The Troubled Birth of Russian Democracy Parties, Personalities, and Programs



The Troubled Birth of Russian Democracy Parties, Personalities, and Programs

Michael McFaul Sergei Markov

Hoover Institution Press Stanford University Stanford, California The Hoover Institution on War, Revolution and Peace, founded at Stanford University in 1919 by President Herbert Hoover, is an interdisciplinary research center for advanced study on domestic and international affairs in the twentieth century. The views expressed in its publications are entirely those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the staff, officers, or Board of Overseers of the Hoover Institution.

Hoover Institution Press Publication No. 415

Copyright © 1993 by the Board of Trustees of the

Leland Stanford Junior University

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without written permission of the publisher.

First printing, 1993
99 98 97 96 95 94 93 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1
Simultaneous first paperback printing, 1993
99 98 97 96 95 94 9 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2

Manufactured in the United States of America

The paper used in this publication meets the minimum requirements of American National Standard for Information Sciences—Permanence of Paper for Printed Library Materials, ANSI Z39.48−1984. ⊗

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

McFaul, Michael, 1963-

The troubled birth of Russian democracy: parties, personalities, and programs / Michael McFaul, Sergei Markov.

p. cm. — (Hoover Institution Press publication; 415)
 Consists chiefly of interviews with various Russian personalities.
 Includes index.

ISBN 0-8179-9231-6 (alk. paper). —

ISBN 0-8179-9232-4 (pbk. : alk. paper)

- Political parties—Soviet Union.
 Soviet Union—Politics and government—1985–1991.
 Political parties—Russia (Federation)
- Russia (Federation)—Politics and government.
 Politicians—Soviet Union—Interviews.
 Politicians—Russia (Federation)—

Interviews. I. Markov, Sergei, 1958- . II. Title.

III. Series.

JN6598.A1M35 1993

324.247'009'048-dc20

The Troubled Birth of Russian Democracy





Photo: Meeting in Moscow on March 10, 1991
Manezh Square in the capital became the arena of demonstrators' passions. Called together by the Democratic Russia Movement, tens of thousands of Muscovites came there to express their feelings toward the Union referendum and the coming rise in prices and to support once again the position of the chairman of the Russian Federation of the Supreme Soviet, B. N. Yeltsin. (Photo credit: D. Sokolov, TASS)

Preface

In 1988, when the Democratic Union was founded, the monopoly of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union (CPSU) over organized political activity had begun to erode. In August 1991 it collapsed. What kaleidoscope of parties, movements, and forces will fill the political vacuum created by the CPSU implosion is still uncertain. Several political parties and movements with distinct ideological platforms and tactics, however, had already begun to emerge before the CPSU's collapse. This book is a snapshot of the spectrum of political forces, movements, and parties that existed in Russia in 1991. Compiled here are interviews, documents, and analyses that provide an introduction to those political forces, ranging from the radical Democratic Russia Movement to the neocommunist United Workers' Front.

This book is not a survey of all political parties, movements, or clubs in Russia.² Rather, we have selected a small group of parties and movements that were the most prominent in Russian politics in 1991.³ As the situation in Russia changes, the people and parties discussed in this book may fade from importance, while other new faces and forces will emerge. In organizing this book, however, we have tried to select those people and parties that played historic roles during the dramatic years leading up to the August coup in 1991.

The rapid pace of change in Russia has frustrated our ability to record, study, and understand the history and development of Russia's democratic (and antidemocratic) movements, arguably one of the most important events of the twentieth century. Although most events discussed in this book occurred during 1989—

XII Preface

1991, they already seem like ancient history. Moreover, many of those events and the personalities who participated in them are still unknown to many in the West, for most previous scholarship on Soviet politics focused on the inner workings of the CPSU Politburo, not the development of informal political activity outside official structures.⁴ The demand on scholars and politicians (both in the West and Russia) to understand the present and future situation in Russia has left little time to retrace and explain the origins of this second Russian revolution.

As such, this book aims to add to the data base about this important period in Russian history. Unless recorded beforehand, political history is always told from the perspective of the winners. Even in the interviews in this book, completed during the spring and fall of 1991, the nuances and perspectives on the historical development of the political parties and movements had already been influenced by Boris Yeltsin's increasing success. Follow-up interviews after the coup demonstrate how a single, contemporary event can radically reshape the way in which we understand the past. Although this book makes no claim to correct for this inevitable recasting of history, we do hope that these interviews and documents from a particular, defined moment in time will help historians and political scientists understand the context and flavor of these revolutionary times on the eve and in the wake of the August 1991 putsch.

