

MODERN STUDIES IN EUROPEAN LAW



# LEGITIMACY IN EU CARTEL CONTROL

INGEBORG SIMONSSON

# Legitimacy in EU Cartel Control

Ingeborg Simonsson



OXFORD AND PORTLAND, OREGON

2010

Published in North America (US and Canada) by  
Hart Publishing  
c/o International Specialized Book Services  
920 NE 58th Avenue, Suite 300  
Portland, OR 97213-3786  
USA

Tel: +1 503 287 3093 or toll-free: (1) 800 944 6190  
Fax: +1 503 280 8832  
E-mail: [orders@isbs.com](mailto:orders@isbs.com)  
Website: [www.isbs.com](http://www.isbs.com)

© Ingeborg Simonsson 2010

Ingeborg Simonsson has asserted her right under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988,  
to be identified as the author of this work.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system,  
or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission of Hart Publishing,  
or as expressly permitted by law or under the terms agreed with the appropriate reprographic  
rights organisation. Enquiries concerning reproduction which may not be covered by the  
above should be addressed to Hart Publishing at the address below.

Hart Publishing, 16C Worcester Place, Oxford, OX1 2JW  
Telephone: +44 (0)1865 517530 Fax: +44 (0)1865 510710  
E-mail: [mail@hartpub.co.uk](mailto:mail@hartpub.co.uk)  
Website: <http://www.hartpub.co.uk>

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data  
Data Available

ISBN: 978-1-84946-005-7

Typeset by Hope Services, Abingdon  
Printed and bound in Great Britain by  
TJ International Ltd, Padstow, Cornwall

## **LEGITIMACY IN EU CARTEL CONTROL**

This book examines the laws enacted by the EU to control cartels. These laws are carefully documented and analysed against a standard of legitimacy which questions the EU's enforcement measures, its institutional structures, policy choices, substantive law, evidentiary standards, and procedures and sanctions. It includes a unique catalogue of over 150 EU cartel decisions, as well as novel analyses of difficult borderline issues such as mixed horizontal and vertical cartels, single-brand dealer cartels and buyer cartels. The effect on trade in cartel cases is analysed with reference to established law and deterrence theory. Throughout the book the author asks whether EU law also applies at the national level, or whether certain assessments need to be made according to national law. This approach makes the book particularly helpful for national authorities, courts and private practitioners. The book includes in-depth comparisons with US law, as well as a comprehensive survey of the secondary (academic) literature on cartels. As such it presents not only a comprehensive practical view, but also a sound theoretical framework for better understanding cartel law. This is a work which will be of utmost importance to those working in competition authorities and competition courts in the EU Member States, as well as those working for EU institutions and in private practice and academia.

**Volume 20 in the series Modern Studies in European Law**

## **Modern Studies in European Law**

Volume 1: Soft Law in European Community Law *Linda Senden*

Volume 2: The Impact of European Rights on National Legal Cultures  
*Miriam Aziz*

Volume 3: Partnership Rights, Free Movement and EU Law *Helen Toner*

Volume 4: National Remedies Before the Court of Justice: Issues of  
Harmonisation and Differentiation *Michael Dougan*

Volume 5: The National Courts Mandate in the European Constitution  
*Monica Claes*

Volume 6: EU Environmental Law: Challenges, Changes and Decision-Making  
*Maria Lee*

Volume 7: European Union Law and Defence Integration *Martin Trybus*

Volume 8: Principles of European Constitutional Law *Armin von Bogdandy &  
Jürgen Bast*

Volume 9: EU International Relations Law *Panos Koutrakos*

Volume 10: Free Movement, Social Security and Gender in the EU *Vicki Paskalia*

Volume 11: The Regulation of the State in Competitive Markets in the EU  
*Erika Szyszczak*

Volume 12: European Administrative Law in the Constitutional Treaty  
*Eva Nieto-Garrido and Isaac Martín Delgado*

Volume 13: EU Food Law: Protecting Consumers and Health in a Common  
Market *Caoimhín MacMaoláin*

Volume 14: Legal Responses to Trafficking in Women for Sexual Exploitation in  
the European Union *Heli Askola*

Volume 15: Unfair Contract Terms in European Law: A Study in Comparative  
and EC Law *Paolisa Nebbia*

Volume 16: Energy Security: The External Legal Relations of the European  
Union with Major Oil and Gas Supplying Countries *Sanam S Haghghi*

Volume 17: EU Criminal Law *Valsamis Mitsilegas*

Volume 18: Effective Judicial Protection and the Environmental Impact  
Assessment *Aine Ryall*

Volume 19: Network-based Governance in EC Law: The Example of  
EC Competition and EC Communications Law *Maartje de Visser*

Volume 20: Legitimacy in EU Cartel Control *Ingeborg Simonsson*

## *Preface*

In the late 1990s a malfunction in the European Community competition law system was recognised by the European Commission. It consisted of an enforcement deficit and a priority problem. A considerable part of the Commission's resources was spent on controlling vertical restraints such as reseller agreements, leaving many serious offences such as cartels untouched. Furthermore, the Member States were not actively involved in EC competition law control, while the Commission had insufficient resources to supervise competition in the EC single-handedly. The cure, brought into force in 2004, included increased involvement of Member States in competition law supervision, and a new focus on action against cartels. The malfunctions which the reform aimed to remedy may be classified as a legitimacy problem.

