EVIDENCE CASES AND MATERIALS Fifth Edition

John William Strong Kenneth S. Broun Robert P. Mosteller

American Casebook Series



EVIDENCE

CASES AND MATERIALS Fifth Edition

By

John William Strong

Rosentiel Professor of Law, University of Arizona

Kenneth S. Broun

Henry Brandis Professor of Law, University of North Carolina

Robert P. Mosteller

Professor of Law, Duke University

AMERICAN CASEBOOK SERIES®



American Casebook Series, the key symbol appearing on the front cover and the WP symbol are registered trademarks of West Publishing Co. Registered in U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

COPYRIGHT © 1969, 1975, 1981, 1988 WEST PUBLISHING CO. COPYRIGHT © 1995 By WEST PUBLISHING CO.

610 Opperman Drive P.O. Box 64526 St. Paul, MN 55164–0526 1–800–328–9352

All rights reserved

Printed in the United States of America

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Strong, John William, 1935-

Evidence: cases and materials / by John William Strong, Kenneth

S. Broun, Robert P. Mosteller. / 5th ed.

p. cm. — (American casebook series)

Rev. ed. of: Evidence / by Edward W. Cleary . . . [et al.]. 4th ed. 1988.

Includes index.

ISBN 0-314-06157-6 (hardcover)

1. Evidence (Law)—United States—Cases. I. Broun, Kenneth S.

II. Mosteller, Robert P., 1948- . III. Evidence. IV. Title.

V. Series.

KF8934.S77 1995

347.73'6—dc20

[347.3076]

95-4801 CIP

ISBN 0-314-06157-6







WEST'S LAW SCHOOL ADVISORY BOARD

CURTIS J. BERGER

Professor of Law, Columbia University

JESSE H. CHOPER

Professor of Law, University of California, Berkeley

DAVID P. CURRIE

Professor of Law, University of Chicago

YALE KAMISAR

Professor of Law, University of Michigan

MARY KAY KANE

Dean and Professor of Law, University of California, Hastings College of the Law

WAYNE R. LaFAVE

Professor of Law, University of Illinois

ARTHUR R. MHLER

Professor of Law, Harvard University

GRANT S. NELSON.

Professor of Law, University of California, Los Angeles

JAMES J. WHITE

Professor of Law, University of Michigan

CHARLES ALAN WRIGHT

Professor of Law, University of Texas

To

Margaret Strong,

Margie Broun,

and

Elizabeth Gibson

Introduction to the Fifth Edition

The timing of publication of the fifth edition of this casebook continues the pattern, evolved over the last twenty-five years, of a period of approximately seven years between revisions. That interval has again seemed a reasonable compromise between the competing objectives of providing the necessary measure of currency to the materials and avoiding the temptation to treat each new and significant development as warranting further depletion of the nation's forests. Of course, complete currency at all times will necessarily remain a will o' the wisp for casebook editors, and we content ourselves with the hope that our basic organizational structure and case selection will make the inevitable substitution and supplementation by individual instructors in coming years a logical and easily effected process.

In the seven years immediately past, many of the most notable developments in Evidence have, not surprisingly, come in decisions of the Supreme Court of the United States. No less than five such decisions appear as new principal cases in the present edition, and several more are treated in the notes, as is the evolving "plain meaning" approach of the Court to the interpretation of the Federal Rules of Evidence. At the same time, however, the editors have remained conscious of the fact that the overwhelming majority of applications of the law of evidence take place in state rather than federal courts, and that the law of evidence remains far from being completely "federalized." Every attempt has been made to include interesting and teachable recent state decisions, and to give students a sense of the extent to which state law and practice remain varied.

Another development since the last edition prompts a more mundane comment. The fourth edition of McCormick on Evidence appeared in 1992, for the first time in both a one-volume student edition and a two-volume practitioner edition. Though the frequent citations to McCormick in the present edition carry the formally required volume indications (e.g., 2 McCormick on Evidence), users of the student edition are advised that the initial numeral may safely be ignored and that he or she need have no uneasiness that a second volume of the student edition was mistakenly left at the bookstore.