With few exceptions, both authors participated in the interviews, all of which were conducted in Russian. Before beginning each interview, we explained that the text would be published in a volume of interviews of political leaders. From each organization, we interviewed the most active and/or prominent political figure, which was not always the official chairman or president of the organization. Given space limitations, all interviews had to be abridged. Michael McFaul translated and edited these interviews; he also wrote chapter 1 and is solely responsible for any errors or omissions in these sections.

In calling this book *The Troubled Birth of Russian Democracy*, we do not assume that Russia's transition to democracy will succeed. As discussed in detail in the following chapters, major obstacles now impede democracy's development, and antidemocratic forces threaten the Russian democratic project altogether. If a democratic system does not take hold in Russia, the historical period discussed in this book—a period in which democratic structures and institutions were emerging—may help illuminate the reasons why.

Acknowledgments

The information for this book was compiled under extremely difficult circumstances. Russia was in the midst of one of its most volatile historical moments, as we were pestering some of the main actors in this drama to sit down with us and recollect where they have come from and where they are going. In addition to those people whose interviews appear in this book, we would like to thank the following people who granted us interviews during an extremely hectic time: Andrei Antonov, Mikhail Astafiev, Vladimir Boxer, Igor Chubais, Maksim Dianov, Vladimir Filin, Telman Gdlyan, Kirill Ignatiev, Boris Kagarlitsky, Valerii Khomyakov, Igor Korovikov, Vera Kriger, Pavel Kudiukin, Vladimir Lunin, Vyacheslav Lyzlov, Anatoly Medvedev, Alexander Obolensky, Alexander Ogorodnikov, Lev Ponomarev, Galina Rakitskaya, Ilya Roitman, Ilya Shablinsky, Lev Shemaev, Vyacheslav Shostakovsky, Alexander Shubin, Dmitrii Shusharin, Yurii Skubko, Mikhail Sobol, Sergei Stupar', Alexander Sungurov, Alexander Terekhov, Anatoly Zheludkov, and Victor Zolotarev. If this book could have been a thousand pages long, all these interviews would have been included.

Many people provided invaluable information, contacts, translation assistance, and logistic support in Moscow. In particular, we would like to thank Iva Chernobrova, Elizabeth Cousens, Vyacheslav Igrunov, Yana Ilina, Tatyana Krasnopevtseva, Alexander Kuznetsov, Vladimir Kuznetsov, Alexander Lebedinsky, Julia Lugovaya, Lina Markova, Kelly Smith, Irene Stevenson, Alexander Suetnov, and Niiole Tochitskaya.

Also, we would like to thank Steven Fish, Andrei Shutov, and Nikolai Zlobin,

XÍV Acknowledgments

our colleagues in a weekly seminar at Moscow State University, for their constant intellectual stimulation regarding the historical events that we all lived through and analyzed together.

Third, we would like to thank John Raisian, director of the Hoover Institution, and Charles Palm, deputy director, for sponsoring this project, and Joseph Dwyer, deputy curator for Russian and East European Studies at the Hoover Institution, for facilitating the production of this project. We applaud John Raisian, Charles Palm, Joseph Dwyer, and the Hoover Institution in general for their commitment to documenting this important period in Russian history. We also want to thank Patricia Baker and the entire editorial staff at the Hoover Institution Press for their patience and support in completing this project. We also would like to thank George Breslauer for reading and commenting on the entire manuscript.

Final production of this book took place when we had assumed other responsibilities and affiliations. For indirectly supporting this project, and being patient with both Sergei and me as we ducked away to finish editing this book when we should have been doing other things, we would like to thank the International Research and Exchanges Board, the Center for International Security and Arms Control, the National Democratic Institute for International Affairs, the University of Wisconsin at Madison, and the "MacNeil/Lehrer NewsHour" team in Moscow.

We wish to thank especially Lina Markova and Donna Norton for allowing this book to happen.

In preparing this book, we were away from our respective homes in Dubna/Moscow and San Francisco for more time than we should have been. For understanding the importance of historical moments, even when they interfere with the more important parts of life such as family and friends, we dedicate this book to them.

Contents

Preface	хi
Acknowledgments	xiii
chapter one The Origins of Party Formation in Revolutionary Russia, 1985–1992	1
chapter two	
The Democratic Union	22
Interview with Victor Kuzin	26
Document: Declaration of the	
Democratic Union Party	41
chapter three	
Pamyat'	44
Interview with Dmitrii Vasiliev	46
chapter four	
The Democratic Party of Russia	61
Interview with Nikolai Travkin	66
Document: Declaration of	
Narodnoe Soglasie (Popular Accord)	78

