

■

TORTS  
CASES, PROBLEMS,  
AND EXERCISES

S E C O N D E D I T I O N

■

Russell L. Weaver  
John H. Bauman  
John T. Cross  
Andrew R. Klein  
Edward C. Martin  
Paul J. Zwier, II



LexisNexis

# **TORTS CASES, PROBLEMS, AND EXERCISES**

## **TEACHER'S MANUAL SECOND EDITION**

**RUSSELL L. WEAVER**

*Professor of Law & Distinguished University Scholar  
University of Louisville  
Louis D. Brandeis School of Law*

**JOHN H. BAUMAN**

*Professor of Law  
South Texas College of Law*

**JOHN T. CROSS**

*Professor of Law and Wyatt, Tarrant & Combs Fellow  
University of Louisville  
Louis D. Brandeis School of Law*

**ANDREW R. KLEIN**

*Associate Dean for Academic Affairs and  
Paul E. Beam Professor of Law  
Indiana University School of Law – Indianapolis*

**EDWARD C. MARTIN**

*Professor of Law  
Samford University  
Cumberland School of Law*

**PAUL J. ZWIER, II**

*Professor of Law  
Emory School of Law*



**LexisNexis™**

ISBN#: 0820563285

This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis, the knowledge burst logo, and Michie are trademarks of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc., used under license. Matthew Bender is a registered trademark of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2005 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., one of the LEXIS Publishing companies.  
All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material exceeding fair use, 17 U.S.C. § 107, may be licensed for a fee of 10¢ per page per copy from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Editorial Offices  
744 Broad Street, Newark, NJ 07102 (973) 820-2000  
201 Mission St., San Francisco, CA 94105-1831 (415) 908-3200  
701 East Water Street, Charlottesville, VA 22902-7587 (804) 972-7600  
[www.lexis.com](http://www.lexis.com)

(Pub.3618)

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>Chapter 1 INTRODUCTION TO THE STUDY OF TORT LAW</b> .....	1
A. What Is a Tort? .....	1
B. The Casebook Method of Studying Torts .....	1
C. Procedural Stages of a Typical Tort Case .....	1
D. The Development of Fault-Based Tort Liability .....	2
Brown v. Kendall .....	2
 <b>Chapter 2 INTENTIONAL TORTS</b> .....	 3
A. Battery .....	3
1. Intent .....	3
Garratt v. Dailey .....	3
Problems .....	3
Shaw v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp. ....	4
Problems .....	5
2. Contact and Offensiveness .....	5
Fisher v. Carroussel Motor Hotel, Inc. ....	5
B. Assault .....	6
Holloway v. Wachovia Bank & Trust Co. ....	6
Problems .....	7
C. False Imprisonment .....	7
Teichmiller v. Rogers Memorial Hospital, Inc. ....	7
Problems .....	7
D. Trespass to Land .....	8
Amphitheaters, Inc. v. Portland Meadows .....	8
Bradley v. American Smelting and Refining Co. ....	8
Problems .....	9
E. Trespass to Chattels .....	9
Compuserve, Inc. v. Cyber Promotions, Inc. ....	9
Problems .....	10
F. Conversion .....	10
Wiseman v. Schaffer .....	10
Problems .....	10
G. Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress .....	11
Figueiredo-Torres v. Nickel .....	11
Caldor, Inc. v. Bowen .....	11
Problems .....	12



<b>Chapter 3 DEFENSES TO INTENTIONAL TORTS</b> .....	15
A. Introduction .....	15
B. Consent .....	15
Peterson v. Sorlien .....	15
Problems .....	15
Hackbart v. Cincinnati Bengals, Inc. ....	17
Problem .....	18
C. Self Defense .....	18
Roberts v. American Employers Insurance Co. ....	18
Problems .....	18
D. Defense of Property .....	19
Katko v. Briney .....	19
Problems .....	19
E. Necessity .....	21
1. Private Necessity .....	21
Ploof v. Putnam .....	21
Vincent v. Lake Erie Transp. Co. ....	21
Problems .....	21
2. Public Necessity .....	22
United States v. Caltex (Philippines), Inc. ....	22
Problems .....	22
F. Summary Problem .....	22
 <b>Chapter 4 NEGLIGENCE</b> .....	 25
A. Introduction .....	25
B. The Duty Standard .....	26
1. The Reasonably Prudent Person Standard of Care .....	26
Vaughan v. Menlove .....	26
Notes .....	27
2. Minors .....	28
Charbonneau v. MacRury .....	28
Daniels v. Evans .....	28
Problems .....	29
3. The Elderly .....	31
Problems .....	31
4. Mental Disabilities .....	32
Breunig v. American Family Insurance Co. ....	32
Notes .....	32
Problems .....	32
C. Breach of Duty: Calculus of Risk .....	33
United States v. Carroll Towing Co. ....	33
Notes .....	35
Problems .....	35

