CONTEMPORARY MATHEMATICS

351

Mathematics of Finance

Proceedings of an AMS-IMS-SIAM
Joint Summer Research Conference
on Mathematics of Finance
June 22–26, 2003
Snowbird, Utah

George Yin Qing Zhang Editors



F220, 0 M 426 2003

CONTEMPORARY MATHEMATICS

351

Mathematics of Finance

Proceedings of an AMS-IMS-SIAM Joint Summer Research Conference on Mathematics of Finance June 22–26, 2003 Snowbird, Utah

> George Yin Qing Zhang Editors





Editorial Board

Dennis DeTurck, managing editor

Andreas Blass Andy R. Magid Michael Vogelius

The 2003 AMS-IMS-SIAM Joint Summer Research Conference on "Mathematics of Finance" was held at Snowbird, Utah, June 22–26, 2003, with support from the National Science Foundation, grant DMS 9973450.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 91B16, 91B26, 91B28, 60G15, 60G40, 60J10, 60J60, 93E20.

Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

AMS-IMS-SIAM Joint Summer Research Conference on Mathematics of Finance (2003 : Snow-bird, Utah)

Mathematics of finance: 2003 AMS-IMS-SIAM Joint Summer Research Conference on Mathematics of Finance, June 22–26, 2003, Snowbird, Utah / George Yin, Qing Zhang, editors.

p. cm. (Contemporary mathematics; 351)

Includes bibliographical references.

ISBN 0-8218-3412-6 (alk. paper)

1. Busines mathematics—Congresses. I. Yin, George, 1954— II. Zhang, Qing, 1959— III. Title. IV. Contemporary mathematics (American Mathematical Society); v. 351.

HF5691.A63 2003 332.6'01'51-dc22

2004046167

Copying and reprinting. Material in this book may be reproduced by any means for educational and scientific purposes without fee or permission with the exception of reproduction by services that collect fees for delivery of documents and provided that the customary acknowledgment of the source is given. This consent does not extend to other kinds of copying for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, or for resale. Requests for permission for commercial use of material should be addressed to the Acquisitions Department, American Mathematical Society, 201 Charles Street, Providence, Rhode Island 02904-2294, USA. Requests can also be made by e-mail to reprint-permission@ms.org.

Excluded from these provisions is material in articles for which the author holds copyright. In such cases, requests for permission to use or reprint should be addressed directly to the author(s). (Copyright ownership is indicated in the notice in the lower right-hand corner of the first page of each article.)

- © 2004 by the American Mathematical Society. All rights reserved.

 The American Mathematical Society retains all rights except those granted to the United States Government.

 Printed in the United States of America.
- The paper used in this book is acid-free and falls within the guidelines established to ensure permanence and durability.

