

MASAKI KASHIWARA
PIERRE SCHAPIRA

Volume 332

Grundlehren
der mathematischen
Wissenschaften

A Series of
Comprehensive Studies
in Mathematics

CATEGORIES
AND SHEAVES

0154.1

K19

Masaki Kashiwara
Pierre Schapira

Categories and Sheaves



E200602395



Springer

Masaki Kashiwara
Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences
Kyoto University
Kitashirakawa-Oiwake-cho
606-8502 Kyoto
Japan
E-mail: masaki@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Pierre Schapira
Institut de Mathématiques
Université Pierre et Marie Curie
4, place Jussieu
75252 Paris Cedex 05,
France
E-mail: schapira@math.jussieu.fr

Library of Congress Control Number: 2005930329

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 18A, 18E, 18F10, 18F20, 18G

ISSN 0072-7830

ISBN-10 3-540-27949-0 Springer Berlin Heidelberg New York

ISBN-13 978-3-540-27949-5 Springer Berlin Heidelberg New York

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilm or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Violations are liable for prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

Springer is a part of Springer Science+Business Media
springeronline.com

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2006
Printed in The Netherlands

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

Typesetting: by the authors and TechBooks using a Springer L^AT_EX macro package

Cover design: *design & production* GmbH, Heidelberg

Printed on acid-free paper SPIN: 11304500 41/TechBooks 5 4 3 2 1 0

Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 332

A Series of Comprehensive Studies in Mathematics

Series editors

M. Berger B. Eckmann P. de la Harpe
F. Hirzebruch N. Hitchin L. Hörmander
M.-A. Knus A. Kupiainen G. Lebeau
M. Ratner D. Serre Ya. G. Sinai
N.J.A. Sloane B. Totaro
A. Vershik M. Waldschmidt

Editor-in-Chief

A. Chenciner J. Coates S.R.S. Varadhan

Preface

The language of Mathematics has changed drastically since the middle of the twentieth century, in particular after Grothendieck's ideas spread from algebraic geometry to many other subjects. As an enrichment for the notions of sets and functions, categories and sheaves are new tools which appear almost everywhere nowadays, sometimes simply in the role of a useful language, but often as the natural approach to a deeper understanding of mathematics.

Category theory, initiated by Eilenberg and Mac Lane in the forties (see [19, 20]), may be seen as part of a wider movement transcending mathematics, of which structuralism in various areas of knowledge is perhaps another facet. Before the advent of categories, people were used to working with a given set endowed with a given structure (a topological space for example) and to studying its properties. The categorical point of view is essentially different. The stress is placed not upon the objects, but on the relations (the morphisms) between objects within the category. The language is natural and allows one to unify various branches of mathematics and to make unexpected links between seemingly different subjects.

Category theory is elementary in the sense that there are few prerequisites to its study, though it may appear forbiddingly abstract to many people. Indeed, the usual course of mathematical education is not conducive to such a conceptual way of thinking. Most mathematicians are used to manipulating spaces and functions, computing integrals and so on, fewer understand the importance of the difference between an equality and an isomorphism or appreciate the beauty and efficiency of diagrams.

Another fundamental idea is that of a sheaf. Sheaves provide a tool for passing from local to global situations and a good deal of mathematics (and physics) revolves around such questions. Sheaves allow us to study objects that exist locally but not globally, such as the holomorphic functions on the Riemann sphere or the orientation on a Möbius strip, and the cohomology of sheaves measures in some sense the obstruction to passing from local to global.

Jean Leray invented sheaves on a topological space in the forties (see [46] and Houzel’s historical notes in [38]). Their importance, however, became more evident through the Cartan Seminar and the work of Serre. Subsequently, Serre’s work [62] on the local triviality of algebraic fiber bundles led Grothendieck to the realization that the usual notion of a topological space was not appropriate for algebraic geometry (there being an insufficiency of open subsets), and introduced sites, that is, categories endowed with “Grothendieck topologies” and extended sheaf theory to sites.

