



# ANNUAL REVIEW OF CELL BIOLOGY

VOLUME 3, 1987

---

## CONTRIBUTING AUTHORS

*Athena Andreadis*

*Clayton A. Buck*

*Teresa Lynn Burgess*

*Anthony L. DeFranco*

*Thomas F. Deuel*

*T. M. Dexter*

*Charles A. Ettensohn*

*Maria E. Gallego*

*Hidesaburo Hanafusa*

*Alan F. Horwitz*

*Richard Jove*

*Regis B. Kelly*

*George R. Martin*

*David R. McClay*

*Joan Meccas*

*Bernardo Nadal-Ginard*

*Martin Rechsteiner*

*Clarence A. Ryan*

*E. Spooncer*

*James A. Spudich*

*Bill Sugden*

*Rupert Timpl*

*Ronald D. Vale*

*Hans M. Warrick*

*Paul M. Wassarman*



# ANNUAL REVIEW OF CELL BIOLOGY

VOLUME 3, 1987

---

GEORGE E. PALADE, *Editor*  
Yale University School of Medicine

BRUCE M. ALBERTS, *Associate Editor*  
University of California, San Francisco

JAMES A. SPUDICH, *Associate Editor*  
Stanford University School of Medicine



ANNUAL REVIEWS INC.  
Palo Alto, California, USA

COPYRIGHT © 1987 BY ANNUAL REVIEWS INC., PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA, USA. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. The appearance of the code at the bottom of the first page of an article in this serial indicates the copyright owner's consent that copies of the article may be made for personal or internal use, or for the personal or internal use of specific clients. This consent is given on the conditions, however, that the copier pay the stated per-copy fee of \$2.00 per article through the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc. (21 Congress Street, Salem, MA 01970) for copying beyond that permitted by Sections 107 or 108 of the US Copyright Law. The per-copy fee of \$2.00 per article also applies to the copying, under the stated conditions, of articles published in any Annual Review serial before January 1, 1978. Individual readers, and nonprofit libraries acting for them, are permitted to make a single copy of an article without charge for use in research or teaching. This consent does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as copying for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. For such uses, written permission is required. Write to Permissions Dept., Annual Reviews Inc., 4139 El Camino Way, P.O. Box 10139, Palo Alto, CA 94303-0897 USA.

*International Standard Serial Number : 0743-4634*

*International Standard Book Number : 0-8243-3103-6*

Annual Review and publication titles are registered trademarks of Annual Reviews Inc.

Annual Reviews Inc. and the Editors of its publications assume no responsibility for the statements expressed by the contributors to this Review.

TYPESETTING BY AUP TYPESETTERS (GLASGOW) LTD., SCOTLAND  
PRINTED AND BOUND IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

0004-214

# ANNUAL REVIEW OF CELL BIOLOGY



## ANNUAL REVIEW OF CELL BIOLOGY

Editor: *James E. Drenth*

## EDITORIAL COMMITTEE (1987)

BRUCE M. ALBERTS  
LAWRENCE BOGORAD  
ANTHONY P. MAHOWALD  
PHILIPPA C. MARRACK  
GEORGE E. PALADE  
MARY LOU PARDUE  
ERKKI RUOSLAHTI  
JAMES A. SPUDICH  
HAROLD M. WEINTRAUB

Responsible for the organization of Volume 3  
(Editorial Committee, 1985)

BRUCE M. ALBERTS  
LAWRENCE BOGORAD  
DAVID J. L. LUCK  
ANTHONY P. MAHOWALD  
PHILIPPA C. MARRACK  
GEORGE E. PALADE  
MARY LOU PARDUE  
JAMES A. SPUDICH  
KENNETH YAMADA  
JOHN GERHART (Guest)  
PHILLIP A. SHARP (Guest)

Production Editor  
Subject Indexer

MARY LEE MACKICHAN  
STEVEN M. SORENSEN

## PREFACE

The first two volumes of the *Annual Review of Cell Biology* were received with considerable interest by the scientific community, as judged by the number of copies acquired by individuals and libraries. Moreover, they proved useful, as indicated by the frequency with which their chapters were cited in the recent literature. These developments encourage the Editorial Committee to continue its current policies, which are based on the premise that cell biology is part of a continuous body of knowledge properly defined as cellular and molecular biology. These policies also proceed from the assumption that principles of cellular organization and function apply to all living organisms and represent—in our times—a common denominator for all biological sciences, basic or applied.