 Visit the AMS home page at http://www.ams.org/

 $10\ 9\ 8\ 7\ 6\ 5\ 4\ 3\ 2\ 1 \qquad 09\ 08\ 07\ 06\ 05\ 04$

Mathematics of Finance

List of Speakers and Title of Talks

- (1) Claudio Albanese, Credit Barrier Models
- (2) Tomasz R. Bielecki, Hedging of Default Risk: Incomplete Case
- (3) Abel Cadenillas, Optimal Manager Compensation with Choice of Effort and Volatility
- (4) Rene Carmona, Spreads, Swings, and Temperature Options: Mathematical Challenges
- (5) Tyrone Duncan, Some Processes Associated with a Fractional Brownian Motion for Finance
- (6) Nicole El Karoui, Optimal Risks Transfer under Dynamic Risk Measure
- (7) Robert J. Elliott, Pricing Untradable Claims
- (8) Wendell H. Fleming, Some Optimal Investment, Production and Consumption Models
- (9) Jean-Pierre Fouque, Multiscale Stochastic Volatility Asymptotics
- (10) Ulrich Haussmann, Optimal Portfolios Based on Stock Prices when Rates of Return are Hidden Markov Chains
- (11) Kurt Helmes, Computing Optimal Selling Rules for Stocks Using Linear Programming
- (12) Yaozhong Hu, Optimal Portfolio for an Insider
- (13) Xin Guo, A Constrained Nonlinear Regular-Singular Control Problem with Application
- (14) Floyd B. Hanson, Robust Estimation of Market Parameters for Stock Jump-diffusion Models
- (15) Daniel Hernández-Hernández, Optimal Investment in Incomplete Financial Markets with Stochastic Volatility
- (16) Jacek Jakubowski, On Pricing of Futures and Forward Contracts on a Zero Coupon Bonds in the Cox-Ingersoll-Ross Model
- (17) Roger Lee, Model Free Replication of Path-Dependent Securities
- (18) Andrew Lim, Hedging Default in an Incomplete Market
- (19) Jin Ma, Pathwise Stochastic Control Problems and Stochastic HJB Equations
- (20) Marek Musiela, Modelling in Finance: Case Study-FX Market
- (21) Tao Pang, A Stochastic Control Model in Risk Management
- (22) Bozenna Pasik-Duncan, Random Walk around Some Problems in Identification and Adaptive Control of Stochastic Systems
- (23) Eckhard Platen, Incomplete Benchmark Models with Intensity Based Jumps
- (24) Stanley R. Pliska, An Intensity-Based Approach for Valuation of Mortgage Contracts Subject to Prepayment Risk
- (25) Chris Rogers, Two-Sector Stochastic Growth Models

- (26) Wolfgang Runggaldier, Estimation via Stochastic Filtering in Financial Market Models
- (27) Marek Rutkowski, Hedging of Default Risk: Complete Case
- (28) Ronnie Sircar, Optimal Investment with Derivatives
- (29) Steven Shreve, Perpetual Convertible Bonds
- (30) Jerome L. Stein, Stochastic Optimal Control Modeling of Debt Crises
- (31) Lukasz Stettner, Some Financial Applications of Risk Sensitive Control Problems
- (32) Srdjan Stojanovic, Reduced Monge-Ampere PDEs, Optimal Portfolio Hedging, and Option Pricing
- (33) Richard H. Stockbridge, Linear Programming Formulations of Singular Stochastic Control Problems
- (34) Michael Taksar, Ruin Probability Minimization and Dividend Distribution Optimization in Diffusion Models
- (35) Hui Wang, On the Convergence from Discrete to Continuous Time in an Optimal Stopping Problem
- (36) John Westman, Jump-Diffusion Model for Portfolio and Consumption Control Optimization with Dividends
- (37) George Yin, Stochastic Approximation Methods for Estimation and Optimization in Some Finance Problems
- (38) Jiongmin Yong, Rolling Horizon Bond and a Discount Bond
- (39) Thaleia Zariphopoulou, Valuation in Incomplete Market Environments
- (40) Yong Zeng, A General Equilibrium Model of the Term Structure of Interest Rates under Regime-Shift Risk
- (41) Qing Zhang, Hybrid Dynamic Systems and Related Financial Models
- (42) Xun Yu Zhou, Continuous-Time Mean-Variance Portfolio Selection with Bankruptcy Prohibition

Preface

This volume contains 31 papers, based on the invited talks given at the 2003 AMS-IMS-SIAM Joint Summer Research Conference in the Mathematical Sciences: Mathematics of Finance, held in Snowbird, Utah, June 22–26, 2003. This was the first ever conference on mathematics of finance jointly sponsored by AMS, IMS, and SIAM.

Financial mathematics is a rapidly expanding field. It involves a wide spectrum of techniques that go far beyond the traditional applied mathematics. Research in mathematics of finance has witnessed tremendous progress in recent years. The Black-Scholes model and its various extensions for pricing of options have had an influential impact on financial practice and led to a revolution in the financial industry. The introduction of stochastic analysis and stochastic control techniques has resulted in a number of important advances. To name just a few, they include the studies of valuation of contingent claims in complete and incomplete markets, consumption-investment models with or without constraints, portfolio management for institutional investors such as pension funds and banks, and risk assessment and management using financial derivatives. These applications, on the other hand, require and stimulate many new and exciting theoretical discoveries. As a major impetus to the development of financial management and economics, research in mathematics of finance has had a major impact on the global economy. Moreover, the development of mathematics of finance has created a large demand for mathematics graduates at both Master and Ph.D. levels in the financial industry, resulting in the introduction of this topic in the curriculum of mathematical sciences departments of many universities. The rapid progress has necessitated communication and networking among researchers in different disciplines. This summer research conference provided us with an excellent and timely opportunity. It brought together researchers from mathematical sciences, finance, economics, and engineering, and financial industry to review and to update the recent advances, and to identify future directions of mathematics of finance.

