

LEXICAL FUNCTIONS IN LEXICOGRAPHY AND NATURAL LANGUAGE PROCESSING

Edited by

LEO WANNER
University of Waterloo

JOHN BENJAMINS PUBLISHING COMPANY
AMSTERDAM/PHILADELPHIA

LEXICAL FUNCTIONS IN LEXICOGRAPHY AND NATURAL LANGUAGE PROCESSING

Edited by

LEO WANNER
University of Waterloo

JOHN BENJAMINS PUBLISHING COMPANY
AMSTERDAM/PHILADELPHIA



The paper used in this publication meets the minimum requirements of American National Standard for Information Sciences — Permanence of Paper for Printed Library Materials, ANSI Z39.48-1984.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Lexical functions in lexicography and natural language processing / edited by Leo Wanner.
p. cm. -- (Studies in language companion series, ISSN 0165-7763 ; v. 31)

Most of the articles in this book grew out of the papers presented at the International Workshop on the Meaning-Text Theory, held at the Institut für Integrierte Publikations- und Informationssysteme in 1992.

Includes bibliographical references and indexes.

1. Lexicography. 2. Lexicology. 3. Computational linguistics. 4. Functionalism (Linguistics) I. Wanner, Leo. II. International Workshop on the Meaning-Text Theory (1992 : Institut für Integrierte Publikations- und Informationssysteme) III. Series: Studies in language companion series ; v. 31.

P327.L419 1996

413'.028--dc20

95-53207

ISBN 90 272 3034 X (Eur.) / 1-55619-383-1 (US) (alk. paper)

CIP

© Copyright 1996 - John Benjamins B.V.

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm, or any other means, without written permission from the publisher.

John Benjamins Publishing Co. • P.O.Box 75577 • 1070 AN Amsterdam • The Netherlands
John Benjamins North America • P.O.Box 27519 • Philadelphia, PA 19118 • USA

Preface

The goal of this book is to summarize the most important work carried out on and with Lexical Functions in the field of lexicography and computational linguistics.

Most of the articles in this book grew out of the papers presented at the *International Workshop on the Meaning-Text Theory* held at the *Institut für Integrierte Publikations- und Informationssysteme*, Darmstadt, Germany in July 1992. The workshop was funded by the German Research Association (*Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft*) and organized by Karin Haenelt and myself.

Each article has been reviewed by two other contributors to the volume. Additionally, Richard Kittredge, Tanya Korelsky, and Elke Teich were so kind as to take on the thankless job of reviewing. Bruce Jakeway, Lidija Iordanskaja, Igor Mel'čuk, and Alain Polguère did the proofreading. I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to all who helped to ensure a high quality book on, as I think, a very interesting and important topic.

Leo Wanner

Authors

Margarita Alonso Ramos
Linguística Xeral
Universidade da Coruña
Campus da Zapateira s/n
15071 Coruña
Spain

Martha W. Evens
Department of Computer Science
Illinois Institute of Technology
10 West 31st Street
Chicago, IL 60616
U.S.A.

Joseph E. Grimes
Summer Institute of Linguistics
and Cornell University
84-664 Ala Mahiku Drive, 191-B
Waianae, HI 96792
U.S.A.

Ulrich Heid
IMS, Computerlinguistik
Universität Stuttgart
Azenbergstr. 12
D-70174 Stuttgart
Germany

Lidija N. Iordanskaja
CoGenTex
5913 Rue Dolbeau
Montréal, QC
Canada H3S 2G1

Myunghée Kim
CoGenTex
5913 Rue Dolbeau
Montréal, QC
Canada H3S 2G1

Woongjae Lee
Department of Computer Science
Seoul Woman's University
126 Kognung-2 Dong, Nowon-Ku
Seoul
Korea 139-774

Igor A. Mel'čuk
Département de Linguistique
Université de Montréal
C.P. 6128 "Centre Ville"
Montréal, QC
Canada H3C 3J7

Alexander Nakhimovsky
Computer Science Department
Colgate University
Hamilton NY, 13346
U.S.A.