Over the last decades, few fields of scientific research have advanced as rapidly as cellular and molecular biology, and few have enjoyed the advantages inherent in acquiring, in a generation, broad vistas over apparently inexhaustible territories. But few have had to face the problems generated by onrushing, often spectacular advances achieved with sustained vigor in many directions. Broad vistas and vast territories imply great diversity, which in itself invites divergence and encourages fragmentation. The generation of researchers responsible for the opening of those vistas now has the problem of keeping the central areas of the field in focus. In-depth research in such areas will undoubtedly continue to generate new concepts and new technologies, which in time will animate and illuminate other areas. Hence the Editorial Committee proposes to continue to concentrate on central topics in cellular and molecular biology. Yet it also intends to cover significant, specific developments in broad fields of primordial importance, such as plant cell biology, or in active fields currently undergoing impressive and exciting developments, such as immunology and developmental biology.

Keeping all these desiderata in proper balance is not an easy task. Over the last three years, the Editorial Committee has done its best to achieve this goal and will continue to do so. In the process, it welcomes comments and suggestions from other members of the scientific research community, especially members of the American Society for Cell Biology.

The Editorial Committee believes that a vigorous Annual Review, judiciously selective in its coverage and demanding in the quality of its content, is particularly timely in cellular and molecular biology. It can become a unifying factor clearly needed in a large field undergoing rapid expansion in diverse directions. It can keep many of us well informed or reasonably educated in fields adjacent to our individual areas of interest. We trust that our readers will continue to benefit from this exercise in communication.

GEORGE E. PALADE  
EDITOR



ANNUAL REVIEWS INC. is a nonprofit scientific publisher established to promote the advancement of the sciences. Beginning in 1932 with the *Annual Review of Biochemistry*, the Company has pursued as its principal function the publication of high quality, reasonably priced *Annual Review* volumes. The volumes are organized by Editors and Editorial Committees who invite qualified authors to contribute critical articles reviewing significant developments within each major discipline. The Editor-in-Chief invites those interested in serving as future Editorial Committee members to communicate directly with him. Annual Reviews Inc. is administered by a Board of Directors, whose members serve without compensation.

1987 Board of Directors, Annual Reviews Inc.

Dr. J. Murray Luck, Founder and Director Emeritus of Annual Reviews Inc.

*Professor Emeritus of Chemistry, Stanford University*

Dr. Joshua Lederberg, President of Annual Reviews Inc.

*President, The Rockefeller University*

Dr. James E. Howell, Vice President of Annual Reviews Inc.

*Professor of Economics, Stanford University*

Dr. Winslow R. Briggs, *Director, Carnegie Institution of Washington, Stanford*

Dr. Sidney D. Drell, *Deputy Director, Stanford Linear Accelerator Center*

Dr. Eugene Garfield, *President, Institute for Scientific Information*

Dr. Conyers Herring, *Professor of Applied Physics, Stanford University*

Mr. William Kaufmann, *President, William Kaufmann, Inc.*

Dr. D. E. Koshland, Jr., *Professor of Biochemistry, University of California, Berkeley*

Dr. Gardner Lindzey, *Director, Center for Advanced Study in the Behavioral Sciences, Stanford*

Dr. William D. McElroy, *Professor of Biology, University of California, San Diego*

Dr. William F. Miller, *President, SRI International*

Dr. Esmond E. Snell, *Professor of Microbiology and Chemistry,  
University of Texas, Austin*

Dr. Harriet A. Zuckerman, *Professor of Sociology, Columbia University*

Management of Annual Reviews Inc.

