

THOMAS GAMMELTOFT-HANSEN

CAMBRIDGE
STUDIES IN
INTERNATIONAL
AND
COMPARATIVE
LAW

Access to Asylum

International Refugee Law and the
Globalisation of Migration Control



CAMBRIDGE

Access to Asylum

International Refugee Law and the Globalisation of Migration Control

Thomas Gammeltoft-Hansen



 **CAMBRIDGE**
UNIVERSITY PRESS

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town,
Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Tokyo, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press

The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107003477

© Thomas Gammeltoft-Hansen 2011

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,
no reproduction of any part may take place without the written
permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2011

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Gammeltoft-Hansen, Thomas.

Access to asylum : international refugee law and the globalisation of migration control /
Thomas Gammeltoft-Hansen.

p. cm. – (Cambridge studies in international and comparative law)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-107-00347-7 (hardback)

1. Asylum, Right of. 2. Political refugees – Legal status, laws, etc. 3. Law and
Globalization. I. Title. II. Series.

K3268.3.G36 2011

342.08'3 – dc22 2010052386

ISBN 978-1-107-00347-7 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or
accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to
in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is,
or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Access to Asylum

Is there still a right to seek asylum in a globalised world? Migration control has increasingly moved to the high seas or the territory of transit and origin countries, and is now commonly outsourced to private actors. Under threat of financial penalties airlines today reject any passenger not in possession of a valid visa, and private contractors are used to run detention centres and operate border crossings.

In this volume Thomas Gammeltoft-Hansen examines the impact of these new practices on refugees' access to asylum. A systematic analysis is provided of the reach and limits of international refugee law when migration control is carried out extraterritorially or by non-state actors. State practice from around the globe and case law from all the major human rights institutions are discussed. The arguments are further linked to wider debates in the fields of human rights, general international law and political science.

THOMAS GAMMELTOFT-HANSEN is Research Fellow at the Danish Institute for International Studies and External Lecturer at the University of Copenhagen, where he teaches international refugee law. He is also an associated legal expert to the European Council for Refugees and Exiles, a former policy analyst with the Danish Refugee Council and a regular consultant to a number of international organisations, governmental institutions and non-governmental organisations.

CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN INTERNATIONAL AND COMPARATIVE LAW

Established in 1946, this series produces high-quality scholarship in the fields of public and private international law and comparative law. Although these are distinct legal sub-disciplines, developments since 1946 confirm their interrelation.

Comparative law is increasingly used as a tool in the making of law at national, regional and international levels. Private international law is now often affected by international conventions, and the issues faced by classical conflicts rules are frequently dealt with by substantive harmonisation of law under international auspices. Mixed international arbitrations, especially those involving state economic activity, raise mixed questions of public and private international law, while in many fields (such as the protection of human rights and democratic standards, investment guarantees and international criminal law) international and national systems interact. National constitutional arrangements relating to 'foreign affairs', and to the implementation of international norms, are a focus of attention.

The Board welcomes works of a theoretical or interdisciplinary character, and those focusing on the new approaches to international or comparative law or conflicts of law. Studies of particular institutions or problems are equally welcome, as are translations of the best work published in other languages.

- | | |
|---------------------------|--|
| <i>General Editors</i> | James Crawford SC FBA
<i>Whewell Professor of International Law, Faculty of Law, and
Director, Lauterpacht Research Centre for International Law,
University of Cambridge</i>
John S. Bell FBA
<i>Professor of Law, Faculty of Law, University of Cambridge</i> |
| <i>Editorial Board</i> | Professor Hilary Charlesworth <i>Australian National University</i>
Professor Lori Damrosch <i>Columbia University Law School</i>
Professor John Dugard <i>Universiteit Leiden</i>
Professor Mary-Ann Glendon <i>Harvard Law School</i>
Professor Christopher Greenwood <i>London School of Economics</i>
Professor David Johnston <i>University of Edinburgh</i>
Professor Hein Kötz <i>Max-Planck-Institut, Hamburg</i>
Professor Donald McRae <i>University of Ottawa</i>
Professor Onuma Yasuaki <i>University of Tokyo</i>
Professor Reinhard Zimmermann <i>Universität Regensburg</i> |
| <i>Advisory Committee</i> | Professor D. W. Bowett QC
Judge Rosalyn Higgins QC
Professor J. A. Jolowicz QC
Professor Sir Elihu Lauterpacht CBE QC
Judge Stephen Schwebel |

A list of books in the series can be found at the end of this volume.