Grimes v. Norfolk Railway Co. . . . .	36
Brotherhood Shipping Co. v. St. Paul Fire & Marine Insurance Co. . . . .	36
Problems . . . . .	37
D. The Role of Custom . . . . .	37
1. Introduction . . . . .	37
Titus v. Bradford . . . . .	37
Mayhew v. Sullivan Mining Co. . . . .	37
The T.J. Hooper . . . . .	38
Problems . . . . .	38
2. Custom Applied in Medical Malpractice . . . . .	39
Brune v. Belinkoff . . . . .	39
Problems . . . . .	39
Helling v. Cary . . . . .	40
Problems . . . . .	41
Canterbury v. Spence . . . . .	41
E. Criminal Statutes, Civil Statutes, and Negligence Per Se . . . . .	42
1. Overview . . . . .	42
Osborne v. McMasters . . . . .	43
2. Is the Statute Designed to Protect this Particular Plaintiff from this Risk of Injury? . . . . .	43
Problems . . . . .	43
3. How Are Relevant Statutes Applied? What Is the Jury's Role? . . . . .	45
Martin v. Herzog . . . . .	45
Note . . . . .	45
Problems . . . . .	45
4. Negligence Per Se Applied . . . . .	46
Veseley v. Sager . . . . .	46
Brown v. Shyne . . . . .	46
Problems . . . . .	47
F. Proof of Negligence . . . . .	48
1. Overview . . . . .	48
Baltimore & Ohio R.R. v. Goodman . . . . .	48
Pokora v. Wabash Ry. . . . .	48
Wilkerson v. McCarthy . . . . .	49
2. Res Ipsa Loquitur . . . . .	49
Byrne v. Boadle . . . . .	49
Larson v. St. Francis Hospital . . . . .	51
Miles v. St. Regis Paper Co. . . . .	51
Problem . . . . .	52
3. Res Ipsa in Medical Malpractice . . . . .	53
Ybarra v. Spangard . . . . .	53
Problems . . . . .	53

<b>Chapter 5 CAUSE IN FACT</b> .....	55
A. "But-For" Causation .....	55
Lyons v. Midnight Sun Transportation Services .....	55
Note .....	55
Problems .....	55
B. Proving But-For Cause: The Slip and Fall Case .....	56
Dapp v. Larson .....	57
Williams v. Emro Marketing Co. ....	57
Problems .....	57
C. Multiple Causes and the "Substantial Factor" Test .....	59
Anderson v. Minneapolis, St. Paul & Sault Ste. Marie Ry. Co. ....	59
Dillon v. Twin States Gas & Electric Co. ....	59
Notes .....	60
Problems .....	60
D. Cause in Fact and Proof of Mathematical Probabilities .....	61
Weymers v. Khera .....	61
Problems .....	62
Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ....	63
Problems .....	64
<b>Chapter 6 PROXIMATE CAUSE</b> .....	65
A. Introductory Problem: Jury Instructions on Proximate Cause .....	65
B. The Direct Cause Test .....	66
In re An Arbitration between Polemis and Furness, Withy & Co., Ltd. ....	66
Problems .....	66
C. Foreseeability as a Duty Limitation .....	67
Palsgraf v. Long Island R. Co. ....	68
Problems .....	69
D. Foreseeability and the Risk Rule .....	70
Overseas Tankship (U.K.), Ltd. v. Morts Dock & Engineering Co., Ltd. (The Wagon Mound) .....	70
Problems .....	71
E. Foreseeability and the Extent of Harm .....	72
Stoleson v. United States .....	72
Problems .....	72
F. Foreseeability and Intervening Causes .....	73
Herman v. Markham Air Rifle Co. ....	73
Derdiarian v. Felix Contracting Corp. ....	74
Problems .....	74
Marshall v. Nugent .....	75
Problems .....	75
McLaughlin v. Mine Safety Appliances Company .....	75
Problems .....	76

