Volume 11

# Business Policy and Strategy

Business Administration
Reading Lists and Course Outlines

### Volume 11

## **Business Policy** and Strategy

**Business Administration Reading Lists and Course Outlines** 



#### NOTE TO THE USER

This is the third compilation of the Business Administration series of reading lists and course outlines. It is gratifying that acceptance of the series has warranted a new, completely revised set of volumes.

The intention is to disseminate as quickly and as efficiently as possible information on what is currently being taught, and how it is being taught in leading business schools. It is recognized that there is a trade-off between rapid diffusion and polished appearance. The former has been emphasized. I hope that users of these volumes will agree with this decision, recognizing that nearly all of the outlines and syllabi pertain to courses given within the last year.

These volumes will be useful to both individual teachers and curriculum committees when revising existing courses and creating new ones. They will also be helpful for librarians responsible for acquisitions in the business area. But, as before, there is a less modest goal. Between publication in academic journals and integration into mainstream textbooks, scholarly research passes through the transition stage of classroom exposure. Hopefully, these volumes will facilitate that transition.

From time to time this series will be updated, expanded and revised. Suggestions and submissions of new and updated materials, especially in emerging or unconventional areas, are encouraged and appreciated.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I thank Mr. Dixon Low, for his very competent research assistance, and my colleagues, Professors Barry Gibbs, Robert Rogow and Bert Schoner, for compilation assistance in their respective areas of expertise. I particularly want to thank Professor John Herzog both for help with the finance volumes and for hours of discussion on past, present and future trends in business education. The cover was designed by the Division of Audiovisual Education, Duke University, and the volumes were printed by Multiprint, Inc., New York.

Richard Schwindt, Simon Fraser University

• Eno River Press, Inc. 1990. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of Eno River Press.

Eno River Press, Box 4900, Duke Station, Durham, NC, 27706

ISBN for this volume: 0-88024-123-3

ISBN Eno River Press for this series: 0-88024-127-6 Library of Congress Catalog Number: 90-082700

#### VOLUME 11

#### **BUSINESS POLICY AND STRATEGY**

David A. Aaker, University of California, Berkeley Strategic Market Management	5
Anthony Boardman, University of British Columbia Business Strategy and Policy	13
Bala Chakravarthy, University of Minnesota Strategy and Policy	21
Joseph D'Cruz and M. Clarkson, <i>University of Toronto</i> The General Manager, Strategy Formulation and Business Ethics	26
Joseph D'Cruz, University of Toronto Business System Analysis	37
Joseph D'Cruz, University of Toronto Strategy Formulation and Implementation	43
Gerald Faulhaber, University of Pennsylvania Strategic Management in the Service Enterprise	48
James Fitzsimmons, University of Texas at Austin Service Management	
Murray Frank, University of British Columbia Corporate and Industry Analysis	64
Michael Geringer, University of Western Ontario Business Policy	67
Arnoldo C. Hax, Massachusetts Institute of Technology Strategic Management	71
R.M. Jalland, University of Toronto Advanced Concepts in Strategic Management	
Alton C. Johnson, University of Wisconsin Administrative Policy	84
Kenneth R. MacCrimmon, University of British Columbia Seminar in Business Strategy	
Kenneth R. MacCrimmon, University of British Columbia Strategic Planning Models	

Will Mitchell, Aneel Karnani, and Stuart Hart, University of Michigan  Corporate Strategy111
Will Mitchell, University of Michigan Doctoral Seminar in Corporate Strategy123
K.S. Palda, Queen's University Managerial Economics134
Margaret A. Peteraf, Northwestern University Strategy and Organization141
Robert S. Pindyck, Massachusetts Institute of Technology Industrial Economics for Strategic Decisions151
Michael Porter et al, Harvard University  Competition and Strategy157
Katharine Rockett, Nonhwestern University Strategy and Organization
T.W. Ruefli, University of Texas at Austin  Corporate Strategy Research Seminar
Edward Snyder, <i>University of Michigan</i> Competitive Tactics184
Howard H. Stevenson, Harvard University  Basic Reading Seminar in Administrative Theory and Practice
Howard H. Stevenson, Harvard University  Business Policy Reading and Research Seminar195
Valerie Suslow, <i>University of Michigan</i> Competitive Tactics
F. Brian Talbot, University of Michigan Strategic Management of Operations
David J. Teece and Ikujiro Nonaka, <i>University of California, Berkeley</i> Management of Innovation and Change
Andrew Van de Ven, <i>University of Minnesota</i> Doctoral Seminar in Strategic Management Orientation
R.E. White, University of Western Ontario  Business Policy

