Chapman & Hall/CRC

Machine Learning & Pattern Recognition Series

# Multi-Label Dimensionality Reduction

Liang Sun, Shuiwang Ji, and Jieping Ye



# Chapman & Hall/CRC Machine Learning & Pattern Recognition Series

# Multi-Label Dimensionality Reduction





CRC Press is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business A CHAPMAN & HALL BOOK

CRC Press Taylor & Francis Group 6000 Broken Sound Parkway NW, Suite 300 Boca Raton, FL 33487-2742

© 2014 by Taylor & Francis Group, LLC CRC Press is an imprint of Taylor & Francis Group, an Informa business

No claim to original U.S. Government works

Printed on acid-free paper Version Date: 20131009

International Standard Book Number-13: 978-1-4398-0615-9 (Hardback)

This book contains information obtained from authentic and highly regarded sources. Reasonable efforts have been made to publish reliable data and information, but the author and publisher cannot assume responsibility for the validity of all materials or the consequences of their use. The authors and publishers have attempted to trace the copyright holders of all material reproduced in this publication and apologize to copyright holders if permission to publish in this form has not been obtained. If any copyright material has not been acknowledged please write and let us know so we may rectify in any future reprint.

Except as permitted under U.S. Copyright Law, no part of this book may be reprinted, reproduced, transmitted, or utilized in any form by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying, microfilming, and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without written permission from the publishers.

For permission to photocopy or use material electronically from this work, please access www.copyright.com (http://www.copyright.com/) or contact the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc. (CCC), 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, 978-750-8400. CCC is a not-for-profit organization that provides licenses and registration for a variety of users. For organizations that have been granted a photocopy license by the CCC, a separate system of payment has been arranged.

Trademark Notice: Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

Visit the Taylor & Francis Web site at http://www.taylorandfrancis.com

and the CRC Press Web site at http://www.crcpress.com

# Multi-Label Dimensionality Reduction

# Chapman & Hall/CRC Machine Learning & Pattern Recognition Series

### SERIES EDITORS

Ralf Herbrich

Amazon Development Center Berlin, Germany **Thore Graepel**Microsoft Research Ltd.
Cambridge, UK

#### AIMS AND SCOPE

This series reflects the latest advances and applications in machine learning and pattern recognition through the publication of a broad range of reference works, textbooks, and handbooks. The inclusion of concrete examples, applications, and methods is highly encouraged. The scope of the series includes, but is not limited to, titles in the areas of machine learning, pattern recognition, computational intelligence, robotics, computational/statistical learning theory, natural language processing, computer vision, game AI, game theory, neural networks, computational neuroscience, and other relevant topics, such as machine learning applied to bioinformatics or cognitive science, which might be proposed by potential contributors.

#### PUBLISHED TITLES

MACHINE LEARNING: An Algorithmic Perspective

Stephen Marsland

HANDBOOK OF NATURAL LANGUAGE PROCESSING,

Second Edition

Nitin Indurkhya and Fred J. Damerau

UTILITY-BASED LEARNING FROM DATA

Craig Friedman and Sven Sandow

A FIRST COURSE IN MACHINE LEARNING

Simon Rogers and Mark Girolami

COST-SENSITIVE MACHINE LEARNING

Balaji Krishnapuram, Shipeng Yu, and Bharat Rao

ENSEMBLE METHODS: FOUNDATIONS AND ALGORITHMS

Zhi-Hua Zhou

MULTI-LABEL DIMENSIONALITY REDUCTION

Liang Sun, Shuiwang Ji, and Jieping Ye

此为试读,需要完整PDF请访问: www.ertongbook.com

# **Preface**

Multi-label learning concerns supervised learning problems in which each instance may be associated with multiple labels simultaneously. A key difference between multi-label learning and traditional binary or multi-class learning is that the labels in multi-label learning are not mutually exclusive. Multi-label learning arises in many real-world applications. For example, in web page categorization, a web page may contain multiple topics. In gene and protein function prediction, multiple functional labels may be associated with each gene and protein, since an individual gene or protein usually performs multiple functions. In automated newswire categorization, multiple labels can be associated with a newswire story indicating its subject categories and the regional categories of reported events. Motivated by the increasing number of applications, multi-label learning has attracted significant attention in data mining and machine learning recently.