Legitimacy may be understood to presuppose two things: that the law rests on rational foundations, and that average compliance can be ensured.

This work explores the role of legitimacy in EC competition law, as regards the new policy focus on cartel control. Legitimacy must be distinguished from legality. By and large, there is seldom any reason to question that the law has been adopted in accordance with applicable constitutional principles. Legitimacy, on the other hand, is a more profound question: whether the law corresponds to deeper notions in society.

There are several different ways of evaluating legitimacy. One may look for empirical legitimacy, actual acceptance of the rules in society. One may look at normative legitimacy, whether the law can be reconstrued rationally. One may look for the democratic aspects of the process according to which the law is adopted. And then there is the matter of enforcement, to ensure that the law does not self-destruct from within the system.

Exploring legitimacy in EC competition law is a work-intensive exercise, given that not much of the law is codified in statutes; the massive bulk of law consists of administrative decision-making, soft law and case law. In order for it to be operative when applied in 27 Member States and by the Commission, it must possess some degree of internal rationality. Reflection must also be made on the institutional guarantees for average compliance; in other words, what will happen if all Member States do not apply the law in a uniform and effective way.

This book brings together all these strands: whether the Community's central policy against cartels is legitimate; how sanctions ought to be structured; whether the law is characterised by rationality; whether it is possible to envisage that Member States will respond favourably and ensure average compliance; and, ultimately, the role of legitimacy in public enforcement against cartels in the EC.

*Ingeborg Simonsson  
Stockholm, August 2009*

## *Acknowledgements*

Law is in a sense an ongoing dialogue where participation is of essence.

Thanks must go to my supervisor, Nils Wahl, for accepting me as a PhD candidate and for helping me escape velocity. To my co-supervisor, Steven Anderman, for being an extra parent to this work. To Stockholm University for financing this research. To my husband, Sven, for listening to my ideas and for gracefully accepting the substantive and long-term reduction of my salary brought about by the change of career path necessary for this project. To Louise Widén for helping me with my first work on cartels, an article published in a Swedish legal review in 2002. To Ida Otken Eriksson and Ulf Öberg—together we carried out several academic and commercial projects during our time as PhD candidates. To Vladimir Bastidas, Dan Eklöf, Lars Henriksson, Evelina Janunger, Peter Whelan and Mauro Zamboni for reviewing earlier draft chapters. To all my fellow colleagues at Stockholm University and other universities and public and private institutions for your generosity in adopting me into the academic community, and for excellent co-operation on various academic projects. And to my colleagues at Stockholm City Court for your encouragement and support during the project's final year. To Olav Kolstad who was the opponent when a previous version of this work was publicly defended as a PhD thesis in Stockholm, and to Ulla Neergaard, Lars Pehrson and Wouter Wils who jointly formed the examination board at the same occasion. Lastly, to Richard Hart, Jo Ledger and Mel Hamill at Hart Publishing.

# Note to Reader

This book was written and prepared for publication prior to the entry into force of the Treaty of Lisbon. It retains the classic numbering of the provisions of the Treaty Establishing the European Community (the EC Treaty).

Although the Treaty of Lisbon amends and renames the EC Treaty—now the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union—it does not introduce any new significant substantive content to the competition law provisions.<sup>1</sup> In short, the legal analysis in this book is not affected in any material way by the entry into force of the new Treaty.

As a matter of terminology, the Treaty amendments now require references to the *Community* to be read as *Union*, references to the common market as the *internal market* and references to the *Court of First Instance (CFI)* as the *General Court*.<sup>2</sup>

For the convenience of the reader, a table of equivalence for the provisions discussed or referred to in this book is provided below.<sup>3</sup>

## Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union

Old numbering of the Treaty Establishing the European Community	New numbering of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union
Article 3, paragraph 1 (repealed) <sup>4</sup>	
Article 10 <sup>5</sup>	Article 4.3 TEU
Article 81	Article 101
Article 82	Article 102
Article 83	Article 103
Article 192(2)	Article 225
Article 211 <sup>6</sup>	Article 17 TEU
Article 226	Article 258

<sup>1</sup> Although Article 3(1)(g) EC, which provided for a system of undistorted competition in the internal market to facilitate the attainment of the Community objectives has now been repealed, the status of competition policy in the EU remains undiminished. According to Article 3(1)(b) TFEU, the Union retains the exclusive competence to establish the competition rules necessary for the functioning of the internal market, while the objective of maintaining undistorted competition reappears in *Protocol No 27 on the Internal Market and Competition*. The latter protocol expressly points out that the internal market set out in Article 3 of the Treaty on European Union includes a system ensuring that competition is not distorted.