### Volume 11

## **Business Policy** and Strategy

**Business Administration Reading Lists and Course Outlines** 



#### NOTE TO THE USER

This is the third compilation of the Business Administration series of reading lists and course outlines. It is gratifying that acceptance of the series has warranted a new, completely revised set of volumes.

The intention is to disseminate as quickly and as efficiently as possible information on what is currently being taught, and how it is being taught in leading business schools. It is recognized that there is a trade-off between rapid diffusion and polished appearance. The former has been emphasized. I hope that users of these volumes will agree with this decision, recognizing that nearly all of the outlines and syllabi pertain to courses given within the last year.

These volumes will be useful to both individual teachers and curriculum committees when revising existing courses and creating new ones. They will also be helpful for librarians responsible for acquisitions in the business area. But, as before, there is a less modest goal. Between publication in academic journals and integration into mainstream textbooks, scholarly research passes through the transition stage of classroom exposure. Hopefully, these volumes will facilitate that transition.

From time to time this series will be updated, expanded and revised. Suggestions and submissions of new and updated materials, especially in emerging or unconventional areas, are encouraged and appreciated.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I thank Mr. Dixon Low, for his very competent research assistance, and my colleagues, Professors Barry Gibbs, Robert Rogow and Bert Schoner, for compilation assistance in their respective areas of expertise. I particularly want to thank Professor John Herzog both for help with the finance volumes and for hours of discussion on past, present and future trends in business education. The cover was designed by the Division of Audiovisual Education, Duke University, and the volumes were printed by Multiprint, Inc., New York.

Richard Schwindt, Simon Fraser University

• Eno River Press, Inc. 1990. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of Eno River Press.

Eno River Press, Box 4900, Duke Station, Durham, NC, 27706

ISBN for this volume: 0-88024-123-3

ISBN Eno River Press for this series: 0-88024-127-6 Library of Congress Catalog Number: 90-082700

#### VOLUME 11

#### **BUSINESS POLICY AND STRATEGY**

David A. Aaker, University of California, Berkeley Strategic Market Management	5
Anthony Boardman, University of British Columbia Business Strategy and Policy	13
Bala Chakravarthy, University of Minnesota Strategy and Policy	21
Joseph D'Cruz and M. Clarkson, <i>University of Toronto</i> The General Manager, Strategy Formulation and Business Ethics	26
Joseph D'Cruz, University of Toronto Business System Analysis	37
Joseph D'Cruz, University of Toronto Strategy Formulation and Implementation	43
Gerald Faulhaber, University of Pennsylvania Strategic Management in the Service Enterprise	48
James Fitzsimmons, University of Texas at Austin Service Management	53
Murray Frank, University of British Columbia Corporate and Industry Analysis	64
Michael Geringer, University of Western Ontario Business Policy	67
Arnoldo C. Hax, Massachusetts Institute of Technology Strategic Management	71
R.M. Jalland, University of Toronto Advanced Concepts in Strategic Management	
Alton C. Johnson, University of Wisconsin Administrative Policy	84
Kenneth R. MacCrimmon, University of British Columbia Seminar in Business Strategy	94
Kenneth R. MacCrimmon, University of British Columbia Strategic Planning Models	