In comparison with traditional binary and multi-class classification, multi-label classification is more general and is thus more challenging to solve. One significant challenge in multi-label learning is how to effectively exploit the label structure to improve classification performance. Since the labels in multi-label learning are often correlated, how to measure and capture the correlations in the label space for improved prediction is crucial. This problem becomes particularly important when more sophisticated relations, such as hierarchical structures, exist among labels. Another challenge of multi-label learning lies in the class imbalance problem. When each label is modeled independently, the number of instances related to a specific label is much less than the number of instances that are not related to this label. In this case, it is difficult to build a highly accurate classifier for these labels without considering label correlations. The third challenge is concerned with the effectiveness and efficiency of multi-label learning for large-scale problems, especially when both the data dimensionality and the number of labels are large.

Similar to other data mining and machine learning tasks, multi-label learning also suffers from the so-called curse of dimensionality. Dimensionality reduction, which extracts a small number of features by removing irrelevant, redundant, and noisy information, is an effective way to mitigate the curse of dimensionality. Although dimensionality reduction has been well studied in the literature, we lack a unified treatment of multi-label dimensionality reduction that includes both algorithmic developments and applications. In this monograph, we give a selective treatment of dimensionality reduction for multi-label learning with emphasis on our own work. We cover a wide variety of topics, ranging from methodological developments to theoretical properties, computational algorithms, and applications. Specifically, this book

xii Contents

focuses on the following fundamental research questions posed by multi-label dimensionality reduction: How to fully exploit label correlations for effective dimensionality reduction; How to scale dimensionality reduction algorithms to large-scale problems; How to effectively combine dimensionality reduction with classification; How to derive sparse dimensionality reduction algorithms to enhance model interpretability; How to perform multi-label dimensionality reduction effectively in practical applications. To expedite the applications of these algorithms, a MATLAB® software package that implements many popular dimensionality reduction algorithms is provided online at http://www.public.asu.edu/~jye02/Software/MLDR/. We hope that this book will appeal to both researchers and practitioners in diverse areas working on multi-label learning.

We would like to thank many people who have supported, encouraged, and inspired us during the preparation of this book. We are deeply indebted to Prof. Sudhir Kumar and his FlyExpress team, who provided support in the exploration of gene expression pattern image annotation. We would like to thank all former and current members of the machine learning research laboratory at Arizona State University, including Betul Ceran, Rita Chattopadhyay, Jianhui Chen, Pinghua Gong, Jun Liu, Yashu Liu, Zhi Nie, Qian Sun, Jie Wang, Zhen Wang, Shuo Xiang, Sen Yang, Lei Yuan, Zheng Zhao, Jiayu Zhou, and Chao Zhang. Each and every member of this dynamic group has helped us in various ways during numerous discussions and interactions. Many of our colleagues provided thoughtful reviews. We thank Jun Li, Shan Yang, Hang Zhang, and Hou Zhou for their feedback. We would like to thank anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments. We are grateful to the National Science Foundation for supporting our research on multi-label dimensionality reduction. Last but not least, we would like to thank our families for their love, understanding, and support.

Liang Sun Shuiwang Ji Jieping Ye San Diego, California Norfolk, Virginia Tempe, Arizona