Foreword

Most refugees today cannot travel to the developed world to seek recognition of their international legal right to protection. They instead face an ever-expanding array of mechanisms – carrier sanctions, border security systems, migration management regimes and the like – which seek to deflect them from wealthy states. This dilemma has been exacerbated in recent years by the rapid expansion of governmental efforts to contract out many migration management functions to transport companies, security firms and other non-state actors. Refugees may thus never be able to reach the territory of a country which has in principle agreed to receive them; they may never be in a position to state their claim to a person with the legal responsibility and authority to protect them; or both.

Distancing himself from those who proclaim a ‘legal black hole’ when refugees are encountered in extraterritorial settings, Thomas Gammeltoft-Hansen effectively shows that refugee law’s core norm of *non-refoulement* is among a small number of vital rights that must be respected wherever a state exercises jurisdiction. And drawing on both principles of state responsibility and the duty of due diligence, he shows also that states cannot blithely invoke the traditional maxim that they bear no liability for private acts as a means of disavowing liability for deterrence effected by the non-state actors refugees are most likely to encounter.

But this book is no simplistic manifesto for refugee rights. To the contrary, Gammeltoft-Hansen forthrightly identifies and explores the critical areas of legal ambiguity – what is jurisdiction? when can private conduct truly be said to be authorised or controlled by a state? what level of diligence can reasonably be expected of a state in overseeing private actors? He rightly concedes that these areas of legal uncertainty afford states crucial ‘wriggle room’ within which to avoid their presumptive protection responsibilities, legally powerful though these may in theory be.

Most importantly, Gammeltoft-Hansen invites us to see the big picture of an asylum system in which powerful governments exploit legal ambiguity to distance themselves both horizontally and vertically from refugees. In his view, the power of extant international law is ironically a significant driver of the ‘offshoring’ and ‘outsourcing’ of protection. It is because international law has evolved to impose responsibility when refugees are subject to control in international spaces such as the high seas that effective avoidance now requires that some other sovereign receive the refugees. And it is because international law increasingly recognises liability for official acts even when committed abroad that wealthy states seeking some measure of control over the deflection process will seek to engage corporate or other non-state entities to exercise management functions for them.

The determination of powerful states to avoid legal strictures thus plays a critical role in generating an international and corporate market for migration control, including dealing with refugees. Because less developed countries with poor human rights records and rudimentary (if any) asylum systems are able to offer the most competitive deflection option, and because corporate or other non-state actors will be guided by concerns of efficiency and profit maximisation, the prospects for refugees are not good – in Gammeltoft-Hansen’s words, likely amounting to no more than ‘protection lite’. Equally disturbing, because scrutiny of protection in such circumstances is difficult if not impossible, even cases arguably governed by international law are less likely to be noticed.

This important book addresses what may well be the most pressing challenges in international refugee law today. It affirms the real power of refugee law even as it challenges us to concede the costs of refugee law’s power. Most importantly, it makes a compelling case for creative engagement with the foundational principles of public international law that inform and constrain the ability of refugee law to mitigate sovereign authority in the interests of human rights protection.

James C. Hathaway
University of Michigan Law School
Ann Arbor
August 2010

Preface

This work reflects the law as it stood, to the best of the author's knowledge, on 20 June 2010. The topicality of the issues covered in this book means, however, that these areas of law are still very much developing. Readers are advised to pay attention to more recent case law. At the time of writing the following cases of particular interest were still pending before the European Court of Human Rights: *Hirsi and Others v. Italy*, European Court of Human Rights, Application No. 277765/09, lodged 26 May 2009 (concerning Italy's high-seas interception and pushback of migrants to Libya); *Al-Skeini and others v. the United Kingdom*, Application No. 55721/07, lodged 11 December 2007; and *Al-Jedda v. United Kingdom*, Application No. 27021/08, lodged 3 June 2008 (both concerning possible extraterritorial jurisdiction for actions of the United Kingdom in Iraq). All website addresses were accurate as at 20 June 2010.

Earlier versions of the author's arguments in the present work have been published elsewhere. Parts of the arguments in chapters 3 and 4 have appeared in 'Growing barriers: international refugee law', in M. Gibney and S. Skogly (eds.), *Universal Human Rights and Extraterritorial Obligations* (Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 2010) and 'The refugee, the sovereign and the sea: EU interdiction policies in the Mediterranean', in R. Adler-Nissen and T. Gammeltoft-Hansen (eds.), *Sovereignty Games: Instrumentalizing Sovereignty in Europe and Beyond* (New York: Palgrave, 2008). An earlier version of section 2.3 has been published as part of 'The outsourcing of asylum in the EU and the advent of protection lite', in L. Bialasiewicz (ed.), *Europe in the World: EU Geopolitics and the Transformation of European Space* (Farnham: Ashgate, 2010).

