WORDS THAT MADE AMERICAN HISTORY

SINCE THE CIVIL WAR

SELECTED READINGS

EDITED BY

RICHARD N. CURRENT JOHN A. GARRATY



Words that made AMERICAN HISTORY

≪ SINCE THE CIVIL WAR »

Selected Readings Edited by

RICHARD N. CURRENT The University of Wisconsin

JOHN A. GARRATY Columbia University

SECOND EDITION



Little, Brown and Company BOSTON

COPYRIGHT © 1962, 1965, BY LITTLE, BROWN AND COMPANY (INC.)

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. NO PART OF THIS BOOK MAY BE REPRODUCED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY ELECTRONIC OR MECHANICAL MEANS IN-CLUDING INFORMATION STORAGE AND RETRIEVAL SYSTEMS WITHOUT PERMISSION IN WRITING FROM THE PUBLISHER, EXCEPT BY A RE-VIEWER WHO MAY QUOTE BRIEF PASSAGES IN A REVIEW.

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS CATALOG CARD NO. 65-17321

FIFTH PRINTING

Published simultaneously in Canada by Little, Brown & Company (Canada) Limited

PRINTED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Acknowledgments

Permission to quote from the following copyrighted works is gratefully acknowledged:

Upton Sinclair, from *The Jungle*. Reprinted by permission of the author. (*The Jungle* is published by Harper & Brothers in a hardbound edition, by Signet Books as a paperback.)

Herbert Croly, from *The Promise of American Life*. Copyright 1909 by The Macmillan Company and used with their permission.

Woodrow Wilson, from *The New Freedom*. Reprinted by permission of Edith Bolling Wilson.

Louis D. Brandeis, "The Money Trust." Reprinted by permission of the daughters of Louis D. Brandeis.

John Maynard Keynes, from *The Economic Consequences of The Peace*. Copyright 1920 by Harcourt, Brace & World, Inc.; renewed 1948 by Lydia Lopokova Keynes, and reprinted by permission of the publishers.

Bruce Barton, from *The Man Nobody Knows*. Copyright 1925 by The Bobbs-Merrill Company, Inc., R. 1952 by Bruce Barton; reprinted by permission of the publishers, The Bobbs-Merrill Company, Inc.

Herbert Hoover, from American Individualism. Reprinted with Mr. Hoover's permission.

H. L. Mencken, from *Notes on Democracy*. Reprinted by permission of Alfred A. Knopf, Inc. Copyright 1926 by Alfred A. Knopf, Inc.

John Steinbeck, from *The Grapes of Wrath*. Copyright 1939 by John Steinbeck. Reprinted by permission of The Viking Press, Inc.

Henry L. Stimson, from *Foreign Affairs* (October 1932). Copyright by the Council on Foreign Relations, Inc., New York, and reprinted with their permission.

Wendell Willkie, from *One World*. Reprinted by permission of Simon and Schuster, Inc. Copyright 1943 by Wendell L. Willkie.

Martin Luther King, from *Stride Toward Freedom*. Copyright 1958 by Harper & Brothers. Used by permission.

George F. Kennan, from *Foreign Affairs* (July 1947). Copyright by the Council on Foreign Relations, Inc., New York, and reprinted with their permission.

PREFACE

OUR TITLE, Words That Made American History, is not intended to suggest that American history has been made by words alone. We believe, however, that various speeches, pamphlets, books, and other writings have been quite literally epoch-making. Some have aroused people to immediate action. Some have rationalized and justified events after their occurrence. Others have exerted a lasting effect upon the thinking of the majority or of certain minorities. Still others, though not necessarily impelling people to thought or action, have reflected and recorded important aspects of the American character. Words that made American history in one or another of those senses form the substance of the present volume.

To include all such writings would require enough books to fill a good-sized library. Even to include only the most significant would take much more space than is available here. In preparing a book of readings of a size appropriate for college history courses, we have had to choose between two selection procedures. One was to be as inclusive as possible, to gather excerpts from a great many documents and then pare the excerpts down to fit within our space limits. The other possibility was to be more selective, to choose a relatively small number of items and present the whole, or at least an extensive part, of each one. We have adopted the second of these procedures. We think more is to be gained from a selection of well-rounded pieces than from a profusion of snippets, and we trust that most teachers and students will agree with us.