# **Symbol Description**

x	Variable.	$\ \mathbf{A}\ _{\infty}$	$\infty$ -norm of matrix <b>A</b> .
$\mathbf{x}$	Vector.	$\ \mathbf{A}\ _F$	Frobenius norm of matrix
$\mathbf{A}$	Matrix.		A.
$\mathbb{R}$	The set of real numbers.	$\mathcal{N}(oldsymbol{\mu}, oldsymbol{\Sigma})$	Gaussian distribution with
$\mathbb{R}^n$	The set of real n-vectors		mean $\mu$ and covariance $\Sigma$ .
	$(n \times 1 \text{ matrices}).$	$\operatorname{diag}\left(\mathbf{v}\right)$	Diagonal matrix with diago-
$\mathbb{R}_{+}$	The set of nonnegative real		nal entries from v.
	numbers.	d	The data dimensionality.
$\mathbb{R}_{++}$	The set of positive real num-	n	The number of samples.
	bers.	k	The number of labels.
$\mathbb{S}^n$	The set of symmetric $n \times n$	$\mathcal{X}$	Input instance space.
200	matrices.	$\mathcal{Y}$	The label space $\{0,1\}^k$ .
$\mathbb{S}^n_+$	The set of symmetric and	$\mathfrak{L}$	The label set $\mathfrak{L} = \{C_1, \cdots, C_n\}$
	positive semidefinite $n \times n$		$C_k$ }.
<b>G</b> n	matrices.	$\mathcal L$	The normalized Laplacian
$\mathbb{S}^n_{++}$	The set of symmetric and		matrix.
	positive definite $n \times n$ ma-	X	The data matrix $\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{x}_1,$
4	trices.		$[\ldots,\mathbf{x}_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}$ , where $\mathbf{x}_i$
1	The vector of ones.		$\in \mathbb{R}^d$ is the <i>i</i> th sample.
0	The matrix or vector of all	$\mathbf{Y}$	The label matrix $\hat{\mathbf{Y}} = [\mathbf{y}_1,$
I	zeros.		$\ldots, \mathbf{y}_n] \in \{0,1\}^{k \times n}$ , whe-
$\mathbf{A}^T$	The identity matrix.  The transpose of matrix <b>A</b> .		re $\mathbf{y}_i \in \{0,1\}^k$ is the label
$\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{A})$	The trace of matrix <b>A</b> .		information for the ith sam-
$\mathbf{A}^{\dagger}$	The Moore-Penrose pseu-		ple.
A	doinverse of matrix <b>A</b> .	K	The kernel matrix.
$rank(\mathbf{A})$	The rank of matrix <b>A</b> .	$\mathbf{A}_i$	The $i$ th column of matrix $\mathbf{A}$ .
$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A})$	The range space of matrix	$\mathbf{A}^i$	The <i>i</i> th row of matrix $\mathbf{A}$ .
/C(11)	A.	$\mathbb{E}[x]$	The expectation of variable
$\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{A})$	The null space of matrix <b>A</b> .	- 4- 4	x.
$\ \mathbf{v}\ $	A norm of vector v.	$\mathbb{P}[e]$	The probability of event $e$ .
$\ \mathbf{v}\ _1$	1-norm of vector v.	std(x)	The standard deviation of
$\ \mathbf{v}\ _2$	2-norm of vector v.		variable $x$ .
$\ \mathbf{v}\ _{\infty}$	$\infty$ -norm of vector $\mathbf{v}$ .	cov(x, y)	The covariance between
$\ \mathbf{A}\ $	A norm of matrix A.		variables $x$ and $y$ .
$\ \mathbf{A}\ _1$	1-norm of matrix A.	sgn(x)	The sign function.
$\ \mathbf{A}\ _2$	2-norm of matrix A.	S	The cardinality of set $S$ .

# **Contents**

rı	erace			XI
Li	st of S	Symbols	s	xiii
1	Intr	oductio	n	1
	1.1	Introd	uction to Multi-Label Learning	1
	1.2	Applic	cations of Multi-Label Learning	2
		1.2.1	Scene Classification	2
		1.2.2	Text Categorization	3
		1.2.3	Functional Genomics Analysis	4
		1.2.4	Gene Expression Pattern Image Annotation	6
	1.3	Challe	enges of Multi-Label Learning	8
	1.4	State of	of the Art	9
		1.4.1	Problem Transformation	9
			1.4.1.1 Copy Transformation	9
			1.4.1.2 Binary Relevance	10
			1.4.1.3 Label Power-Set	10
			1.4.1.4 Single-Label Classification after Transformation .	12
		1.4.2	Algorithm Adaptation	12
			1.4.2.1 Decision Tree	12
			1.4.2.2 Algorithms Based on Probabilistic Framework	12
			1.4.2.3 Support Vector Machines	13
			1.4.2.4 Artificial Neural Networks	14
			1.4.2.5 <i>k</i> -Nearest Neighbor	15
			1.4.2.6 Ensemble Learning	15
			1.4.2.7 Other Algorithms	16
	1.5		nsionality Reduction for Multi-Label Learning	18
		1.5.1	Introduction to Dimensionality Reduction	18
		1.5.2	Linear and Nonlinear Dimensionality Reduction	20
		1.5.3	Multi-Label Dimensionality Reduction	20
		1.5.4	Related Work	22
	1.6		iew of the Book	23
		1.6.1	Design and Analysis of Algorithms	24
		1.6.2	Scalable Implementations	25
		1.6.3	Applications	26
	1.7	Notati	ons	26

