

# CASES & MATERIALS ON EEC Law

STEPHEN WEATHERILL

CASES AND MATERIALS ON  
EEC LAW

Stephen Weatherill



First published in Great Britain 1992 by Blackstone Press Limited,  
9-15 Aldine Street, London W12 8AW. Telephone 081-740 1173

© Stephen Weatherill, 1992

ISBN: 1 85431 122 0

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A CIP catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Typeset by Style Photosetting Ltd, Mayfield, East Sussex  
Printed by Billing & Sons Ltd, Worcester

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or any information storage or retrieval system without prior permission from the publisher.

## PREFACE

---

It may seem perverse to write a Preface explaining what a book is *not* about, but in the case of Community law I think it is justified. The subject has grown at such a pace over recent years that it is no longer possible to aspire to write a book which is truly comprehensive. The best that one can do is to select areas for examination which seem particularly important and then to use those areas as illustrations to draw out the themes and principles on which the law is based. In this way, one can encourage the student to develop a *communautaire* approach which will permit him or her to tackle substantive areas beyond the scope of this book. So, although it is easy to explain away a failure to cover the full extent of Community law simply by pointing out how much there is of it, I hope that this book has adopted a coherent principle in deciding what to include and what to exclude.

The book is *not* about English law and its reaction to the Community. There is no deep exploration of Parliamentary Sovereignty or the European Communities Act 1972. This is a Community law book. Nevertheless, the development of the Community legal order has not occurred in isolation and is in part dependent on action and reaction at national level. In depicting this process, I have tended to draw on the example of the British experience of the impact of Community membership. However, the *Cohn Bendit* decision (p. 72) will provide an example of practice in another Member State and the student should always be prepared to look beyond domestic law.

I have touched little on the external competence of the Community. There is, for example, passing reference only to the Common Customs Tariff, the Common Commercial Policy and Treaty-making competence generally. Anti-dumping law is examined more for what it tells us about judicial review than for its substantive importance. I have adopted this primarily internal focus with regret, aware that it smacks of an unappealing 'Fortress Europe' attitude. But I think that my choice is in this respect in accordance with most British University degree courses in Community law.

This book is not designed to be comprehensive after the fashion of a textbook. It cannot replace the need to refer to a textbook, though it should

complement one of the several excellent examples presently available (see the Select Bibliography). In the text of this book, I have rarely made special reference to the available textbooks. All are worth consulting. Perhaps I should make special mention of Josephine Steiner's *Textbook on EEC Law*, which is also published by Blackstone Press. My book is not designed to complement that work in any detailed, chapter-by-chapter sense and in several areas it selects significantly different points of emphasis. However, both books share the objective of explaining the key principles of Community law so that the student may apply them even in areas not dealt with specifically in the book.

In this book, I have included many references to academic writing, as well as several extracts from academic articles. I hope that this will stimulate the student to learn from and to value academic research. I have also included material from a number of other more diverse sources, from economists to political scientists, from national newspapers to pressure groups. My intent is to portray the diversity of influences to which Community law is subject and the breadth of the consequences which flow from legal decisions. My objective is to encourage the student to appreciate how the legal order has been developed (and is still in the process of being developed) in response to sometimes conflicting economic, social and political pressures.

Finally, I owe many debts of gratitude. Thank you to everyone who has helped.

Stephen Weatherill  
December 1991

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

---

The permission of the following authors and publishers, who have kindly agreed to allow the use of material in this book, is gratefully acknowledged:

Extracts from the following articles published in the *European Law Review* (Sweet and Maxwell Ltd):

K. Bradley, 'Sense and Sensibility: Parliament v Council continued', (1991) 16 EL Rev 245.

R. Greaves, 'Locus Standi under Article 173 EEC when seeking Annulment of a Regulation', (1986) 11 EL Rev 119.

E. Szyszczak, 'Sovereignty: Crisis, Compliance, Confusion, Complacency?', (1990) 15 EL Rev 480.

J. Usher, 'The Influence of National Concepts on Decisions of the European Court', (1976) 1 EL Rev 359.

R. White and A. Dashwood, 'Enforcement Actions under Articles 169 and 170 EEC', (1989) 14 EL Rev 388.

Extracts from the following articles published in the *Common Market Law Review* (© Kluwer Academic Publishers):

O. Brouwer, 'Free movement of foodstuffs and quality requirements: has the Commission got it wrong?', (1988) 25 CML Rev 237.

C. Ehlermann, 'The Internal Market following the Single European Act', (1987) CML Rev 361.

F. Mancini, 'The Making of a Constitution for Europe', (1989) 26 CML Rev 595.

Extracts from the following article published in the *Journal of Consumer Policy* (Kluwer Academic Publishers): N. Reich, 'Protection of Diffuse Interests in the EEC and the Perspective of Progressively Establishing an Internal Market', (1988) 11 JCP 395.

Extracts from the following article published in the *Journal of Common Market Studies* (Basil Blackwell, Oxford): J. Pelkmans, 'The New Approach to Technical Harmonization and Standardization', (1986–87) JCMS 249.

Extract from the following article published in the *Notre Dame Law Review* (© Matthew Bender Inc., reprinted with permission from the original source, 1983 Fordham Corporate Law Institute, Antitrust and Trade Policies of the EEC): E. Fox, 'Monopolization and Dominance in the US and the EC: Efficiency, Opportunity and Fairness' (1986) 61 *Notre Dame Law Rev* 981.

Extracts from the following article published in the *American Journal of Comparative Law* (American Association for Comparative Study of Law Inc.): J. Peeters, 'The Rule of Reason Revisited: Prohibition on Restraints of Competition in the Sherman Act and the EEC Treaty', (1989) AJCL 521.

Extracts from the following article published in *Legal Issues of European Integration* (Kluwer Academic Publishers): R. Dehoussé, '1992 and Beyond: the Institutional Dimension of the Internal Market Programme', [1989/1] LIEI 109.

Extract from the following article published in the *European Consumer Law Journal* (Kluwer Academic Publishers): K. Mortelmans, 'Minimum Harmonization and Consumer Law', [1988] ECLJ 2.

