Macroeconomic Forecasting

A sociological appraisal

Robert Evans

Routledge Studies in the Modern World Economy



MACRO-ECONOMIC FORECASTING

A sociological appraisal

Robert Evans



London and New York

First published 1999

by Routledge

11 New Fetter Lane, London EC4P 4EE Simultaneously published in the USA and Canada by Routledge

29 West 35th Street, New York, NY 10001

© 1999 Robert Evans

Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group

Typeset in Garamond by Curran Publishing Services Printed and bound in Great Britain by TJ International Ltd, Padstow, Cornwall

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

> British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication Data Evans, Robert, 1968-

Macroeconomic forecasting: a sociological appraisal 256 p. 15.6 x 23.4 cm.

(Routledge studies in the modern world economy)

Contents

1. Economic forecasting

2. Macroeconomics - econometric models

HB 3730.E973 1999 339'.01'12 12-dc21 98-53742

CIP

MACROECONOMIC FORECASTING

Macroeconomic Forecasting explores the gap between economic models and the economic world. Rather than removing the politics from economics, Robert Evans argues that economic models are precisely the place where politics are put in. The book provides a fresh and timely new perspective on the emerging debates about the roles and contributions of empirical models to policy making.

Robert Evans applies the theories and methods of the sociology of scientific knowledge to map out the intellectual world of macroeconomic modellers. In this way, the book illustrates not only the key issues in science studies but also several major debates within economics. From the normative commitments which shape decisions about the variables to include, to the interpretative flexibility of data and the eventual normalization of some accounts, the book shows how economic forecasts are the product of both econometric evidence and socially grounded judgements. Taking macroeconomic modelling and forecasting — a science which affects practically every citizen — as a case study, *Macroeconomic Forecasting* provides a coherent, sociologically informed view on the way in which computer models can be used to inform policy.

At a time when central banks are being given increased powers, the book provides a timely and critical perspective on the models used to take decisions about interest rates and taxes. *Macroeconomic Forecasting* will therefore be suitable for a wide range of readers including students in economics, sociology and management, and policy makers in fields where economic or other computer models play an important role.

Robert Evans is a lecturer in Sociology at Cardiff University. His research interests focus on the science and practice of computer modelling in policy making.

ROUTLEDGE STUDIES IN THE MODERN WORLD ECONOMY

1 INTEREST RATES AND BUDGET DEFICITS

A study of the advanced economies

Kanhava L. Guota and Bakhtiar Moazzami

2 WORLD TRADE AFTER THE URUGUAY ROUND

Prospects and policy options for the twenty-first century

Edited by Harald Sander and András Inotai

3 THE FLOW ANALYSIS OF LABOUR MARKETS Edited by Ronald Schettkat

4 INFLATION AND UNEMPLOYMENT

Contributions to a new macroeconomic approach Edited by Alvaro Cencini and Mauro Baranzini

