Said Mahmoudi

The Law of Deep Sea-Bed Mining

Said Mahmoudi

The Law of Deep Sea-Bed Mining

Due to the need for huge and risky investments in the commercial recovery of the ocean floor mineral resources and the existence of a claim by the international community because of the designation of these resources as the common heritage of mankind, the consensus of the overwhelming majority of the States concerning a certain legal order for such recovery is almost inevitable. The existence of national laws enacted by seven industrialized countries parallel with the legal régime negotiated by virtually all States of the world and incorporated in the U.N. Convention on the Law of the Sea may cast doubts on the presence of such a consensus.

The author has demonstrated that the desired legal security may be obtained only through a unified legal order. The viable alternative, in his view, is the régime embodied in the Convention, and national laws, even if enforceable, cannot provide the required security. The book also provides a careful study of the influence of the developing countries in the development of international law and its impact on the traditional pattern of international law-making which has so far been characterized by the practice of major Powers.

Said Mahmoudi, born in Teheran in 1948, served as a diplomat in the Iranian Foreign Service between 1973 and 1981. Since 1982, he has been affiliated with the Faculty of Law of Stockholm University as a researcher in public international law.

The Law of Deep Sea-Bed Mining

A Study of the Progressive Development of International Law Concerning the Management of the Polymetallic Nodules of the Deep Sea-Bed

by

Said Mahmoudi

ISBN 91-22-01156-0 Copyright © 1987 by Said Mahmoudi Almqvist & Wiksell International Box 638 S-101 28 Stockholm, Sweden Printed in Sweden by Graphic System AB. 1987 All rights reserved

此为试读,需要完整PDF请访问: www.ertongbook.com

Contents

| Abbrevia | tions | 11 13 15 |
|----------|---|----------------|
| PART O | NE – Overview | |
| Chapter | One - Preliminary Remarks | 19 |
| 1.1- | General Introduction | 19 |
| 1.1.1- | Presentation of the Problem | 19 |
| 1.1.2- | The Purpose of the Study | 23 |
| 1.1.3- | The Approach to the Problem | 24 |
| 1.2- | Deep Sea-Bed and Its Mineral Resources | 26 |
| 1.2.1- | Basic Facts | 27 |
| 1.2.2- | The Economic Significance of the Deep Sea-Bed | 30 |
| 1.2.3- | The Technology for Deepsea Mining | 31 |
| 1.2.4- | The Actors | 33 |
| 1.3- | The United Nations' Response | 36 |
| 1.3.1- | The Sea-Bed Committee | 36 |
| 1.3.2- | The Conference | 39 |
| 1.3.2.1- | The Structure | 40 |
| 1.3.2.2- | The Procedure | 42 |
| 1.3.2.3- | The Progress of the Work | 45 |
| 1.3.3- | The Convention | 48 |
| Chapter | Two - The Frontiers of the Deep Sea-Bed | 51 |
| 2.1- | The Era of Coastal Claims to the Sea-Bed | 53 |
| 2.1.1- | Background to the Claims before 1945 | 53 |
| 2.1.2- | Truman Proclamations of 1945 | 55 |
| 2.1.3- | The Work of the International Law Commission | 58 |
| 2.1.4- | The United Nations Conferences in 1958 and 1960 | 60 |
| 2.1.5- | North Sea Continental Shelf Cases | 63 |
| 2.2- | The Era of Community Claims to the Sea-Bed | 65 |
| 2.2.1- | The Sea-Bed Committee | 66 |
| 2.2.2- | UNCLOS III | 68 |
| 2.3- | Article 76 | 73 |
| Appraisa | al | 79 |