Our aim, then, is to present in fairly full detail a broadly representative collection of writings that have revealed or have influenced the thinking of Americans on important issues. We believe that supplementary readings of this kind, which stirred the minds and hearts of Americans in the past, will stir the interest of readers today and help bring history to life. Thus they will serve as a valuable supplement to the regular textbook.

In an introduction to each of the selections we have tried to supply essential information about the author and to place his work in its historical context, but we have deliberately avoided predigesting the author's words. We have tried not to give his message away in advance, and we have left the analysis and criticism of each item largely to the reader himself. We be-

viii · Preface

lieve that this is pedagogically desirable. Among other possible exercises for the student, we suggest the making of *précis* that will capture the essence of the selection, and the providing of footnotes that will clarify obscure passages, identify allusions, criticize or evaluate positions, and the like.

R. N. C.

J. A. G.

CONTENTS

I. THE CIVIL WAR AND RECONSTRUCTION

);	•	•	•	•	3
٠	•		*		12
•	٠		٠		27
•	•	•	ŗ	•	44
		٠		•	56
•	٠	٠	•	٠	70
	•				83
٠		٠		•	97
, ii	•		*		105
٠	٠	•	•	•	112
	•	٠	٠	•	116
. i	•			•	131
٠	٠	•	٠	•	139
		• •		• • • • •	

X	Conten	10

ALBERT J. BEVERIDGE, The Philippines	٠	•	٠	٠	٠	•	•	149
MARK TWAIN, The Case Against Imperialism To the Person Sitting in Darkness (1901)	•	٠	•	٠	•	•	•	157
IV. INDUSTRIALISM AND OPPORTUNITY	•							
HENRY GEORGE, The Single Tax	•	٠		•	•	•	٠	167
EDWARD BELLAMY, A Socialist Utopia	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	•	٠	178
DAVID A. WELLS, The Impact of Industrialization . Recent Economic Changes (1889)	•	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	198
ANDREW CARNEGIE, Responsibilities of the Rich The Gospel of Wealth (1889)	٠	•	•	•	•	•	٠	211
OMAHA CONVENTION, The Populist Program The Platform of the Populist Party (1892)	٠	٠		٠	•		٠	222
w. H. HARVEY, The Common Man's Money Coin's Financial School (1894)	,	•		•	•	•	•	227
BOOKER T. WASHINGTON, The Negro's Place The Atlanta Exposition Address (1895)	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	٠	2 40
V. PROGRESSIVE PROTEST								
LINCOLN STEFFENS, Municipal Corruption The Shame of the Cities (1904)			•	•				251
THEODORE ROOSEVELT, Preserving Hemispheric Peace Message to Congress (1905)		٠	•	٠	٠	٠		2 69
UPTON SINCLAIR, Poisoned Meat and Poisoned Lives The Jungle (1906)		٠	٠	•	•	•	٠	278
HERBERT CROLY, A New Reform Philosophy The Promise of American Life (1909)	٠	×	٠	•	•	•		2 99
The New Nationalism (1910)	•	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	312
woodrow wilson, Back to Liberty	٠	٠	•	•			,	3 2 3
Our Financial Oligarchy (1913)	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	333

VI. AN AGE OF WAR AND PROSPERITY				
woodrow wilson, Justice in War and in Peace				345
HENRY CABOT LODGE, An Evil Thing with a Holy Name Speech in the Senate (1919)	•			359
JOHN MAYNARD KEYNES, Failure at Versailles	•			370
NATIONAL POPULAR GOVERNMENT LEAGUE, The Red Scare Illegal Practices of the Department of Justice (1920)	•			38 2
BRUCE BARTON, Christ as a Businessman	e :•	1	•	392
HERBERT HOOVER, Rugged Individualism				407
H. L. MENCKEN, The Land of the Boob		•	•	418
SAMUEL GOMPERS, Pure and Simple Unionism		•))	•	426
VII. THE GREAT DEPRESSION AND THE NEW DE	ΑL	,		
MARRINER s. ECCLES, How to End the Depression	•	•	•	441
FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT, Freedom from Fear	•	•		454
HENRY WALLACE, The Farm Problem in World Perspective . America Must Choose (1934)		•		463
JOHN MAYNARD KEYNES, How to Cure the Recession Letter to President Roosevelt (1938)	•	•	•	480
JOHN STEINBECK, Migrant Labor		•	•	484
VIII. THE DECLINE AND FALL OF ISOLATIONISM				
HENRY L. STIMSON, War an Illegal Thing		•		507

