

## FOUNDATIONS OF OFFENDER REHABILITATION

SHARON CASEY, ANDREW DAY, JAMES VESS AND TONY WARD



## Foundations of Offender Rehabilitation

**Sharon Casey, Andrew Day, James Vess and Tony Ward** 





First published 2013 by Routledge 2 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 4RN

Simultaneously published in the USA and Canada by Routledge

711 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10017

Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business

© 2013 Sharon Casey, Andrew Day, James Vess and Tony Ward

The right of Sharon Casey, Andrew Day, James Vess and Tony Ward to be identified as authors of this work has been asserted by them in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patent Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

Trademark notice: Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data A catalog record has been requested for this book

ISBN: 978-0-415-67916-9 (hbk) ISBN: 978-0-415-67917-6 (pbk) ISBN: 978-0-203-12681-3 (ebk)

Typeset in Times New Roman by Cenveo Publisher Services



## About the authors

## Dr Sharon Casey

Sharon Casey is a Senior Lecturer at Deakin University and member of the Clinical Forensic Group within the Deakin Forensic Psychology Centre. She was formerly Programme Director of the Master of Psychology (Forensic) programme at the University of South Australia. Her research interests include substance use, juvenile offending, scale development and validation, and psychology and the law. Dr Casey has worked extensively with correctional agencies both in Australia and overseas in the development, provision and evaluation of offender rehabilitation programmes and the provision of training. She currently holds an Australian Research Council Linkage Grant (in conjunction with Corrective Services New South Wales) undertaking a longitudinal analysis of recidivism rates for offenders completing substance use rehabilitation programmes across different treatment modalities.

#### Dr Andrew Day

Andrew Day is Professor in Forensic Psychology and Director of the Forensic Psychology Centre at Deakin University. He has a clinical background, previously working as a clinical and forensic psychologist in both the UK and Australia and is particularly interested in the application of psychological thought and practice to the correctional setting. Professor Day is a member of the Australian Psychological Society's Colleges of Clinical and Forensic Psychology. His current research interests centre around the development of therapeutic regimes within prison settings, effective practice with offenders from Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander cultural backgrounds in Australia, and the role that anger plays in aggressive and violent behaviour.

#### Dr James Vess

James Vess has over 25 years of clinical and research experience with forensic populations. He received his PhD in clinical psychology from Ohio State University in the United States, and then served in a variety of treatment, assessment and supervisory roles at Atascadero State Hospital, the maximum security forensic psychiatric facility in California. He was subsequently a Senior Lecturer at Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand and Deakin University in

#### xii About the authors

Victoria, Australia, where his research has focused primarily on risk assessment with violent and sexual offenders, as well as public policy dealing with high-risk offenders. He has remained active in forensic practice, and has provided expert witness evidence in a variety of High Court and Court of Appeals cases for Preventive Detention and Extended Supervision in both New Zealand and Australia. He is now a subject matter expert and project manager at the National Center for Telehealth and Technology in the Madigan Army Medical Center at Joint Base Lewis-McChord in Tacoma Washington.

## Dr Tony Ward

Tony Ward, MA(Hons), PhD, DipClinPsyc, is currently Professor of Clinical Forensic Mental Health at Deakin University, Melbourne Australia. He was formerly Director of the Kia Marama Sexual Offenders' Unit at Rolleston Prison in New Zealand, and has taught both clinical and forensic psychology at Victoria, Canterbury and Melbourne Universities. He has authored over 300 academic publications and his research interests include the rehabilitation and desistance process in offenders, cognition and offenders, evolutionary approaches to crime, and ethical issues in forensic and correctional psychology. Professor Ward is the developer of the Good Lives Model (GLM) and has published numerous books, book chapters and academic articles on this model since 2002. He is currently working on a research project with Dr Gwenda Willis and Dr Jill Levenson investigating the degree to which North American treatment programmes for sex offenders adhere to the basic conceptual underpinnings of the GLM.

## Foreword

The field of offender rehabilitation has undergone a process of substantial change over the years, from an early period of largely atheoretical and empirically unsubstantiated optimism, through assertions that nothing works to a focus on what works for contributing to positive changes in offenders and reductions in recidivism. Relatively recent evidence suggests that certain types of interventions can have a significant effect in reducing reoffending and managing the risk posed by some types of offenders, although general recidivism rates remain high for many offenders, as well as for certain known subgroups within offender populations such as violent and sexual offenders. Despite a burgeoning professional literature on offender rehabilitation, what has often been missing is an explicit articulation of the theoretical underpinnings of offender rehabilitation, and the development of assessment and intervention approaches that are clearly based on these theories. In this book we review a variety of theories of offending behaviour and current models of offender rehabilitation. This is followed by a consideration of available theories of behaviour change. This is an area that we believe is sometimes insufficiently utilized in attempts to develop and implement effective rehabilitation programmes with offenders.