PART TWO - The Legal Status of the Deep Sea-Bed

| Chapter ' | Three – The Classical Bases of Claims to the Sea-Bed | 85 |
|-----------------|---|------------|
| 3.1- | Claims of Rights Based on Res Nullius Concept | 87 |
| 3.2- | Claims of Rights Based on Res Communis Concept and the | 102 |
| 3.3- | Freedom of the High Seas | 103 |
| | Law | 112 |
| Appraisa | d | 115 |
| Chapter | Four - The Principle of the Common Heritage of Mankind | |
| 4.1- | Developments During 1967-1970 | 119 119 |
| 4.1.1- | Malta's Proposal | 119 |
| 4.1.2- | Reactions to Malta's Proposal | 121 |
| 4.1.3- | The Content of Malta's Proposal and the Item before the | |
| | Sea-Bed Committee | 122 |
| 4.1.4- | Attitude of Different States about the Deep Sea-Bed at the | |
| | Time of Submission of Malta's Proposal | 122 |
| 4.1.5- | Malta and the Common Heritage of Mankind | 123 |
| 4.1.6- | Opponents to the Common Heritage of Mankind | 124 |
| 4.1.7- | Supporters of the Common Heritage of Mankind | 126 |
| 4.1.8- | Moratorium Resolution | 130 |
| 4.2- | Declaration of Principles | 132 |
| 4.2.1- | The Long Way to the Compromise | 132 |
| 4.2.2- | Adoption of the Declaration | 133 |
| 4.2.3- | Declaration and the Question of the Legal Status of the | 100 |
| | Deep Sea-Bed | 134 |
| 4.2.4- | The Binding Force of the Declaration | 140 |
| 4.3- | Development of the Common Heritage Principle Since 1970 | 149 |
| 4.3.1- | An Encroachment to the Common Heritage: EEZ | 149 |
| 4.3.2- | Developments inside the United Nations | 151 |
| 4.3.3- | Developments outside the United Nations | 157 |
| 4.4- | The Legal Status of the Deep Sea-Bed and the Convention | 164 |
| Appraisa | | 167 |
| DA D T T | HREE – The Constituent Elements of the Legal Régime for the | |
| IAKII | Deep Sea-Bed | |
| | Беер Sea-Bed | |
| Chapter | Five – Utilization System | 171 |
| 5.1- | The Development of the Utilization System in the United Nations | 171 |
| 5.1.1- | From Hopeful Optimism to Stalemate, 1969-1976 | 171 |
| 5.1.1.1- | Utilization of the Area for Peaceful Purposes | 173 |
| 5.1.1.2- | Utilization of the Resources for the Benefit of Mankind | 175 |
| J.1.1.4- | Cumpation of the resources for the Delient of Manking | 1/2 |

| 5.1.1.3- | Initial Negotiating Positions | 178 |
|----------|--|-----|
| 5.1.1.4- | Polarization of the Issue | 180 |
| 5.1.2- | Towards a Compromise, 1977-1980 | 188 |
| 5.1.3- | A Return to Disparity, 1981-1982 | 201 |
| 5.2- | Utilization System in the Convention | 203 |
| 5.2.1- | The Right of Access | 207 |
| 5.2.1.1- | Technology Transfer | 209 |
| 5.2.1.2- | Site-Banking Scheme | 211 |
| 5.2.1.3- | Financial Undertakings | 211 |
| 5.2.2- | The Balance of Rights | 215 |
| 5.2.2.1- | Restrictive Measures | 215 |
| 5.2.2.2- | Preferential Measures | 218 |
| 5.2.3- | Viability of the Parallel System | 222 |
| 5.3- | Utilization System outside the Convention | 225 |
| 5.3.1- | General Features of National Legislation | 228 |
| 5.3.1.1- | Definitions | 228 |
| 5.3.1.2- | Declared Purposes | 229 |
| 5.3.1.3- | Legal Bases | 230 |
| 5.3.2- | Rights and Obligations under National Legislation | 233 |
| 5.3.2.1- | Scope of Activities | 234 |
| 5.3.2.2- | Temporal Scope | 235 |
| 5.3.2.3- | Subjects of Law | 235 |
| 5.3.2.4- | Qualifications of Applicants | 237 |
| 5.3.2.5- | Size and Number of Mine Sites | 238 |
| 5.3.2.6- | Similarities with the Provisions of the Convention | 238 |
| 5.3.2.7- | Financial Terms | 239 |
| 5.3.3- | National Legislation and Existing International Law | 242 |
| | l | 252 |
| Appraisa | u | 232 |
| Chantar | Cir. Hiligatian Machinery | 255 |
| Chapter | Six – Utilization Machinery | 255 |
| 6.1- | The Need for an International Machinery | 256 |
| 6.2- | The International Sea-Bed Authority | 266 |
| 6.2.1- | The Status and General Features | 266 |
| 6.2.2- | The Mandate and Functions | 267 |
| 6.2.2.1- | Organizing Function | 267 |
| 6.2.2.2- | Controlling Function | 268 |
| 6.2.2.3- | Administering Function | 269 |
| 6.2.3- | Organs of the Authority | 271 |
| 6.2.3.1- | The Assembly | 272 |
| 6.2.3.2- | The Council | 273 |
| 1. | Composition | 274 |
| 2. | Voting Procedure | 275 |
| 3. | Organs | 278 |
| 4. | Power Distribution between the Assembly and the Council. | 280 |
| 6.2.3.3- | The Enterprise | 283 |
| 6.2.3.4- | The Secretariat | 286 |
| 6.3- | Settlement of Disputes Relating to the Deepsea Mining | 287 |
| | | |