The scientific program of the conference consisted of 42 invited talks, a poster session, and a panel discussion on research and education. While recent progress has been surveyed, reviewed, and substantially updated, new ideas, models, methods, and techniques have been explored. The invited speakers presented a broad spectrum of problems, models, and results involving modeling, estimation, optimization, control, risk assessment and management, contingent claim pricing, dynamic hedging, and financial derivative design. Valuation of contingent claims remains the centerpiece of modern financial theory. Its key components include financial market modeling and dynamic hedging. While the Black-Scholes models have been widely

x PREFACE

used in characterizing movements of financial markets for decades, it has been recognized that their utility is limited because they assume deterministic rates of return and volatility, and because they ignore many aspects of the markets. In the past few years, various attempts (including stochastic volatility, jump diffusions, and hybrid market models) have emerged to modify and generalize the Black-Scholes models. Optimal portfolio management uses a stochastic control approach. Originating from Merton's pioneering work, it continues to have an important role in finance theory. The objective is to allocate financial assets dynamically among risky and fixed-income investments with the goal of maximizing expected overall return of consumption measured by some utility function. A closed-form solution is possible only for the simplest models. Typically, optimal investment and consumption control policies must be found by solving a partial differential equation of Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman type. However, nonlinearities make numerical implementations difficult, and efficient schemes are needed. Other difficult issues include, for example, mathematical model selections and choices of utility functions. Financial risk management has attracted growing attention in recent years. Such devastating events as the Long-Term Capital Management default and the Enron bankruptcy shook the financial world. It has become clear that there is an urgent need for further research on corporation credit risks as well as the possibility to hedging these risks using financial derivatives. As a result, one of the emerging research topics is the study of credit risk management.

As an archive, this volume presents some of the highlights of the conference. It collects papers covering a broad spectrum of topics in mathematical finance; all papers have been refereed. The organizing committee consisted of Wendell H. Fleming (Brown University), Jean-Pierre Fouque (North Carolina State University), George Papanicolaou (Stanford University), Bozenna Pasik-Duncan (University of Kansas), Stanley R. Pliska (University of Illinois at Chicago), Ronnie Sircar (Princeton University), George Yin (Wayne State University, Chair), and Qing Zhang (University of Georgia, Co-chair). It was supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

Without the encouragement, help, and assistance of many individuals, the conference could not have taken place. We thank the invited speakers, the panelists, the poster presenters, and all invitees for making the conference a successful event; we thank the members of the organizing committee for their help, advice, and suggestions. Our thanks go to the AMS-IMS-SIAM Committee on Summer Research Conferences in the Mathematical Sciences, in particular, Thomas DiCiccio, chair of the committee, and James Maxwell of the AMS, who helped us shape the conference and provided us with valuable comments and suggestions in the preparation of the conference. We are especially grateful to Donna Salter and Wayne Drady for their constant and tireless help during the preparation of the conference as on-site management. The assistance from Sergei Gelfand, Christine Thivierge, and the AMS professionals during the preparation of this volume is also gratefully acknowledged. Finally, we are thankful to the National Science Foundation for supporting the Summer Research Conference.