Extract from the following article published in the *Northern Ireland Legal Quarterly* (SLS Legal Publications): G. Howells, 'Implications of the Implementation and Non-Implementation of the EC Products Liability Directive', (1990) 41 NILQ 22.

Extracts from the following article published in *The Company Lawyer* (Longman Law, Tax and Finance): J. Dine, 'The European Company Statute', (1990) 11 *The Company Lawyer* 208.

Extract from the *Common Market Law Reports* (reproduced with the permission of Sweet and Maxwell Ltd): *Minister of the Interior v Cohn Bendit* [1980] 1 CMLR 543.

Extracts from the *Weekly Law Reports* (reproduced with the permission of the Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England and Wales):

*Bulmer v Bollinger* [1974] 3 WLR 202

*Duke v GEC Reliance* [1988] 2 WLR 359

*Garden Cottage Foods v Milk Marketing Board* [1983] 3 WLR 143

*Litster v Forth Dry Dock* [1989] 2 WLR 634.

Extracts from *Economics of the European Community*, ed. A. M. El-Agraa (1990). Extracts reprinted with the permission of Philip Allan Publishers.

Extract from V. Lintner, '1992: The EC Customs Union in Theory and Reality' (1989), Polytechnic of North London (PNL), *European Dossier Series*, No. 8.

Extracts from 1992: *One European Market?*, eds R. Bieber, R. Denhousse, J. Pinder and J. Weiler (1988, Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft, Baden Baden).

Extracts from P. Cecchini, *The European Challenge: 1992, the Benefits of a Single Market* (1988, Wildwood House/Gower Publishing). Permission of the EC Commission is also gratefully acknowledged.

Extracts from R. Dudley, 1992: *Strategies for the Single Market*, (1990, Kogan Page, London).

Extracts from N. Reich, *Internal Market and Diffuse Interests* (1990, Story Scientia, Brussels).

- Extracts from *The European Community and the Challenge of the Future*, ed. J. Lodge (1990, Pinter Publishers Ltd, London). All rights reserved.
- Extracts from J. Pinder, *European Community: The Building of a Union* (1991, Oxford University Press). Copyright J. Pinder.
- Extract from J. Harrop, *The Political Economy of Integration in the EC* (1989, Gower/Edward Elgar).
- Extracts from R. Merkin, *A Guide to the Consumer Protection Act 1987* (1987, Financial Training Publications Ltd, London).
- Extracts from M. Wilke and H. Wallace, *Subsidiarity: Approaches to Power-Sharing in the European Community* (1990, Royal Institute of International Affairs, London). Published as RIIA Discussion Paper 27.
- Extract from H. Micklitz, 'Consumer Rights' (1989), paper presented at a conference on *Human Rights and the European Community: Towards 1992 and Beyond*, Research Project of the European University Institute, Florence.
- Extract from C. Bradley, '1992: The Case of Financial Services', Seminar Paper (1990).
- Extracts from C. Vincenzi, 'Freedom of Movement Rights of Community Nationals as a Basis for Citizenship'. The paper is part of a wider study of *New Perspectives on European Citizenship* undertaken by the Federal Trust Study Group on European Citizenship.
- Extract from Consumers in the European Community Group (UK), *Briefing Paper on the Draft Product Safety Directive*, (1989, London).
- Extract from Allen and Overy (Solicitors), *The EEC Inspector at the Door: a Practical Guide on how to deal with Investigations by the European Commission* (1989, London).
- Extract from Clifford Chance (Solicitors), *1992 An Introductory Guide*, (2nd edn), (London).
- Extract from *The Guardian* of 13 March 1987.
- Extract from *The Independent* of 24 November 1989.
- For the use of material from the *European Court Reports*, the Court of Justice of the European Communities.
- For the use of Community source material, the Commission of the European Communities.
- Extracts from E. Noel, *Working Together: the Institutions of the European Community*; and *1992: The Social Dimension* (1988; 1990, Office for Official Publications of the European Communities).

# TABLE OF CASES

---

## Court of Justice of the European Communities

Cases have been arranged in chronological order by case number and year. See page xx for an alphabetical list of cases. Cases reported in full are shown in heavy type. The page at which the report is printed is shown in heavy type.

<b>13/61 Bosch v de Geus [1962] ECR 45</b>	<b>95–6</b>
<b>24/62 Germany v Commission [1963] ECR 63</b>	<b>24–5</b>
<b>25/62 Plaumann v Commission [1963] ECR 95, [1964] CMLR 29</b>	<b>491–2, 511</b>
<b>26/62 Van Gend en Loos v Nederlandse Administratie der Belastingen [1963] ECR 1, [1963] CMLR 105</b>	<b>54–5, 60, 65, 67–8, 72, 77, 97, 98, 99, 548</b>
<b>6/64 Costa v ENEL [1964] ECR 585, [1964] CMLR 425</b>	<b>45–6, 97, 98, 99, 548</b>
<b>56 &amp; 58/64 Établissements Consten SA and Grundig GmbH v Commission [1966] ECR 299, [1966] CMLR 418</b>	<b>299–302, 303, 316, 318</b>
<b>16/65 Schwarze v Einfuhr- und Vorratsstelle Getreide [1965] ECR 877</b>	<b>25–6</b>
<b>56/65 Société Technique Minière v Maschinenbau Ulm [1966] ECR 235, [1966] CMLR 357</b>	<b>316</b>
<b>23/67 Brasserie de Haecht SA v Wilkin [1967] ECR 407, [1968] CMLR 26</b>	<b>327–8</b>
<b>24/67 Parke Davis v Probel &amp; Centrafarm [1968] ECR 55</b>	<b>401</b>
<b>7/68 Commission v Italy [1968] ECR 423</b>	<b>152–3</b>
<b>10 &amp; 18/68 Società ‘Erdania’ Zuccherifici Nazionali v Commission [1969] ECR 459</b>	<b>514–15</b>
<b>24/68 Commission v Italy [1969] ECR 193, [1971] CMLR 611</b>	<b>152, 153–5</b>
<b>2 &amp; 3/69 Sociaal Fonds voor de Diamatarbeiders v Brachfeld [1969] ECR 211, [1969] CMLR 335</b>	<b>155</b>
<b>5/69 Volk v Verwaecke [1969] ECR 295</b>	<b>324</b>
<b>29/69 Stauder v Ulm [1969] ECR 419, [1970] CMLR 112</b>	<b>31, 324, 96</b>
<b>41, 44 &amp; 45/69 ACF Chemiefarma v Commission (Quinine) [1970] ECR 661</b>	<b>308–9</b>
<b>48/69 ICI v Commission (Dyestuffs) [1972] ECR 619, [1972] CMLR 557</b>	<b>305, 310–14</b>
<b>69/69 Alcan Aluminium Raeren v Commission [1970] ECR 385</b>	<b>499</b>
<b>77/69 Commission v Belgium [1970] ECR 237</b>	<b>537</b>

