

Genre

John Frow

The New Critical Idiom

 **Routledge**
Taylor & Francis Group



GENRE

John Frow

First published 2006
by Routledge
2 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 4RN

Simultaneously published in the USA and Canada
by Routledge
270 Madison Ave, New York, NY 10016

Transferred to Digital Printing 2006

Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business

© 2005 John Frow

Typeset in Garamond and Scala Sans by
Taylor & Francis Books
Printed and bound in Great Britain by
TJI Digital, Padstow, Cornwall

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

A catalog record for this book has been requested

ISBN 10: 0-415-28062-1 (cased)

ISBN 10: 0-415-28063-X (limp)

ISBN 13: 978-0-415-28062-4 (cased)

ISBN 13: 978-0-415-28063-1 (limp)

GENRE

Genre is a key means by which we categorise the many forms of literature and culture. However, it is also much more than that: in talk and writing, in music and images, in film and television, genres actively generate and shape our knowledge of the world. Understanding genre as a dynamic process rather than a set of stable rules, this book explores:

- the relation of simple to complex genres
- the history of literary genre in theory
- the generic organisation of implied meanings
- the structuring of interpretation by genre
- the uses of genre in teaching

John Frow's lucid exploration of this fascinating concept will be essential reading for students of literary and cultural studies.

John Frow is Professor of English at the University of Melbourne.

THE NEW CRITICAL IDIOM

SERIES EDITOR: JOHN DRAKAKIS, UNIVERSITY OF STIRLING

The New Critical Idiom is an invaluable series of introductory guides to today's critical terminology. Each book:

- provides a handy, explanatory guide to the use (and abuse) of the term
- offers an original and distinctive overview by a leading literary and cultural critic
- relates the term to the larger field of cultural representation.

With a strong emphasis on clarity, lively debate and the widest possible breadth of examples, *The New Critical Idiom* is an indispensable approach to key topics in literary studies.

Also available in this series:

The Author by Andrew Bennett

Autobiography by Linda Anderson

Adaptation and Appropriation by Julie Sanders

Class by Gary Day

Colonialism/Postcolonialism

Second edition by Ania Loomba

Comedy by Andrew Stott

Crime Fiction by John Scaggs

Culture/Metaculture
by Francis Mulhern

Difference by Mark Currie

Discourse by Sara Mills

Drama/Theatre/Performance
by Simon Shepherd and Mick Wallis

Dramatic Monologue
by Glennis Byron

Ecocriticism by Greg Garrard

Genders by David Glover and
Cora Kaplan

Genre by John Frow

Gothic by Fred Botting

Historicism by Paul Hamilton

Humanism by Tony Davies

Ideology by David Hawkes

Interdisciplinarity by Joe Moran

Intertextuality by Graham Allen

Irony by Claire Colebrook

Literature by Peter Widdowson

Magic(al) Realism

by Maggie Ann Bowers

Metre, Rhythm and Verse Form
by Philip Hobsbaum

Modernism by Peter Childs

Myth by Laurence Coupe

Narrative by Paul Cobley

Parody by Simon Dentith

Pastoral by Terry Gifford

The Postmodern by Simon Malpas

Realism by Pam Morris

Romance by Barbara Fuchs

Romanticism by Aidan Day

Science Fiction by Adam Roberts

Sexuality by Joseph Bristow

Stylistics by Richard Bradford

Subjectivity by Donald E. Hall

The Sublime by Philip Shaw

The Unconscious

by Antony Easthope

SERIES EDITOR'S PREFACE

The New Critical Idiom is a series of introductory books which seeks to extend the lexicon of literary terms, in order to address the radical changes which have taken place in the study of literature during the last decades of the twentieth century. The aim is to provide clear, well-illustrated accounts of the full range of terminology currently in use, and to evolve histories of its changing usage.

The current state of the discipline of literary studies is one where there is considerable debate concerning basic questions of terminology. This involves, among other things, the boundaries which distinguish the literary from the non-literary; the position of literature within the larger sphere of culture; the relationship between literatures of different cultures; and questions concerning the relation of literary to other cultural forms within the context of interdisciplinary studies.