Thomas Gammeltoft-Hansen
Christianshavn, 20 June 2010

Acknowledgements

No academic insight is ever achieved in isolation. Beyond the scholars cited in the following, a number of people and institutions have more directly contributed to the process through which this volume has materialised.

This book is an adaption of my doctoral dissertation, which was carried out in co-operation with Aarhus University, the Danish Institute for International Studies and the Danish Refugee Council. The single greatest debt of gratitude is owed to Jens Vedsted-Hansen, who has provided excellent guidance and constant encouragement. I am further indebted to Elspeth Guild, Mark Gibney and Jens Hartig Danielsen, who served as my dissertation committee members, for their critical insights and generous advice on how to improve the manuscript.

Throughout the last five years the Danish Institute for International Studies has provided me with the best possible research environment, professionally and personally. I should like to extend particularly warm thanks to all my colleagues at DIIS, in the migration unit and elsewhere, for providing support and encouragement of the kind that not only makes for great colleagues but also great friends. I am further grateful to colleagues and friends at the European University Institute, Aarhus University and Copenhagen University for providing inspiration and office space at various stages of this project.

For the duration of the present project I have simultaneously been employed by the Danish Refugee Council. I am very grateful to Anne la Cour, Thomas Thomsen and Andreas Kamm for providing me with the opportunity to undertake a Ph.D. with this unique set-up. It is also through the Danish Refugee Council that I have been given the opportunity to work with a number of European refugee-assisting organisations making up the European Council for Refugees and Exiles. The exchange of ideas

with colleagues in both places has provided relief from, as well as stimulus to, the time otherwise spent in the ivory tower.

A number of other people have critically helped shape this book through their ideas and comments. For that I am particularly grateful to Tanja Aalberts, Rebecca Adler-Nissen, Rosemary Byrne, Morten Broberg, Guy Goodwin-Gill, Stefano Guzzini, Peter Vedel Kessing, Anja Klug, Nina Maria Lassen, Jesper Lindholm, Gregor Noll, Jorrit Rijpma, Simon Turner, Finn Stepputat and Ninna Nyberg Sørensen.

Nienke van Schaverbeke, Finola O'Sullivan, Daniel Dunlavey, Richard Woodham and others from Cambridge University Press have provided impeccable editorial support. I would like to thank the series editor, James Crawford, and the anonymous readers for their comments and suggestions. In addition, I would like to acknowledge Benedikte Granvig, Ida Marie Vammen and Ane Toubro for their great efforts in ensuring consistency and correctness in the references and citations. The responsibility for any omissions or errors remaining is mine alone.

Last, but not least, I am grateful to my family and many good friends for both their support and understanding in this endeavour. This book is dedicated to my parents, who in each their way continue to inspire me to work in this field.

Table of treaties and other international instruments

1933	Convention Relating to the International Status of Refugees, 159 UNTS 3663
1945	Statute of the International Court of Justice, 1 UNTS XVI
1948	Universal Declaration of Human Rights, UNGA Res. 217A(III)
1949	Fourth Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilian Persons in Time of War, 75 UNTS 287
1950	European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, ETS No. 5, 213 UNTS 221
1951	Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees, 189 UNTS 150
1958	Convention on the Territorial Sea and Contiguous Zone, 516 UNTS 205
1965	International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, 660 UNTS 195
1966	International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 999 UNTS 172
1966	International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, UNGA Res. 2200A (XXI), 993 UNTS 3
1969	American Convention on Human Rights, 1144 UNTS 123
1969	OAU Convention Governing the Specific Aspects of Refugee Problems in Africa, 1001 UNTS 45
1969	Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, 1155 UNTS 331
1974	International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea, 1184 UNTS 3
1979	International Convention on Maritime Search and Rescue, 1405 UNTS 97
1982	Convention on the Law of the Sea, 1833 UNTS 397
1984	Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman and Degrading Treatment or Punishment, 1465 UNTS 85
1989	Convention on the Rights of the Child, 1577 UNTS 3

Table of cases

Permanent Court of International Justice

Island of Palmas, RIIA II, 4 April 1928

SS Lotus, PCIJ Ser. A, No. 10 (1927)

SS Wimbledon, PCIJ Ser. A, No. 1 (1923)

International Court of Justice

Application of the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide (Bosnia and Herzegovina v. Yugoslavia), Preliminary Objections, 11 July 1996

