



French Decadent Tales

New translations by Stephen Romer

OXFORD WORLD'S CLASSICS



OXFORD WORLD'S CLASSICS

French Decadent Tales

Translated with an Introduction and Notes by

STEPHEN ROMER



OXFORD
UNIVERSITY PRESS

OXFORD
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Great Clarendon Street, Oxford OX2 6DP
United Kingdom

Oxford University Press is a department of the University of Oxford.
It furthers the University's objective of excellence in research, scholarship,
and education by publishing worldwide. Oxford is a registered trade mark of
Oxford University Press in the UK and in certain other countries

© Stephen Romer 2013

The moral rights of the author have been asserted

First published as an Oxford World's Classics paperback 2013

Impression: 2

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in
a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the
prior permission in writing of Oxford University Press, or as expressly permitted
by law, by licence or under terms agreed with the appropriate reprographics
rights organization. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside the scope of the
above should be sent to the Rights Department, Oxford University Press, at the
address above

You must not circulate this work in any other form
and you must impose this same condition on any acquirer

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

Data available

ISBN 978-0-19-956927-4

Printed in Great Britain by
Clays Ltd, St Ives plc

FRENCH DECADENT TALES

French Decadent Tales contains thirty-six stories from fourteen authors, spanning the period from the mid-1870s to the beginning of the twentieth-century. While 'Decadence' was a European-wide movement, its epicentre was Paris, the cultural capital of the *fin de siècle*, glittering and fascinating, sordid and corrupt. The vast majority of the stories here take place in this modern laboratory of the human spirit, their heroes or anti-heroes caught in a time of bewildering transition. Richly varied though they are, these writers are united in their hatred of an age of rampant commercialism and vulgarity. Self-styled 'aristocrats of the spirit', influenced by the dandyism of Charles Baudelaire, they sought to escape from an optimism they deemed ungrounded and philistine. In their writings they explored extreme sensation and moral transgression; drugs, spiritualism and the occult, and every variety of erotic experience. Another efficient remedy was the philosophical pessimism of Schopenhauer: men such as Guy de Maupassant, Octave Mirbeau, and Jules Laforgue were steeped in his thought. The writings of Freud, on hysteria and fetishism, are also prefigured in some of the stories here. In an age when the spread of mass newspapers and journals created a voracious appetite for 'copy', the *fin de siècle* seethed with literary experiment. Describing Remy de Gourmont's stories as 'little tops' revolving violently and erratically before returning to inertia, Marcel Schwob speaks for the art of the short story in general, which reaches a type of perfection in this period: brief, incisive, trenchantly ironic, and often cruel.

STEPHEN ROMER is a specialist of French and British modernism. He lives in the Loire Valley, where he is Maître de Conférences at Tours University. He has translated widely from the French and has edited, amongst others, *20th Century French Poems* (Faber, 2002). He has published four collections of poetry, the most recent of which, *Yellow Studio* (Carcanet/Oxford Poets, 2008), was shortlisted for the T. S. Eliot Prize.

OXFORD WORLD'S CLASSICS

For over 100 years Oxford World's Classics have brought readers closer to the world's great literature. Now with over 700 titles—from the 4,000-year-old myths of Mesopotamia to the twentieth century's greatest novels—the series makes available lesser-known as well as celebrated writing.

The pocket-sized hardbacks of the early years contained introductions by Virginia Woolf, T. S. Eliot, Graham Greene, and other literary figures which enriched the experience of reading.

Today the series is recognized for its fine scholarship and reliability in texts that span world literature, drama and poetry, religion, philosophy, and politics. Each edition includes perceptive commentary and essential background information to meet the changing needs of readers.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to the Warden and Fellows of All Souls College, Oxford, for electing me into a Visiting Fellowship for the Trinity Term 2010, which enabled me to get this anthology underway. I should like to extend special thanks to Micky Sheringham, and to Ian Maclean for the translation tourney. My thanks go also to my colleagues in the English Department at the University of Tours, for their goodwill in granting me this period of leave. For help and encouragement of various kinds, I should like to thank Pierre-Alban Breton, Harry Eyres, Lara Feigel, Nick Goulder, Antoine Jaccottet, Patrick McGuinness, Gilles Ortlieb, Karin Romer, Sébastien Salbayre, Michael Schmidt, Will Stone, and Bridget Strevens-Marzo. Judith Luna, my editor at OUP, welcomed this project with enthusiasm, and has followed its progress with steady encouragement and much patience, for which I am most grateful. My immediate family has been, as ever, hugely supportive. My fond thanks, finally, to Eleonora Barletta, who read through hundreds of pages of Decadent material, and helped me towards finalizing the selection.