<b>22/70 Commission v Council [1971] ECR 263, [1971] CMLR 335</b>	16–17, 50, 51–2, 481–2, 510
<b>41–44/70 International Fruit Company v Commission [1971] ECR 411, [1975] 2 CMLR 515</b>	15–16, 17, 490–1, 495, 497–8, 509–10
<b>62/70 Bock v Commission [1971] ECR 897</b>	499
<b>5/71 Aktien-Zuckerfabrik Schöppenstedt v Council [1971] ECR 975 518–20, 521</b>	
<b>48/71 Commission v Italy [1972] ECR 527</b>	542–3
<b>51–54/71 International Fruit Company v Produktschap voor Groenten en Fruit (No. 2) [1971] ECR 1107</b>	180
<b>6/72 Europemballage Corp. and Continental Can Co. Inc. v Commission [1973] ECR 215, [1973] CMLR 199</b>	345, 347, 386
<b>2/73 Geddo v Ente [1973] ECR 865, [1974] 1 CMLR 13</b>	170
<b>4/73 Nold v Commission [1974] ECR 491</b>	32–3, 37
<b>6 &amp; 7/73 Istituto Chemicoterapico Italiano SpA and Commercial Solvents Corporation v Commission [1974] ECR 223, [1974] 1 CMLR 309</b>	352–3
<b>34/73 Variola v Amministrazione delle Finanze [1973] ECR 981</b>	49–50
40–48, 50, 54–56, 111, 113 & 114/73 Coöperatieve Vereniging Suiker Unie v Commission [1975] ECR 1663	315
<b>166/73 Rheinmühlen-Düsseldorf v Einfuhr- und Vorratsstelle für Getreide und Futtermittel (No. 1) [1974] ECR 33</b>	96
<b>167/73 Commission v France [1974] ECR 359</b>	48–9
<b>2/74 Reyners v Belgian State [1974] ECR 631, [1974] 2 CMLR 305</b>	283
<b>8/74 Procureur du Roi v Dassonville [1974] ECR 837, [1974] 2 CMLR 436</b>	
	172–3, 223, 224, 235, 240, 402
<b>15/74 Centrafarm BV v Sterling Drug Inc. [1974] ECR 1147, [1974] 2 CMLR 480</b>	402, 403–4
<b>16/74 Centrafarm BV v Winthrop BV [1974] ECR 1183</b>	407
<b>17/74 Transocean Marine Paint v Commission [1974] ECR 1063, [1974] 2 CMLR 459</b>	38–9, 365
<b>36/74 Walrave v Association Union Cycliste Internationale [1974] ECR 1405 280, 286</b>	
<b>41/74 Van Duyn v Home Office [1974] ECR 1337, [1975] 1 CMLR 1</b>	
	56–8, 60, 65, 67–8, 72, 273–4, 276, 277
<b>63/74 Cadsky v ICE [1975] ECR 281, [1975] 2 CMLR 246</b>	157
<b>4/75 Rewe-Zentralfinanz eGmbH v Landwirtschaftskammer [1975] ECR 843, [1975] 1 CMLR 599</b>	209–10, 435
<b>32/75 Cristini v SNCF [1975] ECR 1085, [1975] 1 CMLR 573</b>	269–70
<b>43/75 Defrenne v SABENA [1976] ECR 455, [1976] 2 CMLR 98</b>	
	58–60, 60–1, 105, 457, 533, 548
<b>48/75 Procureur du Roi v Royer [1976] ECR 497</b>	280
<b>65/75 Criminal proceedings against Riccardo Tasca [1976] ECR 291, [1977] 2 CMLR 183</b>	196, 328
<b>87/75 Bresciani v Amministrazione Italiana delle Finanze [1976] ECR 129, [1976] 2 CMLR 62</b>	155–7
<b>3/76 Kramer [1976] ECR 1279</b>	53
<b>20/76 Schottle v Finanzamt Freudenstadt [1977] ECR 247, [1977] 2 CMLR 98</b>	
	160
<b>26/76 Metro-SB-Grossmärkte GmbH &amp; Co. KG v Commission [1977] ECR 1875, [1978] 2 CMLR 1</b>	297, 319, 365, 495, 503
<b>27/76 United Brands v Commission [1978] ECR 207, [1978] 1 CMLR 429</b>	
	338–40, 340–5, 347, 348–51
<b>45/76 Comet v Produktschap [1976] ECR 2043</b>	549–50, 551
<b>51/76 Nederlandse Ondernemingen [1977] ECR 113</b>	72