Finally we note with sadness that the present edition is the first which does not carry the name of the founding editor, the late Edward W. Cleary. However, since Professor Cleary did not participate in the revision process since 1981, it would no longer be appropriate to impute to him any responsibility for the final result. In any event, Ed's imprint on the law of evidence is certain to prove much more indelible. Ed's formative influence by virtue of his work as Reporter to the Advisory Committee on the Federal Rules of Evidence will continue to be felt for many years to come.

Coincidentally but most appropriately that influence is most recently prominently visible in the *Tome* decision of the Supreme Court, which appears at page 687 of this edition.

J.W.S. K.S.B. R.P.M.

Introduction to the Fourth Edition

Two significant changes are reflected in this fourth edition of the casebook.

Those familiar with earlier editions will note the addition of two new editors. At the same time, Professor Edward W. Cleary, the "Founding Editor" of the book, has not participated in the preparation of the present version. Despite Ed's decision to retire from active participation, the influence of his ideas remains strong in the present product, perhaps inevitably given the fact that two of the three present editors were Ed's students at the University of Illinois. Moreover, many of Ed's contributions in organization, case selection and note materials are retained in the present edition, as is his name in recognition of his continuing influence upon the book.

The content of the present edition also differs somewhat from that of its predecessors as a result of two beliefs held by the editors. The first is that both the nature of Evidence and the ennui produced in second year law students by continued exclusive reliance on the case method suggest a classroom approach based upon problems. At the same time, the editors continue to prefer the case over the text as the best device for conveying the principles of Evidence, first because of its mnemonic superiority, but also to convey the essential notion that all applications of evidentiary rules are affected by the factual contexts in which they are made. We have therefore coordinated the casebook in organization and coverage with Broun, Miesenholder, Strong and Mosteller, Problems on Evidence, with the thought that students may simultaneously be assigned readings in the casebook and problems for class discussion. The problems which were encountered irregularly in previous editions have been removed or transferred to the problem book, leaving the present edition more truly a casebook on the conventional model. Accordingly, we believe that this edition will be usable by both the traditionalist who prefers to approach the subject through the case method in its unadulterated form, and by teachers who prefer the problem method for either exclusive use or as an occasional variation from the standard pedagogy.

Substantively, Chapter 17 of earlier editions, Controlling Government Privilege, has been eliminated. This decision was made in light of the now almost universal curricular allocation of coverage of the Fourth and Fifth Amendments to courses on Criminal Procedure. The overall length of the book has thus been slightly reduced even though a substantial quantity of new material has been added.

Finally, reproduction of the text of various Federal Rules has been avoided in this edition, primarily because those rules are readily available in a more conveniently usable form in various pamphlet editions. We have assumed that many teachers will prefer students to have the Rules in such a form and that reproduction in the casebook is merely duplicative.

J.W.S. K.S.B. R.P.M.

July, 1988

Introduction to the Third Edition

The edition of this book herewith presented reflects two ongoing developments in the law of Evidence.

The first of these is the rapidity with which the Federal Rules of Evidence, and their clone the Revised Uniform Rules of 1974, have achieved pervasive importance in the field. Almost half the states have adopted the rules, with such variations as may have been considered to be improvements or necessary to maintain local self-respect. As a result, cases construing and applying the rules have appeared in large number. Moreover, in jurisdictions that have not adopted the rules as a body, individual rules have nevertheless often been incorporated into the corpus of the local law by the decisionmaking process.

The second of these developments is the continuation in the Supreme Court of the United States of the process of refining, and to some extent fencing-about, earlier decisions on the rights of persons accused of crime. To a surprising extent decisions of the Supreme Court have now dealt with problems illustrated in earlier editions by decisions of the United States Courts of Appeals.

The organization of the book remains unchanged. However, new materials have been added to illustrate current developments. New materials also have been substituted where thought to deal with an old problem with greater illumination or authority.

> E.W.C. J.W.S.

June, 1981

Introduction to the Second Edition

Given the recent pace of development in the law of Evidence, a second edition of an Evidence casebook following six years after the appearance of the first needs no apology other than to last year's students now burdened with unsaleable copies of the earlier version. The timing of this edition is, however, worthy of some explanation.

Heraclitus truly observed that it is impossible to enter the same river twice, and casebook editors are perhaps more frequently reminded than most of the accuracy of this dictum. Nevertheless, while the present edition cannot hope to escape obsolescence any more than did its forerunner, two factors present on the current scene give some promise of retarding the process somewhat.