<sup>2</sup> See Article 2 (2) of the Treaty of Lisbon amending the Treaty on European Union and the Treaty establishing the European Community, signed at Lisbon, 13 December 2007.

<sup>3</sup> For the complete table of equivalence, see [2008] OJ C 115/361.

<sup>4</sup> Replaced, in substance, by Articles 3 to 6 TFEU.

<sup>5</sup> Replaced, in substance, by Article 4, paragraph 3, Treaty on the European Union.

Article 230	Article 263
Article 234	Article 267
Article 250	Article 293
Article 251	Article 294
Article 252 (repealed) <sup>6</sup>	
Article 253	Article 296

<sup>6</sup> Replaced, in substance, by Article 17, paragraph 1, Treaty on the European Union

# *Table of Cases*

## **Introductory Note**

The following list includes cases without page references. These entries were relied upon for research purposes, but not referred to explicitly in the text.

### European Court of Justice

Joined Cases C-204/00 P, C-205/00 P, C-211/00 P, C-213/00 P, C-217/00 P and C-219/00 P <i>Aalborg Portland and others v Commission</i> [2004]	
ECR I-123 .....	18, 77, 79, 81, 82, 88, 119, 120, 124, 125, 126, 127, 131, 135, 137, 138, 139, 140, 145, 171, 187, 190, 196, 198, 210, 213, 222, 227, 229, 233, 234, 252, 253, 254, 255, 265, 282, 307, 318
Case 41/69 <i>ACF Chemiefarma v Commission</i> [1970] ECR 661.....	81, 124
Case C-82/01 P <i>Aéroports de Paris v Commission</i> [2002] ECR I-9297 .....	167
Joined Cases 89, 104, 114, 116, 117 and 125 to 129/85 <i>A Ahlström Osakeyhtiö and others v Commission</i> [1988] ECR 5193 .....	82, 129, 148
Joined Cases C-89, C-104, C-114, C-116, C-117 and C-125 to 129/85 <i>A Ahlström Osakeyhtiö and others v Commission</i> [1993] ECR	
I-1307 .....	117, 131, 132, 200
Case C-67/96 <i>Albany</i> [1999] ECR I-5751 .....	164, 166
Case C-393/92 <i>Almelo</i> [1994] ECR I-1477 .....	160
Case 247/86 <i>Alsatel</i> [1988] ECR 5987 .....	149
Case C-7/04 P (R) <i>Commission v Akzo and Akcros</i> , order of 27 September 2004, [2004] ECR I-8739 .....	237, 245, 249
Case 155/79 <i>AM &amp; S v Commission</i> [1982] ECR 1575.....	236
Case C-475/99 <i>Ambulanz Glöckner</i> [2001] ECR I-8089.....	164
Case 106/77 <i>Amministrazione delle Finanze dello Stato v Simmenthal</i> [1978] ECR 629.....	11, 181, 182, 222
Case C-49/92 P <i>Commission v Anic Partecipazioni</i> [1999] ECR I-4125.....	71, 118, 120, 178, 187, 188
Case C-176/99 P <i>ARBED v Commission</i> [2003] ECR I-10687 .....	184, 190, 223, 226
Case C-397/03 P <i>Archer Daniels Midland and Archer Daniels Midland     Ingredients v Commission</i> [2006] ECR I-4429 .....	277, 312, 313
Case C-238/05 <i>Asnef-Equifax v Ausbanc</i> [2006] ECR I-11125 .....	88
Case C-67/91 <i>Asociación Española de Banca Privada and Others     ('Spanish banks')</i> [1992] ECR I-4785 .....	250