Application of the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide (Bosnia and Herzegovina v. Serbia and Montenegro), 26 February 2007

Armed Activities on the Territory of the Congo (Democratic Republic of the Congo v. Rwanda), 3 February 2006

Armed Activities on the Territory of the Congo (Democratic Republic of the Congo v. Uganda), 19 December 2005

Barcelona Traction, Light and Power Co. Case (Belgium v. Spain), Second Phase, 5 February 1970

Corfu Channel Case (United Kingdom v. Albania), 9 April 1949

Legal Consequences of the Construction of a Wall in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, Advisory Opinion, 9 July 2004

Legality of the Threat or Use of Nuclear Weapons, Advisory Opinion, 8 July 1996

Military and Paramilitary Activities in and against Nicaragua (Nicaragua v. United States of America), 27 June 1986

Namibia (South West Africa) Case, 26 January 1971

Reservations to the Convention on the Prevention of and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide, Advisory Opinion, 28 May 1951

United States Diplomatic and Consular Staff in Tehran (United States of America v. Iran), 24 May 1980

International Arbitral Tribunal

Trail Smelter Arbitral Decision (United States v. Canada), UN Reports of International Arbitral Awards 3 (1938), 4 (1941)

International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia

Prosecutor v. Duško Tadić, Judgement, Case no. IT-94-1-A, 15 July 1999

Human Rights Committee

Celiberti de Casariego v. Uruguay, UN Doc. CCPR/C/OP/1, 29 July 1981

Kindler v. Canada, UN Doc. A/46/50, 30 July 1993

López Burgos v. Uruguay, UN Doc. A/36/40, 6 June 1979

Ng v. Canada, Complaint No. 469/1991, UN Doc. A/49/40 Vol. II, 5 November 1993

Committee against Torture

J.H.A. v. Spain, CAT/C/41/D/323/2007, 21 November 2007

Sadiq Shek Elmi v. Australia, Communication No. 120/1998, 25 May 1999

European Court of Human Rights

A. v. United Kingdom, Application No. 25599/94, 23 September 1998

Ahmed v. Austria, Application No. 25964/94, 17 December 1996

Al-Adsani v. United Kingdom, Application No. 35763/97, 21 November 2001

Al-Saadoon and Mufdhi v. United Kingdom, Application No. 61498/08, 2 March 2010

Amuur v. France, Application No. 19776/92, 25 June 1996

Assanidze v. Georgia, Application No. 71503/01, 8 April 2004

Banković and Others v. Belgium, Czech Republic, Denmark, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Italy, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Spain, Turkey and the UK, Application No. 52207/99, 12 December 2001

- Behrami and Behrami v. France and Saramati v. France, Germany and Norway*, Application No. 71412/01 and 78166/01, 2 May 2007 (Admissibility)
- Bertrand Russell Peace Foundation Lim. v. United Kingdom*, Application No. 7597/76, 2 May 1978
- Bosphorus Airways v. Ireland*, Application No. 45036/98, 30 June 2005
- Chahal v. United Kingdom*, Application No. 22414/93, 15 November 1996
- Cyprus v. Turkey*, Application No. 25781/94, 10 May 2001
- Drozd and Janousek v. France and Spain*, Application No. 12747/87, 26 June 1992
- Edwards v. the United Kingdom*, Application No. 13071/87, 16 December 1992
- Ergi v. Turkey*, Application Nos. 66/1997/850/1057, 28 July 1998
- Gentilhomme and Others v. France*, Application Nos. 48205/99, 48207/99 and 48209/99, 14 May 2002
- Georgia Andreou v. Turkey*, Application No. 45653/99 3 June 2008 (Admissibility)
- Hirsi and Others v. Italy*, Application No. 277765/09, Undecided, complaint submitted 26 May 2009
- H.L.R. v. France*, Application No. 24573/94, 29 April 1997
- Ilaşcu and Others v. Moldova and Russia*, Application No. 48787/99, 8 July 2004
- Isaak and Others v. Turkey*, Application No. 44587/98, 28 September 2006 (Admissibility)
- Issa and Others v. Turkey*, Application No. 31821/96, 16 November 2004
- Klaas v. Germany*, Application No. 15473/89, 22 September 1993
- Loizidou v. Turkey*, Application No. 15318/89, 18 December 1996
- Medvedyev and Others v. France*, Application No. 3394/03, 10 July 2008
- Medvedyev and Others v. France*, Application No. 3394/03, 29 March 2010 (Grand Chamber)
- N. v. Finland*, Application No. 38885/02, 26 July 2005
- Öcalan v. Turkey*, Application No. 46221/99, 12 March 2003
- Osman v. United Kingdom*, Application No. 23452/94, 28 October 1998
- Reinette v. France*, Application No. 14009/88, 2 October 1989
- Siliadin v. France*, Application No. 73316/01, 26 July 2005
- Soering v. United Kingdom*, Application No. 14038/88, 7 July 1989
- Solomou v. Turkey*, Application No. 36832/97, 18 May 1999 (Admissibility)
- T.I. v. United Kingdom*, Application No. 43844/98, 7 March 2000 (Admissibility)
- Vidal v. Belgium*, Application No. 12351/86, 22 April 1992
- W. v. Ireland*, Application No. 9360/81, 28 February 1983