The theoretical and empirical framework for offender rehabilitation should also guide forensic assessment and case formulation. In this book we provide an approach to the assessment of offenders that draws from available theoretical and empirical sources of information. But assessment is optimally useful only when it is integrated into an aetiologically explanatory case formulation for the offender being assessed. Consideration is therefore given to the development of effective case formulation, which should always form the basis for treatment planning, intervention and ongoing risk management.

The second half of the book applies the approach developed in the first half to specific populations of offenders. The chapter on sex offenders presents the fundamentals of current risk assessment practice, and considerations of applying assessment findings and case formulation to sex offender treatment. This is followed by a similar set of considerations for the assessment, case formulation and intervention with violent offenders. Substance abuse is a common and widespread phenomenon among offenders of all types and a chapter is, therefore, devoted to examining the current state of knowledge in the assessment and

#### xiv Foreword

treatment of this set of problem behaviours. Female offenders have historically made up a relatively small portion of the total offender population, but their involvement in the criminal justice system is nonetheless substantial and may be growing. The chapter on female offenders considers the unique characteristics and concerns presented by this group, as does the following chapter considering a practice approach to the assessment and treatment of young offenders. Finally, the special needs and specific challenges of mentally disordered offenders are discussed. Each chapter includes a detailed case example to illustrate the approach to assessment, case formulation and rehabilitation that we are advocating.

The final chapter of the book presents some of the unique features of professional practice in the area of offender rehabilitation. Working in this area requires that the practitioner not only possess a solid set of clinical skills, but also specialized knowledge and awareness of the criminal justice context in which any work occurs. Consideration must be given to the special nature of informed consent, confidentiality, role boundaries and professional ethics when working with offenders. Our intent in writing this book is to present a specifically focused resource to the developing and practising professional that articulates the link between the theoretical and empirical foundations of offender rehabilitation, and provides a practical approach to working in this challenging but important field. We hope that you will find it a useful resource.

## Acknowledgement

This book emerged as a result of a collaboration between the authors, the Singapore Prison Service and the Ministry of Youth, Community and Sports in Singapore. We are grateful for their support and commitment to the development of psychological practice.

## **Contents**

	List of tables	viii
	List of figures	x
	About the authors	xi
	Foreword	xiii
	Acknowledgement	xv
	ART 1	
T	heoretical foundations	1
1	Theories of offending	3
	Theories of crime and criminal behaviour 3	
	Level I: Society 4	
	Level II: Community 6	
	Level III: Social groups 7	
	Level IV: Criminal acts and events 14	
	Level V: Individual level 17	
	Conclusion 32	
2	Theories of offender rehabilitation	33
	Features of effective offender rehabilitation 34	
	The RNR of offender rehabilitation 37	
	The GLM 41	
	Conclusion 46	
PA	ART 2	
Fr	om theory to practice	47
3	Theories of behaviour and behaviour change	49
	Self-determination theory 50	
	Social cognitive theory 55	

	Behaviour enaction models 63	
	Cognitive behavioural theory 68	
	Conclusion 78	
4	Forensic assessment and case formulation	80
	Psychological assessment 81	
	Assess the offending behaviour 85	
	Limitations and ethical issues of a functional analysis	
	approach to risk formulation 100	
	Case formulation 102	
	Case example 104	
	Conclusion 111	
PA	ART 3	
Pr	actice examples: Working with different groups	113
5	Sex offenders	115
	Prevalence of sex offending and sex offenders 115	
	Aetiology of sexual offending 116	
	Assessment of sex offenders 117	
	Sex offender treatment: Current practice 120	
	Case example 122	
6	Violent offenders	129
	Understanding violence 129	
	Violent offender treatment 131	
	Case example 133	
7	Substance use	140
	Theoretical explanations of the drug-crime nexus 140	
	Assessment issues 142	
	Substance abuse treatment 143	
	Case example 147	
8	Female offenders	152
	The aetiology of female offending: Gendered-specific needs and offence pathways 153	
	Assessment of female offenders 154	
	Treatment issues for female offenders 156	
	Case example 157	

vi Contents

Self-regulation theory 58

		Contents	vii
9	Young offenders		164
	Base rates 164		
	Assessing risk 165		
	Interventions 166		
	Case example 168		
10	Mentally disordered offenders		174
	The prevalence of mental illness among offenders 174		
	Treatment of mentally disordered offenders 177		
	Case example 178		
11	Professional practice		184
	Codes and guidelines 185		
	Specific issues for ethical professional practice 185		
	Supervision 190		
	Conclusion 191		
	Glossary		192
	References		199
	Index		233