The first factor which makes the present a propitious moment for the appearance of a book on Evidence is the recent enactment by the Congress of the Federal Rules of Evidence. Knowledge of the Federal Rules, effective July 1, 1975, now becomes essential to any prospective attorney looking toward practice in the federal courts. Moreover, in conjunction with the newly promulgated Uniform Rules of Evidence, which are largely based upon them, the Federal Rules seem inevitably destined to exert a substantial influence upon state law as well. The Federal Rules, in their enacted form, are extensively treated in this edition, with the full text reprinted at appropriate places.

A second factor also suggests the desirability of this casebook's revision at this time. The date of the first edition, 1969, marked the conclusion of the so-called "Warren era" of the Supreme Court of the United States. The succeeding years have witnessed not only changes in Court personnel, but also substantial modifications of constitutional doctrine, most notably in the areas of self-incrimination, unlawfully obtained evidence, and unlawfully obtained confessions. In the present edition, materials relating to these areas have been substantially restructured and augmented to reflect the current state of the decisional law.

In the process of incorporating a substantial body of new material, every effort has been made to resist the temptation simply to add new on top of old with the resulting cost of increased overall bulk. Though in some instances a modest increase in length has been unavoidable, the end product is not of substantially greater length than the first edition. As was the case with the earlier edition, we anticipate that all material through Chapter 15 may be covered in a course consisting of 45 classroom hours, and that the entire book may be covered by those fortunate enough to have an allocation of 60 hours.

E.W.C. J.W.S.

July 10, 1975

Introduction to the First Edition

The by-now somewhat threadbare style "Cases and Materials" has demonstrated its capacity to describe widely varying compounds of the designated constituents. Since the present volume continues the phrase, an initial word is in order concerning the mixture here offered. The addition of "Problems" to the title augments the call for explanation. We turn first to the mechanics of treatment.

The case method in unadulterated form has a hard time finding adherents today. Its prodigality of time offers a ratio of time to coverage which is unacceptable in chronically straitened curricula, all the more so in areas of the bulk of Evidence. Nevertheless, the decided case remains the best vehicle for exploring the principles and problems indigenous to the subject. The need for accommodation between the demands of quality and those of extent is apparent.

Our compromise has taken the form of preferring a certain amount of note material to a greater number of cases with much of their natural color and complexity removed by more strenuous editing. In particular we have frequently chosen, and forborne to edit, cases reproducing substantial portions of the trial transcript. To avoid hiatuses in substantive coverage, Notes and Questions are included for the purpose of suggesting, in brief form, the variegated contexts in which related problems may arise.

Notwithstanding its basic advantages, the case method has its disadvantages. Though conducted in the most astute and critical fashion, the analysis of at-hand past solutions of problems tends to pall when too long continued. Judge Jerome Frank's analogy to learning botany by the study of pressed flowers comes into play. The student retreat into canned briefs may be a realistic reaction. The Problems which we have included represent an effort to introduce the element of life, or at least a third dimension, to remedy these deficiencies. They are presented somewhat at random and as the occasion offered. Some are suitable for assignment as exercises in writing or formal oral argument, while others are designed to promote facility in coordinating and utilizing principles extracted from a substantial bulk of material. The Problems, however, are not designed to introduce essential substantive points untreated by other materials, and they may therefore be omitted without loss of substantive coverage.

With respect to topics treated, the book reflects no major departure from orthodoxy. The Parol Evidence Rule has, of course, been excluded; its inclusion in Evidence never had a justification more substantial than an unfortunate coincidence of nomenclature. Questions of sufficiency, on the other hand, have unequivocally been claimed as a legitimate province of Evidence.

Our relative emphasis and deemphasis reflect what we conceive to be the present and the future of the law of Evidence: a diminishing importance of the traditional rules of exclusion. Cases will increasingly be decided on the basis of what is admitted rather than what is excluded. The effect is an enhanced importance for techniques of proof and for assessment of the sufficiency of evidence. This process seems to have been promoted in an indefinable way by the current trend in the direction of codifying the rules of Evidence, possibly as the result of the critical examination which codification entails. It must be recognized, however, that some resurgence of exclusion as a means of controlling results is apparent in the constitutional field, particularly in the unchartered potential of the right of confrontation. The future of sufficiency as a measure of constitutionality must be regarded as obscure.