Contents

| Preface | ix |
|--|-----|
| List of Speakers and Title of Talks | xi |
| Credit Barrier Models in a Discrete Framework CLAUDIO ALBANESE and OLIVER X. CHEN | 1 |
| Optimal Derivatives Design under Dynamic Risk Measures PAULINE BARRIEU and NICOLE EL KAROUI | 13 |
| On Pricing of Forward and Futures Contracts on Zero-Coupon Bonds in the Cox-Ingersoll-Ross Model JEDRZEJ BIAŁKOWSKI and JACEK JAKUBOWSKI | 27 |
| Pricing and Hedging of Credit Risk: Replication and Mean-Variance Approaches (I) TOMASZ R. BIELECKI, MONIQUE JEANBLANC, and MAREK RUTKOWSKI | 37 |
| Pricing and Hedging of Credit Risk: Replication and Mean-Variance Approaches (II) TOMASZ R. BIELECKI, MONIQUE JEANBLANC, and MAREK RUTKOWSKI | 55 |
| Spot Convenience Yield Models for the Energy Markets RENÉ CARMONA and MICHAEL LUDKOVSKI | 65 |
| Optimal Portfolio Management with Consumption NETZAHUALCÓYOTL CASTAÑEDA-LEYVA and DANIEL HERNÁNDEZ-HERNÁNDEZ | 81 |
| Some Processes Associated with a Fractional Brownian Motion T. E. Duncan | 93 |
| Pricing Claims on Non Tradable Assets ROBERT J. ELLIOTT and JOHN VAN DER HOEK | 103 |
| Some Optimal Investment, Production and Consumption Models Wendell H. Fleming | 115 |
| Asian Options under Multiscale Stochastic Volatility JEAN-PIERRE FOUQUE and CHUAN-HSIANG HAN | 125 |
| A Regime Switching Model: Statistical Estimation, Empirical Evidence, and Change Point Detection XIN GUO | 139 |

| Multinomial Maximum Likelihood Estimation of Market Parameters for Stock Jump-Diffusion Models FLOYD B. HANSON, JOHN J. WESTMAN, and ZONGWU ZHU | k 155 |
|---|----------|
| Optimal Terminal Wealth under Partial Information for HMM Stock Returns ULRICH G. HAUSSMANN and JÖRN SASS | 171 |
| Computing Optimal Selling Rules for Stocks Using Linear Programming Kurt Helmes | 187 |
| Optimization of Consumption and Portfolio and Minimization of Volatility Yaozhong Hu | 199 |
| Options: To Buy or not to Buy? MATTIAS JONSSON and RONNIE SIRCAR | 207 |
| Risk Sensitive Optimal Investment: Solutions of the Dynamical Programming Equation H. KAISE and S. J. SHEU | 217 |
| Hedging Default Risk in an Incomplete Market Andrew E.B. Lim | 231 |
| Mean-Variance Portfolio Choice with Discontinuous Asset Prices and Nonnegative Wealth Processes ANDREW E.B. LIM and XUN YU ZHOU | 247 |
| Indifference Prices of Early Exercise Claims Marek Musiela and Thaleia Zariphopoulou | 259 |
| Random Walk around Some Problems in Identification and Stochastic Adaptive Control with Applications to Finance BOZENNA PASIK-DUNCAN | 273 |
| Pricing and Hedging for Incomplete Jump Diffusion Benchmark Models ECKHARD PLATEN | 287 |
| Why is the Effect of Proportional Transaction Costs $O(\delta^{2/3})$? L.C.G. ROGERS | 303 |
| Estimation via Stochastic Filtering in Financial Market Models Wolfgang J. Runggaldier | 309 |
| Stochastic Optimal Control Modeling of Debt Crises JEROME L. STEIN | 319 |
| Duality and Risk Sensitive Portfolio Optimization LUKASZ STETTNER | 333 |
| Characterizing Option Prices by Linear Programs RICHARD H. STOCKBRIDGE | 349 |
| Pricing Defaultable Bond with Regime Switching J.W. WANG and O. ZHANG | 361 |

vii

| Affine Regime-Switching Models for Interest Rate Term Structure | 375 |
|---|-----|
| Shu Wu and Yong Zeng | |
| ochastic Approximation Methods for Some Finance Problems | 387 |
| G. YIN and Q. ZHANG | |

CONTENTS

Credit Barrier Models in a Discrete Framework

Claudio Albanese and Oliver X. Chen

ABSTRACT. We formulate credit barrier models in the framework of jump processes with absorption on a discrete lattice. The lattice model is formulated in terms of finite state Markov processes related to the Hahn family of hypergeometric polynomials. The continuous limit we obtain as the lattice spacing goes to zero corresponds to the Jacobi process. The model is designed to relate real-world and risk neutral measures.