<b>71/76 Thieffry v Conseil de l'Ordre des Avocats à la Cour de Paris [1977] ECR 765, [1977] 2 CMLR 373</b>	<b>282–3, 286</b>
<b>83/76 Bayerische HNL Vermehrungsbetriebe GmbH &amp; Co. KG v Council [1978] ECR 1209</b>	<b>520</b>
<b>85/76 Hoffman la Roche v Commission [1979] ECR 461</b>	<b>347</b>
<b>89/76 Commission v Netherlands [1977] ECR 1355</b>	<b>159</b>
<b>107/76 Hoffman la Roche &amp; Co. AG v Centrafarm Vertriebsgesellschaft Pharmazeutischer Erzeugnisse mbH [1977] ECR 957</b>	<b>100, 109</b>
<b>30/77 R v Bouchereau [1977] ECR 1999, [1977] 2 CMLR 800</b>	<b>274–5</b>
<b>77/77 BP v Commission [1978] ECR 1511</b>	<b>353</b>
<b>82/77 Openbaar Ministerie v Van Tiggele [1978] ECR 25, [1978] 2 CMLR 528</b>	<b>196–7</b>
<b>102/77 Hoffman la Roche &amp; Co. AG v Centrafarm Vertriebsgesellschaft Pharmazeutischer Erzeugnisse mbH [1978] ECR 1139, [1978] 3 CMLR 217</b>	<b>407–9, 413</b>
<b>106/77 Amministrazione delle Finanze v Simmenthal [1978] ECR 629, [1978] 3 CMLR 263</b>	<b>46–8, 49, 533, 556</b>
<b>113/77 NTN Toyo Bearing Co. v Council [1977] ECR 1721</b>	<b>511</b>
<b>113/77 NTN Toyo Bearing Co. v Council [1979] ECR 1185, [1979] 2 CMLR 257</b>	<b>500–1, 504</b>
<b>143/77 Koninklijke Scholten-Honig NV v Council and Commission [1979] ECR 3583</b>	<b>520</b>
<b>3/78 Centrafarm BV v American Home Products [1978] ECR 1823</b>	<b>409</b>
<b>22/78 Hugin Kassaregister AB v Commission [1979] ECR 1869, [1979] 3 CMLR 345</b>	<b>345–6, 347</b>
<b>32/78 BMW v Belgium [1979] ECR 2435, [1980] 1 CMLR 370</b>	<b>309</b>
<b>92/78 Simmenthal SpA v Commission [1979] ECR 777</b>	<b>517–18</b>
<b>120/78 Rewe-Zentrale AG v Bundesmonopolverwaltung für Branntwein [1979] ECR 649, [1979] 3 CMLR 494</b>	<b>217–20, 223, 231, 235, 238, 242, 244, 245, 250, 290, 310, 412, 430</b>
<b>128/78 Commission v UK [1979] ECR 419</b>	<b>538–9</b>
<b>141/78 France v UK [1979] ECR 2923</b>	<b>535</b>
<b>148/78 Pubblico Ministero v Ratti [1979] ECR 1629, [1980] 1 CMLR 96</b>	<b>65–6, 67, 72, 548</b>
<b>154/78 Valsabbia v Commission [1980] ECR 907</b>	<b>30–1</b>
<b>159/78 Commission v Italy [1979] ECR 3247, [1980] 3 CMLR 446</b>	<b>181</b>
<b>168/78 Commission v France [1980] ECR 347, [1981] 2 CMLR 631</b>	<b>163–4</b>
<b>170/78 Commission v UK [1983] ECR 2263, [1983] 3 CMLR 512</b>	<b>164–6</b>
<b>253/78 Procureur de la République v Guerlain [1980] ECR 2327, [1981] 2 CMLR 99</b>	<b>379–80</b>
<b>258/78 Nungesser v Commission [1982] ECR 2015, [1983] 1 CMLR 278</b>	<b>316–18, 319</b>
<b>21/79 Commission v Italy [1980] ECR 1</b>	<b>163</b>
<b>34/79 R v Henn and Darby [1979] ECR 3795, [1980] 1 CMLR 246</b>	<b>197–200, 202</b>
<b>44/79 Hauer v Rheinland-Pfalz [1979] ECR 3727</b>	<b>34–7</b>
<b>104/79 Foglia v Novello (No. 1) [1980] ECR 745, [1981] 1 CMLR 45</b>	<b>100–1, 103</b>
<b>136/79 National Panasonic v Commission [1980] ECR 2033, [1980] 3 CMLR 169</b>	<b>365</b>
<b>140/79 Chemical Farmaceutici v DAF [1981] ECR 1</b>	<b>162–3</b>
<b>149/79 Commission v Belgium [1980] ECR 3881, [1981] 2 CMLR 413</b>	<b>277–8</b>
<b>155/79 Australian Mining &amp; Smelting Europe Ltd v Commission [1982] ECR 1575</b>	<b>40, 371–3</b>
<b>157/79 R v Pieck [1980] ECR 2171, [1980] 3 CMLR 220</b>	<b>265–6</b>