Natal'ja Percova
Scientific Research Center
Moscow State University
Vorobjevy Gory
119899 Moscow
Russia

Alain Polguère
Département de Linguistique
Université de Montréal
C.P. 6128 "Centre Ville"
Montréal, QC
Canada H3C 3J7

Agnès Tutin
Laboratoire SILEX, URA 382
Université de Lille III
59653 Villeneuve d'Ascq Cedex
France

Tilman Reuther
Institut für Slawistik
Universität Klagenfurt
Universitätsstr. 65-67
A-9020 Klagenfurt
Austria

Leo Wanner
Computer Science Department
University of Waterloo
Waterloo, ON
Canada N2L 3G1

Abbreviations and Notations

A	: adjective
ABL	: Ablative (case)
ACC	: Accusative (case)
ACT	: active (voice)
ADV	: adverb
-A	: actant
ART	: an article or a grammatically equivalent determiner
AP	: adjectival phrase
ATTR	: deep-syntactic relation “Attribute”
BBJ	: The BBJ Combinatory Dictionary of English (Benson <i>et al.</i> , 1986)
C, C ₀	: keyword of a given Lexical Function
CED	: Collins English Dictionary
CONJ	: conjunction
CQP	: Corpus Query Processor
CV	: consonant + vowel
CVV	: consonant + long vowel
DAT	: Dative (case)
DER	: (Deep-Syntactic) Derivative
DET	: determiner
DSynt	: Deep-Syntactic
DSyntA	: Deep-Syntactic Actant
DSyntR	: Deep-Syntactic Representation
DSyntRel	: Deep-Syntactic Relation
DSyntS	: Deep-Syntactic Structure
ECD	: Explanatory Combinatorial Dictionary
f, g, h	: a given LF
G _{1,...,s}	: a class of grammatical collocations in (Benson <i>et al.</i> , 1986)
GER	: gerund
GP	: Government Pattern [$\approx$ subcategorization frame]
GEN	: Genitive (case)
GF	: grammatical function

INF	: infinitive
INT	: interjection
kwic	: keyword in context (concordancing program)
$\mathcal{L}$	: a given language
L	: a given lexical unit
L_{gen}	: the generic lexical unit of a given semantic field
$L_{1,\dots,7}$	: a class of lexical collocations in (Benson <i>et al.</i> , 1986)
LF	: Lexical Function
LU	: lexical unit
MAS	: Malyj Akademičeskij Slovar'
MTM	: Meaning-Text Model
MTT	: Meaning-Text Theory
N	: noun
NLP	: Natural Language Processing
NOM	: Nominative (case)
NP	: noun phrase
NUM	: numerical
OALD	: Oxford Advanced Learner's Dictionary
ož	: Ožegov and Švedova's dictionary (Ožegov & Švedova, 1992)
PASS	: passive (voice)
PERF	: perfective reading of a verb
poss	: possessive (pronoun)
PRON	: pronoun
PP	: prepositional phrase
PREP	: preposition
PREP_{dir}	: directional preposition
PROP	: proposition
RedSemR	: Reduced Semantic Representation
S	: substantive (=DSynt-noun)
Sem	: Semantic
SemA	: Semantic Actant
SemR	: Semantic Representation
SemS	: Semantic Structure
SFL	: Systemic Functional Linguistics
sg	: singular
SPhonR	: Surface-Phonetic Representation
SSynt	: Surface-Syntactic
SSyntR	: Surface-Syntactic Representation
SSyntRel	: Surface-Syntactic Relation
SSyntS	: Surface-Syntactic Structure

SV	: support verb
SVC	: support verb construction
TKS	: Tolkovo-Kombinatornyj Slovar' 'Explanatory Combinatorial Dictionary (of Russian)'
Uš	: Ušakov's dictionary (Ušakov, 1935)
V	: verb
VB	: verb (basic form)
VP	: verb phrase
X, Y, Z, W	: semantic actant denotation
$X \mid Y$	: Y is the condition for X
'X'	: the meaning of X
$\lceil X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n \rceil$	: idiom or quasi-idiom composed of LUS $X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$
I, II, III, IV	: deep-syntactic actant denotation
1, 2, 3, 4	: surface-syntactic actant denotation
[1],[2],[3],...	: endnote numbering
~	: placeholder for the head LU (in a lexical entry), alternative
≈	: equivalence
¬V	: negation of an inherited value V of a given LF
↑f	: add the value of the LF f to the inherited set of the values of f