In an increasing number of schools, the use of evidentiary rulings as a means of controlling governmental behavior, centering upon the rights of an accused, is now taught under the heading of Criminal Procedure. Consequently we have placed these materials in the final chapter in order to facilitate omission without impairment of continuity. Without them, we believe that the book may adequately be covered in 45 class hours and the entire book in 60 class hours, or their equivalents. These figures could readily be expanded to fit the pace and techniques of the particular instructor.

E.W.C. J.W.S.

June, 1969

Table of Cases

The principal cases are in bold type. Cases cited or discussed in the text are roman type. References are to pages. Cases cited in principal cases and within other quoted materials are not included.

Abel, United States v., 582 Acme Printing Ink Co. v. Menard, Inc., 901 ACS Hosp. Systems, Inc. v. Montefiore Hosp., 171 Adamson, United States v., 277 Adcock, United States v., 773 Adkins v. Brett, 650, 769, 773 Adkins, United States ex rel. v. Greer, 32 Aerojet-General Corp. v. Transport Indem. Ins., 955 Affleck, United States v., 539 Agurs, United States v., 71 Ake v. Oklahoma, 558 Alberty v. United States, 722 Alcalde, People v., 788 Alexander, United States v., 869 F.2d 808, p. 539 Alexander, United States v., 816 F.2d 164, p. **534** Alford v. United States, 578 Allen v. St. Louis Public Service Co., 831 Alpine Forwarding Co. v. Pennsylvania R. Co., 163 Alsbach v. Bader, 513 Altobello v. Borden Confectionary Products, Inc., 602 Amaya, United States v., 855 American Radiator & Standard Sanitary Corp., United States v., 421 Amsbary v. Grays Harbor Ry. & Light Co., Anchor Coatings, Inc. v. Marine Indus. Residential Insulation, Inc., 235 Anderson, United States v., 1003 Anderson v. United States, 652 Ando v. Woodberry, 510 Andolschek, United States v., 1012 Andrews, United States v., 731 Antick, People v., 605 Aontae, People v., 329 Aparo, State v., 302 Application of (see name of party) Archer, United States v., 919 Arias-Santana, United States v., 845

Asbury v. Beerbower, 947 Atkinson v. Smith, 194 Attorney-General v. Hitchcock, 628 Ault v. International Harvester Co., 349 Azure, United States v., 615 Baggot, United States v., 1057 Bagley, United States v., 60, 71 Bahadar, United States v., 851 Bailey v. Southern Pac. Transp. Co., 855, 870 Bailey, United States v., 901 Baird v. Koerner, 965 Baker v. F and F Inv., 977 Baker, State v., 621 Baker, United States v., 846 Baldrige v. Shapiro, 1028 Balian v. General Motors, 397 Bankers Trust Co., Complaint of, 855, 856 Bankers Trust Co. v. Publicker Industries, Inc., 503 Barber v. Page, 855 Barfield v. Orange County, 840 Barletta, United States v., 17 Barnes v. Boatmen's Nat. Bank of St. Louis, 567 Barrel of Fun, Inc. v. State Farm Fire & Cas. Co., 552 Barrett, United States v., 583, 870 Barton Plumbing Co. v. Johnson, 584 Bastien, People v., 737 Beach v. Richtmyer, 261 Beaty, United States v., 2, 10 Beck v. Norris, 514 Becker v. Eisenstodt, 572 Becker, People v., 582 Beckham, United States v., 17 Beebe, United States v., 449 Beech Aircraft Corp. v. Rainey, 28, 839 Beekman, United States v., 1012 Belanger's Estate, Matter of, 528 Belfield v. Coop, 716 Bell v. Harmon, 709 Bell v. Harrison, 619