<b>789 &amp; 790/79 Calpak SpA v Commission [1980] ECR 1949</b>	492–3
<b>792/79 R Camera Care Ltd v Commission [1980] ECR 119, [1980] 1 CMLR 334</b>	376–7
<b>27/80 Fietje [1980] ECR 3839</b>	230–1
<b>36/80 Irish Creamery Milk Suppliers v Government of Ireland [1981] ECR 735, [1981] 2 CMLR 455</b>	99–100, 114
<b>55 &amp; 57/80 Musik-Vertrieb Membran v GEMA [1981] ECR 147, [1981] 2 CMLR 44</b>	410–11
<b>58/80 Dansk Supermarked v Imerco [1981] ECR 181</b>	413
<b>61/80 Cooperatieve Stremsel- en Kleurselafabriek v Commission [1981] ECR 851</b>	305–6, 316
<b>66/80 ICC v Amministrazione delle Finanze [1981] ECR 1191, [1983] 2 CMLR 593</b>	104–5, 532
<b>96/80 Jenkins v Kingsgate (Clothing Productions) Ltd [1981] ECR 911</b>	457–8
<b>100–103/80 Musique Diffusion Française v Commission [1983] ECR 1825</b>	365
<b>113/80 Commission v Ireland [1981] ECR 1625, [1982] 1 CMLR 706</b>	181–4, 202
<b>244/80 Foglia v Novello (No. 2) [1981] ECR 3045, [1982] 1 CMLR 585</b>	101–3
<b>246/80 Broeckmeulen v Huisarts Registratie Commissie [1981] ECR 2311</b>	105–7, 548
<b>272/80 Frans-Nederlandse Maatschappij voor Biologische Producten [1981] ECR 3277, [1982] 2 CMLR 497</b>	208–9
<b>1/81 Pfizer Inc. v Eurim-Pharm GmbH [1981] ECR 2913</b>	409
<b>6/81 Diensten Groep v Beele [1982] ECR 707</b>	235, 413
<b>53/81 Levin v Staatssecretaris van Justitie [1982] ECR 1035, [1982] 2 CMLR 454</b>	259–61, 263
<b>102/81 Nordsee v Reederei Mond [1982] ECR 1095</b>	107–9
<b>115 &amp; 116/81 Adoui and Cornuaille v Belgian State [1982] ECR 1665, [1982] 3 CMLR 631</b>	275–7
<b>124/81 Commission v UK [1983] ECR 203, [1983] 2 CMLR 1</b>	205
<b>249/81 Commission v Ireland [1982] ECR 4005, [1983] 2 CMLR 104</b>	188–92, 195
<b>261/81 Walter Rau v de Smedt [1982] ECR 3961</b>	98, 103
<b>283/81 CILFIT [1982] ECR 3415, [1983] 1 CMLR 472</b>	109–12, 114
<b>307/81 Alusuisse Italia SpA v Council and Commission [1982] ECR 3463</b>	501–3, 504, 509
<b>322/81 Michelin v Commission [1983] ECR 3461</b>	347
<b>35 &amp; 36/82 Morson v State of the Netherlands [1982] ECR 3723, [1983] 2 CMLR 720</b>	263
<b>40/82 Commission v UK [1982] ECR 2793, [1982] 3 CMLR 497</b>	203–5
<b>42/82 Commission v France [1983] ECR 1013, [1984] 1 CMLR 160</b>	205
<b>132/82 Commission v Belgium [1983] ECR 1649, [1983] 3 CMLR 600</b>	157
<b>158/82 Commission v Denmark [1983] ECR 3573, [1984] 2 CMLR 658</b>	166
<b>174/82 Officer van Justitie Sandoz BV [1983] ECR 2245, [1984] 3 CMLR 43</b>	205–7, 209, 212
<b>216/82 Universitat Hamburg v HZA Hamburg-Kehrwieder [1983] ECR 2771</b>	526–7, 534
<b>222/82 Apple and Pear Development Council v Lewis [1983] ECR 4083, [1984] 3 CMLR 733</b>	192–3, 195
<b>264/82 Timex v Council and Commission [1985] ECR 849</b>	503–4, 510
<b>271/82 Auer v Ministère Public [1983] ECR 2727</b>	290–1
<b>314/82 Commission v Belgium [1984] ECR 1543</b>	159
<b>13/83 Parliament v Council [1985] ECR 1513, [1986] 1 CMLR 138</b>	512–14

<b>14/83 Von Colson and Kamann v Land Nordrhein-Westfalen [1984] ECR 1891, [1986] 2 CMLR 430</b>	77, 78–80, 91, 177
<b>16/83 Criminal proceedings against Karl Prantl [1984] ECR 1299, [1985] 2 CMLR 238</b>	202, 412–13
<b>72/83 Campus Oil v Minister for Industry and Energy [1984] ECR 2727</b>	212
<b>79/83 Harz v Deutsche Tradax [1984] ECR 1921</b>	77
<b>112/83 Société de Produits de Mais v Administration des Douanes [1985] ECR 719</b>	105, 532–3, 534
<b>145/83 Adams v Commission (No. 1) [1985] ECR 3539, [1986] 1 CMLR 506</b>	521–5
<b>177/83 Kohl v Ringelhan [1984] ECR 3651, [1985] 3 CMLR 340</b>	202
<b>207/83 Commission v UK [1985] ECR 1202, [1985] 2 CMLR 259</b>	184–6
<b>222/83 Municipality of Differdange v Commission [1984] ECR 2889</b>	498–9
<b>293/83 Gravier v City of Liège [1985] ECR 593</b>	285
<b>294/83 Parti Ecologiste ‘Les Verts’ v Parliament [1986] ECR 1339</b>	496–7, 510, 516, 533, 534
<b>19/84 Pharmon BV v Hoechst AG [1985] ECR 2281, [1985] 3 CMLR 775</b>	404–6, 411
<b>21/84 Commission v France [1985] ECR 1356</b>	187
<b>60 &amp; 61/84 Cinéthèque SA v Fédération Nationale des Cinémas Françaises [1985] ECR 2605, [1986] 1 CMLR 365</b>	236–7, 237–8
<b>112/84 Humblot v Directeur des Services Fiscaux [1985] ECR 1367, [1986] 2 CMLR 338</b>	161–2
<b>142 &amp; 156/84 British American Tobacco Co. v Commission [1987] ECR 4487, [1988] 4 CMLR 24</b>	386
<b>152/84 Marshall v Southampton AHA [1986] ECR 723, [1986] 1 CMLR 688</b>	69–71, 72, 74, 77, 80, 84, 87, 93
<b>161/84 Pronuptia de Paris GmbH v Pronuptia de Paris Irmgaard Schil-Igalis [1986] ECR 353, [1986] 1 CMLR 414</b>	318–19
<b>178/84 Commission v Germany [1987] ECR 1227, [1988] 1 CMLR 780</b>	224–6, 226–9
<b>181/84 R v Intervention Board, <i>ex parte</i> Man (Sugar) Ltd. [1985] ECR 2889</b>	28–30, 526
<b>190/84 Parti Ecologiste ‘Les Verts’ v Parliament [1988] ECR 1017</b>	497
<b>205/84 Commission v Germany [1986] ECR 3755, [1987] 2 CMLR 69</b>	286–8, 288–90, 465
<b>5/85 AKZO v Commission [1986] ECR 2585</b>	365
<b>50/85 Schloh v Auto Controle Technique [1986] ECR 1855</b>	178–80
<b>66/85 Lawrie-Blum v Land Baden-Württemberg [1986] ECR 2121, [1987] 3 CMLR 389</b>	262
<b>80 &amp; 159/85 Nederlandse Bakkerij v Edah [1986] ECR 3359, [1988] 2 CMLR 113</b>	231
<b>89 et al/85 Ahlström Osakyhtio v Commission (Woodpulp) [1988] ECR 5193, [1988] 4 CMLR 901</b>	306–7
<b>97/85 Union Deutsche Lebensmittelwerke v Commission [1987] ECR 2265</b>	494, 529
<b>121/85 Conegate v Customs and Excise Commissioners [1986] ECR 1007, [1986] 1 CMLR 739</b>	200–2, 202
<b>133/85 Walter Rau v BALM [1987] ECR 2289</b>	527–9
<b>139/85 Kempf v Staatssecretaris van Justitie [1986] ECR 1741, [1987] 1 CMLR 764</b>	261–2
<b>184/85 Commission v Italy [1987] ECR 2013</b>	166