It is clear that the field of literary criticism and theory is a dynamic and heterogeneous one. The present need is for individual volumes on terms which combine clarity of exposition with an adventurousness of perspective and a breadth of application. Each volume will contain as part of its apparatus some indication of the direction in which the definition of particular terms is likely to move, as well as expanding the disciplinary boundaries within which some of these terms have been traditionally contained. This will involve some re-situation of terms within the larger field of cultural representation, and will introduce examples from the area of film and the modern media in addition to examples from a variety of literary texts.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am deeply grateful to Alastair Fowler, David Duff, and Dana Polan for their generosity in reading and commenting on the manuscript. Liz Thompson reminded me that the reader's eyes will see in ways other than mine do; John Drakakis did a masterly job of reducing the proliferating parentheses in the text he saw, and of bringing a greater 'clarity of exposition' to it. Sandra helped bring a much greater clarity to my life, and the book is dedicated to her.

The quotations from Rosmarie Waldrop's translations of Jacques Roubaud's *The Plurality of Worlds of Lewis* are used by kind permission of the Dalkey Archive Press.

CONTENTS

SERIES EDITOR'S PREFACE	vii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	viii
Introduction	1
1 Approaching genre	6
Preliminary questions	6
The situation of genre	12
The performance of genre	17
2 Simple and complex genres	29
Simple forms: the riddle	29
Generic complexity	40
Citation and intertextuality	45
3 Literary genre theory	51
Genre as taxonomy	51
Presentational modes: Plato and Aristotle	55
The natural forms	58
Genres and modes	63
Poetics and history	68
4 Implication and relevance	72
The structural dimensions of genre	72
Implication and presupposition	77
Genre as schema	83
Generic truths: Philosophy	87
Generic truths: History	92

vi CONTENTS

5	Genre and interpretation	100
	Reading genre	100
	The frame	103
	Generic cues	109
	Figures of genre	114
6	System and history	124
	Genre systems	124
	Synchrony and diachrony	128
	Genrification	137
	Teaching genre	139
	GLOSSARY	145
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	156
	INDEX	166

INTRODUCTION

This book is about the kinds or genres of speech, writing, images, and organised sound: forms of talk and writing, of drawing and painting and sculpting, of architecture, of music, and mixed forms like film, television, opera, and drama. It is a book about how genres organise verbal and non-verbal discourse, together with the actions that accompany them, and how they contribute to the social structuring of meaning.

I take it that all texts are strongly shaped by their relation to one or more genres, which in turn they may modify. In certain areas of criticism it is assumed that genre is a term that applies to some texts and not to others: thus we speak of genre films, meaning strongly defined genres such as the Western or the heist movie; of genre painting, meaning a style of representation of everyday scenes, defined by opposition to paintings on larger historical themes; and of genre fiction, meaning for the most part such popular genres as the detective story or science fiction. Genre in this sense indicates the formulaic and the conventional. Now, it is certainly the case that this usage points to real distinctions between different textual functions, different audience structures, and different patterns of reading. But for the purposes of this book I treat this way of speaking about genre as irrelevant because it obscures the extent to which even the most complex and least formulaic of texts is

shaped and organised by its relation to generic structures. Genre, as I use the term here, is a universal dimension of textuality.

In what follows, I am not concerned with the question of *how* to classify or to recognise genres, and the book is neither a description nor an endorsement of existing classifications. It is not designed to be comprehensive, to 'cover' the range of genres, because I assume that there is no master list. Rather than developing a detailed description of all the genres that there are, what I do is try to think about the uses of genre: how do genres work in practice, what do we do with genre classifications, what are their social dimensions? In particular, the book is about how genres actively generate and shape knowledge of the world; and about how generically shaped knowledges are bound up with the exercise of power, where power is understood as being exercised in discourse, as well as elsewhere, but is never simply external to discourse. In that sense I understand genre as a form of symbolic action: the generic organisation of language, images, gestures, and sound makes things happen by actively shaping the way we understand the world.

The book's central argument, then, is that far from being merely 'stylistic' devices, genres create effects of reality and truth, authority and plausibility, which are central to the different ways the world is understood in the writing of history or of philosophy or of science, or in painting, or in everyday talk. These effects are not, however, fixed and stable, since texts – even the simplest and most formulaic – do not 'belong' to genres but are, rather, uses of them; they refer not to 'a' genre but to a field or economy of genres, and their complexity derives from the complexity of that relation. Uses of texts ('readings') similarly refer, and similarly construct a position in relation to that economy.

I'm not unaware, of course, that this book itself has a generic shape, that of the 'introductory guide' which is lucidly described in the Series Editor's Preface. You will judge for yourself whether I manage to 'combine clarity of exposition with an adventurousness of perspective and a breadth of application'; more importantly, I hope that by the end of the book you will recognise the kind of speaking position that is constructed for me and for you by this genre, and the kinds of effect of knowledge and truth it generates. Needless to say, I hope that you will be able to see a little further through them.