The development of homological algebra is closely linked to that of category and sheaf theory. Homological algebra is a vast generalization of linear algebra and a key tool in all parts of mathematics dealing with linear phenomena, for example, representations, abelian sheaves, and so forth. Two milestones are the introduction of spectral sequences by Leray (loc. cit.) and the introduction of derived categories by Grothendieck in the sixties.

In this book, we present categories, homological algebra and sheaves in a systematic and exhaustive manner starting from scratch and continuing with full proofs to an exposition of the most recent results in the literature, and sometimes beyond. We also present the main features and key results of related topics that would deserve a whole book for themselves (e.g., tensor categories, triangulated categories, stacks).

Acknowledgments

All along the preparation of the manuscript, we benefited from numerous constructive comments, remarks and suggestions from Andrea D’Agnolo, Pierre Deligne, Stéphane Guillermou, Bernhard Keller, Amnon Neeman, Pietro Polesello, Raphael Rouquier, Valerio Toledano and Ingo Waschkie. David Coyle has assisted us in questions of English idiom and Liliane Beaulieu in historical questions. It is a pleasure to thank all of them here.

Kyoto, Japan
Paris, France
May 2005

Masaki Kashiwara
Pierre Schapira

Contents

Introduction	1
1 The Language of Categories	9
1.1 Preliminaries: Sets and Universes	10
1.2 Categories and Functors	11
1.3 Morphisms of Functors	19
1.4 The Yoneda Lemma	23
1.5 Adjoint Functors	27
Exercises	30
2 Limits	35
2.1 Limits	36
2.2 Examples	43
2.3 Kan Extension of Functors	50
2.4 Inductive Limits in the Category Set	54
2.5 Cofinal Functors	57
2.6 Ind-lim and Pro-lim	59
2.7 Yoneda Extension of Functors	62
Exercises	64
3 Filtrant Limits	71
3.1 Filtrant Inductive Limits in the Category Set	71
3.2 Filtrant Categories	78
3.3 Exact Functors	81
3.4 Categories Associated with Two Functors	87
Exercises	90
4 Tensor Categories	93
4.1 Projectors	93
4.2 Tensor Categories	96

4.3	Rings, Modules and Monads	103
	Exercises	107
5	Generators and Representability	113
5.1	Strict Morphisms	113
5.2	Generators and Representability	117
5.3	Strictly Generating Subcategories	121
	Exercises	128
6	Indization of Categories	131
6.1	Indization of Categories and Functors	131
6.2	Representable Ind-limits	138
6.3	Indization of Categories Admitting Inductive Limits	139
6.4	Finite Diagrams in $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$	142
	Exercises	145
7	Localization	149
7.1	Localization of Categories	149
7.2	Localization of Subcategories	158
7.3	Localization of Functors	159
7.4	Indization and Localization	161
	Exercises	163
8	Additive and Abelian Categories	167
8.1	Group Objects	167
8.2	Additive Categories	169
8.3	Abelian Categories	175
8.4	Injective Objects	186
8.5	Ring Action	188
8.6	Indization of Abelian Categories	193
8.7	Extension of Exact Functors	197
	Exercises	201
9	π-accessible Objects and $\mathcal{F}$-injective Objects	215
9.1	Cardinals	216
9.2	π -filtrant Categories and π -accessible Objects	217
9.3	π -accessible Objects and Generators	223
9.4	Quasi-Terminal Objects	228
9.5	$\mathcal{F}$ -injective Objects	231
9.6	Applications to Abelian Categories	235
	Exercises	239

