, 195, 206, 208	Country Planning (1948) 273, 278
FA Max Neumann v. Hauptzol-	Franklin v. R. (No. 2) (1974) . 343
lamt Hof/Saale (1969) 135	Fraser v. Mudge (1975) 278
F. G. (Films), Ltd., Re (1953) . 352	Frauds on Agricultural Levies,
	•
Factor v. Laubenheimer and Hag- gard (1933)	, , ,
	Free Church of Scotland (General
Fagan v. Metropolitan Police	Assembly) v. Lord Overtoun
Commissioner (1969)	(1904)
Fairman v. Perpetual Investment	Free Fishermen of Faversham
Building Society (1923) . 188	(Co. or Fraternity of) (1887) . 345

PAGE	PAGE
Fromagerie Franco-Suisse "le	Great Western Rail. Co. v. S.S.
Ski" S.A. v. Minister for Eco-	Mostyn (Owners), The Mos-
nomic Affairs (1970) . 135, 136	tyn (1928) 187
Fry v. Inland Revenue Com-	tyn (1928) 187 Green v. Hills (1969)
missioners (1959) 291	Green (H. E.) & Sons v. Minister
,	of Health (1948) 273
G	Gresham Life Assurance Society
G. (Infants), Re (1963) 343	ν. Crowther (1915) 404
Gallagher v. Post office (1970) . 282	Grey v. Pearson (1857) 229
Gallie v. Lee (1969) 170, 181	Grosvenor Hotel, London (No.
Gallie v. Lee (1969) 170, 181 Gardiner v. Heading (1928) 276	2) (1965)
Gardiner v. Moore (No. 2) (1969) 322	Grundig Werke G.m.b.H. and
Garfinkel v. Metropolitan Police	J.N.J. Sieverding N.V. v.
Commissioner (1971) 263, 265, 266	P.V.B.A. Common Market
Gatehouse v. Vise (Inspector of	Import and Trade Co. (1968). 134
Taxes) (1956) 291	77
Geelong Harbor Trust Com-	H
missioners v. Gibbs Bright &	H.M.S. Truculent, The Admir-
Co. (1974) . 194, 209, 241, 287	alty v. Owners of The Divina
George and Richard, The (1871) 338	(1952)
George Wimpey & Co., Ltd. v.	Hack v. London Provident
Sohn (1967) 386 Ghani v. Jones (1970) 263, 265, 266	Building Society (1883). 193
Ghani v. Jones (1970) 263, 265, 266	Hale v. Jennings (1938) 184
Gibbons (Gibbon) v. Pepper	Haley v. London Electricity
(1695)	Board (1965) . 178, 196, 207,
Gilbert v. Stone (1647) 423	215, 271
Giles v. Walker (1890) . 187, 278	Hall v. Rogers (1925) 377
Gilford Motor Co. v. Horne	Hallett's Estate, Re, Knatchbull
(1933) 349	v. Hallett (1880) 213
Godden v. Hales (1686) 168	Hambleton v. Callinan (1968) . 375, 385
Gofor Investments v. Roberts	Hamilton v. National Coal Board
(1975) 378, 379	(1960) 241
Golak Nath v. State of Punjab	Hamps v. Darby (1948) 177
(1967) 128, 203	Hampstead Corporation v. Caunt
Goldman v. Hargrave (1967) . 227	(1903) 50
Goodier v. Cooke (1940) 377	Hanna, Re (1957) 43
Goodrich v. Paisner (1957) 232, 243,	Hannah ν . Peel (1945) 382
378	Hanning v. Maitland (No. 2)
	(1970) 170
Goodwin v. Robarts (1875) . 256	Hanover (H.R.H. Prince Ernest
Gordon v. Harper (1796) 381	Augustus) v. AG. (1955) .