| 6.3.1- | Disputes Settlement System of the Convention | 287 |
|-----------|---|-----|
| 6.3.1.1- | Development of the System | 287 |
| 6.3.1.2- | Types of Fora | 290 |
| 6.3.2- | Disputes Relating to the Activities in the Area | 292 |
| 6.3.2.1- | Institutions | 293 |
| 6.3.2.2- | Scope of Jurisdiction | 294 |
| 1. | Advisory Opinion | 294 |
| 2. | Contentious Procedures | 295 |
| 6.3.2.3- | Procedures and Applicable Law | 300 |
| Appraisa | • • | 302 |
| pp: u.ou | • | 302 |
| | | |
| PART FO | OUR – A View of the Future | |
| | | |
| Chapter | Seven – Interim Arrangements | 309 |
| 7.1- | Features of the Preparatory Commission | 310 |
| 7.1.1- | Purposes and Functions | 310 |
| 7.1.2- | Organization | 311 |
| 7.1.3- | Decision-Making | 311 |
| 7.2- | Issues before the Commission | 313 |
| 7.2.1- | Preparatory Investment Protection | 313 |
| 7.2.2- | Establishment of the Authority and the Tribunal | 321 |
| 7.2.2.1- | Rules of Procedure of the Organs of the Authority | 322 |
| 7.2.2.2- | Developing Land-Based Producer States | 324 |
| 7.2.2.3- | The Enterprise | 326 |
| 7.2.2.4- | The Sea-Bed Mining Code | 329 |
| 7.2.2.5- | The Law of the Sea Tribunal | 331 |
| Appraisal | | 333 |
| | | |
| Chapter | Eight – General Conclusions | 335 |
| Bibliogra | phy | 343 |
| T 1 | • | 257 |

Preface

Twenty years have elapsed since great hopes were expressed in the role of the deep sea-bed mineral resources in closing the gap between the rich and the poor nations. Enormous and in many ways unprecedented efforts have been made by almost all countries of the world for the realization of that hope through the establishment of a universally acceptable legal order for the management of those resources as the common heritage of mankind. Nonetheless, a number of undesired developments have transformed the strong hopes of the last two decades into despair, frustration and, at best, an attitude of wait and see. These developments include enactment of national laws for deepsea mining by some dissenting industrialized countries at a time when the consensus on the internationally negotiated legal régime was almost at hand, and the deterioration of market conditions and the fall of demand for the metals contained in the manganese nodules of the deep sea-bed.

This situation, however, cannot last; the commercial recovery of the said resources will sooner or later commence. The answers to many legal questions relating to such activities may play a significant role in the taking of decisions for commencing exploitation.

Although any isolated aspect of the legal régime for deep sea-bed mining can be the subject of a separate study, we believe that a general treatment of all legal aspects is more useful at this stage. The State practice in the form of actual recovery of the mineral resources has not yet taken place, and the law, unlike in the majority of other cases, is worked out in anticipation of such a practice. The book, therefore, aims to give a general picture of the present status of law in relation to deep sea-bed mining.

The completion of this study, which constitutes my doctoral dissertation at the Faculty of Law of Stockholm University, is thanks to the guidance, support and assistance that I have received from different people during the last five years.

I would like to express my indebtedness to my supervisor, Dr.Fariborz Nozari, who, aware of my general interest in the law of the sea, suggested the specific subject of the study. In later stages of my research, the greater part of the supervision was undertaken by Professor Lars Hjerner. Access to his broad legal knowlege is a privilege for any student of law. I would like to record my thanks to him for his unfailing interest in my work.

I am deeply grateful to Professor Louis Henkin from Columbia University School of Law and Dr. Jean-Pierre Lévy, Chief of the Ocean Economics and Technology Office of the United Nations for their useful comments. I am also indebted to Dr. Teklewold Gebrehana for reading Chapter Two of the book and giving constructive comments.