INTRODUCTION

THIS volume is called *French Decadent Tales*, in that it assembles a group of writers associated in varying degrees with the so-called Decadent school that flourished in *fin-de-siècle* Paris. The first story here, by Jules Barbey d'Aureville, was published in 1874, and the last, by Pierre Louÿs, in the first years of the twentieth century. The term *décadence*, applied to a literary phenomenon which spread across the Channel, to include, most famously, Oscar Wilde, but also Aubrey Beardsley and Ernest Dowson, appears to have had its most direct origin in the short-lived literary journal *Le Décadent artistique et littéraire*, founded by Anatole Baju in 1886. As is frequently the case (one thinks in art of 'Impressionism' and 'Cubism'), the term was originally used as an insult by a journalist, but adopted with delight and defiance by the writers thus insulted. Verlaine had already, in the 1880s, danced an arabesque around the term:

I love this word decadence, all shimmering in purple and gold. And I refuse, obviously, any damaging connotations it may have, or any suggestion of degeneracy. On the contrary, the word suggests the most refined thoughts a civilization can produce, a profound literary culture, a soul capable of the most intense enjoyments. It suggests the subtle thoughts of ultimate civilization, a high literary culture, a soul capable of intense pleasures. It throws off bursts of fire and the sparkle of precious stones. It is a mixture of the voluptuous mind and the wearied flesh, and of all the violent splendours of the late Empire; it is redolent of the rouge of courtesans, the games of the circus, the panting of the gladiators, the spring of wild beasts, the consuming in flames of races exhausted by their capacity for sensation, as the tramp of an invading army sounds.¹

Verlaine captures here the trappings and ornamentation of a certain Decadence, both in style and content, but the tales collected here cover a wider range, and have more satiric bite and acrid energy than the term denotes. Also, by far the majority of them are tales of 'Modern Life' in the Baudelairean sense, where however disturbing or horrible the events, they take place in a recognizable, urban setting, of boulevards and gaslight, hansom cabs and frock-coats. Some of the

¹ Quoted in Guy Ducrey (ed.), *Romans fin-de-siècle, 1890-1900* (Paris: Laffont 1999), p. xxvi.

stories belong to the genre defined in French as *le fantastique*, constituted by 'the abrupt intrusion of the mysterious within the framework of real life', and by 'the hesitation of a being who recognizes only the laws of nature, confronted with an apparently supernatural event'.² Hence, in one tale, the dreadful pall of apparently interminable darkness that falls upon Paris, when the protagonist is out enjoying an evening stroll. Frequently, a predisposition to nervous excitement, exacerbated by stress, breeds its own psychological terrors.

'La Décadence'

Verlaine is right in his graphic, late-imperial imaginings, for the Decadent style modelled itself (or so it was given out) on the late Latin literature which the classical scholar from the Sorbonne, Désiré Nisard, in his voluminous study *Études de mœurs et de critique sur les poètes latins de la décadence* (1834) had brought to light. It was Nisard, in fact, who put the term *décadence* into circulation; but he meant it pejoratively, as pertaining to works in which mere description, from being an ornament, becomes an end in itself. He notes also that decadent art is extremely erudite, even recondite; it is a literature of exhaustion, weighed down by the weight of past masterpieces, and it therefore has to seek 'extreme' effects in the quest for originality. As we shall see, this is highly relevant to this period, the tail-end of the nineteenth century. It is an elaborate, descriptive, *recherché* author like Petronius that holds the most appeal: his *Satyricon*, gleaming in its rich, gold-tooled leather binding, has its place on the shelves of the blue-and-orange *cabinet de lecture* lovingly decorated by the Duc Jean de Floressas des Esseintes, the seminal creation, or rather confection, of Joris-Karl Huysmans in his celebrated novel *A Rebours* (1884). We shall have occasion to return to this book, the 'Bible of Decadence', which provided, among other things, the model for Dorian Gray. In the long disquisition on the Latin authors, Des Esseintes professes an allergy to the poets of the Augustan Age—Virgil, 'one of the most sinister bores the ancient world ever produced'—and Horace, with his 'elephantine grace' and (hardly a quality for our writers) his good sense. The richness of the style—inlaid with precious and false stones, with silvery flights and terse barbarisms—that could carve