5 MACROECONOMIC DIMENSIONS OF PUBLIC FINANCE

Essays in honour of Vito Tanzi

Edited by Mario I. Blejer and Teresa M. Ter-Minassian

6 FISCAL POLICY AND ECONOMIC REFORMS

Essays in honour of Vito Tanzi

Edited by Mario I. Blejer and Teresa M. Ter-Minassian

7 COMPETITION POLICY IN THE GLOBAL ECONOMY

Modalities for co-operation

Edited by Leonard Waverman, William S. Comanor and Akira Goto

8 WORKING IN THE MACROECONOMY

A Study of the US labor market

Martin F. J. Prachowny

9 HOW DOES PRIVATIZATION WORK?

Edited by Anthony Bennett

10 THE ECONOMICS AND POLITICS OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE

Freedom and trade: volume II

Edited by Gary Cook

11 THE LEGAL AND MORAL ASPECTS OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE

Freedom and trade: volume III

Edited by Asif Qureshi, Hillel Steiner and Geraint Parry

12 CAPITAL MARKETS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE IN JAPAN, GERMANY AND THE UNITED STATES

Organizational response to market inefficiencies

Helmut M. Dietl

13 COMPETITION AND TRADE POLICIES

Coherence or conflict Edited by Einar Hope

14 RICE

The primary commodity

I. H. Latham

15 TRADE, THEORY AND ECONOMETRICS

Essays in honour of John S. Chipman

Edited by James C. Moore, Raymond Riezman, James R. Melvin

16 WHO BENEFITS FROM PRIVATISATION?

Edited by Moazzem Hossain and Justin Malbon

17 TOWARDS A FAIR GLOBAL LABOUR MARKET

Avoiding the new slave trade

Ozay Mehmet, Errol Mendes and Robert Sinding

18 MODELS OF FUTURES MARKETS

Edited by Barry Goss

19 VENTURE CAPITAL INVESTMENT

An agency analysis of UK practice Gavin C. Reid

20 MACROECONOMIC FORECASTING

A sociological appraisal

Robert Evans

21 MULTIMEDIA AND REGIONAL ECONOMIC RESTRUCTURING

Edited by Hans-Joachim Braczyk, Gerhard Fuchs and Hans-Georg Wolf

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This book started life as a Ph.D. thesis in late 1992, and my debts of gratitude thus span six years, two jobs and three houses. Writing the book also gives me chance to atone for a very bad mistake made in 1997. When the thesis was finally submitted to the University of Bath, it did not include any acknowledgements. The dash from viva through corrections to formal submission was such that I selfishly focused on what had to be done and forgot about those who had helped along the way. Probably the most frequent victims of this way of working have been my family – Angela, Louise and Ben – who put up with an absent (or worse, tired and grumpy) partner and father on far more occasions than I care to remember. So to them my apologies, my thanks and my love.

Academic debts are part and parcel of a Ph.D., and in my case the biggest of these debts is to Harry Collins, whose ideas and enthusiasm have shaped much of what is written in the following pages. This influence and encouragement is gratefully acknowledged. However, the ideas were only part of the experience of what used to be known as 'Bath-SSK'. The atmosphere and the company also helped to make it such a good three years, and for that I must thank David Gooding and others at the Science Studies Centre. In particular I thank Jo Hartland, for wise words and Welsh cakes; Judith Turbyne for being so colourful and Warren 'No Relation' Evans and Steve Travis for providing such excellent 'competition'. Other people at Bath to whom I owe special thanks are Alan Lewis, whose course on Economic Psychology was the catalyst for everything which has happened since, and Adrian Winnett, who took on the task of supervising my thesis and without whom I would never have understood economics. Financial support for this Ph.D. research was provided by a joint SERC–ESRC studentship (J00429232006).

At the University of Newcastle upon Tyne, Simon Marvin and Simon Guy at the Centre for Urban Technology deserve special thanks, first for giving me a job and second for allowing me to finish writing up instead. At Cardiff, where I have been able to renew my acquaintance with Harry Collins, the return to a lively School of Social Sciences has provided just the stimulus needed to transform a long and rather wordy thesis into a much better book.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I must also thank the Panel of Independent Forecasters for agreeing to be interviewed. Without them there would have been no project, and I am extremely grateful to them for their hospitality, good humour and patience in the face of what was, no doubt, some very naive questioning. Ken Wallis, Paul Ormerod and Alan Budd suffered a similar fate with grace and wit. In addition, I am grateful to Andrew Britton, Alan Budd, Tim Congdon, Wynne Godley, Paul Ormerod, Patrick Minford, Andrew Sentance and Ken Wallis for permission to quote from these interviews. David Currie refused, and no material from the interviews conducted with him is quoted directly. Of course, even though the others have given permission for me to use these interview quotes, it does not follow that they agree with the interpretation I put on them.

In addition, I must also thank the many referees and reviewers, some anonymous and some not, who have provided such excellent feedback on my work over the years. I am particularly grateful to Donald MacKenzie and Sheila Dow, my Ph.D. examiners, for their careful reading and constructive comments. I am also indebted to the anonymous reviewers who provided feedback on the manuscript submitted to Routledge: they were remarkable for both the care and attention they gave the task and the speed with which they discharged it. I hope I have done them justice. I also grateful to Mick Bloor, David Edge, Trevor Pinch, Mary Morgan, Simon Shackley, Sergio Sismundo, Adrienne van den Bogaard, Ken Wallis, Ian Welsh and Simon Wren-Lewis for various pieces of advice, encouragement and consolation along the way. Liz Brown at Routledge and Susan Curran of Curran Publishing Services also deserve my thanks for their support in turning a wordy manuscript into a publishable book.

The extracts from the Reports of the Panel of Independent Forecasters are Crown Copyright and are reproduced with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. Models and equations from Keating, The Production and Use of Economic Forecasts, are copyright Giles Keating 1985 and are reproduced with the permission of Sage Publications. Figure 2.1 is from Begg, Fishcher and Dornbusch, Economics, third edition, is copyright the authors and is reproduced with the permission of McGraw-Hill. Material presented in Chapter Four is reproduced by permission of Sage Publications Ltd from Robert Evans, 'Soothsaying or Science', Social Studies of Science 27(3), 1997. The quotation on page 209 from Parkin and King (1992) is reproduced by permission of Addison Wesley Longman.