John S. McNeil, Publisher and Secretary-Treasurer

William Kaufmann, Editor-in-Chief

Mickey G. Hamilton, Promotion Manager

Donald S. Svedeman, Business Manager

#### ANNUAL REVIEWS OF

Anthropology  
Astronomy and Astrophysics  
Biochemistry  
Biophysics and Biophysical Chemistry  
Cell Biology  
Computer Science  
Earth and Planetary Sciences  
Ecology and Systematics  
Energy  
Entomology  
Fluid Mechanics  
Genetics  
Immunology

Materials Science  
Medicine  
Microbiology  
Neuroscience  
Nuclear and Particle Science  
Nutrition  
Pharmacology and Toxicology  
Physical Chemistry  
Physiology  
Phytopathology  
Plant Physiology  
Psychology  
Public Health  
Sociology

#### SPECIAL PUBLICATIONS

Annual Reviews Reprints:  
Cell Membranes, 1975-1977  
Immunology, 1977-1979  
  
Excitement and Fascination  
of Science, Vols. 1 and 2  
  
Intelligence and Affectivity,  
by Jean Piaget  
  
Telescopes for the 1980s

A detachable order form/envelope is bound into the back of this volume.

## SOME RELATED ARTICLES IN OTHER *ANNUAL REVIEWS*

From the *Annual Review of Biochemistry*, Volume 56 (1987):

*Dynamics of Membrane Lipid Metabolism and Turnover*, E. A. Dawidowicz

*Topography of Glycosylation in the Rough Endoplasmic Reticulum and Golgi Apparatus*, C. B. Hirschberg and M. D. Snider

*Inositol Trisphosphate and Diacylglycerol: Two Interacting Second Messengers*, M. J. Berridge

*Intracellular Proteases*, J. S. Bond and P. E. Butler

*The Structure and Function of the Hemagglutinin Membrane Glycoprotein of Influenza Virus*, D. C. Wiley and J. J. Skehel

*Alternative Splicing: A Ubiquitous Mechanism for the Generation of Multiple Protein Isoforms from Single Genes*, R. E. Breitbart, A. Andreadis, and B. Nadal-Ginard

*Inhibitors of the Biosynthesis and Processing of N-Linked Oligosaccharide Chains*, A. D. Elbein

*The Nucleus: Structure, Function, and Dynamics*, J. W. Newport and D. J. Forbes

*Protein Serine/Threonine Kinases*, A. M. Edelman, D. K. Blumenthal, and E. G. Krebs

*G Proteins: Transducers of Receptor-Generated Signals*, A. G. Gilman

*Interferons and their Actions*, S. Pestka, J. A. Langer, K. C. Zoon, and C. E. Samuel

*Biosynthetic Protein Transport and Sorting by the Endoplasmic Reticulum and Golgi*, S. R. Pfeffer and J. E. Rothman

*Receptors for Epidermal Growth Factor and Other Polypeptide Mitogens*, G. Carpenter

From the *Annual Review of Biophysics and Biophysical Chemistry*, Volume 16 (1987):

*Structure and Assembly of Coated Vesicles*, B. M. F. Pearse and R. A. Crowther

*Structure and Dynamics of Water Surrounding Biomolecules*, W. Saenger

*The Structural Basis of Antigen-Antibody Recognition*, R. A. Mariuzza, S. E. V. Phillips, and R. J. Poljak

*An Introduction to Molecular Architecture and Permeability of Ion Channels*, G. Eisenman and J. A. Dani



*Molecular Properties of Ion Permeation Through Sodium Channels*, T. Bezenisich

*Calcium Channels: Mechanisms of Selectivity, Permeation, and Block*, R. W. Tsien, P. Hess, E. W. McCleskey, and R. L. Rosenberg

*Absorption, Scattering, and Imaging of Biomolecular Structures with Polarized Light*, I. Tinoco, Jr., W. Mickols, M. F. Maestre, and C. Bustamante

*Measurement of Metal Cation Compartmentalization in Tissue by High-Resolution Metal Cation NMR*, C. S. Springer, Jr.