Watson v. Kentucky & Indiana Bridge & R. Co. . . . .	76
Brauer v. New York Central & H. R.R. Co. . . . .	76
Problems . . . . .	77
<b>Chapter 7 MULTIPLE TORTFEASORS . . . . .</b>	<b>79</b>
A. Joint and Several Liability . . . . .	79
Carolina, C. & O. Ry. v. Hill . . . . .	79
Problems . . . . .	79
B. Theories of Joint Liability . . . . .	80
1. Concert of Action . . . . .	80
Bierczynski v. Rogers . . . . .	80
2. Enterprise Liability . . . . .	80
Hall v. E.I. du Pont De Nemours & Co. . . . .	80
3. Alternative Liability . . . . .	80
Summers v. Tice . . . . .	80
4. Market Share Liability . . . . .	81
Sindell v. Abbott Laboratories . . . . .	81
Notes . . . . .	81
Problems . . . . .	82
Hamilton v. Accu-tek . . . . .	83
Problem . . . . .	83
C. Indemnity and Contribution . . . . .	84
National Health Laboratories, Inc. v. Ahmadi . . . . .	84
Bervoets v. Harde Ralls Pontiac-Olds, Inc. . . . .	85
Problems . . . . .	85
<b>Chapter 8 DAMAGES FOR PERSONAL INJURIES . . . . .</b>	<b>87</b>
A. Economic Loss . . . . .	88
Seffert v. Los Angeles Transit Lines . . . . .	88
Problems: Lost Wages . . . . .	88
Problems: Medical Expenses . . . . .	89
B. Future Damages and Discounting to Present Value . . . . .	89
Jones & Laughlin Steel Corp. v. Pfeiffer . . . . .	89
Problems: Future Lost Wages . . . . .	90
Problems: Future Medical Expenses . . . . .	90
Problems: Future Lost Wages and Medical Expenses . . . . .	91
C. Non-Economic Losses: Pain and Suffering . . . . .	91
McDougald v. Garber . . . . .	91
Problems . . . . .	92
D. Mitigation . . . . .	93
Colton v. Benes . . . . .	93
Problem . . . . .	93
F. Collateral Benefits . . . . .	94



Helfend v. Southern California Rapid Transit District .....	94
G. Punitive Damages .....	95
Strum, Ruger & Co., Inc. v. Day .....	95
Problems .....	98
State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co. v. Campbell .....	100
<b>Chapter 9 LIMITED DUTY: SPECIAL LIMITATIONS ON THE</b>	
<b>SCOPE OF DUTY .....</b>	<b>101</b>
A. Introduction .....	101
Yania v. Bigan .....	101
B. “Misfeasance” vs. “Non-Feasance” .....	101
Weirum v. RKO General, Inc. ....	101
C. Exceptions to the “No Duty” Rule .....	102
1. Defendant’s Negligence Places the Plaintiff in a Position of Peril .....	102
Day v. Waffle House, Inc. ....	102
2. Voluntarily Assumed Duties .....	103
Florence v. Goldberg .....	103
Problems .....	104
3. Special Relationships .....	105
Farwell v. Keaton .....	105
Tarasoff v. Regents of University of California .....	105
Problem .....	106
D. Public versus Private Duties .....	107
Thompson v. County of Alameda .....	107
E. Contractual Duties .....	108
Problem .....	108
Soldano v. O’Daniels .....	110
Problem .....	110
F. Negligent Infliction of (Solely) Emotional Injuries .....	111
Dziokonski v. Babineau .....	111
Thing v. La Chusa .....	111
Problem .....	111
<b>Chapter 10 PREMISES LIABILITY: DUTIES OF OWNERS AND</b>	
<b>OCCUPIERS OF LAND .....</b>	<b>113</b>
A. Common Law “Status” Categories of Entrants .....	113
Holzheimer v. Johannesen .....	113
Palmtag v. Gartner Construction Co. ....	113
Problems .....	114
B. Special Categories of Entrants .....	115
1. Trespassing Children .....	115
Mozier v. Parsons .....	115