193/85 Cooperativa Cofrutta v Amministrazione delle Finanze dello Stato [1987] ECR 2085	166
196/85 Commission v France [1987] ECR 1597, [1988] 2 CMLR 851	163
<b>281/85 Germany v Commission [1987] ECR 3203</b>	18–22
309/85 Barra v Belgium [1988] ECR 355	61
<b>314/85 Firma Foto Frost v HZA Lubeck Ost [1987] ECR 4199, [1988] 3 CMLR 57</b>	115–16, 529–30, 534, 555
316/85 Centre Public d'Aide Sociale de Courcelles v Lebon [1987] ECR 2811	285
433/85 Feldain [1987] ECR 3536	162
<b>1/86 Commission v Belgium [1987] ECR 2797</b>	537–8
24/86 Blaizot v University of Liège [1988] ECR 379, [1989] 1 CMLR 57	61
39/86 Lair [1988] ECR 3161, [1989] 3 CMLR 545	263, 285
<b>45/86 Commission v Council [1987] ECR 1493</b>	23–4, 26–7
55/86 ARPOSOL v Council [1988] ECR 13	499
<b>60/86 Commission v UK [1988] ECR 3921, [1988] 3 CMLR 437</b>	434–5
63/86 Commission v Italy [1988] ECR 29, [1989] 2 CMLR 601	285
<b>66/86 Ahmed Saeed Flugreisen v Zentrale zur Bekämpfung unlauteren Wettbewerbs [1989] ECR 803, [1990] 4 CMLR 102</b>	328–30
<b>80/86 Officier van Justitie v Kolpinghuis Nijmegen [1987] ECR 3969, [1989] 2 CMLR 18</b>	91–2, 93
158/86 Warner Bros v Christiansen [1988] ECR 2605	411
193/86 Windsurfing v Commission [1986] ECR 611	328
197/86 Brown [1988] ECR 3205, [1988] 3 CMLR 403	263, 285
<b>240/86 Commission v Greece [1988] ECR 1835</b>	547–8
286/86 Ministre Public v Deserbais [1988] ECR 4907	222–3
<b>302/86 Commission v Denmark [1988] ECR 4607, [1989] 1 CMLR 619</b>	232–5
<b>18/87 Commission v Germany [1988] ECR 5427</b>	157–9
<b>45/87 Commission v Ireland [1988] ECR 4929, [1989] 1 CMLR 225</b>	174–7
46/87 Hoechst v Commission [1989] ECR 2859, [1991] 4 CMLR 410	33, 365–71
<b>186/87 Cowan v Le Trésor Public [1989] ECR 195</b>	283–5
<b>190/87 Oberkreisdirektor v Moermann [1988] ECR 4689, [1990] 1 CMLR 656</b>	211, 212, 435
<b>238/87 Volvo v Erik Veng Ltd [1988] ECR 6211, [1989] 4 CMLR 122</b>	414
<b>247/87 Star Fruit Co. v Commission [1989] ECR 291, [1990] 1 CMLR 733</b>	515–16, 541, 548
302/87 Parliament v Council ('Comitology') [1988] ECR 5615	482
305/87 Commmission v Greece [1989] ECR 1461	285
341/87 EMI Electrola v Patricia Import [1989] ECR 79, [1989] 2 CMLR 413	411
344/87 Battray v Staatssecretaris van Justitie [1989] ECR 1621, [1991] 1 CMLR 459	262
395/87 Ministère Public v Tournier [1989] ECR 2521, [1991] 4 CMLR 248	414
<b>103/88 Fratelli Constanzo v Milano [1989] ECR 1839</b>	76–7
<b>C-70/88 Parliament v Council ('Chernobyl') [1990] ECRI-2041</b>	482–4, 489
<b>C-143/88 Zuckerfabrik Suderithmarschen v HZA Itzehoe, judgment of 21 February 1991, unreported</b>	530–1, 532, 534, 555
<b>145/88 Torfaen BC v B &amp; Q plc [1989] ECR 765, [1990] 1 CMLR 337</b>	238–40, 241, 242
<b>C-150/88 Parfumerie Fabrik v Provide [1989] ECR 3891, [1991] 1 CMLR 715</b>	103, 104
171/88 Rinner-Kuhn v FWW GmbH [1989] ECR 2743	458
C-262/88 Barber v GRE [1990] ECR I-1889	458
C-265/88 Messner [1989] ECR 4209, [1991] 2 CMLR 545	266

C-10/89 CNL Sucal v Hag [1990] ECR I-3711	411
C-61/89 Bouchoucha [1990] ECR I-3560	286
<b>C-92/89 Zuckerfabrik Soest v HZA Paderborn, judgment of 21 February 1991, unreported</b>	530-1, 532, 534, 555
<b>C-106/89 Marleasing SA v La Comercial Internacional de Alimentacion SA [1990] ECR I-4135</b>	93
C-154/89 Commission v France, judgment of 26 February 1991, unreported	290
<b>C-188/89 Foster v British Gas [1990] ECR I-3313, [1991] 2 CMLR 217</b>	74-6
<b>C-213/89 R v Secretary of State for Transport, <i>ex parte</i> Factortame [1990] ECR I-2433, [1990] 3 WLR 852</b>	385, 530, 531, 551-3, 553-5
<b>C-246/89R Commission v UK [1989] ECR 3125</b>	543-7, 551
C-292/89 R v IAT, <i>ex parte</i> Antonissen [1991] 2 CMLR 373	262-3
C-312/89 UDS v Conforama, judgment of 28 February 1991, unreported	242
C-350/89 Sheptonhurst v Newham BC, judgment of 7 May 1991, unreported	242
<b>C-358/89 Extramet v Council, judgment of 16 May 1991, unreported</b>	508-9
C-363/89 Roux v Belgian State, judgment of 5 February 1991, unreported	280