Joined Cases C-215/96 and C-216/96 <i>Bagnasco</i> [1999]	
ECR I-135.....	114, 157
Joined Cases C-2/01 P and C-3/01 P <i>BAI and Commission v Bayer</i>	
[2004] ECR I-23.....	124, 141, 199, 206, 211, 213
Joined Cases 142 and 156/84 <i>BAT and Reynolds v Commission</i> [1986]	
ECR 1899.....	253
Case C-185/95 P <i>Baustahlgewebe v Commission</i> [1998] ECR	
I-8417.....	117, 185, 190, 226, 240
Case C-209/07 <i>Beef Industry Development Society and Barry Brothers</i>	
[2008] ECR I-0000.....	98
Case 246/86 <i>Belasco</i> [1989] ECR 2117 .....	129, 152, 157, 309
Case 243/83 SA <i>Binon &amp; Cie v SA Agence et messageries de la presse</i>	
[1985] ECR 2015.....	265
Case 123/83 <i>BNIC v Clair</i> [1985] ECR 391 .....	129, 152
Case 30/87 <i>Bodson</i> [1988] ECR 2479 .....	167
Case 23/67 <i>Brasserie de Haecht</i> [1967] ECR 525 .....	160
Case C-76/06 P <i>Britannia Alloys v Commission</i> [2007] ECR I-4405 .....	276
C-359/01 P <i>British Sugar v Commission</i> [2004] ECR I-4933 .....	142, 281
Case 127/73 <i>BRT</i> [1974] ECR 51 .....	21
Case 174/84 <i>Bulk Oil (Zug) AG v Sun International Limited and Sun Oil Trading Company</i> [1986] ECR 559.....	154
Case C-221/88 <i>Busseni</i> [1990] ECR I-495.....	11
Joined Cases C-438/03, C-439/03, C-509/03 and C-2/04 <i>Cannito</i> [2004]	
ECR I-1605.....	185
Case C-279/98 P <i>Cascades SA v Commission</i> [2000] ECR I-9693.....	177
Case C-198/01 <i>CIF</i> [2003] ECR I-8055.....	22, 164, 185, 190, 224
Case C-218/00 <i>Cisal</i> [2002] ECR I-691.....	164
Case 68/88 <i>Commission v Greece</i> [1989] ECR 2965.....	183, 222, 264, 273, 274, 276, 306
Joined Cases C-395/96 P and C-396/96 P <i>Compagnie maritime belge transports and Others v Commission</i> [2000] ECR I-1365.....	73, 105
Joined Cases C-101/07 P and C-110/07 P <i>Coop de France Bétail and Viande v Commission</i> [2008] ECR I-0000.....	84, 86, 169, 321, 322
Case C-199/99 P <i>Corus UK v Commission</i> [2003] ECR I-11177 .....	251
Joined Cases 29/83 and 30/83 <i>CRAM and Rheinzink v Commission</i>	
[1984] ECR 1679.....	199
Case C-407/04 P <i>Dalmine v Commission</i> [2007] ECR	
I-829.....	118, 150, 160, 197, 213, 239
Joined Cases C-189/02 P, C-202/02 P, C-205/02 P to C-208/02 P and C-213/02 P <i>Dansk Rørindustri and others v Commission</i> [2005]	
ECR I-5425 .....	86, 92, 141, 165, 173, 210, 256, 257, 297, 304, 311, 312
Case C-266/06 P <i>Degussa v Commission and Council</i> [2008]	
ECR I-81 .....	303, 304, 316, 317
Case C-3/00 <i>Denmark v Commission</i> [2003] ECR I-2643.....	256

Case C-234/89 <i>Delimitis</i> [1991] ECR I-935 .....	160
Case 85/87 <i>Dow Benelux v Commission</i> [1989] ECR 3137 .....	226, 231, 245
Joined Cases 97/87 to 99/87 <i>Dow Chemical Ibérica and Others v Commission</i> [1989] ECR 3165.....	230
Case C-446/05 <i>Doulamis</i> [2008] ECR I-1377 .....	81
Case C-126/97 <i>Eco Swiss China Time Ltd</i> [1999] ECR I-3055.....	11, 22
Case C-179/99 P <i>Eurofer v Commission</i> [2003] ECR I-10725.....	121
Case C-280/06 <i>ETI and Others</i> [2007] ECR I-10893.....	177, 178
Case C-213/89 <i>Factortame and Others</i> [1990] ECR I-2433.....	182, 222
Case C-205/03 P <i>FENIN</i> [2006] ECR I-6295.....	166
Case C-219/95 P <i>Ferriere Nord v Commission</i> [1997] ECR I-4411 .....	282
Case 71/74 <i>FRUBO v Commission</i> [1975] ECR 563 .....	168
Case C-105/04 P <i>Nederlandse Federatieve Vereniging voor de Grootshandel op Elektrotechnisch Gebied v Commission</i> [2006] ECR I-8725.....	79, 98, 125, 129, 130, 197, 203, 205, 262
Case C-551/03 P <i>General Motors BV v Commission</i> [2006] ECR I-3173 .....	77, 118, 310
Case C-250/92 <i>Gøttrup-Klim ea Grovvareforeninger v Dansk Landbrugs Grovvareselskab</i> [1994] ECR I-5641 .....	168
Case C-322/88 <i>Grimaldi</i> [1989] ECR 4407 .....	
Case C-3/06 P <i>Groupe Danone v Commission</i> [2007] ECR I-1331.....	281, 304, 312
Joined Cases 56 and 58/64 <i>Grundig and Consten</i> [1966] ECR 299.....	89
Case 222/86 <i>Heylens and Others</i> [1987] ECR 4097 .....	265, 314
Joined Cases 46/87 and 227/88 <i>Hoechst v Commission</i> [1989] ECR 2859 .....	226, 231, 234, 265, 314
Case 85/76 <i>Hoffmann-La Roche v Commission</i> [1979] ECR 461 .....	225
Case 22/78 <i>Hugin v Commission</i> [1979] ECR 1869 .....	149, 153
Case C-199/92 P <i>Hüls v Commission</i> [1999] ECR I-4287 .....	131, 139, 186, 187, 188, 190, 281
Case C-41/90 <i>Höfner and Elser</i> [1991] ECR I-1979 .....	164
Case 48/69 <i>ICI v Commission</i> [1972] ECR 619.....	78, 131, 132, 148
Case C-306/96 <i>Javico</i> [1998] ECR I-1983 .....	155
Case C-263/02 P <i>Commission v Jégo-Quéré</i> [2004] ECR I-3425	
Case 222/84 <i>Johnston</i> [1986] ECR 1651.....	226
Case C-7/95 P <i>John Deere v Commission</i> [1998] ECR I-3111 .....	88, 114
Case C-292/97 <i>Karlsson and Others</i> [2000] ECR I-2737 .....	184, 223
Case C-109/03 <i>KPN Telecom</i> [2004] ECR I-11273 .....	8
Case C-299/95 <i>Kremzow</i> [1997] ECR I-2629 .....	184, 189
Case C-526/04 <i>Laboratoires Boiron</i> [2006] ECR I-7529 .....	221
Case 99/79 <i>Lancôme and Cosparfrance Nederland v Etos</i> [1980] ECR I-2511 .....	153
Joined Cases 209/78–215/78 and 218/78 <i>Van Landewyck and others v Commission</i> [1980] ECR 3125 .....	91, 101, 128, 152, 165