Will Mitchell, Aneel Karnani, and Stuart Hart, University of Michigan Corporate Strategy111	
Will Mitchell, University of Michigan Doctoral Seminar in Corporate Strategy123	
K.S. Palda, Queen's University Managerial Economics	
Margaret A. Peteraf, Northwestern University Strategy and Organization141	
Robert S. Pindyck, Massachusetts Institute of Technology Industrial Economics for Strategic Decisions	
Michael Porter et al, Harvard University Competition and Strategy	
Katharine Rockett, Northwestern University Strategy and Organization	
T.W. Ruefli, University of Texas at Austin Corporate Strategy Research Seminar	
Edward Snyder, University of Michigan Competitive Tactics	
Howard H. Stevenson, Harvard University Basic Reading Seminar in Administrative Theory and Practice	
Howard H. Stevenson, Harvard University Business Policy Reading and Research Seminar	
Valerie Suslow, University of Michigan Competitive Tactics	
F. Brian Talbot, University of Michigan Strategic Management of Operations	
David J. Teece and Ikujiro Nonaka, University of California, Berkeley  Management of Innovation and Change	
Andrew Van de Ven, University of Minnesota  Doctoral Seminar in Strategic Management Orientation	
R.E. White, University of Western Ontario Business Policy	

#### **BA 267** STRATEGIC MARKET MANAGEMENT

#### Professor Aaker

This course is concerned with strategic market management or The focus is on strategic decisions, strategic planning. decisions which have a long-term impact on the organization and which are difficult and costly to reverse. The strategic decision making process is supported by an external analysis (an analysis of the organization's environment) and a self-analysis. Among the course objectives are to develop an ability to:

- 1. To conduct the external and self-analyses that support the development of strategies.
- 2. Identify and address strategic questions like:
  - -What environmental opportunities and threats do we face?
  - -What are the key strategic questions?
  - -What are our organizational strengths, weaknesses and problems?
  - -What are our strategic alternatives?
  - -What business should we be in?

  - -What are our long-term objectives?
    -What is our sustainable competitive advantage?
  - -Should we diversify? How?
  - -What growth directions are most attractive?
  - -How should the organization's resources be allocated?
- -What product markets are attractive to us?

  3. Understand and work with a set of useful and important concepts such as mission, objective, strategic group, sustainable competitive strategy, risk, key success factor, strategic opportunity or threat, strategic strength, weakness or problem, strategic question, product market, segmentation, structure, positioning, experience curve, portfolio analysis and scenarios.

Case analyses and an industry report are important parts of the course.

#### Case Analysis

A large part of the course involves case discussions and the presentation of analyses. It is expected that much of the preparations of cases will be done in groups of 2 to 6. Each person will be expected to submit one two-page memo describing their position on some aspect of the case, sometime during the semester. Generally, only one person from a group should submit a paper for any one case; however, the main requirement is that each individual submit one paper some time during the semester on a case other than the Hartley case. There well be a handout

about case analysis and write-ups that you may find helpful.

The memo should be addressed to someone or some group in the case. The memo should be two pages, double spaces or less. You should know that there are people and organizations that do not permit any memo over one page. It usually is possible to get the essence into a short memo although it will take much more effort. If there is a compelling reason, you may attach to your position memo figures or tables. The memo should clearly note what is being advocated. If "more study" is the recommendations, you should be very specific about what is the question that needs study, how you recommend they study it, what are the alternative findings, and how these findings will affect strategy. "Some aspect of the case" provides you with a great deal of latitude. You do not have to address all the issues or alternatives. You might want to focus in on a scenario analysis or upon a key strategic question, for example.