² The definition is by Tzvetan Todorov, quoted in Guy de Maupassant, *Le Horla*, ed. Alain Gérardelle (Paris: Hachette, 2006), 208–9.

out a vivid slice of Roman life and present it whole, without moralizing or satiric intent, was what appealed to the dandy, and through him to Huysmans and to other major writers of the school, like Barbey d'Aurevilly or Remy de Gourmont, who translated from the poets of the Latin Decadence. It would be an error, however, to look too closely to Lucan or Tertullian, Ausonius, Rutilius or Claudian, St Ambrose or Prudentius for genuine analogies with our period. Remy de Gourmont, who emerges as the most perspicacious critical intellect of the time, hints that the whole of chapter 3 of *A Rebours* was an elaborate hoax on the part of Huysmans, to send the critics baffled by his style scuttling off to Latin poets they had never read.³

One definition of Decadence (the painter Braque puts it finely, when criticizing the academic work of the *pompier*s, painters like Bouguereau or Cabanel) is a complete facility of technique, that sets no limits to its material, and imposes upon itself no constraints. Huysmans's fertile neologisms and preposterously *recherché* descriptions actually earned praise from the Surrealists. A sentence like 'Shrunk by the shadow that had fallen from the hills, the plain appeared, at its middle, to be powdered with starch and glazed with the white of cold cream' (. . . *poudrée de farine d'amidon et enduite de blanc cold-cream*)⁴ is a prize example of this Decadent straining for effect. The implacable Byzantine despots of Gustave Moreau, or Petronius Arbiter organizing, with dandified elegance, to tickle the taste of Nero, carnal and gustatory orgies, fuelled the imagination of Des Esseintes more than any genuine engagement with the literature of the Latin Decadence.

Symptomatology and the Dissociation of Ideas

If there is one quality that these Decadent Tales share on every level, it is that of self-consciousness. *A Rebours*, with its vertiginous intertextuality, is a case in point. But it is a self-consciousness so developed that it comes to resemble a set of symptoms. The nature of the illness is unclear, the prognosis uncertain, and there seems little hope of a cure. Is the consciousness itself diseased? Or is it infected by something rotten outside of it? What is the nature of the mysterious

³ See Remy de Gourmont, 'Stéphane Mallarmé et l'idée de décadence', in *La Culture des idées*, ed. Hubert Juin (Paris: Éditions 10/18, 1983), 119-37.

⁴ J.-K. Huysmans, *A Rebours* (Paris: Gallimard, collection Folio, 1983), 98.

mal du siècle, whose genealogy really begins with Chateaubriand's pale young aristocrat René, and descends through Byron's Manfred and Childe Harold, through the ascetic, hysteric dandyism of Baudelaire, down to the authors of the Decadent *fin de siècle*? Remy de Gourmont, whose stories were described by Marcel Schwob as small spinning-tops reaching their final, convulsive circuits, also wrote *Sixtine* (1890), with its subtitle, 'novel of the cerebral life'. The hero of this novel, Hubert d'Entragues, is the type of many of the protagonists gathered here, an intelligent, vaguely aristocratic young man, paralysed by inaction, fascinated by his own incapacity to function, and yet who experiences sufficient vestigial 'drives' to woo a woman, Sixtine, who is as much an extension of his own idealization as she is a being of flesh and blood. He loses her, of course, to a passionate, hot-blooded, and practical-minded Russian, who sweeps her off, leaving d'Entragues to his sepulchral solitude, where he 'resurrects' her in literature. One useful definition of the term Decadence may be drawn from this, and it is contained in the word 'effete', which means, literally, exhaustion from childbearing. These melancholy individuals are the fruit of exhausted loins, they are sapped of vital energies. They are also, like d'Entragues, or Huysmans's hero Des Esseintes, sated by cerebral and sensual experience. They are effete, and they are sated. Above all, they are the victims of an inexplicable boredom or, to use the august French word, *ennui*, and its Baudelairean variant, *spleen*.