1. Introduction

Credit barrier models are derivative pricing models for credit sensitive instruments. The underlying is a credit quality variable with the meaning of distance to default, a measure of an obligor's leverage relative to the volatility of its asset values. The first models in this class appeared in working papers and internal documents [HLPQ99], [GH01], [DJ02] and made their way to the open literature in articles by Hull and White [HW01] and Avellaneda and Zhu [AZ01]. This first generation of credit barrier models involves estimations against a single spread curve. Real world estimates instead are applied to barrier models of the Merton type used for risk management applications as in the $CreditMetrics^{TM}$ technical document by Gupton et al. [GFB97]. A new class of credit barrier models was introduced by the authors in [ACCZ03] and [AC03] in an attempt to reconcile the real-world and the risk-neutral measure. In this new class, the estimation framework is extended to include a more comprehensive set of statistical data such as historical migration rates, default frequencies over several time horizons and aggregate spread curves across all ratings. Within the extended framework, one obtains metrics for relative liquidity spreads across credit ratings. One also obtains a new methodology to extrapolate implied migration rates; in [AC03] we compare with

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 91B28; Secondary 33C45, 39A70, 60J60. Key words and phrases. Credit risk models, discretization schemes, Jacobi process, Hahn process.

The authors were supported in part by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. We thank Giuseppe Campolieti, Francesco Corielli, Alexei Kuznetsov, Stephan Lawi and Andrei Zavidonov for discussions. We thank the participants to the AMS-SIAM Conference in Mathematical Finance (Snowbird 2003), the Riskwaters Credit Risk Summit (London 2003), the GARP Credit Risk Summits (London and New York 2003), Risk Europe (Paris 2003) and Risk Italia (Milano 2003) for discussions. This research was conducted in part while the authors were at the National University of Singapore.

earlier methods proposed by Jarrow, Lando and Turnbull [JLT97], and by Kijima and Komoribayashi [KK98].

The present paper recasts the model in [AC03] in a new mathematical framework which has much greater numerical efficiency. While the previous model was constructed upon CIR processes, here we start from a deformation of this process called the Jacobi process and a discretization thereof that we refer to as the Hahn process. This discretization is accomplished by approximating the continuous process by a birth-death process on a finite lattice, in continuous time. The Jacobi and Hahn processes are both integrable in terms of orthogonal hypergeometric polynomials (see, for example, [Sch00], [NSUag] and [KS98]). Hahn processes in particular are used to build a new class of lattice models in a recent work by Albanese and Kuznetsov [AK03]. These lattice models are characterized by the property that node-to-node transition probabilities are computed analytically in such a way that prices of European style options do not depend on how the time nodes are chosen. In this article, to extend this framework to the case of credit barrier models, we allow for absorption to be present at the boundary without compromising analytic solvability in closed form. Since these numerical schemes are flexible enough to accommodate Bochner subordinators, the models also extend to the case where the continuous limit process is a subordinated diffusion with jumps.

The relevant literature on applications of the theory of orthogonal polynomials to stochastic processes includes earlier works by Ledermann and Reuter [LR54] and Karlin and McGregor [KM57], who studied the spectral theory of birth-death processes. Karlin and McGregor [KM61] considered the dual Hahn polynomials in modeling a birth-death process with application to a problem in genetics. A more recent analysis of orthogonal polynomials in the context of birth-death processes is given by van Doorn [vD03]. Cases with absorption and explicit time dependency are considered by Lenin, et al [LPSvD00]. Lorente [Lor03] and [Alb03] examine integrable systems represented by orthogonal polynomials of discrete variable in classical and quantum physics. Finally, jump processes constructed in terms of orthogonal polynomials are studied by Schoutens [Sch00].