#### **Industry Analysis**

An industry analysis is to be completed by a team of 3 to 6 people--the groups need not be the same as those you use for case analyses but it is usually efficient to have some overlap. To the extent possible the industry analysis should include:

- A customer analysis including an identification of the key market segments. Create, if useful, a segment by motivation grid.
- A market analysis including a market definition, demand trends, product life cycle analysis and an identification of key success factors.
- 3. Identification of the important competitors, their strategy objectives, weaknesses and problems. Attempt to identify the sustainable competitive advantages (SCAs) of each of the major competitors. Were the firms "born" with those SCAs? If not, when and how were they developed?
- 4. If appropriate, a historical view of the strategies pursued by one, two or more competitors. Perhaps two competitors can be identified (i.e., Schlitz and Budweiser) who were similar in the '60s but since have pursued very different strategies with different results. Specify what the strategies were, their rationale and the reason they worked or did not work.
- 5. If appropriate contact one or two operating managers from a firm (or two firms) in the industry. Determine from them:
   -Who is the leading competitor in his/her business;
   -What are the respective sustainable competitive advantages (SCAs), if any for his/her firm and for the leading competitor;
   -Try to determine which of the SCA the involved firms

2

were "born" with and which they "developed".

- 6. An analysis of the major environmental trends, opportunities and threats. Consider doing a scenario analysis. Develop one or two alternative scenarios different from base line assumptions. The scenario could be the accentuation of a trend or the occurrence of a future event. Or it could be motivated by a strategic question. For that (or those) scenario(s), address the following questions:
  -What causal factors will influence whether the scenario emerges?
  -What are the implications for external analysis--segments, motivations, competitors, industrial structure, key success factors, etc.?
  -What strategies would be optimal?
- An assessment of the industry in terms of the GE "industry attractiveness" dimension and the BCG "market growth" dimension.
- The completion of a "planning form" as illustrated in pp. 343 to 358 in the appendix.
- 9. Finally, put yourself in the position of an adviser for one or more of the competitors and assess the strategic alternatives. Or assume your client is someone considering entering the market. What entry alternatives would you consider?

An industry should be selected for which information is available in trade magazines and business publications like Business Week and Fortune. Possible industries include gourmet frozen foods, wine, retail banking, desk top publishing software, word processing, soda pop, frozen novelties, department stores, fiber optics, food retailing, a type of restaurant, automobiles, cross country skis, drug equipment, personal computers, tennis equipment, etc.

The report itself should be as concise as possible. Free use should be made of appendices. The paper should not simply paraphrase articles. Rather articles and exhibits should go into appendices. To the extent possible the report should go beyond descriptive material to get at strategic implications. It is helpful of the paper starts with an introduction that describes briefly the client, sets forth the objectives of the study, and provides an overview of the paper structure. It is not imperative that the group agree upon the interpretation and conclusions. A note setting forth areas of disagreement is appropriate if a group member has an opinion not shared by others.

There should be a client selected. Without a client the report will tend to be rambling and unfocused. It will be hard

to identify the strategically important material and to avoid getting overwhelmed by information.

In addition to the paper, there will be a 25 minute presentation of the findings. This presentation should be polished, professional, rehearsed (using the videotape facility), interesting, punchy, and useful to your client. You should regard it as an excellent opportunity to improve your presentation skills. Everyone should present although if there is a large group someone who is extremely skilled at presentation can be excluded.

During the presentation of the other groups you will be asked provide a written comments on the presentation skills of each speaker (not the content) and what suggestions for improvement you would make. A set of these comments will be copied (with the author's name excluded) and returned to you on the last day of class. The goal is not only to provide feedback but also to sensitize you to presentation skills.

#### **Executive Presentations**

There will be one or two executives who will speak on strategy on selected Monday afternoons. These lectures are a part of the course, and all students are expected to attend. There will be a sign-up sheet that you should be sure to sign.

#### Final

There may be a take home final examination.

#### Grade

Case work will count about 60%. The grade will be primarily based upon in class participation but will also include participation in case-study groups and the case write-up. The industry report will be worth around 40%. All members of the group may not receive the same grade. They may receive different grades base upon their oral presentation. Further, at the end of the course, each student will be asked to describe the role played by each of the members of his or her group. Who did what in terms of organizing, leading discussions, sections, writing, etc.? A particular interest is in learning about excellent performance that may not have been reflected completely in class or in the group oral or written report.

#### Texts

Aaker, David A., <u>Strategic Market Management</u>, 2nd Edition, John Wiley & Sons, 1988. In the course readings, the numbers refer to chapters in this book.