Joined Cases C-238/99 P, C-244/99 P, C-245/99 P, C-247/99 P, C-250/99 P to C-252/99 P and C-254/99 P <i>Limburgse Vinyl Maatschappij and others v Commission</i> [2002] ECR I-8375.....	81, 119, 125, 140, 149, 198, 240, 281
Case C-119/05 <i>Lucchini Siderurgica</i> [2007] ECR I-6199.....	182, 222
Joined Cases C-295/04 to C-298/04 <i>Manfredi and others</i> [2006] ECR I-6619.....	152, 159, 164, 264, 328
Case C-62/00 <i>Marks &amp; Spencer</i> [2002] ECR I-6325.....	264, 267
Case 26/76 <i>Metro v Commission</i> [1977] ECR 1875 .....	158
Case C-294/98 P <i>Metsä-Serla and Others v Commission</i> [2000] ECR I-10065.....	173
Case 322/81 <i>Michelin v Commission</i> [1983] ECR 3461.....	226
Case C-137/00 <i>Milk Marque and National Farmers' Union</i> [2003] ECR I-7975.....	168
Case 395/87 <i>Ministère Public v Jean-Louis Tournier</i> [1989] ECR 2521.....	86, 199
Case C-235/92 P <i>Montecatini v Commission</i> [1999] ECR I-4539.....	81, 114, 120, 131, 187, 260
Joined Cases 100/80 to 103/80 <i>Musique diffusion française and others v Commission</i> [1983] ECR 1825.....	144, 275
Case C-105/04 P <i>Nederlandse Federatieve Vereniging voor de Groothandel op Elektrotechnisch Gebied v Commission</i> [2006] ECR I-8725.....	79, 98, 125, 129, 130, 197, 203, 205, 262
Case C-430/05 <i>Ntisionik and Pikoulas</i> [2007] ECR I-5835 .....	274
Case 374/87 <i>Orkem v Commission</i> [1989] ECR 3283 .....	226, 229, 230, 233, 234, 239
Case C-60/92 <i>Otto</i> [1993] ECR I-5683 .....	190, 225, 228, 250
Case 73/74 <i>Papier peints de Belgique and others v Commission</i> [1975] ECR 1491.....	152
Case C-460/06 <i>Paquay</i> [2007] ECR I-8511 .....	274
Joined Cases C-180/98 to C-184/98 <i>Pavel Pavlov</i> [2000] ECR I-6451 .....	165
Joined Cases C-159/91 and C-160/91 <i>Poucet and Pistre</i> [1993] ECR I-637 .....	166
Case C-360/92 P <i>The Publishers Association v Commission</i> [1995] ECR I-23.....	101
Case 166/73 <i>Rheinmühlen-Düsseldorf</i> [1974] ECR 33 .....	11
Case C-60/02 <i>Rolex and Others</i> [2004] ECR I-651 .....	183, 224
Case C-94/00 <i>Roquette Frères</i> [2002] ECR I-9011 .....	184, 189, 190, 223, 224, 230, 232, 234, 238, 249, 246, 249, 265, 310, 314
Case C-411/04 P <i>Salzgitter Mannesmann v Commission</i> [2007] ECR I-959 .....	93, 97, 100
Case C-277/87 <i>Sandoz prodotti farmaceutici SpA v Commission</i> [1990] ECR I-45 .....	120, 125
Case 199/82 <i>San Giorgio</i> [1983] ECR 3595.....	192