vi Contents

	1.8	Organiz	zation	27
2	Part	ial Leas	t Squares	29
	2.1	Basic N	Models of Partial Least Squares	29
		2.1.1	The NIPALS Algorithm	30
	2.2	Partial 1	Least Squares Variants	31
		2.2.1	PLS Mode A	32
		2.2.2	PLS2	32
		2.2.3	PLS1	33
		2.2.4	PLS-SB	34
		2.2.5	SIMPLS	34
		2.2.6	Orthonormalized PLS	34
		2.2.7	Relationship between OPLS and Other PLS Models	36
	2.3		egression	37
		2.3.1	Basics of PLS Regression	37
		2.3.2	Shrinkage in Regression	38
		2.3.3	Principal Component Regression	41
		2.3.4	Ridge Regression	41
		2.3.5	Shrinkage Properties of PLS Regression	43
	2.4		Least Squares Classification	44
3			Correlation Analysis	49
	3.1		al Canonical Correlation Analysis	49
		3.1.1	Linear Correlation Coefficient	49
		3.1.2	The Maximum Correlation Formulation of CCA	50
		3.1.3	The Distance Minimization Formulation of CCA	54
		3.1.4	Regularized CCA	55
		3.1.5	CCA for Multiple Sets of Variables	55
	3.2	Sparse		56
		3.2.1	Sparse CCA via Linear Regression	57
		3.2.2	Sparse CCA via Iterative Greedy Algorithms	57
		3.2.3	Sparse CCA via Bayesian Learning	58
	3.3		Inship between CCA and Partial Least Squares	59
		3.3.1	A Unified Framework for PLS and CCA	59
		3.3.2	The Equivalence without Regularization	60
		3.3.3	The Equivalence with Regularization	61
			3.3.3.1 Regularization on X	62
			3.3.3.2 Regularization on Y	62
		3.3.4	Analysis of Regularization on CCA	63
	3.4		eneralized Eigenvalue Problem	64
	- 4	3.4.1	The Generalized Rayleigh Quotient Cost Function	64
		3.4.2	Properties of the Generalized Eigenvalue Problem	65
		3.4.3	Algorithms for the Generalized Eigenvalue Problem	66

Contents	vii

4	Нур	ergraph	Spectral Learning	69
	4.1	Hyperg	graph Basics	69
		4.1.1	Clique Expansion	71
		4.1.2	Star Expansion	72
		4.1.3	Hypergraph Laplacian	73
	4.2	Multi-I	Label Learning with a Hypergraph	74
	4.3	A Class	s of Generalized Eigenvalue Problems	75
		4.3.1	Canonical Correlation Analysis	76
		4.3.2	Orthonormalized Partial Least Squares	76
		4.3.3	Hypergraph Spectral Learning	77
		4.3.4	Linear Discriminant Analysis	77
	4.4	The Ge	eneralized Eigenvalue Problem versus the Least Squares Prob-	
		lem .		78
		4.4.1	Multivariate Linear Regression and Least Squares	78
		4.4.2	Matrix Orthonormality Property	79
		4.4.3	The Equivalence Relationship	81
		4.4.4	Regularized Least Squares	82
		4.4.5	Efficient Implementation via LSQR	83
	4.5	Empiri	cal Evaluation	84
		4.5.1	Empirical Evaluation Setup	84
		4.5.2	Performance of Hypergraph Spectral Learning	85
		4.5.3	Evaluation of the Equivalence Relationship	86
		4.5.4	Evaluation of Scalability	88
_				
5			Two-Stage Approach for Dimensionality Reduction	91
	5.1		vo-Stage Approach without Regularization	91
		5.1.1	The Algorithm	92
		5.1.2	Time Complexity Analysis	92
		5.1.3	The Equivalence Relationship	93
	5.2		vo-Stage Approach with Regularization	95
		5.2.1	The Algorithm	96
		5.2.2	Time Complexity Analysis	96
		5.2.3	The Equivalence Relationship	96
	5.3		cal Evaluation	99
		5.3.1	Empirical Evaluation Setup	99
		5.3.2	Performance Comparison	100
		5.3.3	Scalability Comparison	101
6	A Sh	ared-S	ubspace Learning Framework	105
	6.1		amework	105
		6.1.1	Problem Formulation	105
		6.1.2	A Trace Ratio Formulation	107
	6.2	An Eff	icient Implementation	109
		6.2.1	Reformulation	109
		6.2.2	Eigendecomposition	110