I am grateful to the staff of the libraries of Stockholm University, the Royal Swedish Ministry for Foreign Affairs, the Swedish Parliament and Dag Hammarskjöld Library in Uppsala. Without their help, access to many required materials in Sweden would have been difficult, if not impossible. My gratitude goes to Dr.Ove Bring, Miss Ann Marie Pennegård and Mr.Bertil Ruth, all from the Royal Swedish Ministry for Foreign Affairs for providing me with certain U.N. documents. I am beholden to the staff of the Fridtjof Nansen Institute in Oslo who gave me all possible assistance during my study visit in 1986. I am also obliged to Mr.Sean Gaffney for his useful advice on linguistic accuracy.

I wish to express my appreciation to the Faculty of Law of Stockholm University, the Winroth Foundation and the Tore Almén Foundation for all financial contributions which made this work possible.

Last but not least, I cannot fail to mention my appreciation for the continuous support, tolerance and understanding of my wife, Sue, and my daughters, Parisa Cecilia and Fariba Sofie.

The research for the book was completed in December 1986, and materials not available by that date have generally not been taken into account.

Danderyd, June 1987

Abbreviations

Activities All activities of exploration for, and exploitation of, the

resources of the Area

A.J.I.L. American Journal of International Law Annuaire français Annuaire français de droit international

Area Sea-bed and ocean floor and subsoil thereof beyond the

limits of national Jurisdiction

Authority International Sea-Bed Authority B. Y.I.L. British Yearbook of International Law

Conference Third United Nations Conference on the Law of the

Sea, 1973-1982

Convention 1982 United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea

EEC European Economic Community

EEZ Exclusive Economic Zone

Hague Recueil Académie de Droit International, Recueil des Cours Hauser W. Hauser, The Legal Regime for Deep Seabed Mining

under the Law of the Sea Convention, Kluwer, Deventer.

1983.

I.C.J. International Court of Justice

I.C.L.Q. International and Comparative Law Quarterly
 ICNT
 ICNT/Rev.1 First Revision of the ICNT (Spring 1979)
 ICNT/Rev.2 Second Revision of the ICNT (Summer 1980)

I.L.A. International Law Association
I.L.C. International Law Commission
I.L.M. International Legal Material

ISNT Informal Single Negotiating Text (1975)

Kiss A.-C.Kiss, 'La notion de patrimoine commun de l'hu-

manité', in 175 Hague Recueil (1982-II), pp.99-256.

MIT Massachusetts Institute of Technology

ODILA Ocean Development and International Law Journal

Off. Rec. UNCLOS III Official Records

Ogley R.C.Ogley, Internationalizing the Seabed, Gower,

Aldershot, 1984

P.C.I.J. Permanent Court of International Justice

Post A.Post, Deepsea Mining and the Law of the Sea, Nijhoff,

the Hague, 1983.

Proc. A.S.I.L. Proceedings of the American Society of International

Law

R.G.D.I.P. Revue générale de droit international public

Rozakis Rozakis and Stephanou (eds.), The New Law of the Sea,

North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983

RSNT

Revised Single Negotiating Text (1976) Stockholm International Peace Research Institute SIPRI

SNT The same as ISNT

UNCLOS III The same as Conference

United Nations Conference for Trade and Development **UNCTAD**

U.N. Doc. United Nations Document U.N.T.S.

United Nations Treaty Series Zeitschrift für Ausländisches Öffentliches Recht und ZaöRV

Völkerrecht

List of Cases

| Abu Dhabi Arbitration (1951) | 58 |
|--|-------------|
| Anglo-Norwegian Fisheries Case (1951) | |
| Certain Expences of the United Nations Case (1962) | 144 |
| Clipperton Island Case (1931) | |
| Free Zones of Upper Savoy and the District of Gex Case (1932) | 243 |
| Island of Palmas (or Miagnos) Case (1928) | |
| Legal Consequences (Namibia) Case (1971) | |
| Legal Status of Eastern Greenland Case (1933) | |
| Lotus Case (1927) | |
| North Sea Continental Shelf Cases (1969) | |
| Reparation for Injuries Suffered in the Service of the United No | ations Case |
| (1949) | |
| Reservations Case (1951) | 251 |
| South-West Africa Cases, Second Phase (1966) | 144-45 |
| Tunisia - Libyan Arab Jamahiriya Continental Shelf Case (1982) | |
| Voting Procedure Case (1955) | |

此为试读,需要完整PDF请访问: www.ertongbook.com