VIII Contents

chapter five	
The Social-Democratic Party of Russia	81
Interview with Oleg Rumyantsev	86
,	
chapter six	
The Republican Party of Russia	94
Interview with Vladimir Lysenko Documents: Statement by the Founding	100
Congress of the Republican Party of Russia,	
Declaration of the Republican Party of Russia	114
chapter seven	
The Russian Christian-Democratic	
Movement of Russia (RXDD)	117
Interview with Victor Aksiuchits	121
chapter eight	
The Democratic Russia Movement	136
Interview with Mikhail Schneider Interview with Victor Dmitriev	141 154
THE TOTAL THE TOTAL PRINCIPLE	134
chapter nine	
Strike Committees and the	
Independent Union of Miners	169
Interview with Anatoly Malykhin	174
Interview with Pavel Shuspanov Documents	181 189
Documents	109

chapter ten	
The Neo-Communists	201
Interview with Richard Kosolapov	206
Interview with Igor Malyarov	213
Document: The Motherland Is Sick.	
How Can She Be Aided.	
Platform of United Workers' Front	219
chapter eleven	
Soyuz	229
Interview with Colonel Victor Alksnis	232
chapter twelve	
The Liberal-Democratic Party	
of the USSR	243
Interview with Vladimir Zhirinovsky	246
chapter thirteen	
The Movement for	
Democratic Reform	258
Interview with Eduard Shevardnadze	261
interview with Educid Shevaruhauze	201
Notes	267
Index	305

The Origins of Party Formation in Revolutionary Russia 1985–1992

Self-organized political associations, be they political parties, civic groups, trade unions, or parliamentary factions, constitute a central component of a democratic system.2 For most of the Soviet Union's seventy-year history, self-organized political association was either suppressed, co-opted, or impeded. As the "leading and guiding force of Soviet society, the nucleus of its political system," the Communist Party of the Soviet Union ordered and controlled almost all political activity in the state and society.3 Organized forms of dissent in the Soviet Union4 have a long history, however, ranging from overt antigovernment actions such as the demonstration on Red Square in 1968 protesting the Soviet invasion of Czechoslovakia to more subtle forms of informal organization such as football fan clubs or Russian cultural associations.⁵ But whenever dissent groups attempted to organize overt, independent political associations, they were quickly stopped by the Soviet regime. Within the Party-state apparatus, some Western observers who identified political interest groups, lobbies, and factions concluded that the Soviet system was becoming more pluralistic.⁶ Yet characterizing splits within the Politburo or interministerial battles as indicators of Soviet democracy only rationalized repression and obfuscated oppression in a regime that actively quashed independent association and, more generally, civil society.

Gorbachev's Reforms from above Ignite Sparks from Below

On becoming general secretary of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union in 1985, Mikhail Gorbachev began to temper the Party-state monopoly on the Soviet polity and economy. After twenty years of *zastoi* (stagnation) under Leonid Brezhnev, revitalizing the system required radical action, not minor adjustments.⁷ After a year of tinkering with the old tactics of *uskorenie* (acceleration) and discipline, Gorbachev began to respond innovatively to the Soviet crisis with policies like *glasnost'*, *perestroika*, and *demokratizatsiya*. Gorbachev's agenda, however, aspired to create neither a democratic political system nor a capitalist economy. Gorbachev's liberalization of political processes aimed to stimulate a restructuring of the Soviet socialist system.⁸ Glasnost' and democratization were means for stimulating perestroika, not ends in themselves.⁹ In prompting grass roots political activity with these liberalizing policies, Gorbachev hoped to create an alliance for change between reformers at the top of the Party and "the people" from below against the entrenched Party bureaucrats who opposed reform.¹⁰

By reforming the ancien régime, however, Gorbachev unleashed revolutionary forces ultimately bent on destroying the old order. After decades of repression, independent political associations flourished in the late 1980s, first as clubs, then as fronts and movements, and ultimately as independent political parties. Initially these groups in Russia were devoid of any obvious political content, focusing instead on cultural renewal, urban remodeling, environmental issues, or scientific questions. Gradually, however, politicized committees or factions crystallized within these apolitical organizations. When politicized members from these informal social groups began to interact with one another, overt political associations coalesced.

Properestroika Clubs

Informal¹⁵ political associations first formed in the spring of 1987, after the plenary session of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union amended Articles 70 and 190 of the criminal code dealing with anti-Soviet agitation and propaganda. Taking their cue from Gorbachev's call for a more open political dialogue, young leaders of the intelligentsia—academicians from institutes, journalists, and low-ranking communist functionaries—convened political discussion groups, including, most notably, the Club of Social Initiative (KSI), Club Perestroika (in Moscow and Leningrad), Democratic Perestroika, Perestroika 88, Obshchina (Commune), Grazhdanskoe Dostoinstvo (Civic Dignity), and the All-Union So-