Arrington, United States v., 708

Bender, State v., 330 Butz v. State, 994 Benedetto, United States v., 283 Cain, United States v., 615 F.2d 380, p. 845 Best v. Tavenner, 583 Bickerstaff v. South Central Bell Tel. Co., Cain, United States v., 587 F.2d 678, pp. 757, 760 Bigham, State v., 583 Calhoun v. Baylor, 716 California v. Green, 733, 736 Bingham, State v., 767 Bishop, State v., 490 Campbell by Campbell v. Coleman Co., Black v. Sheraton Corp. of America, 1021 Inc., 851, 854 Candelaria-Gonzalez, United States v., 286 Blade, United States v., 539 Blair v. Commonwealth, 260 Carbo v. United States, 43 Blakey, United States v., 760 Cardascia, United States v., 780 Blazek v. Superior Court In and For County Cardillo, United States v., 583 of Maricopa, 924 Cardinal, United States v., 619 Bledsoe v. Salt River Valley Water Users' Carlson, State v., 17, 721 Ass'n, 397 Carpenter v. Kurn, 253 Bloomington, City of v. Legg, 239 Carpenter, People v., 650 Boeing Airplane Co. v. Brown, 349 Carr v. Radkey, 553, 557 Bohle, United States v., 831 Carver v. Howard, 874 Casal, State v., 1003 Boiardo, State v., 416 A.2d 793, p. 976 Boiardo, State v., 414 A.2d 14, p. 976 Cassiere, United States v., 12 Boller v. Cofrances, 201 Castleton's Case, 318 Bommer v. Stedelin, 207 Castro, People v., 605 Bonds, United States v., 339 Castro-Avon, United States v., 685 Booz, United States v., 797 Catabran, United States v., 822 Borden's Farm Products Co. v. Baldwin, Central Mut. Ins. Co. v. Newman, 625 383 Central R. Co. of New Jersey v. Monahan, Bott v. Wendler, 235 Bourjaily v. United States, 15, 18, 723, Chambers v. Mississippi, 572 731, 747, 755 Chancellor v. Boeing Co., 944 Bovain, United States v., 906 Chandler v. Roudebush, 840 Bowers, United States v., 814 Chaplin, State v., 875 Bowker v. State, 529 Chapple, State v., 539 Chartrand v. Coos Bay Tavern, Inc., 379 Bowles v. United States, 1011 Boyer, State v., 330 Chastleton Corporation v. Sinclair, 383 Boyer, United States v., 635 Chesapeake & Delaware Canal Co. v. United States, 832 Bradford v. State, 637 Brady v. State of Maryland, 71 Chicago City Ry. Co. v. Carroll, 449 Bragg v. Metropolitan St. Ry. Co., 36 Chicago & E. I. R. Co. v. Zapp, 457 Bramlet, United States v., 552 Chikovsky v. Ortho Pharmaceutical Corp., Branzburg v. Hayes, 976 Breazeale, State v., 958 Chrysler Corp. v. Brown, 1028 Breimon v. General Motors Corp., 923 Church of Scientology of California v. Bremner By and Through Bremner v. I.R.S., 1028 C.I.A. v. Sims, 1028 Charles, 408 Bright v. Firestone Tire & Rubber Co., 839 Ciaffoni's Estate, In re, 424 Bristol Wholesale Grocery Co. v. Municipal Cicale, United States v., 788 Lighting Plant Commission of Taunton, Ciravolo v. United States, 595 City of (see name of city) 715 Brock v. United States, 529 Clabourne, State v., 405 Brookover v. Mary Hitchcock Memorial Clark v. City of Los Angeles, 814, 830 Hosp., 717 Clark, People v., 655 Brown v. Darcy, 330 Clark v. People, 794 Brown v. State, 391 Clark v. Stewart, 267 Clark v. St. Thomas Hosp., 394, 397 Brown, United States v., 499 Clark, United States v., 918 Broyles v. Commonwealth, 284 Brunker v. Cummins, 310 Clark v. United States, 61 F.2d 695, pp. Bruton v. United States, 50, 882 **51**, 53 Clark v. United States, 53 S.Ct. 465, p. 968 Buchanan, People v., 954 Clark v. United States, 412 A.2d 21, p. 788 Buckingham Corp. v. Ewing Liquors Clarke, State v., 618 Co., 417 Budden v. United States, 717 Clarke, United States v., 901 Clayton v. Rimmer, 375, 376 Burden, State v., 919 Cleveland, City of v. Peter Kiewit Busic, United States v., 722 Sons' Co., 214 Butler v. McKellar, 79