The rest of the paper proceeds as follows: section 2 will outline the procedure in the case of a continuous diffusion with the Jacobi process as the underlying process as opposed to the CIR process used in [ACCZ03] and [AC03]. Section 3 will give a full description of the discretization scheme and lays out the procedure for constructing a model. The risk-neutralizing procedure is not exactly analogous to the previous papers, but differs in order to take full advantage of the new discretization scheme. Section 4 concludes the paper.

2. Continuous case

We present the procedure for the Jacobi process in order to draw parallels to the previous CIR process studied in [ACCZ03] and [AC03]. We elected to change the underlying process from the CIR process to the Jacobi process because the Jacobi process is restricted to a finite interval. As will be seen in the following section, this leads to a finite number of basis eigenvectors upon discretization.

In order to model the real-world credit process, we require a local martingale defined on an interval I which is required to be bounded below. Without loss of generality, we set the lower boundary to be zero. We require zero to be an absorbing

boundary, and consider the credit process y_t to have defaulted if absorption at zero occurs. Further, suppose that there are K different credit rating classes that an obligor can be in. We partition I into intervals I_1, \ldots, I_K sequenced such that if $y_1 \in I_j$ and $y_2 \in I_k$ with j < k then $y_1 < y_2$. Then, if at time t the credit process y_t of a given reference name is in the interval I_k , we consider that reference name to be in the kth credit rating class.

2.1. Underlying process. In order to obtain an integrable local martingale, we first require an underlying stochastic process which in this case we choose to be the Jacobi process. In general, a stochastic process is described as being a Jacobi process if it satisfies the stochastic differential equation:

$$dx_t = (a - bx_t)dt + \nu_0 \sqrt{(x_t - c)(d - x_t)}dW_t.$$

Since we utilize the Jacobi process only as an underlying process for a local martingale process, we are free to specialize to the process:

$$dx_t = (\alpha + 1 - (\alpha + \beta + 2)x_t)dt + \sqrt{2(1 - x_t)x_t}dW_t.$$

Here, $\alpha, \beta > -1$ and the process is defined on the interval (0,1). Any further freedom that is required for calibrating the process can be obtained by adjusting the subsequent measure change and transformation to obtain the local martingale process.

The infinitesimal generator of this process is given by the differential operator:

(2.1)
$$\mathcal{L} = (1-x)x\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + [\alpha + 1 - (\alpha + \beta + 2)x]\frac{d}{dx}.$$

The probability kernel $u_t(x_0, x)$ for this process is the solution to the backward Kolmogorov equation:

$$\mathcal{L}u_t(x_0, x) = -\frac{d}{dt}u_t(x_0, x)$$

(2.2)

where \mathcal{L} acts on the first variable x_0 , with initial condition:

$$u_0(x_0, x) = \delta(x - x_0).$$

We note here that there is a representation of the probability kernel as an infinite sum of Jacobi polynomials, which are a family of orthogonal polynomials. However, as the continuous case is not the focus of the present paper, we will not give this expression. The analogous representation is given in the discrete case.

2.2. Constructing a local martingale process. In order to construct the measure change and transformation, we require two linearly independent solutions f_1 and f_2 of the eigenvalue equation:

$$\mathcal{L}f = \rho f$$

for some real number ρ . Suppose we can find two coefficients c_1 and c_2 such that the linear combination

$$q = c_1 f_1 + c_2 f_2$$

is strictly positive. Then, it is shown in [AK03] that for any choice of the coefficients c_3 and c_4 such that $c_1c_4 - c_2c_3 \neq 0$, the transformation:

$$(2.4) Y = \frac{c_3 f_1 + c_4 f_2}{g} - \lim_{x \to 0^+} \frac{c_3 f_1(x) + c_4 f_2(x)}{g(x)}$$

is invertible with inverse $Y^{-1} = X$ and the function

(2.5)
$$\tilde{u}_t(y_0, y) = e^{-\rho t} X'(y) \frac{g(X(y))}{g(X(y_0))} u_t(X(y_0), X(y))$$

is the probability kernel for a local martingale stochastic process with infinitesimal generator:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = X'g^{-1}(X)(\mathcal{L} - \rho)g(X)$$

and volatility function:

(2.6)
$$\sigma(y) = \sqrt{2X(y)(1 - X(y))}Y'(X(y)).$$

Notice that equation (2.3) is a hypergeometric differential equation, with general solutions in terms of the Gauss hypergeometric function:

$$(2.7) f(x) = A_2 F_1(a, b; \alpha + 1|x) + Bx^{-\alpha} {}_2 F_1(a - \alpha, b - \alpha; 1 - \alpha|x)$$

where the parameters a and b are given by:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} a & = & \displaystyle \frac{(\alpha+\beta+1)+\sqrt{(\alpha+\beta+1)^2-4\rho}}{2} \\ b & = & \displaystyle \frac{(\alpha+\beta+1)-\sqrt{(\alpha+\beta+1)^2-4\rho}}{2}. \end{array}$$

The general hypergeometric function ${}_{p}F_{q}$ is given in equation (A.2). Thus, we are free to choose

$$f_1(x) = {}_{2}F_1(a, b; \alpha + 1|x)$$

$$f_2(x) = x^{-\alpha} {}_{2}F_1(a - \alpha, b - \alpha; 1 - \alpha|x).$$

2.3. Applying a stochastic time change. Using the CIR process as in [ACCZ03] and [AC03], it was found that the martingale process constructed in analogy to the above procedure could not be calibrated to accurately match actual credit processes. In particular, the kurtosis of the distributions involved was too small. This problem was remedied by performing a stochastic time change. We take the same approach here, by applying a stochastic time change of the variance-gamma type. Then, the new kernel can be calculated as:

$$\bar{u}_{\tau}(y_0, y) = \int_0^\infty \tilde{u}_t(y_0, y) \tilde{\gamma}(t, \tau) dt$$

where $\tilde{\gamma}$ is the probability density function of the gamma distribution and is defined by:

(2.8)
$$\tilde{\gamma}(t,\tau) = \frac{t^{\tau/\nu - 1}e^{-t/\nu}}{\Gamma(\tau/\nu)\nu^{\tau/\nu}}.$$

2.4. Risk-neutralization. The stochastic process described above is sufficient to model the real-world process. We apply a time-dependent drift in order to match interest rate spreads in the risk-neutral setting. The procedure in [ACCZ03] and [AC03] for the CIR process can be summarized as follows: (i) a default boundary was placed in y-space; (ii) a transformation was applied that kept the volatility invariant and mapped the default boundary to zero; (iii) the partial differential equation was solved numerically; (iv) the stochastic time change was applied to the probability kernel.

An analogous procedure can be applied in the present case with the Jacobi process. As the continuous case is not the focus of the present paper, and as the risk-neutralization in the discrete case is not directly analogous to the continuous case, we do not give the explicit procedure here.

3. Discrete case

While it was possible to calibrate the process in the CIR case, the calculations involved were very numerically intensive due to the need to perform two-dimensional quadrature. In [ACCZ03] and [AC03], we discretized the continuous case merely by approximating the transition probabilities with integrals over the intervals of the credit classes.

Rather, we can approximate the continuous process with a discrete, finite state process that is a birth-death process. That is, only nearest neighbor transitions are allowed, and the transitions are Poisson processes. The analogy to the continuous case is obvious: instead of intervals corresponding to credit classes, we have adjacent sets of nodes on the lattice corresponding to credit classes.

With the discretization carried out in this way, we find that it is possible to calculate the transition probabilities in analytically closed form, making the computations much more efficient.