*Real-Time Spectroscopic Analysis of Ligand-Receptor Dynamics*, L. A. Sklar

*Peptides With Affinity for Membranes*, E. T. Kaiser and F. J. Kézdy

From the *Annual Review of Genetics*, Volume 21 (1987):

*Oncogene Activation by Chromosome Translocation in Human Malignancy*, F. G. Haluska, Y. Tsujimoto, and C. M. Croce

*Regulation of DNA Replication During Drosophila Development*, A. Spradling and T. Orr-Weaver

*Arabidopsis thaliana*, E. M. Meyerowitz

*DNA Methylation in Escherichia coli*, M. G. Marinus

*Genetic Analysis of the Yeast Cytoskeleton*, T. C. Huffaker, M. A. Hoyt, and D. Botstein

*RNA 3' End Formation in the Control of Gene Expression*, D. Friedman, M. J. Imperiale, and S. Adhya

From the *Annual Review of Immunology*, Volume 5 (1987):

*The Role of Somatic Mutation of Immunoglobulin Genes in Autoimmunity*, A. Davidson, R. Shefner, A. Livneh, and B. Diamond

*Disorders of Phagocyte Function*, D. Rotrosen and J. I. Gallin

*Molecular Mechanisms of Transmembrane Signaling in B Lymphocytes*, J. C. Cambier and J. T. Ransom

*Viruses Perturb Lymphocyte Functions: Selected Principles Characterizing Virus-Induced Immunosuppression*, M. B. McChesney and M. B. A. Oldstone

*B-Cell Stimulatory Factor-1/Interleukin 4*, W. E. Paul and J. Ohara

*The Structure, Function, and Serology of the T-Cell Antigen Receptor Complex*, J. P. Allison and L. I. Lanier

*Genes of the T-Cell Antigen Receptor in Normal and Malignant T Cells*, B. Toyonaga and T. Mak

From the *Annual Review of Microbiology*, Volume 41 (1987):

*Compartmentation of Carbohydrate Metabolism in Trypanosomes*, F. R. Opperdoes

*The Mitochondrial Genome of Kinetoplastid Protozoa: Genomic Organization, Transcription, Replication, and Evolution*, L. Simpson

*Export of Protein: A Biochemical View*, L. L. Randall, S. J. S. Hardy, and J. R. Thom

*High-Resolution NMR Studies of Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, S. L. Campbell-Burk and R. G. Shulman

*Genetic Research with Photosynthetic Bacteria*, P. A. Scolnik and B. L. Marrs

From the *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, Volume 11 (1988):

*Modulation of Ion Channels in Neurons and Other Cells*, I. B. Levitan

*Excitatory Amino Acid Neurotransmission: NMDA Receptors and Hebb-Type Synaptic Plasticity*, C. W. Cotman, D. T. Monaghan, and A. H. Ganong

*Microtubule-Associated Proteins: Their Potential Role in Determining Neuronal Morphology*, A. Matus

*Probing the Molecular Structure of the Voltage-Dependent Sodium Channel*, R. L. Barchi

From the *Annual Review of Physiology*, Volume 49 (1987):

*Proton Transport by Hepatocyte Organelles and Isolated Membrane Vesicles*, B. F. Scharschmidt and R. W. Van Dyke

*Lateral Diffusion of Proteins in Membranes*, K. Jacobson, A. Ishihara, and R. Inman

*Intracellular Lipid Transport in Eukaryotes*, R. G. Sleight

*Lipid Modulation of Transport Proteins in Vertebrate Cell Membranes*, B. Deuticke and C. W. M. Haest

*Gastroenteropancreatic Peptides and the Central Nervous System*, D. P. Figlewicz, F. Lacour, A. Sipols, D. Porte, Jr., and S. C. Woods

*Functions of Angiotensin in the Central Nervous System*, M. I. Phillips

*Mechanisms of Angiogenesis*, P. A. D'Amore and R. W. Thompson

*Kinetics of the Actomyosin ATPase in Muscle Fibers*, Y. E. Goldman

(*continued*)

*Mechanical and Structural Approaches to Correlation of Cross-Bridge Action in Muscle with Actomyosin ATPase in Solution*, B. Brenner

*Spectroscopic Probes of Muscle Cross-Bridge Rotation*, D. D. Thomas

From the *Annual Review of Plant Physiology*, Volume 38 (1987):