The shape of the book is as follows. In the first chapter, 'Approaching Genre', I set out what I take to be some of the basic problems in thinking about genre – questions about how genres incorporate and invoke structures of knowledge, about the kinds of classification they perform, about historical continuity and difference, and about the kinds of action they perform upon the world. I think about the material embedding of genre structures in bookshop shelves and filing systems and television guides and everyday talk, and about their organising force in everyday life. I think about how genres relate to recurrent situations. And I try to stress that genres are not fixed and pre-given forms by thinking about texts as performances of genre rather than reproductions of a class to which they belong, and by following Derrida in stressing the importance of edges and margins – that is, in stressing the open-endedness of generic frames.

In Chapter 2, 'Simple and Complex Genres', I take up from Bakhtin and Jolles the concept of certain 'simple forms' of textuality that they take to be the building blocks of more complex forms, and I explore the genre of the riddle to see how well the concept works. I find that, although it is in some ways useful, it can't really be sustained because even the most apparently basic forms produce complex effects. In the process, however, I hope to say some interesting things about how riddles work and, more generally, about how genres are structured. What this exploration opens up for me is an investigation of relations *between* genres, something that I take to be a central feature of how they function; I look at reported speech as an example of one such relationship, and then more generally at citation and other forms of intertextuality which I believe illuminate the core processes of textuality.

Chapter 3, 'Literary Genre Theory', begins by meditating upon the classificatory functions of genre and placing them in the context of human classification in general. I look briefly at biological models of taxonomy and at some of the metaphors through which genre has been understood – the family, the species, the contract, the speech act, and so on. Most of the chapter is taken up with a historical account of genre theory, from the poetics of Plato and Aristotle through the Romantic notion of a small set of 'natural forms', to a number of contemporary accounts that seek to deal with the diversity and historicity of genres. I follow Fowler and others in distinguishing genres from

modes, and I finish the chapter by seeking to bring together the different logics involved in thinking about genre in terms of a poetics (a systematic account of structures) and in terms of historical description of the genres that have actually existed.

Chapter 4, 'Implication and Relevance', extends some of my provisional conclusions by mapping out the three overlapping and intersecting dimensions along which I think genre is organised and by which particular genres produce their effects of truth and authority: the dimensions of formal organisation, of rhetorical structure, and of thematic content (roughly: how genres are shaped, the speaking positions they enable, and what they are typically about). I then move to think about how these structures project generically specific 'worlds': more or less coherent structures of meaning built up out of presupposed knowledges which genres at once invoke and reinforce. I use (in a somewhat critical manner) certain theories from natural language philosophy and cognitive psychology to talk about the processing of information, and I argue that genre is central to the social organisation of knowledge. In the rest of this chapter I look, briefly and schematically, at how the various genres of philosophy and of history organise disciplinary knowledges in specific and distinctive ways.

Chapter 5, 'Genre and Interpretation', explores the idea that genre is a set of cues guiding our reading of texts. Here the notion of the frame becomes important: I use it to talk about how we recognise differences in genre, and about the contextual nature of cues. This chapter moves through a number of textual examples to examine the play between a structured text and a process of reading that responds to the text's strategic intentions, but which may, of course, productively ignore them. Genre is one of the ways in which texts seek to control the uncertainty of communication, and it may do so by building in figures of itself, models of how it should be read. The complexity of genre means, however, that these models can never be taken as straightforward guidelines, and indeed that notions of a one-way flow between genre and text can never be particularly useful.

In Chapter 6, 'System and History', finally, I take up the idea that genres exist only in relation to other genres, and that these relations are more or less systemically ordered at any point in time. Genres belong to an economy: a set of interdependent positions that organise the universe

of knowledge and value. Yet the content of such systems and of particular genres within them is constantly changing. I look at the history of the poetic form called the elegy in order to demonstrate how such changes are worked out in different historical circumstances as the relations between this poetic form and others mutate; and, using Hollywood movies as my example, I think some more about the institutional underpinnings by which genres come into being and are given authority and weight. I finish the book by turning these questions about genre back to the classroom in which genre forms are so crucially transmitted, and where we learn to think with and through them as we learn our culture.

