HOSIGS! WITH S

James A. Gowen

PROGRESS IN WRITING

A Learning Program

James A. Gowen

Professor of English University of Kansas

McGraw-Hill Book Company

New York St. Louis San Francisco Düsseldorf Johannesburg Kuala Lumpur London Mexico Montreal New Delhi Panama Rio de Janeiro Singapore Sydney Toronto

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Gowen, James A.

Progress in Writing.

- 1. English language--Rhetoric--Programmed instruction.
- I. Title.

PE1413.G68 808'.042 72-5905

ISBN 0-07-023859-6

Progress In Writing A Learning Program

Copyright © 1973 by McGraw-Hill, Inc. All rights reserved. Printed in the United States of America. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

1234567890 DODO 798765432

This book was set in Theme by Allen-Wayne Technical Corp.

The editors were David Edwards, Harriet B. Malkin, and Susan Gamer; the designer was Jerry Lieberman; and the production supervisor was Thomas J. Lo Pinto.

The printer and binder was R. R. Donnelley & Sons Company.

PROGRESS IN WRITING

PREFACE

Typically, composition texts concentrate on grammar and usage—on analyzing sentence structures, on placing periods and commas correctly, on distinguishing between who and whom. Progress In Writing contains nothing whatever about such matters. As one wise teacher has said, we don't write grammar, we write English. Of course it certainly helps writing if, for instance, commas and periods are where they belong. But good writing is not the result of correctness. Rather, it is the result of effective word choice, skillful sentence building, sound paragraph organization, and finally, meaningful and coherent arrangement of all parts. The four sections of this text are directed at these four crucial aspects of writing. When the student has finished, he will know all the major decisions a writer has to make and the ways to make these decisions successfully in his own writing.

Progress in Writing is different from ordinary composition texts in another important way. It is a programmed text, in which each segment, or frame, is part of a learning sequence that begins with the simplest ideas related to a subject and progresses by short steps to the more difficult. Each frame requires a response by the student; as a result, his study must be active. At the side of each frame is the correct response so that the student can check himself at each step and thus guard against uncertainty or error. Another advantage of a text such as this is that the student works independently, proceeding at the rate that best suits his own learning needs.

Now that programmed texts are fairly common, most students know how to use them without instruction. However, to be sure that all students using the text get off to a good start, the teacher should go over with them the brief section "To the Student," which directly precedes Part One, and then help them complete the first three or four frames.

An early version of the present text was tested among several hundred students, and the results of those tests, together with the comments and criticism of those who participated, were invaluable in the modification of the text for publication. I would like to acknowledge my gratitude, therefore, to the following teachers and their students: Raymond O'Dea, Ferris State College, Big Rapids, Michigan, and Marinus Swets, Grand Rapids Junior College, Grand Rapids, Michigan.

Particularly helpful in the final revision of the text were the suggestions and criticisms kindly offered by Dr. J. William Moore, Bucknell University, and James R. Moore, Mt. San Antonio College, Walnut, California.

James A. Gowen

TO THE STUDENT

Before beginning Progress in Writing, glance through the text briefly. Notice that it is divided into short units, each one marked by a small box at the end: \square . These units, called "frames," give information and require a response of some kind such as filling in a blank or choosing between alternative words. Directly beside each frame is the correct response.

When you begin the text, cover the response column at the side of the page with a folded piece of paper. Read the frame and complete the response required by filling in the blank or marking the correct choice. Don't guess. If you have read the frame carefully, you will know the correct response. Then check to see that your response is right. If it is, go on to the next frame. If it is not, reread the frame to find out where you went wrong, correct your response, and then go on to the next frame.

Be sure to cover the response column before beginning each frame and to uncover it only after you have completed your response. Your eyes will always tend to wander when you are thinking, even when you try to keep them from doing so, and obviously just copying the correct response is not going to help you learn much. The correct responses are printed beside the frames only to protect you against the possibility of taking a false step in the learning process.

Briefly, then, here are the directions:

Cover the response column.