### Court of Justice of the European Communities

<b>ACF Chemiefarma v Commission (Quinine) (Cases 41, 44 &amp; 45/69) [1970] ECR 661</b>	308-9
<b>Adams v Commission (No. 1) (Case 145/83) [1985] ECR 3539, [1986] 1 CMLR 506</b>	521-5
<b>Adoui and Cornuaille v Belgian State (Cases 115 &amp; 116/81) [1982] ECR 1665, [1982] 3 CMLR 631</b>	275-7
<b>Ahlström Osakyhtio v Commission (Woodpulp) (Cases 89 et al/85) [1988] ECR 5193, [1988] 4 CMLR 901</b>	306-7
<b>Ahmed Saeed Flugreisen v Zentrale zur Bekämpfung unlauteren Wettbewerbs (Case 66/86) [1989] ECR 803, [1990] 4 CMLR 102</b>	328-30
<b>Aktien-Zuckerfabrik Schöppenstedt v Council (Case 5/71) [1971] ECR 975</b>	518-20, 521
AKZO v Commission (Case 5/85) [1986] ECR 2585	365
Alcan Aluminium Raeren v Commission (Case 69/69) [1970] ECR 385	499
<b>Alusuisse Italia SpA v Council and Commission (Case 307/81) [1982] ECR 3463</b>	501-3, 504, 509
<b>Amministrazione delle Finanze v Simmenthal (Case 106/77) [1978] ECR 629, [1978] 3 CMLR 263</b>	46-8, 49, 533, 556
<b>Apple and Pear Development Council v Lewis (Case 222/82) [1983] ECR 4083, [1984] 3 CMLR 733</b>	192-3, 195
ARPOSOL v Council (Case 55/86) [1988] ECR 13	499
Auer v Ministère Public (Case 271/82) [1983] ECR 2727	290-1
<b>Australian Mining &amp; Smelting Europe Ltd v Commission (Case 155/79) [1982] ECR 1575</b>	40, 371-3
Barber v GRE (Case C-262/88) [1990] ECR I-1889	458
Barra v Belgium (Case 309/85) [1988] ECR 355	61
Battray v Staatssecretaris van Justitie (Case 344/87) [1989] ECR 1621, [1991] 1 CMLR 459	262
Bayerische HNL Vermehrungsbetriebe GmbH & Co. KG v Council (Case 83/76) [1978] ECR 1209	520
Blaizot v University of Liège (Case 24/86) [1988] ECR 379, [1989] 1 CMLR 57	61
BMW v Belgium (Case 32/78) [1979] ECR 2435, [1980] 1 CMLR 370	309
Bock v Commission (Case 62/70) [1971] ECR 897	499

<b>Bosch v de Geus (Case 13/61) [1962] ECR 45</b>	<b>95–6</b>
Bouchoucha (Case C-61/89) [1990] ECR I-3560	286
<b>BP v Commission (Case 77/77) [1978] ECR 1511</b>	<b>353</b>
<b>Brasserie de Haecht SA v Wilkin (Case 23/67) [1967] ECR 407, [1968] CMLR 26</b>	<b>327–8</b>
<b>Bresciani v Amministrazione Italiana delle Finanze (Case 87/75) [1976] ECR 129, [1976] 2 CMLR 62</b>	<b>155–7</b>
<b>British American Tobacco Co. v Commission (Cases 142 &amp; 156/84) [1987] ECR 4487, [1988] 4 CMLR 24</b>	<b>386</b>
<b>Brockmeulen v Huisarts Registratie Commissie (Case 246/80) [1981] ECR 2311</b>	<b>105–7, 548</b>
Brown (Case 197/86) [1988] ECR 3205, [1988] 3 CMLR 403	263, 285
Cadsky v ICE Case 63/74) [1975] ECR 281, [1975] 2 CMLR 246	157
<b>Calpak SpA Ltd v Commission (Cases 789 &amp; 790/79) [1980] ECR 1949</b>	<b>492–3</b>
<b>Camera Care Ltd v Commission (Case 792/79R) [1980] ECR 119, [1980] 1 CMLR 334</b>	<b>376–7</b>
Campus Oil v Minister for Industry and Energy (Case 72/83) [1984] ECR 2727	212
Cassis de Dijon, see Rewe-Zentrale (Case 120/78)	
Centrafarm BV v American Home Products (Case 3/78) [1978] ECR 1823	409
<b>Centrafarm BV v Sterling Drug Inc. (Case 15/74) [1974] ECR 1147, [1974] 2 CMLR 480</b>	<b>402, 403–4</b>
Centrafarm BV v Winthrop BV (Case 16/74) [1974] ECR 1183	407
Centre Public d'Aide Sociale de Courcelles v Lebon (Case 316/85) [1987] ECR 2811	285
<b>Chemial Farmaceutici v DAF (Case 140/79) [1981] ECR 1</b>	<b>162–3</b>
Chernobyl, see Parliament v Council (Case C-70/88)	
<b>CILFIT (Case 283/81) [1982] ECR 3415, [1983] 1 CMLR 472</b>	<b>109–12, 114</b>
<b>Cinéthèque SA v Fédération Nationale des Cinémas Françaises (Cases 60 &amp; 61/84) [1985] ECR 2605, [1986] 1 CMLR 365</b>	<b>236–7, 237–8</b>
CNL Sucal v Hag (Case C-10/89) [1990] ECR I-3711	411
<b>Comet v Produktschap (Case 45/76) [1976] ECR 2043</b>	<b>549–50, 551</b>
Comitology, see Parliament v Council (Case 302/87)	
<b>Commission v Belgium (Case 77/69) [1970] ECR 237</b>	<b>537</b>
<b>Commission v Belgium (Case 149/79) [1980] ECR 3881, [1981] 2 CMLR 413</b>	<b>277–8</b>
Commission v Belgium (Case 132/82) [1983] ECR 1649, [1983] 3 CMLR 600	157
Commission v Belgium (Case 314/82) [1984] ECR 1543	159
<b>Commission v Belgium (Case 1/86) [1987] ECR 2797</b>	<b>537–8</b>
<b>Commission v Council (Case 22/70) [1971] ECR 263, [1971] CMLR 335</b>	<b>16–17, 50, 51–2, 481–2, 510</b>
<b>Commission v Council (Case 45/86) [1987] ECR 1493</b>	<b>23–4, 26–7</b>
Commission v Denmark (Case 158/82) [1983] ECR 3573, [1984] 2 CMLR 658	166
<b>Commission v Denmark (Case 302/86) [1988] ECR 4607, [1989] 1 CMLR 619</b>	<b>232–5</b>
<b>Commission v France (Case 167/73) [1974] ECR 359</b>	<b>48–9</b>
<b>Commission v France (Case 168/78) [1980] ECR 347, [1981] 2 CMLR 631</b>	<b>163–4</b>
Commission v France (Case 42/82) [1983] ECR 1013, [1984] 1 CMLR 160	205
<b>Commission v France (Case 21/84) [1985] ECR 1356</b>	<b>184</b>
Commission v France (Case 196/85) [1987] ECR 1597, [1988] 2 CMLR 851	163
Commission v France (Case C-154/89), judgment of 26 February 1991, unreported	290
<b>Commission v Germany (Case 178/84) [1987] ECR 1227, [1988] 1 CMLR 780</b>	<b>224–6, 226–9</b>