Finally, I would like to thank the participants at the following conferences their ideas and feedback: Annual Meeting of the Society for Social Studies of Sciences (New Orleans, October 1994); Science Policy Support Group (London, November 1994); Post Keynsian Study Group (University College London, February 1995); Workshop on 'Simulating Knowledge: Cultural Analysis of Computer Modelling in the Life Sciences' (University of Cornell, April 1996); 10th Anniversary Congress of the Tinbergen Institute

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

(Amsterdam, May 1997); Annual Meeting of the Society for Social Studies of Sciences (Tucson, Arizona, October 1997); Department of Sociology (University of York, November 1997); Centre for Knowledge, Expertise and Science, (Cardiff University, July 1998). Despite all this help, I am the one who must take responsibility for any errors that remain.

Robert Evans November 1998

CONTENTS

	List of figures	ix
	List of tables	xi
	List of equations	xiii
	List of models	xv
	Acknowledgements	xvii
l	Who knows what tomorrow brings? Brief introductions to the sociology of scientific knowledge and to economic forecasting	1
2	Cherished beliefs and <i>t</i> -statistics: how economic models are estimated	24
3	Extrapolations and expertise: how economic forecasts are made	50
4	Soothsaying or science? Choosing between economic models	77
5	Different models: economic forecasts in February 1993	101
6	Different futures: controversies and disputes in July 1993	128
7	Difficult choices: policy recommendations in October 1993	153
8	Economic models, economic policy and science studies	172
	Appendix A: equations used in the econometric model	191
	Notes	201
	Bibliography	214
	Interviews	224
	Index	225

FIGURES

2.1	Flows of income and expenditure in a simple economy	
	with a tax-raising government	26
2.2	Simple IS-LM model	29
2.3	A typology of forecasts	45
2.4	Fitted and forecast values for consumer expenditure	47
2.5	Forecast errors for equations based on theory and data fitting	47
2.6	Root mean square errors for equations based on theory and	
	data fitting	48
3.1	Flow chart of macro-econometric model	56
3.2	Model-only forecasts for GDP and its components	62
3.3	Actual and fitted values for the adjustment to factor cost	63
8.1	Forecasts and outturns for GDP growth and inflation in 1993	173
8.2	The traditional policy-making process compared with the	
	Panel of Independent Forecasters' process	187
8.3	An alternative policy making framework	188

TABLES

2.1	Unrestricted regression coefficients	33
2.2	Unrestricted regression coefficients using full data set	
	and data from 1956Q4 to 1980Q4	34
2.3	Coefficient on price level in first restricted regression	36
2.4	Rearranged regression equation (Evans's estimates)	37
2.5	Regression with further rearrangement	38
2.6	Long-run form of equation	38
2.7	Regression with steady state growth	40
2.8	Regression with interest rate difference	42
2.9	Equation derived by application of statistical rule	45
3.1	A selection of forecasts for 1993, together with outturn data	60
3.2	Residual adjustments made to the price equation	66
3.3	Residual adjustments made to the consumer expenditure	
	equation	69
3.4	Residual adjustments made to the wage equation	70
3.5	Residual adjustments made to the employment equation	71
3.6	Residual adjustments made to the stockbuilding equation	71
3.7	Residual adjustments made to the fixed investment equation	73
3.8	Residual adjustments made to the adjustment to factor cost	73
3.9	Summary of forecasts for 1993 by R. Evans and the Panel of	
	Independent Forecasters	74
6.1	Views on fiscal policy	151
7.1	Arguments for and against tightening fiscal policy	169
7.2	Arguments for and against intervention to 'solve' the twin-deficit problem	170

EQUATIONS

2.1	General consumption function	32
2.2	Long-run consumption function	38
2.3	Average propensity to consume, derived from Evans's	
	estimates	39
2.4	Average propensity to consume, derived from Keating's	
	estimates	39
		_
3.1	Identity for GDP	61

MODELS

3.1	A simple model of a closed economy	53
3.2	A simple linear-in-logs model of an open economy	54

WHO KNOWS WHAT TOMORROW BRINGS?

Brief introductions to the sociology of scientific knowledge and to economic forecasting

Tuesday 3 November 1998.

The Chancellor, Gordon Brown, makes his Pre-Budget Speech to the House of Commons. He announces that because of the global economic downturn, which has seen world trade growth set to fall by two-thirds, world growth forecasts virtually halved and put one quarter of the world into recession, the UK government is reducing its economic growth forecast for 1999 by 3/4 per cent. The new forecast, of between 1 and 1½ per cent is above the average of recently published forecasts, but the Chancellor insists that it is based on 'cautious assumptions' and that spending targets can still be achieved.

Chancellor's Pre-Budget Statement as reported on HM Treasury web site http://www.hm.treasury.gov.uk/

Introduction

Economic forecasting is a big business. Governments, banks, financial institutions and commercial organisations and corporations of every shape and size are involved in it. Every single minute of every single day the fortunes of individuals, companies, and sometimes it seems whole nations, are gambled on forecasts of the price of anything from coffee beans to money itself. As the recent events in the world economy show, economic forecasting and its consequences have the potential to affect just about anyone and everyone.