Case C-364/92 SAT Fluggesellschaft mbH v Eurocontrol [1994] ECR I-43 .....	167
Case C-36/92 P SEP v Commission [1994] ECR I-1911 .....	233, 234
Case C-301/04 P Commission v SGL Carbon [2006] ECR I-5915.....	240, 308
Case C-308/04 P SGL Carbon v Commission [2006] ECR I-5977	
Case C-328/05 P SGL Carbon v Commission [2007] ECR I-3921.....	256, 258
Case C-289/04 P Showa Denko v Commission [2006]	
ECR I-5859 .....	257, 276, 281, 283, 294, 316, 318, 329
Case C-196/99 P Siderúrgica Aristrain Madrid v Commission [2003]	
ECR I-11005.....	176
Case C-266/05 Sison and Council [2007] ECR I-1233	
Case C-85/97 Société Financière d'Investissements SPRL (SFI) v Belgium	
[1998] ECR I-7447 .....	264
Case C-276/01 Steffensen [2003] ECR I-3735.....	246
Joined Cases 240, 241, 242, 261, 262, 268 and 269/82 Stichting	
Sigarettenindustrie and others v Commission [1985]	
ECR 3831 .....	91, 101, 152, 158
Case C-286/98 P Stora Kopparbergs Bergslags v Commission [2000]	
ECR I-9925.....	173, 174
Joined Cases 40 to 48, 50, 54 to 56, 111, 113 and 114-73 Suiker Unie	
and others v Commission [1975] ECR 1663 .....	131, 132, 153, 282
Joined Cases C-403/04 P and C-405/04 P Sumitomo Metal Industries	
and others v Commission [2007] ECR I-729 .....	191, 196, 197, 210, 212, 213
Case C-53/03 Syfait and Others [2005] ECR I-4609.....	8
Case C-367/95 P Commission v Sytravaland Brink's France [1998]	
ECR I-1719.....	266
Case C-113/04 P Technische Unie v Commission [2006] ECR I-8831 .....	129, 197
Case C-159/90 The Society for the Protection of Unborn Children	
Ireland [1991] ECR I-4685 .....	184, 189
Joined Cases C-65/02 P and C-73/02 P Thyssen Krupp Stainless and	
others v Commission [2005] ECR I-6773.....	174, 178, 268
Case C-194/99 P Thyssen Stahl v Commission [2003] ECR I-10821.....	
88, 119, 131, 190, 225, 226, 233, 242, 257, 277, 310	
Case 17/74 Transocean Marine Paint Association v Commission [1974]	
ECR 1063	
Case C-120/97 Upjohn [1999] ECR I-223 .....	193
Case 5/88 Wachauf [1989] ECR 2609 .....	223
Joined Cases C-222/05 to C-225/05 van der Weerd and others [2007]	
ECR I-4233 .....	22, 182, 189, 224, 228, 306
Joined Cases C-430/93 and C-431/93 Van Schijndel and van Veen [1995]	
ECR I-4705.....	221
Joined Cases 43/82 and 63/82 VBVB and VBBB v Commission [1984]	
ECR 19.....	91, 100, 153
Case 45/85 Verband der Sachversicherer eV v Commission [1987]	
ECR 405.....	102, 128, 152

Case 8/72 <i>Vereeniging van Cementhandelaren v Commission</i> [1972] ECR 977.....	152
Joined Cases 96 to 102, 104, 105, 108 and 110/82 <i>V IAZ Int Belgium and others v Commission</i> [1983] ECR 3369 .....	91, 128, 152
Case C-338/00 P <i>Volkswagen v Commission</i> [2003] ECR I-9189.....	309
Case C-74/04 P <i>Commission v Volkswagen</i> [2006] ECR I-6585.....	124, 187
Case C-279/05 <i>Vonk Dairy Products</i> [2007] ECR I-239.....	183, 224
Case 14/68 <i>Walt Wilhelm</i> [1969] ECR 1 .....	11, 16, 164, 181
Case C-309/99 <i>Wouters</i> [2002] ECR I-1577 .....	156, 166, 167