<b>Commission v Germany (Case 205/84) [1986] ECR 3755, [1987] 2 CMLR 69</b>	
	286–8, 288–90, 465
<b>Commission v Germany (Case 18/87) [1988] ECR 5427</b>	157–9
<b>Commission v Greece (Case 240/86) [1988] ECR 1835</b>	547–8
Commission v Greece (Case 305/87) [1989] ECR 1461	285
<b>Commission v Ireland (Case 113/80) [1981] ECR 1625, [1982] 1 CMLR 706</b>	
	181–4, 202
<b>Commission v Ireland (Case 249/81) [1982] ECR 4005, [1983] 2 CMLR 104</b>	
	188–92, 195
<b>Commission v Ireland (Case 45/87) [1988] ECR 4929, [1989] 1 CMLR 225 174–7</b>	
<b>Commission v Italy (Case 7/68) [1968] ECR 423</b>	152
<b>Commission v Italy (Case 24/68) [1969] ECR 193, [1971] CMLR 611 152, 153–5</b>	
<b>Commission v Italy (Case 48/71) [1972] ECR 527</b>	542–3
<b>Commission v Italy (Case 159/78) [1979] ECR 3247, [1980] 3 CMLR 446</b>	181
Commission v Italy (Case 21/79) [1980] ECR 1	163
Commission v Italy (Case 184/85) [1987] ECR 2013	166
Commission v Italy (Case 63/86) [1988] ECR 29, [1989] 2 CMLR 601	285
Commission v Netherlands (Case 89/76) [1977] ECR 1355	159
<b>Commission v UK (Case 128/78) [1979] ECR 419</b>	538–9
<b>Commission v UK (Case 170/78) [1983] ECR 2263, [1983] 3 CMLR 512</b>	164–6
Commission v UK (Case 124/81) [1983] ECR 203, [1983] 2 CMLR 1	205
<b>Commission v UK (Case 40/82) [1982] ECR 2793, [1982] 3 CMLR 497</b>	203–5
<b>Commission v UK (Case 207/83) [1985] ECR 1202, [1985] 2 CMLR 259</b>	184–6
<b>Commission v UK (Case 60/86) [1988] ECR 3921, [1988] 3 CMLR 437</b>	434–5
<b>Commission v UK (Case C-246/89R) [1989] ECR 3125</b>	543–7, 551
<b>Conegate v Customs and Excise Commissioners (Case 121/85) [1986] ECR 1007, [1986] 1 CMLR 739</b>	200–2, 202
<b>Coöperatieve Stremsel- en Kleurselfabriek v Commission (Case 61/80) [1981] ECR 851</b>	305–6, 316
Coöperatieve Vereniging Suiker Unie v Commission (Cases 40–48, 50, 54–56, 111, 113 & 114/73) [1975] ECR 1663	315
Cooperativa Cofrutta v Amministrazione delle Finanze dello Stato (Case 193/85) [1987] ECR 2085	166
<b>Costa v ENEL (Case 6/64) [1964] ECR 585, [1964] CMLR 425 45–6, 97, 98, 99, 548</b>	
<b>Cowan v Le Trésor Public (Case 186/87) [1989] ECR 195</b>	283–5
<b>Cristini v SNCF (Case 32/75) [1975] ECR 1085, [1975] 1 CMLR 573</b>	269–70
Dansk Supermarked v Imerco (Case 58/80) [1981] ECR 181	413
<b>Defrenne v SABENA (Case 43/75) [1976] ECR 455, [1976] 2 CMLR 98</b>	
	58–60, 60–1, 105, 457, 533, 548
Diensten Groep v Beele (Case 6/81) [1982] ECR 707	235, 413
Dyestuffs, see ICI v Commission (Case 48/69)	
EMI Electrola v Patricia Import (Case 341/87) [1989] ECR 79, [1989] 2 CMLR 413 411	
<b>Établissements Consten SA and Grundig GmbH v Commission (Case 56 &amp; 58/64) [1966] ECR 299, [1966] CMLR 418</b>	299–302, 303, 311, 318
Europemballage Corp. and Continental Can Co. Inc v Commission (Case 6/72) [1973] ECR 215, [1973] CMLR 199	345, 347, 386
<b>Extramet v Council (Case C-358/89), judgment of 16 May 1991, unreported</b>	
	508–9
Feldain (Case 433/85) [1987] ECR 3536	162
<b>Fietje (Case 27/80) [1980] ECR 3839</b>	230–1
<b>Firma Foto Frost v HZA Lubeck Ost (Case 314/85) [1987] ECR 4199, [1988] 3 CMLR 57</b>	115–16, 529–30, 534, 555