2. Firefighters, Police Officers, and Other Public Officials:	
The “Firefighter’s Rule”	116
Chapman v. Craig	116
Problem	116
3. Social Guests	117
Hambright v. First Baptist Church-Eastwood	117
C. Recreational Premises	117
Reed v. Employers Mutual Casualty Co.	117
Problem	117
D. Criminal Assailants	118
McClung v. Delta Square Ltd. Partnership	118
E. Abolition/Modification of Common Law “Status” Categories	118
Rowland v. Christian	118
Notes	118
Jones v. Hansen	118
Notes	119
F. Lessors of Real Property	121
Coggin v. Starke Brothers Realty Co., Inc.	121
Pagelsdorf v. Safeco Inc. Co. of America	122
Problem	122
<b>Chapter 11 WRONGFUL DEATH</b>	<b>123</b>
Moragne v. States Marine Lines, Inc.	123
O’Grady v. Brown	124
Murphy v. Martin Oil Co.	126
Problems	128
<b>Chapter 12 DEFENSES</b>	<b>145</b>
A. Defenses Based on the Plaintiff’s Conduct	145
1. Contributory Negligence	145
Butterfield v. Forrester	145
Problems	145
2. Comparative Negligence	146
Bradley v. Appalachian Power Company	146
Problems	147
Law v. Superior Court	148
Problems	148
3. Assumption of the Risk	149
a. Express Assumption of the Risk	149
Wolf v. Ford	149
Problems	149
b. Implied Assumption of the Risk	150
Murphy v. Steeplechase Amusement Co., Inc.	150

Knight v. Jewett .....	150
Problems .....	151
B. Other Defenses: Limitations and Immunities .....	152
1. Statutes of Limitation and Repose .....	152
Garcia v. Texas Instruments, Inc. ....	152
Problems .....	152
Nelson v. Krusen .....	153
Problems .....	153
2. Immunities .....	154
a. Family Immunities .....	154
Bonte v. Bonte .....	154
Problems .....	155
Shoemake v. Fogel, Ltd., A.T. ....	155
Problems .....	155
b. Charitable Immunity .....	155
c. Governmental Immunity .....	156
d. Municipal Immunity .....	156
Cuffy v. City of New York .....	156
Problems .....	156
Aguehoude v. District of Columbia .....	157
Problems .....	157
e. Federal Immunity .....	157
Berkovitz v. United States .....	158
<b>Chapter 13 VICARIOUS LIABILITY .....</b>	<b>159</b>
A. Respondeat Superior .....	159
Fruit v. Schreiner .....	159
Wong-Leong v. Hawaiian Independent Refinery, Inc. ....	159
Problem .....	161
B. Employer-Employee Relationship .....	162
1. Who Is an “Employee”? .....	162
Buitrago v. Rohr .....	162
Ermert v. Hartford Insurance Co. ....	163
Problem .....	163
2. Was the Employee Acting within the “Scope of Employment”? .....	164
Skinner v. Braum’s Ice Cream Store .....	164
Courtless v. Joullife .....	164
Problems .....	164
3. “Frolics” and “Detours” .....	166
Laird v. Baxter Health Care Corp. ....	166
Notes .....	166
Problem .....	167
4. Employer’s Vicarious Liability for Intentional Torts .....	168