viii Contents

		6.2.3 The Main Algorithm	111
	6.3	Related Work	111
	6.4	Connections with Existing Formulations	112
	6.5	A Feature Space Formulation	113
	6.6	Empirical Evaluation	114
		6.6.1 Empirical Evaluation Setup	115
		6.6.2 Web Page Categorization	116
		6.6.2.1 Performance Evaluation	116
		6.6.2.2 Scalability Evaluation	118
		6.6.2.3 Sensitivity Analysis	121
		6.6.3 Discussion	121
7	Join	t Dimensionality Reduction and Classification	123
	7.1	Background	123
		7.1.1 Squared Loss	124
		7.1.2 Hinge Loss	124
	7.2	Joint Dimensionality Reduction and Multi-Label Classification	125
		7.2.1 Joint Learning with Squared Loss	125
		7.2.2 Joint Learning with Hinge Loss	126
		7.2.2.1 A Convex-Concave Formulation	127
		7.2.2.2 Solving the Min-Max Problem	128
		7.2.2.3 Learning Orthonormal Features	128
		7.2.2.4 Joint Learning with Squared Hinge Loss	128
		7.2.2.5 Related Work	129
	7.3	Dimensionality Reduction with Different Input Data	129
	7.4	Empirical Evaluation	130
		7.4.1 Evaluation on Multi-Label Data Sets	130
		7.4.2 Evaluation on Data with Different Inputs	131
8	Non	linear Dimensionality Reduction: Algorithms and Applications	133
	8.1	Background on Kernel Methods	133
	8.2	Kernel Centering and Projection	134
		8.2.1 Kernel Centering	135
		8.2.2 Kernel Projection	135
	8.3	Kernel Canonical Correlation Analysis	136
	8.4	Kernel Hypergraph Spectral Learning	138
	8.5	The Generalized Eigenvalue Problem in the Kernel-Induced Feature	
		Space	139
	8.6	Kernel Least Squares Regression	140
	8.7	Dimensionality Reduction and Least Squares Regression in the Fea-	1.40
		ture Space	140
		8.7.1 Matrix Orthonormality Property	140
	0.0	8.7.2 The Equivalence Relationship	142
	8.8	Gene Expression Pattern Image Annotation	143
		A A L PRODURM LIPSCHINGON	1/1/5

ix

	8.8.2	Feature Gen	erat	io	n	an	ıd	K	er	'n	1	C	or	ıst	'n	ıc	tic	n								145
	8.8.3	Multi-Label																								
	8.8.4	Empirical E													-											149
	8.8.5	Annotation l																								150
Append	ix Proo	fs																								155
A.1	Proofs	for Chapter 2																•								155
A.2	Proofs	for Chapter 3		٠.			,			•						·						,				159
A.3	Proofs	for Chapter 4		ž	,								·	•		•		•	÷		•		•	•		161
A.4	<b>Proofs</b>	for Chapter 6		,																	,					162
A.5	Proofs	for Chapter 8									:• :												•		٠,	164
Referen	ices																									167
Index																										191

# Chapter 1

# Introduction

## 1.1 Introduction to Multi-Label Learning

Supervised learning is concerned with inferring the relations between input instances and class labels. In traditional classification tasks, each instance is associated with one class label. However, in many real-world scenarios, one instance may be associated with multiple labels. For example, in news categorization, a piece of news regarding Apple's release of a new iPhone is associated with both the label *business* and the label *technology*. In other words, each instance is associated with a set of labels instead of only one label. Multi-label learning is a machine learning field devoted to learning from multi-label data in which each instance is associated with potentially multiple labels. A major difference between multi-label learning and traditional binary or multi-class learning is that the labels in multi-label learning are not mutually exclusive, suggesting that each instance may be relevant to multiple labels. Thus, one of the key challenges of multi-label learning is how to exploit the correlations among different labels effectively.