Gorris v. Scott (1874) 233	112, 228, 229, 230
Grafstein v. Holme and Freeman	Harding v. Price (1948) 224
(1958)	Hargreaves v. Bretherton (1959). 305
Gramophone & Typewriter, Ltd.	Harper v. Home Secretary (1955)
ν. Stanley (1908) 353	121, 122
Grant v. Southwestern County	Harper v. National Coal Board
Properties, Ltd. (1975) 421	(Intended Action) (1974). 182, 191
Great Northern Rail. Co. v. Sun-	Harris v. Minister of the Interior
burst Oil and Refining Co.	(1952) 121, 122, 126
(1932) 203	Harris v. Quine (1869) 317

PAGE	PAGE
Hartley v. Mayoh & Co. (1954).	Home Office v. Dorset Yacht
233, 305	Co., Ltd. (1970) . 204, 210, 213,
Haseldine v. Daw & Son, Ltd.	271, 288
(1941) 188	Horwood v. Millar's Timber and
Haynes v. Harwood (1935) 16, 314	Trading Co., Ltd. (1917) . 261
Hayward v. Challoner (or Cha-	Hounslow London Borough
loner) (1968)	Council v. Twickenham Gar-
Hazell v. British Transport Com-	den Developments, Ltd. (1971) 56
mission (1958) 174	Houldsworth v. City of Glasgow
Heap v. Ind Coope and Allsopp,	Bank (1880) 347
Ltd. (1940) 209, 276	House Property and Investment
Heathfield v. Chilton (1767) . 292	Co., Ltd., Re (1954) 208
Heatons Transport (St. Helens),	Howard v. Walker and Lake
Ltd. v. Transport and General	(Trustees) and Crisp (1947) . 187
Workers Union (1973) 356	Howard's Will Trusts, Re, Levin
Hedley Byrne & Co., Ltd. v.	ν. Bradley (1961) 290
Heller and Partners, Ltd. (1964)	Howard De Walden (Lord) v.
174, 184, 190, 199, 200, 269, 304 484,	Inland Revenue Commis-
Henderson v. Folkestone Water-	sioners (1942) 233, 274
works Co. (1885) 199, 202	Howell v. Falmouth Boat Con-
Henshall (John) (Quarries), Ltd.	struction, Ltd. (1951) 276
v. Harvey (1965)	Howgate v. AG. (1950) 235
Heron II, The (1967) 197	Howgate v. Bagnall (1951) 235
Herring v. Templeman (1973) . 278	Huddersfield Police Authority v.
Herschtal v. Stewart and Ardern,	Watson (1947) 178
Ltd. (1940) 195	Hughes v. Griffin (1969) 386
Heydon and Smith's Case (1610)	Hughes v. Henderson (1963) . 55
381, 384	Tragitos V. Trontactoria (1765)
Heydon's Case (1584) . 231, 232	Transpiries v. Comios (1001)
Heyman v. Darwin's, Ltd. (1942) 186	Hurst v. Picture Theatres, Ltd.
Hibbert v. McKiernan (1948) . 385	(1915)
Higgs v. Nassauvian, Ltd. (1975) 387	Hutchison v. Aitchison (1970) . 284
Hill v. C. A. Parsons (1972) . 401	Hutton ν . Warren (1836)
Hilder v. Dexter (1902) 234	
Hill v. Aldershot Corporation	l I
(1933)	Imperial Chemical Industries, Ltd.
Hill v. Baxter (1958). 267, 424, 425	ν. Shatwell (1965). 47, 147, 209,
	282, 283, 322
Hill v. East and West India Dock	
Co. (1884)	Import Duties on Processed Beef,
Hinds ν . R. (1976)	
Hivac, Ltd. v. Park Royal Scien-	Import of Pork, Re: 20-21/63
tific Instruments, Ltd. (1946) 282	(1966)
Hodgson v. National and Local	India Match Co. v. Lock (1946). 56
Government Officers Associa-	Inland Revenue Commissioners
tion (1972)	v. Ayrshire Mutual Insurance
Holmden's Settlement Trusts, Re,	Association, Ltd. (1946) 226
Holmden v. Inland Revenue	Inland Revenue Commissioners
Commissioners (1966) 189	v. Bew Estates, Ltd. (1956) . 358
Holmes v. Director of Public	Inland Revenue Commissioners
Prosecutions (1946) 200	ν. Collco Dealings, Ltd. (1959)
Holt ν . Dawson (1940) 377	235, 292

PAGE	PAGE
Inland Revenue Commissioners	Johnson v. Phillips (1975) . 270, 325
v. Crossman, Inland Revenue	
Commissioners v. Mann (1937) 348	Johnson's Will Trusts, Re, Na-
Inland Revenue Commissioners	tional Provincial Bank, Ltd. v.