Clontz, State v., 485 Coate v. State, 241 Cobb, United States v., 33 Cody v. S. K. F. Industries, Inc., 795 Cohen, United States v., 773 Colburn v. Chicago, St. P., M. & O.R. Co., Collins, People v., 342 Collins v. Wayne Corp., 717 Colon, United States v., 306 Colthurst v. Lake View State Bank of Chicago, Ill, 118, 120 Comair Air Disaster Litigation, In re, 506 Commonwealth Life Ins. Co. v. Clarke, 877 Commodity Futures Trading Com'n v. Weintraub, 945 Commonwealth v. _ (see opposing party) Commonwealth of (see name of Commonwealth) Complaint of (see name of party) Conner, State v., 625 Consolidated Motors v. Skousen, 275 Consumer Product Safety Com'n v. GTE Sylvania, Inc., 1028 Continental Oil Co. v. United States, 955 Cook v. Hoppin, 795 Cook, United States v., 32, 33 Coordinated Pretrial Proceedings in Petroleum Products Antitrust Litigation, In re, 731 Coppola, United States v., 571 Cordero, United States v., 807 Cornett, United States v., 274 Coslow v. State, 594 Cotton Valley Operators Committee, United States v., 1011 Couch v. Hutcherson, 788 County Court of Ulster County, N. Y. v. Allen, 172, 181 Covelli, United States v., 609 Cox v. Esso Shipping Co., 715 Cox, People v., 874 Coy v. Iowa, 83 Crago v. State, 571 Crawford v. Yellow Cab Co., 267 Creamer v. General Teamsters Local Union 326, p. 870 Cree, United States v., 903 Crimm v. Missouri Pacific R. Co., 609 Crisafi, United States v., 637 Crouch, United States v., 578 Cruz v. New York, 50 Cruz, United States v., 645 Curry v. American Enka, Inc., 402 Curtis, United States v., 286 Cuthbertson, United States v., 976 Cylkouski, United States v., 279

D'Agata, United States v., 33 Daggett v. Atchison, T. & S. F. Ry. Co., 349 Dallas County v. Commercial Union Assur. Co., 889 Danbois v. New York Cent. R. Co., 350 Danesi, United States v., 1004

xxxiii Darden, People v., 1003 Darland, United States v., 279 Darling v. Charleston Community Memorial Hospital, 589 Datskow v. Teledyne Continental Motors Aircraft Products, a Div. of Teledyne Industries, Inc., 397 Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 43 F.3d 1311, p. 329 Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 113 S.Ct. 2786, pp. 318, 329 Davis v. Alaska, 586, 594 Davis v. Allsbrooks, 189 Day, State v., 438 Day, United States v., 789 F.2d 1217, p. 685 Day, United States v., 591 F.2d 861, pp. 769, 773 Daye, Commonwealth v., 678, 685 Dean, State v., 82 DeBenedetto by DeBenedetto v. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., 12 Deeb, United States v., 901 Deere & Co. v. International Harvester Co., De Georgia, United States v., 815 De Gudino, United States v., 431 Delahoussaye, State v., 227 Delaware v. Van Arsdall, 583 Delaware Coach Co v. Savage, 120, 124 Delli Paoli v. United States, 50 DeLuryea v. Winthrop Laboratories, 349, 864 Dennis, United States v., 685, 686 Denver Tramway Co. v. Owens, 925 Department of Air Force v. Rose, 1028 De Peri, United States v., 526 Derrick v. Rock, 441 DeSantis, State v., 620 Diamond Rubber Co. v. Harryman, 237 D. I. Chadbourne, Inc. v. Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco, 946 Diggins' Estate, In re, 420 DiMaria, United States v., 774, 779, 780 DiNapoli, United States v., 865 DiPaolo, United States v., 609 Doe v. United States, 610 Doe D. Gilbert v. Ross, 466 Donovan v. Crisostomo, 877 Dorian, United States v., 903 Dorta, United States v., 583 Dotson, United States v., 558 Dougherty, United States v., 84 Douglas v. State of Alabama, 882 Douglas Oil Co. of California v. Petrol Stops Northwest, 1044, 1056, 1057 Dowling v. L. H. Shattuck, Inc., 533 Dowling v. Peyroux, 401 Dowling v. United States, 302 Doyle v. Ohio, 632, 722 Drinon v. Wilson, 405

Duffy, United States v., 442 Duke Power Co. v. Winebarger, 253 Dunning v. Maine Cent. R. Co., 405 Duran v. Neff, 194 Dutton v. Evans, 732