Contents

Abbreviations and Notations	xvii
------------------------------------	-------------

Introduction	1
---------------------	----------

Leo Wanner

1	On LF Relations	3
1.1	Paradigmatic LF Relations	3
1.1.1	LF Relations and Sense Relations	4
1.1.2	LF Relations and Syntactic Paradigmatic Relations	10
1.1.3	LF Relations and Argument Roles	11
1.2	Syntagmatic LF Relations	12
1.2.1	Typology of Syntagmatic Relations	13
1.2.2	Introduction to Collocational Relations	15
1.2.3	Collocational LF Relations <i>vs.</i> Collocation Classes	18
2	Lexical Functions in the Overall Framework of MTT	23
3	Outline of the Volume	25

Lexical Functions: A Tool for the Description of Lexical Relations in a Lexicon	37
--	-----------

Igor Mel'čuk

1	Preliminary Remarks	37
2	On the Concept of Lexical Function	39
3	Simple Standard Lexical Functions	45
3.1	Classification of Simple Standard LFs	46
3.2	List of Simple Standard LFs	46
3.2.1	Paradigmatic LFs (nos. 1–25)	47
3.2.2	Syntagmatic LFs (nos. 28–64)	56

4	Special Phenomena Related to Lexical Functions	72
4.1	Complex LFs	73
4.2	Configurations of LFs	74
4.3	Fused Elements of Values of LFs	74
5	Presentation of the Values of LFs in Lexical Entries	74
5.1	Elements of the Value $f(L)$ as Subentries in L 's Entry	75
5.2	Generalizing over the Values of LFs	76
5.2.1	Shared Values of LFs and Cross-References to a Convenient LU	77
5.2.2	Shared Values of LFs and the Generic LU of the Semantic Field	77
5.2.3	Shared Values of LFs as Universal Elements of LF Values	79
5.2.4	Shared Values of LFs as Separate Lexical Entries	79
6	Linguistic Nature of Lexical Functions	79
6.1	Semantic Aspect of LFs	80
6.1.1	LFs Are Not Semantic Units	80
6.1.2	LFs and Their Relation to the Definitions .	82
6.1.3	Semantic Subtypes of LFs	83
6.1.4	Different Semantic "Facets" of a Keyword .	85
6.1.5	LFs as Deep-Lexical Units	85
6.2	Phraseological Aspect of LFs	86
6.2.1	Graduality of Restrictedness of LF Expressions	86
6.2.2	LF Expressions and Collocations	88
6.3	LFs in Linguistic Representations	88
6.4	Universality of LFs	89
6.5	New Simple Standard LFs?	90
7	Lexical Functions in Computer Applications	91
7.1	LFs and Lexical Choices	92
7.2	LFs and Communicative Structure	94
7.3	LFs and Text Cohesion	95

Lexical Functions Across Languages 103

Joseph E. Grimes

1	Introduction	103
---	------------------------	-----

2	Lexical Functions Are a Heuristic Tool	104
3	Why Do Lexical Functions Work?	107

Using Lexical Functions for the Extraction of Collocations from Dictionaries and Corpora 115

Ulrich Heid

1	Introduction	115
2	Automatic Exploration of Language Resources	117
2.1	Analysis of Dictionary Articles	117
2.2	Analysis of Text Corpora	118
2.3	Collocation Discovery in Linguistic Resources: Dictionaries <i>vs.</i> Corpora	121
3	Exploiting LF Definitions for Discovery Procedures	122
3.1	Part of speech Combinations in Syntagmatic LFs	123
3.2	Using the Definitions of Oper_i , Func_i , and Labor_{ij} for Corpus Exploration	125
3.3	Exploiting Correlations between Semantic and Collo- cational Properties for Corpus Exploration Purposes	129
4	Extracting Collocations from Dictionaries	133
4.1	Problems of the Representation of Collocations in Dictionaries	134
4.2	An Analysis of a Few Collocationally Rich Dictionaries	135
4.3	Collocation Extraction from Definition Dictionaries	137
4.4	Augmenting Lexical Descriptions with Information from Text Corpora	140
5	Summary	144