It could be assumed, therefore, that the way in which these forecasts are produced would have been a topic of great interest for academic research. Strangely this is not the case, at least as far as sociology is concerned.² This

SSK AND ECONOMIC FORECASTING

book begins to fill this gap by providing a sociological account of the models, methods and forecasts produced by one of the most important groups of economic forecasters: the forecasters who advise governments on matters of policy.

These forecasters are particularly interesting. First, the methods they use to produce their forecasts are quite distinctive, and because of their connections with formal economic theories they are particularly interesting to the sociology of scientific knowledge (SSK), my own academic discipline. Indeed one of the most obvious reasons for choosing to study these forecasters is that they actually use the techniques, theories and methods of economic science. In other words, these forecasters use data not just to make predictions but to test theories and to explain why the economy develops in the way it does. There is therefore a clear sense in which the economic analyses and forecasts produced in this way can be said to be 'scientific' and not just instrumental.

I would suggest that it is precisely the idea that the forecasts and models are scientific that underpins the belief that in conducting economic policy there are few, if any, alternatives. Other sorts of forecast – for example, those based on the reading of charts – are so obviously dependent on interpretation and judgement that an analysis of the ways in which their meaning is negotiated within a professional group would probably seem trivial. Some economists might even dispute whether this activity could be classed as economic forecasting.

In contrast, forecasts and policy recommendations based on theoretically informed and empirically validated understandings of the economy provide a much firmer basis for studying the role of scientific knowledge in policy making and the ways in which legitimacy in created. This is a second reason for writing this book. The role of these forecasts in providing information for policy makers makes them the legitimate concern of every citizen, but in my experience most publications by economists about economic forecasting are written mainly for other economists and are inappropriate for a general readership.³ They tend to be formal, technical, and to emphasize the mathematics of the models rather than the practicalities of using them. They thus tend to portray economic forecasting as a technical activity and do not consider the social context that makes economic forecasting so important and interesting.

Although understanding the theoretical and mathematical rigour of the models is important, particularly for economic practitioners, economic forecasting also affects many areas of social life. As a result a more general and less technical account of how the models are used is also important. To address these issues, the meetings and recommendations of the Panel of Independent Forecasters, will be examined.

The Panel was a diverse group of seven economic forecasters appointed to advise the UK Government following the UK's exit from the Exchange Rate Mechanism (ERM) in September 1992. It met for the first time in February

SSK AND ECONOMIC FORECASTING

1993 and was required to produce three reports a year. These contained policy recommendations for the Chancellor and were also made available to the public, a significant change from the previous practice in which the official sources of economic advice were restricted largely to economists in the Treasury, and recommendations were not published.

The seven members of the Panel reflected the broad spectrum of views, backgrounds and analyses that characterize economics in the UK. Theoretically they ranged from traditional Keynesianism, through (mainstream) neo-Keynesianism to New Classical economics and Friedman-influenced monetarism. They worked in organisations ranging from universities to merchant banks. Most had previously been involved in giving policy advice. In practice, after the novelty of their appointment had worn off the Panel had a fairly low public profile. Its members devoted little time to it – each report was the product of two one-day meetings – and were free also to publish their own forecasts and analyses.

The activities of the Panel raised several interesting issues for sociologists of science. First, they highlighted the interpretative flexibility of economic data. Given the theoretical differences that existed between the Panel members it was obvious that they would interpret the data differently. This should not necessarily be interpreted as a bad thing: one criticism made of the Treasury economists was that they did not consider all the alternatives. Nevertheless it posed an intriguing question: would the Panel agree on anything?

Second, the Panel of Independent Forecasters raised questions for sociologists regarding the use of science in policy making. Much literature on the sociology of scientific knowledge has focused on debates *between* scientists, but it is important to remember that claims of scientific knowledge often form the basis of policy interventions. Policy arenas and debates are frequently the place where scientific controversies spill out into the wider society. The interaction between scientists and policy makers is another long-standing concern in the sociology of science, and the Panel provided a new and interesting window on to this process.⁶

Finally there was the possibility of evaluating the Panel as an institutional mechanism for shaping economic policy and enhancing its legitimacy. Sociological theories of science and technology suggest that the successful translation of science into policy and vice versa depends critically on social agents accepting the roles specified for them. In economics, the roles are those of the economic agents specified in macroeconomic models and theories. If key groups, who may be policy makers, employers, workers, shoppers or the unemployed, do not behave as the 'intended agents' postulated by the modellers, then the analysis and recommendations will be difficult (if not impossible) to apply in practice.⁷ In other words, for economic analysis to be effective as policy advice it will need to be credible not only as economics but also as a social and political strategy.

This book examines the range of theories and models that lay behind