1

APPROACHING GENRE

PRELIMINARY QUESTIONS

Consider the following piece of writing, displayed recently for a few hours on hoardings in the streets of Edinburgh:

RAPE CASE
JUDGE IN
NEW STORM

This very transient and very simple text, referring to a judge deemed to be handing down lenient sentences, works with a number of 'deep' suppositions. It supposes a reader walking or driving in the street whose attention needs to be caught by large and bold lettering, and who knows that these words are on display because they are tied to a story in the newspaper whose name is inscribed on the border of the poster. It assumes that the reader believes the story it tells is factually true, and that it is newsworthy, not trivial (this is one reason why the word 'storm' here cannot be read literally: judges caught in the rain are not news). And it supposes that the reader possesses the information necessary to understand what this 'case' was, and hence what the *old* 'storm' was in which this judge was involved. (The reader must also know enough about legal process to know what a judge and a case are.)

Most of the knowledge required to read and understand this text is knowledge about the *kind* of writing it is: knowledge about its genre. Some of the knowledge required of the reader looks like knowledge about the real world rather than knowledge about texts and genres; but the 'rape case' with which the reader is deemed to be familiar is defined by the fact that it was extensively written about in previous issues of the newspaper; the 'storms', both old and new, are storms in a newspaper, and the knowledge the reader is expected to have is *intertextual*: knowledge of earlier reports and earlier controversies.

This piece of writing establishes a set of *knowledges*, then, by invoking them in a compressed form; like all texts, this one is elliptical, setting out new information on the basis of old information which is not explicitly given but which it supposes its reader to have. (It may be that the reader does not have it, of course: they may not be able to read, they may be a child, or they may just not have heard about the previous story: they may not belong, that is to say, to the *discourse community* which is invoked and renewed by this hoarding.) In its small way, this text constructs a *world* which is generically specific. It is different in kind from the worlds performed in other genres of writing, although it will overlap with some of them.

In calling this story a 'world' I don't mean to imply that it is a *complete* world, the infinitely complex totality of everything that exists. This is a schematic world, a limited piece of reality, which is sketched in outline and carved out from a larger continuum. It has its own coordinates of space and time: a strip of time stretching from the 'old' to the 'new' 'storm', and the geographical and cultural space of Scotland. This world is populated by specific players (judges, criminals, victims, and ordinary people) and infused with a moral ethos which brings with it certain attitudes to these players (judges are potentially out of touch with reality and so tend to be overly lenient in their sentencing, criminals should be punished in accordance with their crimes, ordinary people have a stake in these issues because they are always potential victims).

The definition of space, time, moral ethos, and players is an effect of the genre which is actualised as story in the hoarding headlines and more fully articulated in the successively more expansive pieces of text (heading, sub-heading, first paragraph, subsequent paragraphs) in the newspaper itself. In addition to this *thematic* content, the headline is

characterised by a number of distinctive formal features which set it apart as a genre: compression, nominalisation or suppression of verb forms, the use of large and bold type, and a specialised vocabulary in which ‘storm’, for example, means ‘furious controversy’. In another, even more strikingly nominalised headline from a few days earlier – **DEATH MUM TRAGEDY PROBE CALL** – the word ‘probe’ takes on a meaning (‘enquiry’ or ‘investigation’) that it possesses in few if any other contexts, and the syntax twists itself into a chain of implicit causal linkages which requires a quite specialised knowledge of the genre if it is to be translated into an expanded form (‘there have been calls for an official investigation into the circumstances surrounding the tragic death of a mother of small children’). Note finally that, although the two texts belong to one of the simplest of genres, they nevertheless manage between them to refer, in the course of eleven words, to five other literary and non-literary genres: the legal *case*, the ‘*storm*’ (controversy), *tragedy*, the ‘*probe*’ (official enquiry), and the ‘*call*’. These texts are at once generically structured and metageneric in their reference: they refer from one genre to another.

Suppose, though, that I modified the words of the headline to produce a slightly different text – something like:

SCAPEGRACE
RAPE CASE
JUDGE IN
NEW STORM

and that these words appeared not on a street hoarding but in an anthology of poetry. Framed and lineated in this way, they would be read as a poem (of sorts), and we would attend to the sound of its words and the rhythm of its enjambed lines in a way that we didn’t with the first text, where the lineation, the spondaic rhythms, the nearly equal letter count in each line (8–7–8), and the internal assonance (*rape case*) were disattended, treated as inconsequential. Certain formal features become salient in the new text which would have been disregarded in the hoarding: a dancing rhythm, for example, which generates a certain playfulness in the place of moral indignation.

Yet it is not the formal features in themselves that lead us to make a different generic assignment, although it helps that I have manipulated