In this book, we assume that each instance in the training set is represented as a pair of vectors, one for the input features and the other for the output labels. Multi-label learning concerns the prediction of the labels of unseen instances by building a classifier based on the training data. Formally, let  $\mathcal X$  and  $\mathcal Y$  denote the input instance space and the output label space, respectively. In multi-label learning, the label space  $\mathcal Y$  is defined as  $\mathcal Y=\{0,1\}^k$ , where k is the number of labels. That is, the jth component of the label vector is 1 if the instance is relevant to the jth label, and it is 0 otherwise. Similar to traditional classification, given a training data set, the goal of multi-label learning is to learn a classifier  $f:\mathcal X\to\mathcal Y$ , which predicts the labels of each instance  $\mathbf x\in\mathcal X$ . Specifically, the output of the classifier f for a given instance  $\mathbf x\in\mathcal X$  is

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = [f_1(\mathbf{x}), f_2(\mathbf{x}), \cdots, f_k(\mathbf{x})]^T, \tag{1.1}$$

where  $f_j(\mathbf{x})$   $(j=1,\cdots,k)$  is either 1 or 0, indicating the association of  $\mathbf{x}$  with the jth label. In the following, the set of labels is denoted as  $\mathfrak{L} = \{C_1, \cdots, C_k\}$ .

Multi-label learning finds applications in many real-world applications, such as text categorization [167, 279], image annotation [34, 126], bioinformatics [28, 279], 3D hand pose estimation [216], and biological literature classification [128]. Motivated by the increasing number of applications, multi-label learning has recently attracted significant attention, and many algorithms have been proposed [190, 235,

237]. These methods are reviewed in Section 1.4 and can be divided into two major categories:

- Problem transformation: This class of methods first transforms the multi-label learning problem into a series of single-label problems, which are then solved using existing single-label learning methods.
- Algorithm adaptation: This class of methods solves the multi-label problems directly by adapting existing methods for single-label learning.

Similar to other machine learning and data mining tasks, multi-label learning also suffers from the so-called *curse of dimensionality* [21]. Although there has been extensive research on dimensionality reduction in the literature, multi-label dimensionality reduction has not been well explored [8, 273, 280]. This book is devoted to the study of *multi-label dimensionality reduction*, which focuses on extracting a small number of features from multi-label data by removing the irrelevant, redundant, and noisy information while exploiting information from the label space such as the correlation among different labels. Specifically, we give a unified treatment of multi-label dimensionality reduction approaches in methodological developments, theoretical properties, computational algorithms, and applications.

In the rest of this chapter, we will briefly introduce multi-label learning and dimensionality reduction, including existing algorithms, applications, and related work. We will also highlight the main challenges of multi-label dimensionality reduction.

## 1.2 Applications of Multi-Label Learning

Multi-label learning has been applied successfully in many real-world applications. In this section, we present several representative examples, including scene classification, text categorization, functional genomics analysis, and gene expression pattern image annotation.

#### 1.2.1 Scene Classification

Humans are very proficient at perceiving natural scenes and understanding their contents. In scene classification, the task is to determine the associated semantic labels, such as *mountain*, *lake*, or *party*, for given images. Scene classification finds applications in many areas, including content-based image indexing and content-sensitive image enhancement [34]. For example, many current digital library systems support content-based image retrieval, which allows the user to retrieve images that are similar to a given query image [105]. In this case, knowledge of the semantic labels of the query image can reduce the search space and improve the retrieval

Introduction 3





(A) Scene 1

(B) Scene 2

FIGURE 1.1: Examples of multi-label scenes. The first scene (A) is associated with the labels "lake" and "mountain", and the second scene (B) is associated with the labels "river" and "mountain".

accuracy. Since a natural scene may contain multiple objects, each image can be associated with multiple labels. Hence, scene classification is naturally a multi-label learning problem. For example, Figure 1.1(A) shows an image associated with the labels "lake" and "mountain"; Figure 1.1(B) shows an image associated with the labels "river" and "mountain".