*Photochemical Reaction Centers: Structure, Organization, and Function*,  
A. N. Glazer and A. Melis

*Membrane-Proton Interactions in Chloroplast Bioenergetics: Localized Proton Domains*, R. A. Dilley, S. M. Theg, and W. A. Beard

*Phosphorylation of Proteins in Plants: Regulatory Effects and Potential Involvement in Stimulus/Response Coupling*, R. Ranjeva and A. M. Boudet

*The Plant Cytoskeleton: The Impact of Fluorescence Microscopy*, C. W. Lloyd

*Regulation of Gene Expression in Higher Plants*, C. Kuhlemeier, P. J. Green, and N.-H. Chua

*Evolution of Higher-Plant Chloroplast DNA-Encoded Genes: Implications for Structure-Function and Phylogenetic Studies*, G. Zurawski and M. T. Clegg



## CONTENTS

UBIQUITIN-MEDIATED PATHWAYS FOR INTRACELLULAR PROTEOLYSIS, <i>Martin Rechsteiner</i>	1
CELL TRANSFORMATION BY THE VIRAL <i>src</i> ONCOGENE, <i>Richard Jove and Hidesaboro Hanafusa</i>	31
LAMININ AND OTHER BASEMENT MEMBRANE COMPONENTS, <i>George R. Martin and Rupert Timpl</i>	57
REPLICATION OF PLASMIDS DERIVED FROM BOVINE PAPILLOMA VIRUS TYPE 1 AND EPSTEIN-BARR VIRUS IN CELLS IN CULTURE, <i>Joan Mecsas and Bill Sugden</i>	87
EARLY EVENTS IN MAMMALIAN FERTILIZATION, <i>Paul M. Wassarman</i>	109
MOLECULAR ASPECTS OF B-LYMPHOCYTE ACTIVATION, <i>Anthony L. DeFranco</i>	143
CELL SURFACE RECEPTORS FOR EXTRACELLULAR MATRIX MOLECULES, <i>Clayton A. Buck and Alan F. Horwitz</i>	179
GENERATION OF PROTEIN ISOFORM DIVERSITY BY ALTERNATIVE SPLICING: Mechanistic and Biological Implications, <i>Athena Andreadis, Maria E. Gallego, and Bernardo Nadal-Ginard</i>	207
CONSTITUTIVE AND REGULATED SECRETION OF PROTEINS, <i>Teresa Lynn Burgess and Regis B. Kelly</i>	243
OLIGOSACCHARIDE SIGNALLING IN PLANTS, <i>Clarence A. Ryan</i>	295
CELL ADHESION IN MORPHOGENESIS, <i>David R. McClay and Charles A. Ettensohn</i>	319
INTRACELLULAR TRANSPORT USING MICROTUBULE-BASED MOTORS, <i>Ronald D. Vale</i>	347
MYOSIN STRUCTURE AND FUNCTION IN CELL MOTILITY, <i>Hans M. Warrick and James A. Spudich</i>	379
GROWTH AND DIFFERENTIATION IN THE HEMOPOIETIC SYSTEM, <i>T. M. Dexter and E. Spooncer</i>	423
POLYPEPTIDE GROWTH FACTORS: Roles in Normal and Abnormal Cell Growth, <i>Thomas F. Deuel</i>	443

INDEXES

Subject Index	493
Cumulative Index of Contributing Authors, Volumes 1-3	499
Cumulative Index of Chapter Titles, Volumes 1-3	500

# UBIQUITIN-MEDIATED PATHWAYS FOR INTRACELLULAR PROTEOLYSIS

*Martin Rechsteiner*

Department of Biochemistry, School of Medicine, University of Utah,  
 Salt Lake City, Utah 84132