xii · Contents

FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT, Aid to Britain	٠	517
WENDELL WILLKIE, International Cooperation	*	532
IX. CONTEMPORARY PROBLEMS, DOMESTIC AND FOREIGN		
EARL WARREN, Desegregation of Public Schools	•	547
MARTIN LUTHER KING, A Way Out for the Negro	٠	551
JOHN F. KENNEDY, The New Frontier	•	564
LYNDON B. JOHNSON, Poverty and Civil Rights	•	568
EARL WARREN, Redistricting of State Legislatures	٠	577
GEORGE F. KENNAN, Containment of Communism	•	583
JOHN F. KENNEDY, Russian Missiles in Cuba	٠	599
J. WILLIAM FULBRIGHT, Myths of Foreign Policy	•	605

I. The Civil War and Reconstruction

Abraham Lincoln, the people's contest (1861, 1863, 1865)
Alexander H. Stephens, the war between the states (1868, 1870)
Carl Schurz, unrepentant southerners (1865)
Charles Sumner, justice for the former slave (1872)
James S. Pike, south carolina under negro government (1873)
Albion W. Tourgée, role of the carpetbagger (1879)

Abraham Lincoln

THE PEOPLE'S CONTEST

ABRAHAM LINCOLN (1809-1865) used to be pictured, at times, as an appealing but quaint and rather pathetic figure who for the most part merely presided, at a distance, over the stirring and fateful events of his presidency. Only in recent years has historical scholarship made it possible to do full justice to him as an actual leader. It is now clear that he was the dominant and decisive American of his day. To summarize, he used rare political skill not only to gain and hold office but also to unite the North and thus reunite the nation. A statesman as well as a politician, he led the way cautiously but surely toward the emancipation of the slaves as well as the preservation of the Union. As commander in chief of the Army and the Navy, he took responsibility for making and seeing to the execution of the strategic plans that at last brought victory. And he gave point and meaning to all the bloodshed by symbolizing and putting into deathless words the ideals which, alone, were worth fighting for.

It would take more space than is available here to present adequately the words of his that have helped Americans make up their minds. The following selections provide a sampling of his more significant statements on the causes and the meaning of the Civil War.

MESSAGE TO CONGRESS

(July 4, 1861)

Having been conve<u>ned</u> on an extraordinary occasion, as authorized by the Constitution, your attention is not called to any ordinary subject of legislation.

At the beginning of the present Presidential term, four months ago, the functions of the Federal Government were found to be generally suspended within the several States of South Carolina, Georgia, Alabama, Mississippi, Louisiana, and Florida, excepting only those of the Post Office Department.

Within these States, all the Forts, Arsenals, Dock-yards, Customhouses, and the like, including the movable and stationary property in, and about them, had been seized, and were held in open hostility to this Government, excepting only Forts Pickens, Taylor, and Jefferson, on, and near the Florida coast, and Fort Sumter, in Charleston harbor, South Carolina. The Forts thus seized had been put in improved condition; new ones had been

4 · The Civil War and Reconstruction

built and armed forces had been organized, and were organizing, all avowedly with the same hostile purpose.

The forts remaining in the possession of the Federal government, in, and near, these States, were either besieged or menaced by warlike preparations; and especially Fort Sumter was nearly surrounded by well-protected hostile batteries, with guns equal in quality to the best of its own, and outnumbering the latter as perhaps ten to one. A disproportionate share, of the Federal muskets and rifles, had somehow found their way into these States, and had been seized, to be used against the government. Accumulations of the public revenue, lying within them, had been seized for the same object. The Navy was scattered in distant seas: leaving but a very small part of it within the immediate reach of the government. Officers of the Federal Army and Navy, had resigned in great numbers; and, of those resigning, a large proportion had taken up arms against the government. Simultaneously, and in connection, with all this, the purpose to sever the Federal Union, was openly avowed. In accordance with this purpose, an ordinance had been adopted in each of these States, declaring the States, respectively, to be separated from the National Union. A formula for instituting a combined government of these states had been promulgated; and this illegal organization, in the character of confederate States was already invoking recognition, aid, and intervention, from Foreign Powers.