10	Triangulated Categories	241
10.1	Triangulated Categories	242
10.2	Localization of Triangulated Categories	248
10.3	Localization of Triangulated Functors	253
10.4	Extension of Cohomological Functors	256
10.5	The Brown Representability Theorem	258
	Exercises	265
11	Complexes in Additive Categories	269
11.1	Differential Objects and Mapping Cones	270
11.2	The Homotopy Category	272
11.3	Complexes in Additive Categories	278
11.4	Simplicial Constructions	282
11.5	Double Complexes	285
11.6	Bifunctors	289
11.7	The Complex $\text{Hom}^\bullet$	292
	Exercises	293
12	Complexes in Abelian Categories	297
12.1	The Snake Lemma	297
12.2	Abelian Categories with Translation	300
12.3	Complexes in Abelian Categories	302
12.4	Example: Koszul Complexes	306
12.5	Double Complexes	313
	Exercises	316
13	Derived Categories	319
13.1	Derived Categories	319
13.2	Resolutions	325
13.3	Derived Functors	329
13.4	Bifunctors	337
	Exercises	340
14	Unbounded Derived Categories	347
14.1	Derived Categories of Abelian Categories with Translation	347
14.2	The Brown Representability Theorem	353
14.3	Unbounded Derived Category	354
14.4	Left Derived Functors	357
	Exercises	366
15	Indization and Derivation of Abelian Categories	369
15.1	Injective Objects in $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$	369
15.2	Quasi-injective Objects	372

15.3	Derivation of Ind-categories	374
15.4	Indization and Derivation	381
	Exercises	387
16	Grothendieck Topologies	389
16.1	Sieves and Local Epimorphisms	389
16.2	Local Isomorphisms	394
16.3	Localization by Local Isomorphisms	399
	Exercises	401
17	Sheaves on Grothendieck Topologies	405
17.1	Presites and Presheaves	405
17.2	Sites	411
17.3	Sheaves	414
17.4	Sheaf Associated with a Presheaf	417
17.5	Direct and Inverse Images	423
17.6	Restriction and Extension of Sheaves	424
17.7	Internal $\mathcal{H}om$	429
	Exercises	431
18	Abelian Sheaves	435
18.1	$\mathcal{R}$ -modules	435
18.2	Tensor Product and Internal $\mathcal{H}om$	438
18.3	Direct and Inverse Images	442
18.4	Derived Functors for $\mathcal{H}om$ and $\mathcal{H}om$	444
18.5	Flatness	445
18.6	Ringed Sites	449
18.7	Čech Coverings	455
	Exercises	459
19	Stacks and Twisted Sheaves	461
19.1	Prestacks	461
19.2	Simply Connected Categories	466
19.3	Simplicial Constructions	467
19.4	Stacks	470
19.5	Morita Equivalence	474
19.6	Twisted Sheaves	477
	Exercises	480
	References	483
	List of Notations	487
	Index	491

Introduction

The aim of this book is to describe the topics outlined in the preface, categories, homological algebra and sheaves. We also present the main features and key results in related topics which await a similar full-scale treatment such as, for example, tensor categories, triangulated categories, stacks.

The general theory of categories and functors, with emphasis on inductive and projective limits, tensor categories, representable functors, ind-objects and localization is dealt with in Chaps. 1–7.

Homological algebra, including additive, abelian, triangulated and derived categories, is treated in Chaps. 8–15. Chapter 9 provides the tools (using transfinite induction) which will be used later for presenting unbounded derived categories.

Sheaf theory is treated in Chaps. 16–19 in the general framework of Grothendieck topologies. In particular, the results of Chap. 14 are applied to the study of the derived category of the category of sheaves on a ringed site. We also sketch an approach to the more sophisticated subject of stacks (roughly speaking, sheaves with values in the 2-category of categories) and introduce the important notion of twisted sheaves.

Of necessity we have excluded many exciting developments and applications such as n -categories, operads, A_∞ -categories, model categories, among others. Without doubt these new areas will soon be intensively treated in the literature, and it is our hope that the present work will provide a basis for their understanding.

We now proceed to a more detailed outline of the contents of the book.

Chapter 1. We begin by defining the basic notions of categories and functors, illustrated with many classical examples. There are some set-theoretical dangers and to avoid contradictions, we work in a given universe. Universes are presented axiomatically, referring to [64] for a more detailed treatment. Among other concepts introduced in this chapter are morphisms of functors, equivalences of categories, representable functors, adjoint functors and so on. We introduce in particular the category $\mathbf{Fct}(I, \mathcal{C})$ of functors from a small

category I to a category $\mathcal{C}$ in a universe $\mathcal{U}$, and look briefly at the 2-category $\mathcal{U}\text{-Cat}$ of all $\mathcal{U}$ -categories.