Read the frame and complete it.

Check your response.

Correct any error before continuing.

This book is designed the way it is for only one purpose—to help you make your writing easier and better. Give it a chance to do so by following the instructions carefully.

James A. Gowen

PROGRESS IN WRITING

CONTENTS

	PREFACE	Vii
	TO THE STUDENT	ķ
ONE:	WORDS	1
	Denotation and Connotation	1
	Abstract and Concrete Words	6
	Clichés	15
	Fancy Language	19
	Slang	25
	Jargon	30
	Review: Words	37
TWO:	SENTENCES	45
	Focus	45
	Relative Clauses	49
	Adverb Clauses	56
	Noun Clusters	63
	Adjective Clusters	69
	Verb Clusters	75
	Absolute Phrases	84
	Review: Sentences	90
THREE:	PARAGRAPHS	101
	General and Particular Statements	101
	Topic Sentences	106
	Paragraph Development	113
	Paragraph Coherence	128
	Review: Paragraphs	136
FOUR:	THE WHOLE ESSAY	143
	Finding a Subject	143
	Preparing a Thesis	148
	Snowballing	151
	Outlining	155
	Writing the Essay	160



Words are the building blocks of writing. When we speak or write, we try to use the words that suit our purpose best—to explain or describe something, to tell a story, or just to express our feelings. In Part One we will be looking at the various kinds of words there are and the various ways we choose words to make our writing clear and effective.

DENOTATION AND CONNOTATION

Choosing words to fit the exact meaning we have in mind	
takes some care, as this example shows:	
This perfume has a lovely stench.	
The word very much out of place in this sentence is	:
. 🗆	stench
Without referring to a dictionary, we know that stench has the	
basic meaning of smell. But that's only part of its meaning. In	Ì
addition, it means a smell that is (pleasant, unpleasant). \Box	unpleasan
This perfume has a lovely fragrance.	
Now the sentence is right because fragrance means a smell that is	
(pleasant, unpleasant). □	pleasant

	It's not enough, then, to consider only a word's basic meaning.
	Stench and fragrance have the same basic meaning but aren't
	interchangeable.
	She's thrifty.
	He's cheap.
	Both thrifty and cheap have the same basic meaning, a tendency
	to avoid spending, but their total meanings are (the same,
different	different). □
	The basic meaning of a word is called its "denotation." Stench
denote	and fragrance denote smell. Thrifty and cheap
	a tendency to avoid spending. \square
	The difference between stench and fragrance, or thrifty and
	cheap, is not the denotation but rather the feelings we have about
	them. Stench and cheap suggest unpleasant feelings; fragrance and
pleasant	thrifty suggest (pleasant, unpleasant) feelings. \square
	l am firm.
	You are stubborn.
	Firm and stubborn both denote an unbending quality, but the
	feelings each word suggests are different. Firm suggests something
good bad	(good, bad), whereas <i>stubborn</i> suggests something (good, bad).
	The feelings a word suggests are part of its meaning. We call this
	part the "connotation." Firm connotes something good;
connotes	stubborn something bad. □
	Meaning, then, has two parts. Denotation is the idea a word
	carries; connotation is the feelings a word suggests.
	She's very slender.
ı	She's very skinny.
	Both slender and skinny denote thin. But slender connotes some-
good bad	thing (good, bad), and skinny connotes something (good, bad).