Xhavara and others v. Italy and Albania, Application No. 39473/98,
11 January 2001 (Admissibility)
Z. v. United Kingdom, Application No. 29392/95, 10 May 2001

European Commission of Human Rights

Freda v. Italy, Application No. 8916/80, 7 October 1980
Ilse Hess v. United Kingdom, Application No. 6231/73, 28 May 1975
Ramírez Sánchez v. France, Application No. 28780/95, 24 June 1996
Stocke v. Germany, Application No. 11755/85, 19 March 1991
W.M. v. Denmark, Application No. 17392/90, 14 October 1992
X. and Y. v. Switzerland, Application No. 7289/75 and 7349/76, 14 July 1977
X. v. Belgium, Application No. 984/61, 29 May 1961
X. v. Federal Republic of Germany, Application No. 1611/62, 25 September 1965
X. v. United Kingdom, Application No. 7547/76, 15 December 1977

Inter-American Commission on Human Rights

Armando Alejandro Jr. and Others v. Cuba ('*Brothers to the Rescue*'), Case 11.589,
29 September 1999
Coard et al. v. United States, Case 10.951, 29 September 1999
Haitian Center for Human Rights v. United States ('*US Interdiction of Haitians on the High Seas*'), Case 10.675, 13 March 1997
Rafael Ferrer-Mazorra and Others v. United States, Case 9903, 4 April 2001
Salas and Others v. United States ('*US military intervention in Panama*'),
Case No. 10.573, 14 October 1993

Inter-American Court of Human Rights

Velásquez Rodríguez v. Honduras, Series C, No. 4 (1988), 29 July 1988

Domestic courts

Austria

Case Regarding Carrier Responsibilities, Federal Constitutional Court
(Verfassungsgerichtshof), G224/01, 1 October 2001

United Kingdom

Al-Skeini and others v. Secretary of State for Defence, House of Lords, [2007]
UKHL 26, 13 June 2006

- R. (European Roma Rights Centre and Others) v. Immigration Officer at Prague Airport*, Court of Appeal, [2004] QB 811, [2003] EWCA Civ 666, 20 May 2003
- R. (European Roma Rights Centre and Others) v. Immigration Officer at Prague Airport and another*, House of Lords, [2004] UKHL 55, 9 December 2004
- R. v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, ex parte Robert Denzil Sritharan and Benet Marianayagam*, High Court, [1993] Imm. A R 184, 24 February 1992
- R. v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, ex parte Yogathas*, House of Lords, [2002] UKHL 36, 17 October 2002

United States

- Boumediene et al. v. Bush*, Supreme Court, 553 US 723 (2008), 12 June 2008
- Linea Aerea Nacional de Chile, SA v. Messner*, Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, 65F 3d. 1034 (2nd Cir. 1995)
- Sale, Acting Cmmr, Immigration and Naturalization Service v. Haitian Center Council*, Supreme Court, 113 S.Ct. 2549, 509 US 155 (1993), 21 June 1993
- Scandinavian Airlines Flight SK 911 in Fine Proceedings*, Board of Immigration Appeals, NYC 10/52.6793, Interim Decision 3149, 26 February 1991

Contents

	<i>Foreword</i>	page ix
	<i>Preface</i>	xi
	<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xii
	<i>Table of treaties and other international instruments</i>	xiv
	<i>Table of cases</i>	xv
1	Introduction	1
2	The refugee and the globalisation of migration control	11
3	Refugee protection and the reach of the <i>non-refoulement</i> principle	44
4	Offshore migration control and the concept of extraterritorial jurisdiction	100
5	The privatisation of migration control and state responsibility	158
6	‘Hic abundant leones’: the institutional reach of refugee protection	209
7	Conclusion	231
	<i>Bibliography</i>	249
	<i>Index</i>	270