v. Dowdall O'Mahoney &	Jeffrey (1967) 290
Co., Ltd. (1952) 227	Jones ν . Chapman (1849) 382
Inland Revenue Commissioners	Jones v. Dennison (1971) 425
v. Educational Grants Associa-	Jones v. Geen (1925) 275
tion, Ltd. (1967) 232	Jones v. Lipman (1962) . 352, 353
Inland Revenue Commissioners	Jones v. Randall (1774) 289
v. Harton Coal Co., Ltd.	Jones v. Secretary of State for
	Social Services (1972) . 191, 195,
(=/	200, 203, 219, 233, 238, 286
Inland Revenue Commissioners	Jones v. Selby (1710) 376
v. Hinchy (1960) 226, 227	Julius v. Lord Bishop of Oxford
Internationale Handelsgesell-	(1880) 55
schaft m.b.H. v. Einfuhr-und	(1000):
Vorvatsstelle für Getreide und	K
Futtermittel (1974) 134	Kariapper v. Wijesinha (1968) . 128
Ionian Bank, Ltd. v. Couvreur	Kariapper v. Wijesinha (1968) . 128 Katikiro of Buganda v. AG.
(1969)	
Iveagh (Earl) v. Martin (1961) . 249	(1960)
reagn (barr) v. marem (1901) . 219	Kaufman v. Gerson (1904)
ĭ	Kavanagh v. Hiscock (1974) . 59
Jackson (Francis) Developments,	Kaye ν . Sutherland (1888). 310
	Kennedy ν. Spratt (1972) 233
Ltd. v. Hall (1951) 229	Kerrison ν . Smith (1897) 56
Jacobs v. London County Coun-	Ketch Frances v. Highland Loch
cil (1950) 188, 189	(1912)
James v. T. H. Kent & Co., Ltd.	Keys v. Boulter (1971) . 222, 355
(1951)	Kinally, Ex parte (1958) . 55
Jarvis v. Williams (1955) 399	King's Prerogative in Saltpetre
Jebb, Re, Ward-Smith v. Jebb	(1606)
	Kirkness (Inspector of Taxes) v.
,	John Hudson & Co., Ltd.
Jennings (P. S.) v. W. P. Quinn	(1955) 236, 237
& F. Dooris (1968) 266	Kitchen v. R.A.F. Association
Jewish Maternity Home (Trus-	(1958)
tees) v. Garfinkle (1926) 377	Knapp v. Railway Executive
Jilani v. Government of Punjab	(1949) 233, 305
(1972) . 12, 15, 95, 105, 106, 107,	Knight v. Sampson (1938) 185
109, 116, 130, 218, 280, 315,	
453, 498, 499, 500, 501, 508,	
510, 694	Knight's Question, Re (1959) . 400
Joachimson v. Swiss Banking	Knuller (Publishing, Printing and
Corporation (1921) 50	Promotions), Ltd. v. Director
	of Public Prosecutions (1973)
Johanna Oldendorff, The (1974)	151, 201, 286
201, 286	Koenigsberg, Re, Public Trustee
John v. Rees (1969) 278	v. Koenigsberg (1949) 199
John Henshall (Quarries), Ltd. ν.	Korematsu v. U.S. (1944)
Harvey (1965) 351	Kores Manufacturing Co., Ltd.
Johnson ν. Clark (1908) 250	v. Kolok Manufacturing Co.,
Johnson v. New Jersey (1966) . 203	Ltd. (1959) 275