Here, the key result is the Yoneda lemma showing that a category $\mathcal{C}$ may be embedded in the category $\mathcal{C}^\wedge$ of all contravariant functors from $\mathcal{C}$ to **Set**, the category of sets. This allows us in a sense to reduce category theory to set theory and leads naturally to the notion of a representable functor. The category $\mathcal{C}^\wedge$ enjoys most of the properties of the category **Set**, and it is often extremely convenient, if not necessary, to replace $\mathcal{C}$ by $\mathcal{C}^\wedge$, just as in analysis, we are lead to replace functions by generalized functions.

Chapters 2 and 3. Inductive and projective limits are the most important concepts dealt with in this book. They can be seen as the essential tool of category theory, corresponding approximately to the notions of union and intersection in set theory. Since students often find them difficult to master, we provide many detailed examples. The category **Set** is not equivalent to its opposite category, and projective and inductive limits in **Set** behave very differently. Note that inductive and projective limits in a category are both defined as representable functors of *projective* limits in the category **Set**.

Having reached this point we need to construct the *Kan extension* of functors. Consider three categories $J, I, \mathcal{C}$ and a functor $\varphi: J \rightarrow I$. The functor φ defines by composition a functor φ_* from $\text{Fct}(I, \mathcal{C})$ to $\text{Fct}(J, \mathcal{C})$, and we can construct a right or left adjoint for this functor by using projective or inductive limits. These constructions will systematically be used in our presentation of sheaf theory and correspond to the operations of direct or inverse images of sheaves.

Next, we cover two essential tools for the study of limits in detail: cofinal functors (roughly analogous to the notion of extracted sequences in analysis) and filtrant¹ categories (which generalizes the notion of a directed set). As we shall see in this book, filtrant categories are of fundamental importance.

We define right exact functors (and similarly by reversing the arrows, left exact functors). Given that finite inductive limits exist, a functor is right exact if and only if it commutes with such limits.

Special attention is given to the category **Set** and to the study of filtrant inductive limits in **Set**. We prove in particular that inductive limits in **Set** indexed by a small category I commute with finite projective limits if and only if I is filtrant.

Chapter 4. Tensor categories axiomatize the properties of tensor products of vector spaces. Nowadays, tensor categories appear in many areas, mathematical physics, knot theory, computer science among others. They acquired popular attention when it was found that quantum groups produce rich examples of non-commutative tensor categories. Tensor categories and their applications in themselves merit an extended treatment, but we content ourselves

¹ Some authors use the terms “filtered” or “filtering”. We have chosen to keep the French word.

here with a rapid treatment referring the reader to [15, 40] and [59] from the vast literature on this subject.

Chapter 5. We give various criteria for a functor with values in **Set** to be representable and, as a by-product, obtain criteria under which a functor will have an adjoint. This necessitates the introduction of two important notions: strict morphisms and systems of generators (and in particular, a generator) in a category $\mathcal{C}$. References are made to [64].

Chapter 6. The Yoneda functor, which sends a category $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{C}^\wedge$, enjoys many pleasing properties, such as that of being fully faithful and commuting with projective limits, but it is not right exact.

The category $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ of ind-objects of $\mathcal{C}$ is the subcategory of $\mathcal{C}^\wedge$ consisting of small and filtrant inductive limits of objects in $\mathcal{C}$. This category has many remarkable properties: it contains $\mathcal{C}$ as a full subcategory, admits small filtrant inductive limits, and the functor from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ induced by the Yoneda functor is now right exact. On the other hand, we shall show in Chap. 15 that in the abelian case, $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ does not in general have enough injective objects when we remain in a given universe.

This theory, introduced in [64] (see also [3] for complementary material) was not commonly used until recently, even by algebraic geometers, but matters are rapidly changing and ind-objects are increasingly playing an important role.