Dwyer, United States v., 39

Dykes v. Raymark Industries, Inc., 865,
870

Eaton v. Bass, 273, 274 Ebner, United States v., 595 Edgington v. United States, 278 Edmunds v. Won Bae Chang, 34 Edney, People v., 950 Edwards, United States v., 558 Eisenberg, Application of, 1056 Eisenhart v. Slaymaker, 465 Eitel v. Times, Inc., 117 Ell, United States v., 493 Ellingsworth, United States ex rel. Hamilton v., 737 Ellsberg v. Mitchell, 1010 Emery, State v., 391 Emich Motors Corp. v. General Motors Corp., 649 Engel v. United Traction Co., 350 Engle v. Isaac, 74, 78 English, State v., 594 Eno v. Adair County Mut. Ins. Ass'n, 197 Enright, United States v., 731 Ensor v. Wilson By and Through Wilson, 406 Envirex, Inc. v. Ecological Recovery Associates, Inc., 274 Environmental Protection Agency v. Mink, Equitable Life Assur. Soc. of United States v. Starr, 456 Erb, United States v., 722 Estes, United States v., 923 Evanchik, United States v., 33 Evans v. United States, 286 Evansville School Corp. v. Price, 402

Faison, United States v., 855 Falcone v. New Jersey Bell Tel. Co., 831 Farber, Matter of Myron, 969, 976 Faries v. Atlas Truck Body Mfg. Co., 840 Farnsworth Cannon, Inc. v. Grimes, 1011 Farr v. Zoning Board of Appeals of Town of Manchester, 449 Fausek v. White, 944 Fay v. Noia, 78 Fazio v. Heckler, 171 F.B.I. v. Abramson, 1028 Fearing, State v., 485, 904 Federal Aviation Administration v. Robertson, 1028 Federal Open Market Committee of Federal Reserve System v. Merrill, 1028

Feliciano v. City and County of Honolulu, 264 Felix-Jerez, United States v., 800, 806,

Feldman, United States v., 856, 865

807 Fellerman v. Bradley, 958

Felton, State v., 807 Fernandez, United States v., 903

Fielding, United States v., 732 Fields, People v., 923 Figueroa, United States v., 306 Filloon v. Stenseth, 235 First Security Bank of Utah v. Burgi, First State Bank of Denton v. Maryland Cas. Co., 434 Fisher v. State, 397, 401 Fitzgerald v. Penthouse Intern., Ltd., 1011 Fitzpatrick, United States v., 582 Flanagan v. State, 329 Fletcher v. Weir, 723 Floyd, United States v., 732 Forrester v. State, 448 Fortunato v. Ford Motor Co., 258 Forward Communications Corp. v. United States, 832 Foster v. Agri-Chem, Inc., 256 Foster, United States v., 814 Foster-Holcomb Inv. Co. v. Little Rock Pub. Co., 449 Fox v. Taylor Diving & Salvage Co., 709 Fox, United States v., 631 Francis v. Franklin, 188 Franklin, State v., 485 Fredericks, United States v., 28 Freed v. Erie Lackawanna Ry. Co., 709 Freeman, United States v., 572 Freshwater, State v., 420 Fringer v. Venema, 364, 369 Fritch v. State, 594

GAF Corp., United States v., 708
Gallagher v. Pequot Spring Water Co.,
388

Fronning, State v., 572

Furtado v. Bishop, 904

F.T.C. v. Grolier Inc., 1028

Galloway, United States v., 621 Galloway v. United States, 99 Gant, United States v., 498 Garcia, State v., 1004 Garcia v. Watkins, 795 Gardner v. Linwedel, 120 Gardner v. Q. H. S., Inc., 257 Garner, People v., 301 Garner, United States v., 903 Garner v. Wolfinbarger, 944 Garrett v. Howden, 767 Garvin, State v., 595 Gassaway v. Gassaway & Owen, Inc., 783 Geders v. United States, 59, 494 General Mills, Inc. v. Zerbe Bros., Inc., 275 Geo. C. Christopher & Son, Inc. v. Kansas Paint & Color Co., Inc., 412

sas Paint & Color Co., Inc., 412
Gerhart, United States v., 456, 467
Gibson, United States v., 650, 651
Giese, United States v., 277
Giglio v. United States, 58
Gil, United States, 732
Gilbert v. State of California, 699
Gilliland, United States v., 278
Givens, United States v., 602
Givens' Estate, In re, 147