Writing of Des Esseintes and his kind, Marc Fumaroli has described the *fin-de-siècle* hero as being 'afflicted by a schizophrenia which spares nothing and which dissociates everything: his soul, his sexuality, but also his bodily health. He feels death corroding and working away at his mortal tatters.'⁵ Fumaroli risks the clinical term schizophrenia (itself notoriously slippery and open to diagnostic error), but it did not exist in the vocabulary of the time. Instead we find terminology like hysteria, neurosis, neurasthenia, and madness, which may be generally subsumed today under the rubrics depression and psychosis. One shorthand way of delimiting our complex period is to say that it succeeds Baudelaire who, with his usual pitiless insight, describes his own moral state on a particular day, thus: 'I have cultivated my hysteria with voluptuousness and terror. Now I feel perpetually dizzy, and on the brink, and today, 23 January 1862,

⁵ See Marc Fumaroli's preface to J.-K. Huysmans, *A Rebours*, 26.

I have received a singular warning, I have felt upon me *a breath from the wing of imbecility*.⁶ As we shall see, in *Les Fleurs du mal* (1857), Baudelaire was the first modern poet deliberately to *dissociate ideas*, that is, he broke apart their perennial pairings: virtue—reward, vice—punishment, God—goodness, crime—remorse, effort—reward, future—progress, artifice—ugliness, nature—beauty; and it was the new configurations he found for them that made him (and makes him still) such a scandal. The ‘schizophrenia’ of the Decadent protagonist is in fact related to dissociation of this kind—a condition T. S. Eliot came to call, in a famous phrase, the ‘dissociation of sensibility’.⁷

The period is also contemporaneous with Charcot’s studies of neurotics and the symptomatology of hysteria at the Salpêtrière clinic in Paris, where in 1895 he was assisted by one Sigmund Freud, who published (with Breuer) his *Studies in Hysteria* in the same year. Given Freud’s eminence, and his incalculable contribution to our notion of modernity, it is tempting, if too reductive, to describe the literature of the *fin de siècle* as a kind of raw material awaiting analysis and the talking cure. Adam Phillips has remarked, in the context of Freud’s work, how ‘a more-or-less secular capitalism produces its own counter-culture of symptoms’,⁸ and it was as true of the mid-to-late nineteenth century as it is now. Several of the stories here describe symptoms that might have come from the clinical casebook of the Salpêtrière, and indeed Maupassant, great psychologist that he was, followed the work of Charcot and carried out his own investigations (see in this collection his story ‘Night’ and, in particular, the fetishistic case study ‘The Tresses’). Maupassant’s curiosity, and his compassion (which reminds one of Freud’s urge to explore motive, and to listen to the sufferer rather than dismiss him or her as ‘mad’ or ‘degenerate’) led him to explore what ‘fetishism’ might be, even before the term had been invented.⁹

⁶ See Baudelaire, *Oeuvres complètes*, vol. 1, ed. Claude Pichois (Paris: Gallimard, Bibliothèque de la Pléiade, 1983), 668.

⁷ Eliot uses the term in his essay ‘The Metaphysicals’ (1921); but he draws on Gourmont’s seminal essay ‘La Dissociation des idées’ (1899), in *La culture des idées*, 81–116.

⁸ See Adam Phillips, ‘Introduction’ to Sigmund Freud, *Wild Analysis* (London: Penguin, 2002), p. xxiv.

⁹ See Philippe Lejeune’s study ‘Maupassant and Fetishism’, in Asti Hustvedt (ed.), *The Decadent Reader: Fiction, Fantasy, and Perversion from fin-de-siècle France* (New York: Zone Books, 1998), 774–91.

Schopenhauer, Baudelaire, and Huysmans

The Decadent writers, and their commentators, invariably cite two authors or rather two texts, that are indispensable to understanding the period. The first is Baudelaire, already evoked, and his *Fleurs du mal*; the second, which hails Baudelaire as an almost divine precursor, is of course Huysmans's *A Rebours* (*Against Nature*) (1884). These are the immediate sources, and behind them is the surging pessimism of Schopenhauer's philosophy, and the blind, biological necessity of Darwinism, and of Social Darwinism, as revealed in the tooth and claw of High Capitalism. Schopenhauer precedes Darwin, and his rigorous atheism, combined with his eloquent account of the automatic, necessary nature of human will, bent solely upon its own perpetuation (by means of biological reproduction) in the context of a meaningless universe, proved irresistible and even comforting to the writers of the time. For *progress*—mocked as a delusion by Schopenhauer—whether in the social, economic, scientific, or political sphere, is a term universally derided by this group of writers, who are in shock and recoil at the homogenizing effects of what Flaubert called *la démocratserie*, and the banalization of the sacred mysteries wrought by scientific positivism. For the German philosopher, it was art, and notably music, which alone could provide some consolation, being in itself disinterested and freed from the chain of biological necessity and blind cosmic Will. In this feckless retinue of disabused young men, seeking to lose themselves in art and novel experience, the influence of Schopenhauer is all-pervasive.