---

## CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION .....	1
GENERAL PROPERTIES OF INTRACELLULAR PROTEOLYSIS .....	2
<i>Rates</i> .....	2
<i>Nucleotide Dependence</i> .....	3
<i>Sites of Proteolysis</i> .....	3
<i>Protein Structure and Intracellular Stability</i> .....	6
<i>Complete Versus Partial Proteolysis</i> .....	7
<i>Significance</i> .....	8
UBIQUITIN-MEDIATED PROTEOLYTIC PATHWAYS.....	9
<i>History</i> .....	9
<i>Properties of Ubiquitin and Its Genes</i> .....	9
<i>Models for Ubiquitin-Dependent Proteolysis</i> .....	12
<i>Enzymes of Ubiquitin Metabolism</i> .....	16
<i>Stable Ubiquitin Conjugates</i> .....	21
<i>Ubiquitin Pool Dynamics</i> .....	22
<i>Ubiquitin and Developing Tissues</i> .....	24
SUMMARY .....	24

## INTRODUCTION

Proteolysis occurs in nearly all cellular compartments, where it serves a number of purposes ranging from removal of protein targeting sequences to complete hydrolysis of polypeptide chains. The cytosolic process is particularly fascinating because individual proteins are destroyed at vastly different rates. We are just beginning to understand the mechanisms

involved in this process, particularly the importance of ubiquitin. This remarkable eucaryotic protein has scarcely changed during the 3 billion years of evolution that separate yeast and man. Besides its evolutionary conservation, ubiquitin is unusual in that it can be covalently attached to histones, to various cytoplasmic proteins, and to an external domain on the lymphocyte homing receptor. Although the physiological significance of histone and homing receptor ubiquitination remains unclear, there is abundant evidence that conjugation of ubiquitin to cytosolic proteins can mark those proteins for destruction. Ubiquitin also plays a key role in the heat-shock response.

This review concentrates on intracellular proteolysis and ubiquitin's function in that process. Space limitations require a selective review of the literature. Therefore, I have focused on experiments that use rabbit reticulocyte lysate or microinjection. For other perspectives see Hershko & Ciechanover (1986), Beynon & Bond (1986), Mayer & Doherty (1986), and *Ubiquitin*, a multiauthored book forthcoming from Plenum Press.

## GENERAL PROPERTIES OF INTRACELLULAR PROTEOLYSIS

### *Rates*

Metabolic labeling experiments have revealed two sets of proteins with stabilities that differ more than tenfold. When rat livers or cultured mammalian cells are exposed to radioactive amino acids for a few minutes, 20–40% of the newly synthesized proteins are degraded within the following hour (Poole & Wibo 1973). After cells are exposed to radioactive amino acids for 24 hr or longer, degradation rates are between 2 and 4% per hour, values similar to those measured by nonisotopic methods (Steinberg & Vaughan 1956). These two distinct classes of proteins are designated “short-lived” and “long-lived” proteins.

Turnover rates of individual proteins do not necessarily fall within the ranges just presented. In fact, half-lives of proteins vary from several minutes to weeks, irrespective of their cellular location. The term “half-life” implies that loss of an individual protein is a first-order process. As Schimke (1973) pointed out, this kinetic behavior has two important implications: (a) “aging” of a protein molecule does not lead to an increased probability of its being degraded; (b) all molecules of a specific protein are present in a common pool. Exponential loss has been clearly established for some enzymes. However, the degradation of other proteins, especially in muscle or nerve, does not conform to first-order kinetics. For example, the turnover of vimentin and actin displays biphasic kinetics in



astrocytes; about 40% of each protein is degraded with a half-life of 12–18 hr, whereas more than half of the remaining molecules are still present 8 days later (Chiu & Goldman 1984). The stabilization of a fraction of each protein population may reflect its assembly into macromolecular complexes (Siekevitz 1972). Alternatively, nerve processes may be proteolytically privileged sites since proteins exhibit remarkable stability during slow axonal transport (Brady & Lasek 1981).

Basal rates of proteolysis can double when mammalian cells are deprived of polypeptide hormones or essential nutrients. It is well established that most of the enhanced proteolysis results from autophagy, a process whereby portions of the cytoplasm are encapsulated in membrane vesicles that subsequently fuse with lysosomes. Several studies have shown that, except for more rapid degradation of mitochondria (Chandler & Ballard 1983), cytoplasmic components are randomly included within autophagic vacuoles during enhanced degradation (Amenta & Brocher 1981). Little is known about the cellular and molecular mechanisms responsible for autophagy, and there is no evidence for or against ubiquitin's participation in the process.