This dress is loud	d.	
This dress is cold	orful.	
Both loud and colo	orful (denote, connote) bright color, but loud	denote
(denotes, connotes)) something unfavorable. □	connotes
When we talk abou	t the idea a word conveys, we use the term	
(denotation, conno	otation). 🗆	denotation
Connotation, on th	ne other hand, is the part of meaning that has	
to do with the (idea	a, feelings) a word suggests. □	feelings
Some words are ric	h in connotation. Think for a minute about	
all the feelings that	the word home suggests—love, warmth,	Į
comfort, protection	n, and so forth. Does the word house have the	
same connotation a	as home? \square	no
House is one of ma	ny words in the language that suggest very	
little, if any, feeling	g. Other examples are cement, chair, pencil.	1
All such words have	e very little, if any, (denotation,	
connotation). □		connotation
Compare with chair	r and pencil such words as joy and pain. Do	
these words suggest	t feelings? Yes, quite a lot. An important part	
of their meaning, th	herefore, is what they (denote, connote). \Box	connote
Scientific and techi	nical terms are examples of words that don't	
suggest feelings. Ca	arbon, circuit, hexagon, transistor—these	
words have little, if	f any, (denotative, connotative) meaning. []	connotative
A very large number	er of the words we use regularly, however, do	
have connotations	that we need to take into account.	
He is an individu	ualist.	
He is peculiar.		
	and peculiar refer to a person whose behavior	1
	sual. But only one word suggests a favorable	}
feeling,	. 🗆	individualist

	Individualist and peculiar, then, refer to the same idea but suggest			
denotation	different feelings. That is, they have the same (denotation,			
connotation	connotation) but a different (denotation, connotation). □			
	My father tends to be (heavyset, fat).			
	Suppose father here refers to a person who weighed more than he			
	should. Both <i>heavyset</i> and <i>fat</i> have the same denotation, but only			
fat	one suggests that the condition is unfavorable, (heavyset, fat).			
	Denotation and connotation, the two dimensions of meaning, give			
	the writer a rich variety of choices. He can express not only ideas			
	but also particular feelings about those ideas. Look, for instance,			
	at some of the possibilities in the expression of one idea, that of			
	mental ability:			
	cunning brilliant			
	intelligent sharp			
	Two of these words suggest something favorable:			
intelligent brilliant	and □			
	Sharp and cunning denote mental ability, but they connote			
	something unfavorable. We would say brilliant student, for			
	instance, but we would not ordinarily say cunning student.			
	We might in some special meaning say brilliant robber, but we			
cunning	would be more likely to say (intelligent, cunning) robber. 🗆			
	Arrange the following words according to connotation, from			
	least to most unfavorable:			
	boozer drinker wino			
drinker boozer wino				
	least more most unfavorable			
	A man may call himself a peddler, a salesman, or a merchandiser.			
	All three denote a person who sells something. But one has a			
	very favorable connotation, another a less favorable, and one an			
	unfavorable connotation:			
merchandiser salesman peddler				
	most less least favorable			

To express a particular idea, then, we often have a choice among	
two or more words, allowing us to express the exact denotation	
and exact connotation we have in mind.	
The American (draft, selective service system) needs	
revamping, some critics say.	
If we wish to convey a more favorable connotation, we will com-	
plete this sentence with (draft, selective service system). \square	selective service system
Complete the following frames as a brief review:	
An important part of a politician's education is learning	
how to (cooperate, connive).	
If we wish to convey a less favorable connotation, we will choose	
(cooperate, connive). \square	connive
As a rule, the American consumer is very (trusting, gullible)	
when reading or listening to advertising.	
The word with the more favorable connotation for this sentence	
is (trusting, gullible). 🗆	trusting
The candle and fire cast a very (soft, weak) light over the	
objects in the room.	
The word that gives a more appealing impression is (soft, weak).	soft
Many gourmets prefer (chilled, icy) wine with their suppers.	
The more appetizing word is (chilled, icy). □	chilled
The student leaders called upon the president to adopt several	
(bold, reckless) new policies.	
The more frightening word is (bold, reckless). □	reckless
She has a large (beauty mark, mole) on her chin.	
The more attractive completion is (beauty mark, mole). \Box	beauty mark
Robert Nunly turned out to be just the kind of political	
(leader, figure, rabble-rouser) that some voters had feared.	
The most critical word is (leader, figure, rabble-rouser). \square	rabble-rouser

ABSTRACT AND CONCRETE WORDS

All writing, no matter what its purpose is, should be so clear that the reader can understand it instantly. Obviously no reader can make clear for himself something that the writer has left unclear. It is the writer's responsibility, then, to see that each of his statements means just what he wants it to mean.