3.1. Underlying process. Define the infinitesimal generator \mathcal{L}_N of a stochastic process ξ_t on the discrete lattice $\Lambda = \{0, \dots, N\}$ by the finite difference operator:

(3.1)
$$\mathcal{L}_{N} = D(\xi)\Delta + [B(\xi) - D(\xi)] \nabla_{+}$$
where $\Delta f(\xi) = f(\xi + 1) + f(\xi - 1) - 2f(\xi)$ and $\nabla_{+}f(\xi) = f(\xi + 1) - f(\xi)$ and:
$$B(\xi) = (N - \xi)(\xi + \alpha + 1)$$

$$D(\xi) = \xi(N + \beta + 1 - \xi) \quad \xi \in \Lambda.$$

It can be shown that the difference operator \mathcal{L}_N converges to the differential operator \mathcal{L} described in the previous section, for N large. Here, convergence has the meaning that for all $f \in C^2$ and for all x such that $xN \in \Lambda^0 = \{1, \ldots, N-1\}$,

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) - \mathcal{L}_N f_N(xN) = \mathcal{O}(1/N),$$

where $f_N(xN) = f(x)$.

The infinitesimal generator \mathcal{L}_N can be represented by a tri-diagonal matrix:

(3.2)
$$\mathcal{L}_{N}(\xi_{0},\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} b_{0} & a_{0} & 0 & \cdots & & \\ c_{1} & b_{1} & a_{1} & 0 & \cdots & \\ 0 & c_{2} & b_{2} & a_{2} & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & c_{N-1} & b_{N-1} & a_{N-1} \\ & & & c_{N} & b_{N} \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$a_{\xi} = B(\xi), \quad \xi = 0, \dots, N - 1$$

 $b_{\xi} = -B(\xi) - D(\xi), \quad \xi = 0, \dots, N$
 $c_{\xi} = D(\xi), \quad \xi = 1, \dots, N.$

Written in matrix form, the condition for \mathcal{L}_N to be the infinitesimal generator of a process where probability is conserved is for all of the rows to sum to zero. While this clearly holds on the interior points, it is also true for the zero-th and N^{th} row since D(0)=0 and B(N)=0 respectively. However, for a stochastic process that would be appropriate to model credit risk, we require absorption at zero to emulate default. This can be accomplished by making a measure change and transformation in analogy to the continuous case.

3.2. Constructing a local martingale process. In analogy to the continuous case, one can specify a measure change and transformation for a discrete process to obtain another infinitesimal generator $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N$ that gives a stochastic process that is a local martingale. In addition, if we choose the measure change appropriately then there will be probability conservation at the upper boundary and probability absorption at the lower boundary, which is what is desired in a credit model with the possibility of defaults at 0. We describe here the procedure and utilization of the measure change and transformation.

Given a real number ρ , we seek two linearly independent functions $f_{1,N}$ and $f_{2,N}$ on the lattice Λ that satisfy the equation $\mathcal{L}_N f_{i,N} = \rho f_{i,N}$ on the interior of Λ . That is,

(3.3)
$$\mathcal{L}_N(\xi_0, \xi) f_{i,N}(\xi) = \rho f_{i,N}(\xi_0) \qquad \xi_0 = 1, \dots, N-1.$$

In particular, the difference equation (3.3) that f_1 and f_2 satisfy converge to the differential equation given in (2.3). If we choose two linearly independent sets of terminal points $\{f_{1,N}(N-1), f_{1,N}(N)\}$ and $\{f_{2,N}(N-1), f_{2,N}(N)\}$, then we can use the recurrence relation:

$$D(\xi)f_{i,N}(\xi-1) - [B(\xi) + D(\xi)]f_{i,N}(\xi) + B(\xi)f_{i,N}(\xi+1) = \rho f_{i,N}(\xi)$$

obtained from (3.1) to iterate $f_{1,N}$ and $f_{2,N}$ backwards to obtain the functions on all of Λ . Assume that two coefficients c_1 and c_2 can be chosen such that the function

$$g_N = c_1 f_{1,N} + c_2 f_{2,N}$$

is strictly positive on Λ . In addition, choose two coefficients c_3 and c_4 and define the transformation $v = \Upsilon(\xi)$ as:

$$\Upsilon = \frac{c_3 f_{1,N} + c_4 f_{2,N}}{g_N} - \frac{c_3 f_{1,N}(0) + c_4 f_{2,N}(0)}{g_N(0)}.$$