## **Tables**

1.1	Theories of crime: Structural to agency	2
1.2	Implications of Level I theories for offender rehabilitation	ć
1.3	Implications of Level II theories for offender rehabilitation	7
1.4	Risk factors for delinquency and other antisocial behaviour	13
1.5	Protective factors associated with delinquency and	
	other antisocial behaviour	14
1.6	Implications of Level III theories for offender rehabilitation	15
1.7	Implications for Level IV theories for offender rehabilitation	17
1.8	Major constructs of social cognitive theory,	
	definitions and change strategies	27
1.9	Implications of Level IV theories for offender rehabilitation	31
3.1	Behaviour change techniques and illustrative	
	theoretical frameworks	51
3.2	Implications for self-determination theory for	
	offender rehabilitation	55
3.3	Implications of social cognitive theory for	
	offender rehabilitation	58
3.4	Implications of self-regulation for offender rehabilitation	63
3.5	Stages of change model and potential change strategies	65
3.6	Implications of behaviour enaction theory for	
	offender rehabilitation	68
3.7	Commonly held implicit theories of sexual offenders	
	and examples associated cognitive distortions	70
3.8	Common schema modes in borderline personality	
	disorder and treatment objectives for achieving	
	health adult mode	75
3.9	Substance-abuse-specific behavioural targets in DBT	77
3.10	Implications of cognitive-behavioural theories for	
	offender rehabilitation	78
<b>4</b> . l	Examples of risk assessment tools	86–88
1.2	How to conduct a functional analysis of offending behaviour	89
1.3	Offence pathways checklist	91
1.4	Core beliefs associated with mental health problems	94

		<i>Tables</i> ix
4.5	Proposed structure for assessment of primary goods	101
4.6	Features of a good formulation	104
11.1	Examples of circumstances in which confidentiality	
	may not be offered to forensic clients	187
11.2	Differences between therapeutic and forensic relationships	188

# Part 1 Theoretical foundations

## 1 Theories of offending

Stated simply, the role of theory is to inform practice, although the reality is that the interface between the two is not always as straightforward as one might hope. In this book we argue that interventions to reduce offending should be based on knowledge about the causes of crime, be informed by an empirically supported theory (or theories) of behaviour change, and be consistent with what has been shown to be effective in changing offending behaviour. Theory is, therefore, imperative in terms of describing and understanding the processes involved, in gaining knowledge, and accumulating evidence (Lippke and Ziegelmann, 2008); evidence which is subsequently the basis for developing effective and interpretable interventions. Before we discuss current practice models of offender rehabilitation, there is a need to consider key theoretical explanations for why people commit crime and, equally importantly, why they stop committing crime.

### Theories of crime and criminal behaviour

There have been many theories postulated that seek to explain the causes and correlates of criminal behaviour. Several attempts have been made to thematically organize different theories according to whether they take a broad, largescale and society-wide 'macro' view, or adopt a 'micro' approach that considers crime from the perspective of the individual. One particularly useful organizing scheme is that proposed by McGuire (2004), which consists of five discrete but interconnected levels of theory that range from the social to the individual; or from structural causes (i.e., offenders are victims of their circumstances in some way) to views of individual agency (i.e., people are responsible for their own life situation). Level 1 theories (e.g., social control theory) are macro accounts; Level 2 (e.g., differential opportunity theory) offers locality-based accounts; socialization and group influence processes are at Level 3 (e.g., differential association theory, social learning theory, developmental criminology); crime events and 'routine activities' are at Level 4 (e.g., routine activity theory, rational choice theory); and individual factors are at Level 5 (e.g., neutralization theory, psychological control theories, social cognitive theory). Table 1.1 provides a brief explanation of the main focus in research and theory construction for each unit of analysis, the broad objective theory in the particular area, and examples of various approaches at

## 4 Theories of offending

Table 1.1 Theories of crime: Structural to agency (based on McGuire, 2004)

RAL	Level	Explanatory focus	Objective	Illustrative theory
	1	Society	To explain crime as a large-scale social phenomenon	Conflict theory Strain theory Social control theory Feminist theories
	2	Localized areas, communities	To account for geographical variations in crime, such as urban-rural differences or between districts or neighbourhoods	Environmental theories Differential opportunity theory
AGENCY STRUCTURAL	3	Proximate social groups	To understand the roles of socialization and social influence through family, school or peer group	Sub-cultural delinquency theory Differential association theory Differential association- reinforcement theory Developmental criminology
AC	4	Criminal acts and events	To analyze and account for patterns and types of crime event, crime targets and trends over time	Routine activity theory Rational choice theory
	5	Individual offenders	To examine patterns of individual behaviour and internal, psychological factors such as thoughts, feelings and behaviours	Neutralization theory Psychological control theories Social cognitive theory

each level. This is followed by examples of theories from different levels which are particularly relevant to understanding the proximal and distal antecedents of an individual offender's behaviour and which have also served to inform the development of offender rehabilitation programmes. It is beyond the scope of this book to provide an analysis of the relevant merits of each of these theories, but some key theories are described in the following sections followed by an analysis of how each theory might contribute to offender rehabilitation practice.

## Level I: Society

#### Social control theories

Social control theories operate on the premise that all human behaviour is, by nature, antisocial and that people must be controlled in order to prevent their