Sunseri v. Puccia .....	168
Plummer v. Center Psychiatrists, Ltd. ....	169
Notes .....	171
Problems .....	172
5. Vicarious Liability for Punitive Damages .....	173
Brueckner v. Norwich University .....	174
Problem .....	179
C. Independent Contractors .....	180
Bagley v. Insight Communications Co., L.P. ....	180
Problems .....	182
D. Joint Enterprises, Joint Ventures, and Partnerships .....	183
Cullip v. Domann .....	183
Esquivel v. Murray Guard, Inc. ....	183
Problems .....	185
<b>Chapter 14 COMMON LAW STRICT LIABILITY .....</b>	<b>187</b>
A. Animals .....	187
Sinclair v. Okata .....	187
Problems .....	187
B. Abnormally Dangerous Activities .....	188
Fletcher v. Rylands .....	188
Rylands v. Fletcher .....	188
Siegler v. Kuhlman .....	189
Indiana Harbor Belt Railroad Co. v. American Cyanamid Co. ....	190
Problems .....	190
Cambridge Water Co. v. Eastern Counties Leather PLC .....	191
Problem .....	192
<b>Chapter 15 NUISANCE .....</b>	<b>193</b>
A. Private Nuisance .....	193
1. General Principles of Liability .....	193
Clinic & Hospital, Inc. v. McConnell .....	193
Problems .....	195
Winget v. Winn-Dixie Stores, Inc. ....	197
Problems .....	199
2. Remedies .....	214
Boomer v. Atlantic Cement Company .....	214
Spur Industries, Inc. v. Del E. Webb Development Co. ....	215
Problem .....	216
B. Public Nuisance .....	217
State v. H. Samuels Company, Inc. ....	217
Union Oil Co. v. Oppen .....	217

<b>Chapter 16 PRODUCTS LIABILITY</b> .....	219
A. Historical Background: Negligence Assaults the Citadel of Privity .....	219
Thomas v. Winchester .....	219
Notes .....	219
Problems and Questions .....	220
MacPherson v. Buick Motor Co. ....	220
Problems .....	221
Escola v. Coco Cola Bottling Co. of Fresno .....	221
B. The Next Assault on the Citadel: Breach of Warranty .....	221
Henningsen v. Bloomfield Motors, Inc. ....	222
C. Strict Liability in Tort .....	222
Problems .....	222
D. The Definition of “Defective” .....	222
1. Manufacturing Defects .....	223
Ford Motor Co. v. Gonzalez .....	223
Problems .....	223
2. Design Defects .....	224
Barker v. Lull Engineering Co. ....	224
Problems .....	224
Hernandez v. Tokai Corporation .....	224
Problems .....	225
Brown v. Superior Court .....	226
Problems .....	226
3. Warning Defects .....	226
Livingston v. Marie Callender’s Inc. ....	226
Problems .....	227
Jackson v. Coast Paint and Lacquer Co. ....	227
Problems .....	227
E. The Definition of “One Who Sells” .....	228
Allenberg v. Bentley Hedges Travel Serv., Inc. ....	228
Problems .....	228
F. Sale of Products or Provision of Services? .....	229
Royer v. Catholic Medical Center .....	229
Problems .....	229
G. The Type of Harm: The Economic Loss Problem .....	230
Moorman Manufacturing Co. v. National Tank Co. ....	230
Problem .....	230
H. The Issue of Plaintiff’s Conduct .....	230
Daly v. General Motors Corp. ....	230
General Motors Corp. v. Sanchez .....	230
Problems .....	231



<b>Chapter 17 DEFAMATION</b> .....	233
A. Defamation Defined .....	233
Lega Siciliana Social Club v. St. Germaine .....	233
Problems .....	233
Neiman-Marcus v. Lait .....	239
Problems .....	242
Lent v. Huntoon .....	253
Problems .....	253
B. The Constitutionalization of Defamation .....	261
New York Times Co. v. Sullivan .....	261
Problems .....	265
C. “Public Figures” and “Private Plaintiffs” .....	266
Gertz v. Robert Welch, Inc. ....	266
Notes .....	271
Problems .....	273
D. Application of the “Actual Malice” Standard .....	275
St. Amant v. Thompson .....	275
Notes .....	277
Problem .....	278
Masson v. New Yorker Magazine, Inc. ....	278
E. Fact versus Opinion .....	282
Milkovich v. Lorain Journal Co. ....	282
Problems .....	284
<b>Chapter 18 PRIVACY</b> .....	287
A. Overview of the “Right to be Left Alone” .....	287
Deckle McLean, Privacy and Its Invasion .....	287
Stien v. Marriot Ownership Resorts .....	287
Notes .....	288
Problems .....	288
B. The Privacy Torts .....	288
1. Intrusion .....	288
People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals v. Berosini .....	289
Notes .....	289
Problems .....	289
2. Appropriation of Name or Likeness .....	290
Ainsworth v. Century Supply .....	290
People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals v. Berosini .....	291
Problems .....	292
3. Publication of Private Facts .....	293
Green v. Chicago Tribune Co. ....	293
Notes .....	294
Problems .....	294