Politically, our period falls within that of the Third Republic of Thiers and the bourgeois republicans, which was one of social reform and middle-class enrichment, following the traumas of the Franco-Prussian War (1870) and the Commune (1871). It was a period which saw, in no particular order, Bell's telephone, Edison's incandescent light-bulb, Pasteur's vaccines, the Eiffel Tower, the Universal Exhibition (1878), the first great department stores, free secular primary education for all, the legalization of divorce, the French *cancan*, child labour laws, anarchy, and the Dreyfus Affair (1894–1906), that *cause célèbre* which divided France into (essentially) radical anti-militarist Left and nationalist, anti-Semitic Right. *L'Affaire*, in which the Jewish Captain Dreyfus was accused (falsely, it turned out) of spying for the Prussians, crystallized two opposed visions of France,

and it exercised the best minds of the period. This divided vision of France can be traced in the writers here; on the one hand there is a man like Mirbeau, who was drawn to anarchy, and on the other, craggy Catholic aristocrats, like Barbey d'Aurevilly and Villiers de l'Isle-Adam, who were the penurious scions of noble if etiolated lineage. The latter were unashamed elitists and monarchists, and in their writing they wage a ferocious rearguard action (and here they find common cause with Mirbeau) against everything that may be summed up by the word *bourgeois*: new money, complacency, positivism, optimism, and vulgarity. Léon Bloy, whose satirical flair and misanthropic rage rises to epic heights in his *Histoires désobligeantes* (1894), admitted to disposing of his bourgeois protagonists exactly as the fancy took him—he treats them like marionettes or even voodoo dolls.

The Victorian prophets, men like Matthew Arnold, William Morris, and John Ruskin, were equally on their guard against triumphalist capitalism and industrial 'progress'; but they were none of them voluptuaries of vice; and the contrast between their antidotes—touchstones of poetry, muscular Christianity, artisanship, and socialism—and the heady, decidedly *anti-social* attitudinizing of the Decadents over the Channel is instructive. Rather than the Victorian sages, it was the Oxford aesthete Walter Pater who begat Oscar Wilde. Pater's *Marius the Epicurean*, and the sensual conclusion to his *The Renaissance* (1868), which he originally refrained from publishing 'because it might possibly mislead some of those young men into whose hands it might fall', have the makings of another Decadent bible: 'While all melts under our feet, we may well grasp at any exquisite passion, or any contribution to knowledge that seems by a lifted horizon to set the spirit free for a moment, or any stirring of the senses, strange dyes, strange colours, and curious odours, or work of the artist's hands, or the face of one's friend.'¹⁰ This can be read as a sublime contribution to the 'art for art's sake' movement, whose leaders in France were Théophile Gautier and Baudelaire; and Pater's 'Conclusion' was fated to fall into the hands of Oscar Wilde, who span out of it his witty, dangerous paradoxes. The stark symbolism of Wilde's downfall and public humiliation was only the most spectacular backlash of bourgeois respectability. In private, such torments were ubiquitous: Baudelaire, whose late notes in his private

¹⁰ Walter Pater, *The Renaissance* (Chicago: Academy Chicago, 1978), 233–9.

journals, with headings like 'Hygiene. Conduct. Morale', read like a set of spiritual memoranda to the self—to a self now terrified by the spectres it has called up—provides the crucial 'reality check' which a life of assiduous dandyism must incur. Huysmans, in his 1903 preface to *A Rebours*, and writing now as a Catholic convert, is similarly eloquent, the book having come to represent for its author a staging-post on the mysterious progress of Grace within his soul. 'Only slowly did I start to become detached from my shell of impurity; I began to feel disgust with myself... [. . .] I found myself praying for the first time, and the revelation happened.'¹¹ Barbey's brilliant insight, when reviewing Huysmans's book (the two did not yet know each other), that 'after such a book, the author has no alternative but to choose the muzzle of a pistol, or the foot of the Cross', is a reminder that 'Decadence', understood as a congeries of attitudes, opinions, and 'dissociations', when pushed as far as it was by the writers gathered here—in particular by Gourmont, Lorrain, Maupassant, or Mirbeau—could be a game with deadly serious consequences.