Chapter 7. The process of localization appears everywhere and in many forms in mathematics. Although natural, the construction is not easy in a categorical setting. As usual, it is easier to embed than to form quotient.

If a category $\mathcal{C}$ is localized with respect to a family of morphisms $\mathcal{S}$, the morphisms of $\mathcal{S}$ become isomorphisms in the localized category $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{S}}$ and if $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a functor which sends the morphisms in $\mathcal{S}$ to isomorphisms in $\mathcal{A}$, then F will factor uniquely through the natural functor $Q: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{S}}$. This is the aim of localization. We construct the localization of $\mathcal{C}$ when $\mathcal{S}$ satisfies suitable conditions, namely, when $\mathcal{S}$ is a (right or left) multiplicative system.

Interesting features appear when we try to localize a functor F that is defined on $\mathcal{C}$ with values in some category $\mathcal{A}$, and does not map the arrows in $\mathcal{S}$ to isomorphisms in $\mathcal{A}$. Even in this case, we can define the right or left localization of the functor F under suitable conditions. We interpret the right localization functor as a left adjoint to the composition with the functor Q , and this adjoint exists if $\mathcal{A}$ admits inductive limits. It is then a natural idea to replace the category $\mathcal{A}$ with that of ind-objects of $\mathcal{A}$, and check whether the localization of F at $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is representable in $\mathcal{A}$. This is the approach taken by Deligne [17] which we follow here.

Localization is an essential step in constructing derived categories. A classical reference for localization is [24].

Chapter 8. The standard example of abelian categories is the category $\text{Mod}(R)$ of modules over a ring R . Additive categories present a much weaker

structure which appears for example when considering special classes of modules (e.g. the category of projective modules over the ring R is additive but not abelian).

The concept of abelian categories emerged in the early 1950s (see [13]). They inherit all the main properties of the category $\text{Mod}(R)$ and form a natural framework for the development of homological algebra, as is shown in the subsequent chapters. Of particular importance are the Grothendieck categories, that is, abelian categories which admit (exact) small filtrant inductive limits and a generator. We prove in particular the Gabriel-Popescu theorem (see [54]) which asserts that a Grothendieck category may be embedded into the category of modules over the ring of endomorphisms of a generator.

We also study the abelian category $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ of ind-objects of an abelian category $\mathcal{C}$ and show in particular that the category $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ is abelian and that the natural functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ is exact. Finally we prove that under suitable hypotheses, the Kan extension of a right (or left) exact functor defined on an additive subcategory of an abelian category is also exact. Classical references are the book [14] by Cartan-Eilenberg, and Grothendieck's paper [28] which stresses the role of abelian categories, derived functors and injective objects.

An important source of historical information on this period is given in [16] by two of the main contributors.

Chapter 9. In this chapter we extend many results on filtrant inductive limits to the case of π -filtrant inductive limits, for an infinite cardinal π . An object X is π -accessible if $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, \cdot)$ commutes with π -filtrant inductive limits. We specify conditions which ensure that the category $\mathcal{C}_{\pi}$ of π -accessible objects is small and that the category of its ind-objects is equivalent $\mathcal{C}$. These techniques are used to prove that, under suitable hypotheses, given a family $\mathcal{F}$ of morphisms in a category $\mathcal{C}$, there are *enough $\mathcal{F}$ -injective* objects.

Some arguments developed here were initiated in Grothendieck's paper [28] and play an essential role in the theory of model categories (see [56] and [32]). They are used in Chap. 14 in proving that the derived category of a Grothendieck category admits enough homotopically injective objects.

Here, we give two important applications. The first one is the fact that a Grothendieck category possesses enough injective objects. The second one is the Freyd-Mitchell theorem which asserts that any small abelian category may be embedded in the category of modules over a suitable ring. References are made to [64]. Accessible objects are also discussed in [1, 23] and [49].