4. False Light .....	295
Cain v. Hearst Corp. ....	295
Notes .....	295
C. Revisiting the Right to Privacy .....	296
<b>Chapter 19 CIVIL RIGHTS .....</b>	<b>297</b>
A. Section 1983 .....	297
Davidson v. Cannon .....	298
B. <i>Bivens</i> Actions .....	299
Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics ...	299
C. Immunity .....	300
Monell v. Department of Social Services .....	301
Siegert v. Gilley .....	302
Problems .....	303
<b>Chapter 20 MISUSE OF LEGAL PROCESS .....</b>	<b>311</b>
A. Introduction .....	311
B. Malicious Prosecution .....	311
1. Malicious Prosecution of a Criminal Action .....	311
Banks v. Nordstrom .....	311
Notes .....	311
2. Malicious Prosecution of a Civil Action .....	321
Notes .....	321
C. Abuse of Process .....	323
Vittands v. Sudduth .....	323
Problem .....	324
<b>Chapter 21 MISREPRESENTATION .....</b>	<b>329</b>
A. Overview .....	329
West v. Gladney .....	331
Notes .....	331
B. The Misrepresentation .....	332
Delta School of Commerce, Inc. v. Wood .....	332
Notes .....	332
Problem .....	333
Rohm and Haas Co. v. Continental Casualty Co. ....	333
Notes .....	334
Problem .....	334
C. Defendant's State of Mind .....	335
1. Intentional Misrepresentations .....	335
Britt v. Britt .....	335
Problems .....	335
2. Negligent Misrepresentations .....	336

Sain v. Cedar Rapids Community School District . . . . .	336
Notes . . . . .	337
3. Scierter and Intent . . . . .	337
Myers & Chapman, Inc. v. Thomas G. Evans, Inc. . . . .	337
D. Reliance . . . . .	337
Francis v. Stinson . . . . .	337
Notes . . . . .	338
Problems . . . . .	338
E. Damages . . . . .	339
Burke v. Harman . . . . .	339
Britt v. Britt . . . . .	339
Notes . . . . .	339
F. Third Party Statements . . . . .	339
Fisher v. Comer Plantation, Inc. . . . .	339
Problem . . . . .	340
<b>Chapter 22 COMPETITIVE TORTS . . . . .</b>	<b>341</b>
A. Introduction . . . . .	341
B. Competition as a Tort . . . . .	341
Katz v. Kapper . . . . .	341
Notes and Problems . . . . .	342
C. Unlawful Means: Lying, Cheating, and Stealing in the Process of Competition . . . . .	342
1. Lying: The Law of False Advertising . . . . .	343
Upjohn Co. v. Riahom Corp. . . . .	343
Problems . . . . .	345
Chicagoland Processing Corp. v. White . . . . .	345
2. Cheating: The Limits of Competitive Behavior . . . . .	346
a. Sales Below Cost . . . . .	346
Bartholomew County Beverage Co., Inc. v. Barco Beverage Corp. . . . .	346
b. Tortious Interference with Economic Relations . . . . .	346
Magnum Radio, Inc. v. Brieske . . . . .	347
The Soap Co. v. Ecolab, Inc. . . . .	347
Problems . . . . .	348
c. Refusals to Deal . . . . .	348
3. Stealing: The Tort of Misappropriation . . . . .	349
a. General Misappropriation . . . . .	349
American Television and Communications Corp. v. Manning . . . . .	349
Problem . . . . .	350
b. The Right of Publicity . . . . .	351
Athans v. Canadian Adventure Camps, Ltd. . . . .	351

Notes and Questions .....	352
Wendt v. Host International .....	352
Notes .....	353
Problems .....	353