Finding this condition of things, and believing it to be an imperative duty upon the incoming Executive, to prevent, if possible, the consummation of such attempt to destroy the Federal Union, a choice of means to that end became indispensable. This choice was made; and was declared in the Inaugural address. The policy chosen looked to the exhaustion of all peaceful measures, before a resolution any stronger ones. It sought only to hold the public places and property, not already wrested from the Government, and to collect the revenue; relying for the rest, on time, discussion, and the ballot-box. It promised a continuance of the mails at government expense, to the very people who were resisting the government; and it gave repeated pledges against any disturbance to any of the people, or any of their rights. Of all that which a president might constitutionally, and justifiably, do in such a case, everything was foreborne, without which, it was believed possible to keep the government on foot.

On the 5th of March, (the present incumbent's first full day in office) a letter of Major Anderson, commanding at Fort Sumter, written on the 28th of February, and received at the War Department on the 4th of March, was, by that Department, placed in his hands. This letter expressed the professional opinion of the writer, that re-inforcements could not be thrown into that Fort within the time for his relief, rendered necessary by

ABRAHAM LINCOLN · 5 the limited supply of provisions, and with a view of holding possession of the same, with a force of less than twenty thousand good, and well-disciplined men. This opinion was concurred in by all the officers of his command; and their memoranda on the subject, were made enclosures of Major Anderson's letter. The whole was immediately laid before Lieutenant General Scott, who at once concurred with Major Anderson in opinion. On reflection, however, he took full time consulting with other officers, both of the Army and the Navy; and, at the end of four days, came reluctantly, but decidedly, to the same conclusion as before. He also stated at the same time that no such sufficient force was then at the control of the Government, or could be raised, and brought to the ground, within the time when the provisions in the Fort would be exhausted. In a purely military point of view, this reduced the duty of the administration, in the case, to the mere matter of getting the garrison safely out of the Fort.

It was believed, however, that to so abandon that position, under the circumstances, would be utterly ruinous; that the necessity under which it was to be done, would not be fully understood — that, by many, it would be construed as a part of a voluntary policy — that, at home, it would discourage the friends of the Union, embolden its adversaries, and go far to insure to the latter, a recognition abroad - that, in fact, it would be our national destruction consummated. This could not be allowed. Starvation was not yet upon the garrison; and ere it would be reached, Fort Pickens might be re-inforced. This last, would be a clear indication of policy, and would better enable the country to accept the evacuation of Fort Sumter, as a military necessity. An order was at once directed to be sent for that landing of the troops from the Steamship Brooklyn, into Fort Pickens. This order could not go by land, but must take the longer, and slower route by sea. The first return news from the order was received just one week before the fall of Fort Sumter. The news itself was, that the officer commanding the Sabine, to which vessel the troops had been transferred from the Brooklyn, acting upon some quasi armistice of the late administration, (and of the existence of which, the present administration, up to the time the order was despatched, had only too vague and uncertain rumors, to fix attention) had refused to land the troops. To now re-inforce Fort Pickens, before a crisis would be reached at Fort Sumter was impossible — rendered so by the near exhaustion of provisions in the latter-named Fort. In precaution against such a conjuncture, the government had, a few days before, commenced preparing an expedition, as well adapted as might be, to relieve Fort Sumter, which expedition was intended to be ultimately used, or not, according to circumstances. The strongest anticipated case, for using it, was now presented; and it was resolved to send it forward. As had been

6 · The Civil War and Reconstruction

intended, in this contingency, it was also resolved to notify the Governor of South Carolina, that he might expect an attempt would be made to provision the Fort; and that, if the attempt should not be resisted, there would be no effort to throw in men, arms, or ammunition, without further notice, or in case of an attack upon the Fort. This notice was accordingly given; whereupon the Fort was attacked, and bombarded to its fall, without even awaiting the arrival of the provisioning expedition.