Chapter 10. Triangulated categories first appeared implicitly in papers on stable homotopy theory after the work of Puppe [55], until Verdier axiomatized the properties of these categories (we refer to the preface by L. Illusie of [69] for more historical comments). Triangulated categories are now very popular and are part of the basic language in various branches of mathematics, especially algebraic geometry (see e.g. [57, 70]), algebraic topology and representation theory (see e.g. [35]). They appeared in analysis in the early 1970s under the

influence of Mikio Sato (see [58]) and more recently in symplectic geometry after Kontsevich expressed mirror symmetry (see [43]) using this language.

A category endowed with an automorphism T is called here a category with translation. In such a category, a triangle is a sequence of morphisms $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow T(X)$. A triangulated category is an additive category with translation endowed with a family of so-called *distinguished triangles* satisfying certain axioms. Although the first example of a triangulated category only appears in the next chapter, it seems worthwhile to develop this very elegant and easy formalism here for its own sake.

In this chapter, we study the localization of triangulated categories and the construction of cohomological functors in some detail. We also give a short proof of the Brown representability theorem [11], in the form due to Neeman [53], which asserts that, under suitable hypotheses, a contravariant cohomological functor defined on a triangulated category which sends small direct sums to products is representable.

We do not treat t -structures here, referring to the original paper [4] (see [38] for an expository treatment).

Chapter 11. It is perhaps the main idea of homological algebra to replace an object in a category $\mathcal{C}$ by a complex of objects of $\mathcal{C}$, the components of which have “good properties”. For example, when considering the tensor product and its derived functors, we replace a module by a complex of projective (or flat) modules and, when considering the global-section functor and its derived functors, we replace a sheaf by a complex of flabby sheaves.

It is therefore natural to study the category $C(\mathcal{C})$ of complexes of objects of an additive category $\mathcal{C}$. This category inherits an automorphism, the *shift functor*, called the “suspension” by algebraic topologists. Other basic constructions borrowed from algebraic topology are that of the *mapping cone* of a morphism and that of *homotopy* of complexes. In fact, in order to be able to work, i.e., to form commutative diagrams, we have to make morphisms in $C(\mathcal{C})$ which are homotopic to zero, actually isomorphic to zero. This defines the homotopy category $K(\mathcal{C})$ and the main result (stated in the slightly more general framework of additive categories with translation) is that $K(\mathcal{C})$ is triangulated.

Many complexes, such as Čech complexes in sheaf theory (see Chap. 18 below), are obtained naturally by simplicial construction. Here, we construct complexes associated with simplicial objects and give a criterion for these complexes to be homotopic to zero.

When considering bifunctors on additive categories, we are rapidly lead to consider the category $C(C(\mathcal{C}))$ of complexes of complexes (i.e., double complexes), and so on. We explain here how a diagonal procedure allows us, under suitable hypotheses, to reduce a double complex to a simple one. Delicate questions of signs arise and necessitate careful treatment.

Chapter 12. When $\mathcal{C}$ is abelian, we can define the j -th cohomology object $H^j(X)$ of a complex X . The main result is that the functor H^j is

cohomological, that is, sends distinguished triangles in $K(\mathcal{C})$ to long exact sequences in $\mathcal{C}$.

When a functor F with values in $\mathcal{C}$ is defined on the category of finite sets, it is possible to attach to F a complex in $\mathcal{C}$, generalizing the classical notion of Koszul complexes. We provide the tools needed to calculate the cohomology of such complexes and treat some examples such as distributive families of subobjects.

We also study the cohomology of a double complex, replacing the Leray's traditional spectral sequences by an intensive use of the truncation functors. We find this approach much easier and perfectly adequate in practice.

Chapter 13. Constructing the derived category of an abelian category is easy with the tools now at hand. It is nothing more than the localization of the homotopy category $K(\mathcal{C})$ with respect to exact complexes.

Here we give the main constructions and results concerning derived categories and functors, including some new results.

Despite their popularity, derived categories are sometimes supposed difficult. A possible reason for this reputation is that to date there has been no systematic, pedagogical treatment of the theory. The classical texts on derived categories are the famous Hartshorne Notes [31], or Verdier's résumé of his thesis [68] (of which the complete manuscript has been published recently [69]). Apart from these, there are a few others which may be found in particular in the books [25, 38] and [71]. Recall that the original idea of derived categories goes back to Grothendieck.