Decadence versus Naturalism

The problem for the Decadents was to locate a space in which they could express their contempt for the materialist culture in which they found themselves, and where they could be free, so to speak, to cultivate their own hysteria. In practical terms, this involved finding a physical location as protected as possible from the rising tide of vulgarity and what Baudelaire calls the 'tyranny of the human face'. In *A Rebours*, Des Esseintes removes from Paris to Fontenay-les-Roses, where he proceeds to do up a house with the exquisite furnishings, paintings, and exotic flowers of his caprice. He ventures once into the nearby village, sees a group of 'pot-bellied bourgeois with sideburns', and recoils in horror. Similarly, in *Axël*—the play by Villiers de l'Isle-Adam—the effete aristocrat Axël retires for good into the crypt of his castle, with the immortal phrase: 'Living? The servants will do that for us.' A dandified solitude characterizes several of the protagonists in the stories gathered here, who live either in Parisian apartments, hung with heavy drapes and well insulated from the *hoi polloi*, or in crumbling familial chateaus, inspired by the Gothic, and in particular by Poe's languid scion in 'The Fall of the House of Usher'. A part of

¹¹ Huysmans, *A rebours*, 69.

Decadent taste involves a hyper-sensitivity to anything too loud or flashy or vulgar, and by the same token, a horror at the overly utilitarian excrescence of modernity. For example, the functional, anonymous room in the *hôtel garni* or *meublé*, in all its 'sepulchral horror', then as now, is frequently the setting of choice for clandestine encounters, squalor and despair in all its forms. Jean Lorrain, for one, displays a keen sensitivity to atmosphere, whether of the sordid hotel room or the disreputable *bouge*—the low dive of Parisian night-life. As for the brothel, Marcel Schwob's story of that name conveys all the sealed mystery and suggestive horror of the place, viewed as it is through the eyes of a band of curious children, ignorant as to its true nature and function.

Another practical difficulty, though of a different order, that faced the writer of the *fin de siècle* was, quite simply, what exactly was there left for him to write about? By the end of the nineteenth century the literary landscape in France must have looked like the aftermath of a comprehensive scorched-earth policy, the giants Balzac, Hugo, and Flaubert having, in their different ways, shared out the *Comédie humaine* and the *mœurs provinciales*—not to mention the *Légende des siècles*—between them. And there was another contemporary force, of formidable influence and popularity, to be reckoned with: Émile Zola and the school of Naturalism. Zola embraces scientism, and he is the founding father of the 'statistically' researched, documented novel that draws on the welter of new information about the species made available in every kind of report, whether socio-political, economic, or medical. Faced with these monuments, who between them exhausted the art of realist description, it is not surprising that the Decadents, and their close relatives the Symbolists, clustered around Baudelaire, who hated the things they hated, who deliberately chose a rarefied, spiritual ambivalence, whose perceptions are essentially those of a solitary, and whose attractive melancholy stemmed in large measure precisely from an overdose of 'reality'. Poetry had nothing to do with description, or with reportage; it was not the things themselves, 'but the relations between them' that counted, as Mallarmé explained, in an influential essay in which the great Symbolist tries to carve out a space in which his own art could exist.¹² Mallarmé uses

¹² See Mallarmé's essay 'Crise de vers', in *Oeuvre complètes*, ed. H. Mondor et G. Jean-Aubry (Paris: Gallimard, Bibliothèque de la Pléiade, 1945), 360–8.