It is thus seen that the assault upon, and reduction of, Fort Sumter, was, in no sense, a matter of self defence on the part of the assailants. They well knew that the garrison in the Fort could, by no possibility, commit aggression upon them. They knew — they were expressly notified — that the giving of bread to the few brave and hungry men of the garrison, was all which would on that occasion be attempted, unless themselves, by resisting so much, should provoke more. They knew that this Government desired to keep the garrison in the Fort, not to assail them, but merely to maintain visible possession, and thus to preserve the Union from actual, and immediate dissolution — trusting, as hereinbefore stated, to time, discussion, and the ballot-box, for final adjustment; and they assailed, and reduced the Fort, for precisely the reverse object — to drive out the visible authority of the Federal Union, and thus force it to immediate dissolution.

That this was their object, the Executive well understood; and having said to them in the inaugural address, "You can have no conflict without being yourselves the aggressors," he took pains, not only to keep this declaration good, but also to keep the case so free from the power of ingenious sophistry, as that the world should not be able to misunderstand it. By the affair at Fort Sumter, with its surrounding circumstances, that point was reached. Then, and thereby, the assailants of the Government, began the conflict of arms, without a gun in sight, or in expectancy, to return their fire, save only the few in the Fort, sent to that harbor, years before, for their own protection, and still ready to give that protecion, in whatever was lawful. In this act, discarding all else, they have forced upon the country, the distinct issue: "Immediate dissolution, or blood."

And this issue embraces more than the fate of these United States. It presents to the whole family of man, the question, whether a constitutional republic, or a democracy—a government of the people, by the same people—can, or cannot, maintain its territorial integrity, against its own domestic foes. It presents the question, whether discontented individuals, too few in numbers to control administration, according to organic law, in any case, can always, upon the pretences made in this case, or on any other pretences, or arbitrarily, without any pretence, break up their Government, and thus practically put an end to free government upon the earth. It

forces us to ask: "Is there, in all republics, this inherent, and fatal weakness?" "Must a government, of necessity, be too *strong* for the liberties of its people, or too *weak* to maintain its own existence?"

So viewing the issue, no choice was left but to call out the war power of the Government; and so to resist force, employed for its destruction, by force, for its preservation. . . .

It might seem, at first thought, to be of little difference whether the present movement at the South be called "secession" or "rebellion." The movers, however, well understand the difference. At the beginning, they knew they could never raise their treason to any respectable magnitude, by any name which implies violation of law. They knew their people possessed as much of moral sense, as much of devotion to law and order, and as much pride in, and reverence for, the history, and government, of their common country, as any other civilized, and patriotic people. They knew they could make no advancement directly in the teeth of these strong and noble sentiments. Accordingly they commenced by an insidious debauching of the public mind. They invented an ingenious sophism, which, if conceded, was followed by perfectly logical steps, through all the incidents, to the complete destruction of the Union. The sophism itself is, that any state of the Union may, consistently with the national Constitution, and therefore lawfully, and peacefully, withdraw from the Union, without the consent of the Union, or of any other state. The little disguise that the supposed right is to be exercised only for just cause, themselves to be the sole judge of its justice, is too thin to merit any notice.

With rebellion thus sugar-coated, they have been drugging the public mind of their section for more than thirty years; and, until at length, they have brought many good men to a willingness to take up arms against the government the day *after* some assemblage of men have enacted the farcical pretence of taking their State out of the Union, who could have been brought to no such thing the day *before*.

This sophism derives much — perhaps the whole — of its currency, from the assumption, that there is some omnipotent, and sacred supremacy, pertaining to a *State* — to each State of our Federal Union. Our States have neither more, nor less power, than that reserved to them, in the Union, by the Constitution — no one of them ever having been a State out of the Union. The original ones passed into the Union even before they cast off their British colonial dependence; and the new ones each came into the Union directly from a condition of dependence, excepting Texas. And even Texas, in its temporary independence, was never designated a State. The new ones only took the designation of States, on coming into the Union, while that name was first adopted for the old ones, in, and by, the