#### Court of First Instance

Case T-99/04 AC <i>Treuhand v Commission</i> [2008] ECR II-0000 .....	145
Case T-128/98 <i>Aéroports de Paris v Commission</i> [2000] ECR II-3929 .....	167
Joined Cases T-125/03 R and T-253/03 R <i>Akzo Nobel Chemicals and Akcros Chemicals v Commission</i> [2003] ECR II-4771 (order) .....	236
Joined Cases T-125/03 R and T-253/03 R <i>Akzo Nobel Chemicals and Akcros Chemicals v Commission</i> [2007] ECR II-3523 .....	237
Case T-112/05 <i>Akzo Nobel and Others v Commission</i> [2007] ECR II-5049 .....	175
Case T-329/01 <i>Archer Daniels Midland v Commission</i> [2006] ECR II-3255 .....	147, 276
Case T-59/02 <i>Archer Daniels Midland v Commission</i> [2006] ECR II-3627 .....	204, 245, 278, 316
Joined Cases T-191/98 and T-212/98 to T-214/98 <i>Atlantic Container Line and others v Commission</i> [2003] ECR II-3275 .....	79, 105, 254, 261
Case T-3/89 <i>Atochem v Commission</i> [1991] ECR II-1177.....	135
Case T-314/01 <i>Avebe v Commission</i> [2006] ECR II-3085 .....	176, 255
Case T-15/02 <i>BASF v Commission</i> [2006] ECR II-497.....	186, 202, 256, 262, 301, 315, 316
Joined Cases T-101/05 and T-111/05 <i>BASF and UCB v Commission</i> [2007] ECR II-4949.....	91, 138, 139, 262, 265, 268
Joined Cases T-109/02, T-118/02, T-122/02, T-125/02, T-126/02, T-128/02, T-129/02, T-132/02 and T-136/02 <i>Bolloré SA and others v Commission</i> [2007] ECR II-947 .....	188, 256
Joined Cases T-49/02 to T-51/02 <i>Brasserie nationale and Brasserie Battin v Commission</i> [2005] ECR II-3033.....	116, 152
Case T-151/94 <i>British Steel v Commission</i> [1999] ECR II-629.....	143
Case T-33/02 <i>Britannia Alloys &amp; Chemicals v Commission</i> [2005] ECR II-4973 .....	311
Joined Cases T-25/95, T-26/95, T-30/95, T-31/95, T-32/95, T-34/95, T-35/95, T-36/95, T-37/95, T-38/95, T-39/95, T-42/95, T-43/95, T-44/95, T-45/95, T-46/95, T-48/95, T-50/95, T-51/95, T-52/95, T-53/95, T-54/95,	

T-55/95, T-56/95, T-57/95, T-58/95, T-59/95, T-60/95, T-61/95, T-62/95, T-63/95, T-64/95, T-65/95, T-68/95, T-69/95, T-70/95, T-71/95, T-87/95, <i>T-88/95, T-103/95 and T-104/95 Cimenteries and others v Commission</i> [2000] ECR II-491.....82, 129, 130, 144, 186, 321, 322
Case T-36/05 <i>Coats Holdings and Coats v Commission</i> [2007] ECR II-110.....91, 126, 134, 209
Joined Cases T-24/93, T-25/93, T-26/93 and T-28/93 <i>Compagnie maritime belge and others v Commission</i> [1996] ECR II-1201 .....154
Case T-213/00 <i>CMA CGM and others v Commission</i> [2003] ECR II-913 .....80, 116, 197, 253, 261, 263, 264, 277, 282, 313, 314
Case T-325/01 <i>DaimlerChrysler v Commission</i> [2005] ECR II-3319.....92, 127, 152, 174, 188, 211
Case T-61/89 <i>Dansk Pelsdyravlervørelse v Commission</i> [1992] ECR II-1931
Case T-279/02 <i>Degussa v Commission</i> [2006] ECR II-897 .....125, 133, 139, 204, 278, 303, 317
Joined Cases T-44/02, T-54/02, T-56/02, T-60/02 and T-61/02 <i>Dresdner Bank and others v Commission</i> [2006] ECR II-3567.....117, 208
Case T-43/92 <i>Dunlop Slazenger</i> [1994] ECR II-441 .....190
Case T-6/89 <i>Enichem Anic SpA v Commission</i> [1991] ECR II-1623.....133
Case T-337/94 <i>Enso-Gutzeit v Commission</i> [1998] ECR II-1571.....209
Case T-348/94 <i>Enso Española v Commission</i> [1998] ECR II-1875.....318
Joined Cases T-374/94, T-375/94, T-384/94 and T-388/94 <i>European Night Services and Others v Commission</i> [1998] ECR II-3141 .....77, 116, 329
Joined Cases T-217/03 and T-245/03 <i>FNCBV, FNSEA, FNB, FNPL and JA v Commission</i> [2006] ECR II-4987.....152, 168, 170
Joined Cases T-213/95 and T-18/96 <i>SCK and FNK v Commission</i> [1997] ECR II-1739.....151, 166, 268, 321
Case T-38/02 <i>Groupe Danone v Commission</i> [2005] ECR II-4407 .....320
Joined Cases T-39/92 and T-40/92 <i>Groupement des Cartes Bancaires 'CB' and Europay International SA v Commission</i> [1994] ECR II-49 .....129
Case T-7/89 <i>Hercules Chemicals v Commission</i> [1991] ECR II-1711 .....143
Case T-64/02 <i>Heubach v Commission</i> [2005] ECR II-5137 .....278
Case T-9/99 <i>HFB and Others v Commission</i> [2002] ECR II-1487 .....251, 258
Case T-13/89 <i>ICI v Commission</i> [1992] ECR II-1021 .....150
Case T-36/91 <i>ICI v Commission</i> [1995] ECR II-1847 .....207
Case T-67/01 <i>JCB Service v Commission</i> [2004] ECR II-49 .....263
Case T-67/00 <i>JFE Engineering v Commission</i> [2004] ECR II-2501.....82, 190, 196, 197, 198, 213, 260
Case T-43/02 <i>Jungbunzlauer v Commission</i> [2006] ECR II-3435.....139, 176, 295, 317
Case T-17/99 <i>KE KELIT v Commission</i> [2002] ECR II-1647 .....77, 140, 150
Joined Cases T-45/98 and T-47/98 <i>Krupp Thyssen Stainless and Acciai Speciali Terni v Commission</i> [2001] ECR II-3757 .....80, 118