the word 'reportage' advisedly, for this period saw the heyday of the written press; the things themselves lay everywhere to hand, in the great plethora of journals which purveyed every kind of miscellanea and *fait divers*—a form of reportage that even gave rise to a literary form, in Félix Fénéon's '*Nouvelles en trois lignes*' ('Stories in Three Lines'), that consisted of barely rearranged dispatches from press agencies like Havas which landed on his editorial desk at *Le Matin*. 'Em. Girard received a chimney upon his head, at Saint-Maur. At Montreuil, R. Taillerot, who was emptying his septic tank, fell in and drowned', reads one of them.¹³ Such fragments were the sustenance and delight of savage satirists of petty-bourgeois existence, like Mirbeau or Bloy. What the papers reported, day after day, was a drop in the birth-rate, the ravages of alcoholism, drugs, sexually transmitted disease, and tuberculosis, and every variety of sordid crime—things that seemed to announce a *fin-de-siècle* reversal of meliorism or 'evolution'. The Naturalists, especially, were avid devourers of the newspapers. But Mallarmé's witty riposte to the apparently incontrovertible 'facts' purveyed by the daily paper was to claim that a column of print might hold the key to the universe, if only the words were arranged otherwise. The task of the 'Décadent' or the 'Mystique' was to suggest the 'horror of the forest, or the mute, scattered thunder in the foliage', but to *exclude* 'the intrinsic, dense wood of the trees'.¹⁴

In the circumstances, which were crowded, to choose 'vice' as a subject, and the rare, perverse, and novel sensations and pleasures associated with it, was thus a deliberate ploy. It helped, of course, that such pleasures were deemed out of reach, shocking, and even incomprehensible to the bourgeois mentality. Pierre Louÿs has a story called 'Une volupté nouvelle' ('A Fresh Pleasure'), and it could stand as a title of many of these tales. Jean Lorrain's 'The Man Who Loved Consumptives', which is included here, describing the erotic tastes of a man in rude health who seeks out women dying of consumption, is a queasily effective example of the genre. Victor Hugo's remark in a letter thanking Baudelaire for his poems—'vous créez un frisson nouveau'—literally, 'you are creating a novel shudder', was an ambition that federates the writers of the period. So they sought out the *bouge* and the *maison close*, the opium den and the

¹³ Félix Fénéon, *Nouvelles en trois lignes* (Paris: Éditions Macula, 1990), p. 114.

¹⁴ Mallarmé, *Oeuvres complètes*, 365–6.

bordello—Jean Lorrain, who moved seamlessly from the smartest salon to the lowest dive, was to introduce his friend Huysmans to polymorphous pleasures. When Huysmans converted to Catholicism the friendship faltered—but Catholic ritual itself, blasphemously inverted, had been the target of choice for decadents and voluptuaries, at least since the Marquis de Sade, and it continued to be so throughout this period, culminating in writers of the modern era like Pierre Jean Jouve and Georges Bataille. Vice, and the fascination attendant upon it, is of course everywhere present in Proust's *A la recherche du temps perdu*. In one of his projected prefaces to *Les Fleurs du mal*, Baudelaire, envisages the attempt to extract the *beauty* that lies in evil—the smiling serpent has its hypnotic charm, after all. He remarks in passing that, in any case, many illustrious poets that went before him had dwelled much in the 'more flowery provinces of the poetic domain'.¹⁵ Huysmans says much the same in his 1903 preface, where he explains how it came to be that Lust, *luxure*, was the one capital sin fastened upon by his contemporaries. Writing with the retrospective smugness of the convert, he suggests that Pride would have been a better one.

'La femme'

It is in this context that the misogyny which fires these writers, almost without exception, needs to be understood. Their misogyny is obvious, generalized, and virulent. It seems to infect their very style: the use of particular adjectives to describe a woman's physicality—either to praise or to blame—recurs in all of them. American feminists who have set to work on misogyny suggest it is a form of male hysteria.¹⁶ It is perhaps the most disturbing aspect of the Decadent period, in that it is so widespread. Once again, it is the dissociation of ideas which enables, and indeed inflames, the misogyny of these writers, and frees them from the statutory requirements of Romance chivalry; and there is a sense in which, knocked from her restrictive pedestal, woman is freed too, her sexual power unleashed. The cerebral sensualist Remy de Gourmont applies his theory of dissociation to woman, and finds that her beauty is associated with happiness because of the accompanying promise of sensual fulfilment, which is how he

¹⁵ Baudelaire, *Oeuvres complètes*, vol. 1, p. 181.

¹⁶ See the introductory essays by Emily Apter, Janet Beizer, Jennifer Birkett, and others in Hustvedt (ed.), *The Decadent Reader*.