A Classification and Description of Lexical Functions for the Analysis of their Combinations 147

Margarita Alonso Ramos & Agnès Tutin

1	Introduction	147
2	Classification of Lexical Functions	148
2.1	Brief Review of Previous Classifications of LFs	148
2.1.1	Zholkovsky and Mel'čuk's Classification	148
2.1.2	Steele and Meyer's Classification	149
2.1.3	Grimes' Classification	150
2.2	A New Classification of LFs	151

	2.2.1	Paradigmatic LFs	152
	2.2.2	Syntagmatic LFs	154
	2.3	Justification of Our Classification	157
3		Descriptive Parameters	158
	3.1	Semantic Parameters	158
	3.2	Syntactic Categories	159
4		Combinations of Lexical Functions	160
	4.1	Complex LFs	160
	4.2	Compound LFs	161
	4.3	LF Configurations	162
	4.4	Internal Syntax of LF Combinations	162
	4.4.1	Syntax of Complex LFs	163
	4.4.2	Syntax of Compound LFs	163
	4.4.3	Syntax of LF Configurations	164
5		Conclusion	164
A Case of Aspectual Polysemy, with Implications for Lexical Functions			169
<i>Alexander Nakhimovsky</i>			
	1	Introduction	169
	2	Telic and Atelic Readings	170
	3	Atelic Perfectives in Russian	174
	4	Aspectual Functions of Oper₁ in English	177
	5	Lexical Functions and Grammatical Meanings	178
On Dictionary Entries for Support Verbs: The Cases of Russian VESTI, PROVODIT' and PROIZVODIT'			181
<i>Tilmann Reuther</i>			
	1	Focussing on the Problem	181
	2	Examples from the TKS and the Deribas Glossary	183
	2.1	TKS	183
	2.2	Deribas Glossary	186
	3	Semantic Links of Oper_i -Verbs	187
	3.1	VESTI	187
	3.2	PROVODIT'/PROVESTI	189
	3.3	PROIZVODIT'/PROIZVESTI	190

3.4	Support Verb Semantics: Step 1	190
4	Semantic Groups of Nouns in Oper -Collocations	192
4.1	VESTI	192
4.2	PROVODIT'/PROVESTI	193
4.3	PROIZVODIT'/PROIZVESTI	197
4.4	Support Verb Semantics: Step 2	198
5	Contextual Factors for the Choice of Support Verbs	199
5.1	Context Expressed by Adverbials of Time	199
5.1.1	Processual Meaning	199
5.1.2	Actual-Durative Meaning	200
5.2	Context Expressed by Adverbials of Manner and In- strument	200
5.2.1	Manner Adverbials	200
5.2.2	Instrumental Adverbials	201
6	Lexical Entries for the Support Verb VESTI in the ECD Format	201
7	Concluding Remarks	203

Lexical Functions and Lexical Inheritance for Emotion Lex- emes in German 209

Igor A. Mel'čuk & Leo Wanner

1	Introduction	209
1.1	The Statement of the Problem	209
1.2	The Data	211
1.3	The Methodology	212
1.4	The Structure of the Paper	213
2	Semantic and Syntactic Information in the ECD	214
2.1	Semantic Zone	214
2.2	Syntactic Zone	215
3	Emotion Lexemes in German	215
3.1	Semantics of Emotion Lexemes in German	216
3.1.1	The Notion of Semantic Dimension	216
3.1.2	List of Semantic Dimensions of Emotion Lexemes	219
3.2	Government Patterns of Emotion Lexemes in German	223
3.3	Restricted Lexical Co-occurrence of Emotion Lex- emes in German	225