Joined Cases T-305/94, T-306/94, T-307/94, T-313/94 to T-316/94, T-318/94, T-325/94, T-328/94, T-329/94 and T-335/94 <i>Limburgse Vinyl Maatschappij and others v Commission</i> [1999]	
ECR II-931 .....	118, 119, 198, 201, 206, 241, 242, 244, 245
Case T-112/98 <i>Mannesmannröhren-Werke v Commission</i> [2001]	
ECR II-729 .....	226, 227, 234, 239, 241, 248, 249, 262, 265
Case T-44/00 <i>Mannesmannröhren-Werke v Commission</i> [2004]	
ECR II-2223 .....	83, 114, 116, 211, 213, 225, 281, 311
Case T-56/99 <i>Marlines v Commission</i> [2003] ECR II-5225 .....	127, 143, 206
Case T-17/93 <i>Matra Hachette SA v Commission</i> [1994] ECR II-595.....	97, 98
Joined Cases T-5/00 and T-6/00 <i>Nederlandse Federatieve Vereniging voor de Groothandel op Elektrotechnisch Gebied and Technische Unie v Commission</i> [2003] ECR II-5761.....	129, 130, 144, 254, 256, 310
Case T-120/04 <i>Peróxidos Orgánicos v Commission</i> [2006]	
ECR II-4441 .....	146, 204, 212, 264
Case T-30/05 <i>Prym and Prym Consumer v Commission</i> [2007]	
ECR II-107 .....	83, 91
Joined Cases T-259/02 to T-264/02 and T-271/02 <i>Raiffeisen Zentralbank Österreich AG and others v Commission</i> [2006]	
ECR II-5169 .....	97, 138, 150, 152, 170, 178
Case T-327/94 <i>SCA Holding v Commission</i> [1998] ECR II-1373 .....	265
Joined Cases T-213/95 and T-18/96 <i>SCK and FNK v Commission</i> [1997] ECR II-1739 .....	151, 166, 268, 321
Case T-241/01 <i>Scandinavian Airlines System v Commission</i> [2005]	
ECR II-2917 .....	48, 264, 279, 320, 328, 345
Case T-155/04 <i>SELEX v Commission and Eurocontrol</i> [2006]	
ECR II-4797 .....	167
Case T-39/90 <i>R SEP v Commission</i> [1990] ECR II-649 .....	230
Case T-28/99 <i>Sigma Tecnologie v Commission</i> [2002] ECR II-1845.....	202
Joined Cases T-68/89, T-77/89 and T-78/89 <i>Società Italiano Vetro SpA and others v Commission</i> [1992] ECR II-1403 .....	92, 116, 200
Case T-34/93 <i>Société Générale v Commission</i> [1995] ECR II-545.....	239
Case T-52/02 <i>SNCZ v Commission</i> [2005] ECR II-5005.....	316
Case T-30/91 <i>Solvay v Commission</i> [1995] ECR II-1775 .....	118, 133, 207
Joined Cases T-27/03, T-80/03, T-46/03, T-58/03, T-79/03, T-97/03 and T-98/03 <i>SP SpA and Others v Commission</i> [2007] ECR II- 4331 .....	267
Case T-65/99 <i>Strintzis Lines Shipping v Commission</i> [2003]	
ECR II-5433 .....	170
Joined Cases T-202/98, T-204/98 and T-207/98 <i>Tate &amp; Lyle and others v Commission</i> [2001] ECR II-2035 .....	135, 142
Case T-378/02 <i>R Technische Glaswerke Illmenau v Commission</i> [2003]	
ECR II-2921 .....	263
Case T-5/02 <i>Tetra Laval v Commission</i> [2002] ECR II-4381 .....	255
Case T-141/94 <i>Thyssen Stahl v Commission</i> [1999] ECR II-347.....	80, 143