Clarity is the result partly of successful word choice. And one problem in choosing the best word for each purpose is deciding how concrete the word should be.

- 1. He parked his transportation in the driveway.
- 2. He parked his car in the driveway.

Which of these sentences seems clearer? For now, don't worry 2 about why. Sentence Saying He parked his transportation in the driveway might be good for a laugh, but unless we know what transportation refers to, we can't really understand the sentence. Yet there's nothing seriously wrong with transportation here because a car (is, is not) a means is of transportation. Can transportation also refer to motorcycle, truck, sailboat, or dogsled? (yes, no) □ yes We say that transportation is more abstract than car or dogsled because it can refer to more things. Similarly, tool is (more, less), more abstract than hammer or scissors because it can refer to more things. We say that the more specific words like car and hammer are more concrete. Of the words fruit and apple, fruit is more (abstract,

concrete), and *apple* is more (abstract, concrete). \square

abstract concrete

Here's a good way to tell how concrete a word is: try to form a mental picture from it. If you can do so fairly easily, the word is concrete. For instance, which of these words allows you to	
form a mental picture more easily, animal or elephant?	elephant
With the word <i>elephant</i> , you can visualize the animal's large size, the trunk, the tusks, the floppy ears, and so forth. With the word <i>animal</i> , you can visualize very little, if anything at all. <i>Elephant</i> , then, is more (concrete, abstract) than <i>animal</i> .	concrete
The harder it is to form a mental picture from a word, the more abstract that word is. Which is more abstract, thing or button?	thing
Remember the mental picture test. <i>Chair</i> and <i>table</i> are more (concrete, abstract) than <i>furniture</i> . \square	concrete
It's difficult to form mental pictures from abstract words because they refer to more things than concrete words do. The word furniture includes the ideas of chair and table as well as many others—lamp, stool, buffet, and so forth. Similarly, the abstract word container includes the ideas of jar, bottle, and bucket. Can you name three others?	Can, bowl, barrel, tank are a few
	you might have listed.
It's easier to form a mental picture from a concrete word because it refers to fewer things. Which of the following refers	
to fewer things, machine or typewriter?	typewriter
A typewriter we can easily visualize, but there are so many kinds of machines that forming a mental picture from just the word machine is almost impossible. Machine, then, is much more	
(concrete, abstract) than typewriter. □	abstract

	Remember, concrete words are easier to visualize because they					
	refer to fewer things. In each of the following pairs, pick the				>	
	word that is more concrete:					
	vegetable oak dictionary					
carrot oak dictionary	carrot	tree	book			
	Abstract words are harder to visualize because they refer to m				nore	
	things. This time, pick the more abstract words in these pairs:				;:	
	picture	Boeing 7	747 w	ood		
picture airplane material	painting	airplane	m	aterial 🗆		
	So far we have been looking at words in pairs, one abstract and				nd	
	one concrete.	But words	are not jus	st abstract or	concrete.	
1	material	wood	pine			
	As we have already seen, wood is more concrete than material,					
more	more but pine is (more, less) concrete than wood because it refers one kind of wood. □				to	
	clothing	sweater	cardig	jan		
	Reading from I	eft to righ	t, each wo	rd here is less	s (abstract,	
abstract	concrete) than	the one be	efore. 🛘			
	() house	() b	ouilding	() split-	level	
(1) building (2) house	Number these	three word	s, from the	e most abstra	ict (1) to the	most
(3) split-level	concrete (3). □	3				
	Here's how it v	vorks: a ho	ouse is a ki	nd of buildin	g and a split	-level
house	is a kind of		ロ			
	() iodine	() 1	thing	() poison		
(1) thing (2) poison (3) iodine	Number these	three word	s from mo	st abstract to	most concr	ete. 🗆
	() <i>girl</i>	() pers	son () being	() Shirle	?y
(1) being (2) person (3) girl	Do the same w	ith these fo	our words.			
(4) Shirley						