4	Towards a More Efficient Representation of Lexicographic Information	228
4.1	Discussion of Lexical Co-occurrence/Meaning Correlations	228
4.2	Implementing Syntactic Inheritance in an ECD	231
4.3	Implementing Lexical Inheritance Principle in an ECD	233
4.3.1	Co-occurrence Inheritance Technique in an ECD	233
4.4	Full <i>vs.</i> Compressed Lexical Entries: ANGST, HOFFNUNG, WUT	234
4.4.1	Three Full Lexical Entries of a German ECD	234
4.4.2	Three Compressed Lexical Entries of a German ECD	236
4.5	The Lexical Entry of GEFÜHL: the Generic Lexeme of the Semantic Field of Emotions	238
5	Conclusions	241

Some Procedural Problems in the Implementation of Lexical Functions for Text Generation 279

Lidija Iordanskaja, Myunghee Kim & Alain Polguère

1	Introduction	279
2	Use of Lexical Functions in the LFS/RTS Systems	281
3	General Principles behind the Implementation of Lexical Functions	282
4	Levels of Transition Where Lexical Functions Are Used	284
4.1	SemR $\Rightarrow$ DSyntR Transition	284
4.2	DSyntR $\Rightarrow$ SSyntR Transition	286
5	Encoding the Values of Lexical Functions in the Lexicon	288
6	Implementation of Lexical Function Paraphrasing	289
7	LF Paraphrasing Rules Used in the LFS/RTS Systems	291
7.1	Six cases of RedSemR $\Rightarrow$ DSyntR Transition Rules Using LFs	291
7.2	Criteria for Choosing Among Transition Rules	296
8	Conclusion	296

Generating Cohesive Text Using Lexical Functions	299
<i>Woongjae Lee & Martha Evens</i>	
1 Introduction	299
2 The Generation Process	300
3 The Choice of Referring Expressions	302
4 Generating Appropriate Collocations	304
5 The Lexical Database	306
6 Summary	306
RUSLO: An Automatic System for Derivation in Russian	307
<i>Natal'ia Percova</i>	
1 Introduction: Automatic System for Russian Derivation . . .	307
2 Linguistic Information in RUSLO	309
2.1 Formal Information	309
2.2 Semantic Information	311
3 Material for Further Research: Xlebnikov's Neologisms . . .	316
Bibliography	319
Subject Index	336
Name Index	353

Introduction

Leo Wanner

University of Waterloo

The present volume contains a collection of articles that describe the recent work done on and with *Lexical Functions* (henceforth LFs) as put forward in the framework of the *Meaning-Text Theory*, MTT (Mel'čuk, 1974, 1988a). LFs are a means for a systematic description of what we would like to call "institutionalized" lexical relations. A lexical relation is institutionalized if it holds between two lexical units L_1 and L_2 and has the following characteristics: if L_1 is chosen to express a particular meaning M , its choice is predetermined by the relation of M to L_2 to such an extent that in case M and L_2 are given, the choice of L_1 is a language-specific automatism.¹ Often cited examples of institutionalized lexical relations are those between *aircraft* and *crew*, *sheep* and *flock*, *bachelor* and *confirmed*, *mountain* and *peak*, *influence* and *exert*, *attention* and *pay*, etc.

Contrastive studies of different instantiations of the same institutionalized relation are very illustrative. Thus, e.g., one *gives* somebody *credit*, but one *pays tribute to* somebody; one has a *good reputation*, but one has a *high opinion of* somebody. Further, the head of a *university* is called *president*, while the head of a *college* is the *principal*; a collection of *dogs* is called *pack*, while that of *cattle* is a *herd*; etc.

Institutionalized lexical relations are language-specific. In English you *take a walk*, and in French and German you 'make' it (*faire une promenade* and *einen Spaziergang machen*, respectively); in English you *deliver a speech*, in French you 'pronounce' or 'make' it (*prononcer* or *faire un discours*), in German and Italian you 'hold' it (*eine Rede halten* and *tenere un discorso*, respectively), and in Russian you 'pronounce' it (*proiznosit' reč'*). In German a collection of cattle is called 'herd' (*Herde*) as is a collection of sheep, while in English, Italian, and Russian different names are used: a

¹In Coseriu's (1967) terminology, institutionalized lexical relations belong to the "norm of the language".