Chapter 14. Using the results of Chap. 9, we study the (unbounded) derived category $D(\mathcal{C})$ of a Grothendieck category $\mathcal{C}$. First, we show that any complex in a Grothendieck category is quasi-isomorphic to a *homotopically injective complex* and we deduce the existence of right derived functors in $D(\mathcal{C})$. We then prove that the Brown representability theorem holds in $D(\mathcal{C})$ and discuss the existence of left derived functors, as well as the composition of (right or left) derived functors and derived adjunction formulas.

Spaltenstein [65] was the first to consider unbounded complexes and the corresponding derived functors. The (difficult) result which asserts that the Brown representability theorem holds in the derived category of a Grothendieck category seems to be due to independently to [2] and [21] (see also [6, 42, 53] and [44]). Note that most of the ideas presented here come from topology, in which context the names of Adams, Bousfield, Kan, Thomason among others should be mentioned.

Chapter 15. We study here the derived category of the category $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ of ind-objects of an abelian category $\mathcal{C}$. Things are not easy since in the simple case where $\mathcal{C}$ is the category of vector spaces over a field k , the category $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ does not have enough injective objects. In order to overcome this difficulty, we introduce the notion of quasi-injective objects. We show that under suitable hypotheses, there are enough such objects and that they allow us to derive

functors. We also study some links between the derived category of $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ and that of ind-objects of the derived category of $\mathcal{C}$. Note that the category of ind-objects of a triangulated category does not seem to be triangulated.

Most of the results in this chapter are new and we hope that they may be useful. They are so when applied to the construction of ind-sheaves, for which we refer to [39].

Chapter 16. The notion of sheaves relies on that of coverings and a Grothendieck topology on a category is defined by axiomatizing the notion of coverings.

In this chapter we give the axioms for Grothendieck topologies using sieves and then introduce the notions of local epimorphisms and local isomorphisms. We give several examples and study the properties of the family of local isomorphisms in detail, showing in particular that this family is stable under inductive limits. The classical reference is [64].

Chapter 17. A site X is a category $\mathcal{C}_X$ endowed with a Grothendieck topology. A presheaf F on X with values in a category $\mathcal{A}$ is a contravariant functor on $\mathcal{C}_X$ with values in $\mathcal{A}$, and a presheaf F is a sheaf if, for any local isomorphism $A \rightarrow U$, $F(U) \rightarrow F(A)$ is an isomorphism. When $\mathcal{C}_X$ is the category of open subsets of a topological space X , we recover a familiar notion.

Here, we construct the sheaf F^a associated with a presheaf F with values in a category $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying suitable properties. We also study restriction and extension of sheaves, direct and inverse images, and internal $\mathcal{H}om$. However, we do not enter the theory of Topos, referring to [64] (see also [48] for further exciting developments).

Chapter 18. When $\mathcal{O}_X$ is a sheaf of rings on a site X , we define the category $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ of sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules. This is a Grothendieck category to which we may apply the tools obtained in Chap. 14.

In this Chapter, we construct the unbounded derived functors $R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ of internal hom, $\bigotimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}^L$ of tensor product, Rf_* of direct image and Lf^* of inverse image (these two last functors being associated with a morphism f of ringed sites) and we study their relations. Such constructions are well-known in the case of bounded derived categories, but the unbounded case, initiated by Spaltenstein [65], is more delicate.

We do not treat proper direct images and duality for sheaves. Indeed, there is no such theory for sheaves on abstract sites, where the construction in the algebraic case for which we refer to [17], differs from that in the topological case for which we refer to [38].

Chapter 19. The notion of constant functions is not local and it is more natural (and useful) to consider locally constant functions. The presheaf of such functions is in fact a sheaf, called a constant sheaf. There are however sheaves which are locally, but not globally, isomorphic to this constant sheaf, and this leads us to the fundamental notion of locally constant sheaves, or