Hartley, Robert F., Marketing Mistakes, John Wiley, 4nd Edition, 1986.

For the Hartley cases ask: What happened and why? What would you have done differently?

#### Course Outline

#### Readings

9/1 External and Self-Analysis 1,2,4,5,6,7,8

9/8 Mission and Objectives Sears - 5-Year Plan Hartley: Korvette-K-Mart

- a. What was the mission of Sears according to their long-range plan?
- 3,9,17
- b. According to their more recent moves?
- c. What would you do differently at Korvette? At Wards?

#### 9/15 The Beer Industry

11,12

16

a. Conduct a complete external analysis of the beer industry. Review chapters 4,5,6,7 Hartley: Gilbert

- b. What are the important threats, opportunities, trends and strategic questions? What are the implications for Miller's?
- c. What do you see as the strategy alternatives for Miller's?
- d. Read the Coors case from Hartley.

#### 9/22 International Systems: A Growth Industry

- a. Conduct an external/self-analysis. b. Identify key threats, opportunities, trends and strategic questions.
- c. Should IS enter the CT scanner indus-If so, what entry strategy would you pursue? What investment strategy should they pursue with respect to the X-ray business?
- d. What would you do if you were in GE's shoes?

#### 9/29 Kunz: A Declining Industry

15

Hartley: Edsel

- a. Conduct an external/self-analysis. b. Evaluate the strategic alternatives.
  - c. How much would you pay for Kunz? Be prepared to defend your price.
- 10/6 Crown Cork & Seal

Hartley: Burger Chef

- a. Conduct an external/self-analysis.
- b. What is your assessment of the industry?
- c. Why has Crown succeed?
- d. What should Crown do now?

#### 10/13 No class

10/16 Tentitive--Executive Presentation

#### 10/20 Signode (A)

a. Is this an attractive market? What are the significant threats and opportunities? Consider the consequences of changes in each of the 5 forces of competition in the Porter model. b. What is Signode's strategy in the steel strapping market? what are the strengths and weaknesses of their current competitive position? c. If you were Gary Reed, president of the packaging division, what pricing decision would you make? How would customers, competitors and the sales

force likely react? d. Would you make any changes to the Signode strategy for competing in steel strapping?

#### 10/27 Xerox

Review 11,12,16 Hartley: Osborne

- a. Identify and evaluate Xerox strategy in the 1960's.
- b. What entry barriers did Xerox create
- in the 1960's? c. Identify and evaluate the strategy of IBM, Kodak, Ricoh/Savin, Canon, and

Minolta. How did each overcome Xerox's entry barriers?

- d. Why did Xerox lose position in the 1970's? e. What were the strengths and weaknesses
- of Xerox in 1980?
- f. What should Xerox do to come back in the 1980's?

#### 11/3 Komatsu/Caterpillar

Hartley: Nestle

#### 11/10 The Japanese Invasion

Sony, Matstushita, Honda

a. For each of the three firms: What has Hartley: Harleybeen their SCA? Their strategy? b. What are the notable similarities

Davidson

and differences between the firms
c. Why did the Americans lose in consumer
electronics and in automobiles? Will
the same thing happen in banking and
computer software?

#### 11/17 EG&G: A&B

18

Review 17 Hartley:Addidas

- a. What should Freed do about the Electro-Mechanical Division?
- b. Should it be divested?
- c. How should Freed handle the upcoming meeting?
- d. Critique the planning forms.

#### 11/24 13. Thanksgiving

11-27 to 12/1 Industry Reports

12/8 Summary--Wrap-up.

- a. What are the SCAs of Benetton?
- b. Which elements of strategy and SCAs can Benetton maintain in the US market?
- c. Would you introduce Benetton into the US at the time of the case? Why and how or why not?
- how or why not?
  d. As Mr. Aluffi and Mr. Palmeri,
  what would you do first? Second? Third?
  e. How would you resolve the questions
  posed in the case? Are these the right
  questions to address?

8

12