## 1.2.2 Text Categorization

Text Categorization (TC) is the task of classifying text documents into one or more of a set of predefined categories or subject codes [131, 208]. Originally dating back to the early 1960s, the effectiveness of text categorization has been improved significantly in the past decades mainly due to the advances of machine learning methods [131]. Text categorization has been applied in various fields, including web page categorization using hierarchical labels, detection of text genre, text (or hypertext) documents classification given a predefined label set, personalized information delivery, and content filtering [208]. Typically, the predefined labels (or categories) in text categorization are not assumed to be mutually exclusive; thus text categorization can naturally be modeled as a multi-label learning problem. For instance, consider labels business, technology, entertainment, and politics in news categorization; a news article about Apple's release of a new iPhone may be labeled with both the label business and the label technology.

When applying multi-label learning to perform text categorization, the first step is to encode documents using a suitable representation, such as the ones based on the vector space model [279] and the binary representation [140]. In the past, many multi-label learning algorithms have been proposed to perform text categorization [87, 136, 167, 206, 240, 279]. One well-known algorithm in text categorization is BoosTexter, which extends the classical boosting algorithm AdaBoost [80] to handle multi-label data. Some other algorithms include the Bayesian approach [167] using the mixture model coupled with the Expectation–Maximization (EM) algorithm, and

the Maximal Figure-of-Merit (MFoM) approach [87]. We will review existing multilabel learning approaches in Section 1.4.

One widely used benchmark data set in multi-label text categorization is the Reuters-21578 data set<sup>1</sup>. This data set was originally collected and labeled by the Carnegie Group, Inc. and Reuters, Ltd. in the course of developing the CONSTRUE text categorization system. Reuters-21578 consists of 21,578 Reuters newswire documents that appeared in 1987. Almost all documents in the Reuters collection come with title, dateline, and text body, and the number of topics (labels) is 135. In particular, three widely used subsets of the Reuters-21578 data set have been extracted [140] by identifying the labels that suggest parent—child relationships, and the labels are organized in a hierarchical structure, as shown in Figure 1.2. Note that the roots of the three category trees are virtual categories.

Another data set that has become very popular for text categorization in recent years is the Reuters Corpus Volume 1 (RCV1) data set<sup>2</sup> [155]. The RCV1 data set consists of over 800,000 manually categorized newswire stories recently made available by Reuters, Ltd. for research purposes. Similar to the Reuters-21578 data set, the labels in the RCV1 data set are organized in a hierarchical structure. The original data set is referred to as RCV1-v1, and a corrected version called RCV1-v2 was generated and has become more popular in text categorization research. More details on this data set can be found in [155].

## 1.2.3 Functional Genomics Analysis

Functional genomics is an important field in bioinformatics. It studies gene and protein functions by conducting large-scale analysis on a vast amount of data collected by genome projects [123, 159]. For example, DNA microarrays allow researchers to simultaneously measure the expression levels of thousands of different genes, and overwhelming amounts of data are produced [161]. Recently, a large body of research has been devoted to automatic analysis of microarray data [159]. In automated gene expression analysis, the task is to predict the functions for genes. Generally, it is based on the assumption that genes with similar functions have similar expression profiles in cells [123]. Note that each gene may be associated with multiple functions in functional genomics. When the functions are considered as labels, the function prediction problem in functional genomics can be modeled as a multi-label learning problem.

A widely used benchmark data set in multi-label learning for functional genomics is the Yeast data set [38,74]. The Yeast data set consists of microarray expression data and phylogenetic profiles from the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. It contains 2417 samples, and each sample is represented as a 103-dimensional feature vector<sup>3</sup>. Each sample (gene) is associated with a subset of a total of 190 functional labels. The functional classes (labels) are organized in a tree structure, which is known in the literature [38,74]. This data set is preprocessed in [74] and only the function

<sup>1</sup> http://www.research.att.com/~lewis/reuters21578.html

<sup>2</sup>http://www.daviddlewis.com/resources/testcollections/rcv1/

<sup>3</sup>http://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~cjlin/libsvmtools/datasets/multilabel.html#yeast