### *Nucleotide Dependence*

Simpson (1953) demonstrated that ATP is required for the degradation of intracellular proteins. This observation has since been confirmed for individual enzymes (Hershko & Tomkins 1971), for proteins microinjected into cultured mammalian cells (Katznelson & Kulka 1983), and for both short- and long-lived proteins in general (Gronostajski et al 1985). Recently, however, two papers reported intracellular proteolysis in the absence of ATP. The degradation of chick reticulocyte  $\beta$ -spectrin was unaffected by ATP depletion, whereas breakdown of  $\alpha$ -spectrin ceased under similar conditions (Woods & Lazarides 1985). Likewise, abnormal globin chains were degraded in the absence of high-energy compounds, albeit at an incredibly low rate (Fagan et al 1986). Since removal of ATP produces an abnormal metabolic condition, depletion may activate proteases normally inhibited by ATP-dependent processes, e.g. phosphorylation. For this reason, results from ATP-depletion experiments may not apply to normal physiological conditions.

### *Sites of Proteolysis*

Proteolytic activities have been identified in virtually every cellular compartment. Although the physiological significance of some, e.g. leader peptidase, transit peptide protease, and hormone processing enzymes, seems apparent, we do not know the contribution of most cellular proteases to overall protein metabolism, nor do we know which enzymes participate

in the degradation of specific proteins. There is evidence that cytoskeletal proteins are the principal substrates for calcium-activated proteases (Vorgias & Traub 1986). Still, to my knowledge, we have yet to identify the protease(s) responsible for the degradation of any specific cytoplasmic protein. Clearly this is an important task for the future.

A general discussion of cellular proteases is outside the scope of this essay, and the recent review by Bond & Butler (1987) provides a guide to the literature. However, two issues relating to possible sites of proteolysis do warrant further consideration. It has been suggested that the degradation of transit peptides in mitochondria and leader peptides in the endoplasmic reticulum can account for the class of short-lived proteins (Kominami et al 1983; Hough & Rechsteiner 1984). Temperature studies support the notion that different pathways are responsible for the destruction of short-lived and long-lived proteins. Degradation of short-lived proteins exhibits a temperature dependence typical of many enzyme reactions; the  $Q_{10}$  is about two (Neff et al 1979). In contrast, the  $Q_{10}$  for turnover of long-lived proteins is often greater than four (Hough & Rechsteiner 1984). Nevertheless, several observations challenge the hypothesis that the existence of short-lived proteins reflects co- or post-translational proteolytic processing. Proteins containing transit or leader peptides do not account for 20–40% of newly synthesized polypeptide chains. Moreover, targeting sequences are removed rapidly after synthesis (Reid & Schatz 1982). Also, many proteins with short half-lives, e.g. *myc*, *fos*, *p53*, *ela*, are found in the nucleus. Thus it appears that most short-lived proteins inhabit the nuclear and cytosolic compartments. Their instability surely has regulatory significance, and a common degradative pathway, characterized by a low activation energy, may be responsible for their rapid breakdown.

The second issue concerns the possible role of lysosomes in the selective degradation of cytosolic proteins. The diverse half-lives of cytosolic proteins would require differential stabilities within lysosomes or selective transfer into these organelles, and mechanisms to accomplish this have been proposed (Dean 1984). It has been suggested that lysosomes can directly take up proteins, a process known as microautophagy (Ahlberg & Glaumann 1985). However, recent studies indicate that lysosomes do not participate in the turnover of short-lived proteins, but rather they contribute to the degradation of long-lived proteins.

In 1973, Poole & Wibo demonstrated that cells contain two mechanistically distinct proteolytic pathways. They showed that while fresh serum inhibited the degradation of long-lived proteins in cultured rat fibroblasts, it had no effect on the degradation of short-lived proteins. A year later, Wibo & Poole demonstrated that the organic amine, chloro-