

# **Proceedings of the Seventh World Conference on Earthquake Engineering**

**VOLUME 4**


**Structural Aspects**

**Part 1**

September 8-13, 1980

PROCEEDINGS OF THE  
SEVENTH WORLD  
CONFERENCE ON  
EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING

September 8-13, 1980  
Istanbul, Turkey



VOLUME

4

STRUCTURAL ASPECTS PART I



EARTHQUAKE RESISTANT DESIGN OF BUILDINGS  
EARTHQUAKE RESISTANT DESIGN OF RURAL HOUSING  
REPAIR AND STRENGTHENING OF STRUCTURES

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

DETERMINING DESIGN FORCE LEVELS FOR EARTHQUAKE-RESISTANT REINFORCED CONCRETE STRUCTURAL WALLS .....	1
Arnoldo T.Derecho, M.Iqbal, W.G.Corley	
DESIGNING MULTISTORY BRACED STEEL FRAMES FOR EARTHQUAKES .....	9
Ashok K.Jain, Subhash C.Goel	
EVALUATION OF ASEISMIC DESIGN PROCEDURES FOR REINFORCED CONCRETE FRAMES .....	17
John M. Biggs	
RELIABILITY-BASED SEISMIC DESIGN OF ELASTO-PLASTIC STRUCTURES .....	25
Ryoichiro Minai, Yoshiyuki Suzuki	
SEISMIC RESPONSE OF REINFORCED CONCRETE FLAT PLATE STRUCTURES .....	33
Meil M. Hawkins	
A PROPOSAL OF A NEW ASEISMIC DESIGN METHOD FOR BUILDINGS IN JAPAN .....	41
K. Nakaro, Y. Ishiyama, Y.Ohashi	
DAMAGE OF BRACED STEEL FRAMES DUE TO THE 1978 MIYAGIKEN- OKI EARTHQUAKE .....	49
Atsuo Tanaka, Koji Morita, Hiroyuki Yamanouchi	
THE RELATIVELY LIGHT DAMAGE PRODUCED BY TWO STRONG MOTION EARTHQUAKES IN SOUTHERN MEXICO .....	57
J. Prince, L. Alonso	
ISORELIABLE SEISMIC DESIGN OF R/C COLUMNS .....	65
R. Giannini, A. Giuffre, M. Menegotto	
STRENGTHENING OF DAMAGED MASONRY BY REINFORCED MORTAR LAYERS .....	73
M. Jabarov, S.V.Kozharinov, A.A.Lunyov	
EARTHQUAKE DAMAGE AT IZUMI HIGH SCHOOL IN 1978 MIYAGIKEN-OKI EARTHQUAKE AND METHODS OF REPAIR AND STRENGTHENING .....	81
M. Yokoyama, H. Imai	
THE STENGTHENING METHODS OF THE EXISTING REINFORCED CONCRETE BUILDINGS .....	89
Toshio Hayashi, Hideakira Niwa, Masashi Fukuhara	
STRENGTH PROPERTIES OF EPOXY REPAIRED STRUCTURAL COMPONENTS DURING AND AFTER FIRE EXPOSURE .....	97
J.M. Plecnik, R.B. Williamson, M.G. Pham	

AN INVESTIGATION OF MECHANICAL BEHAVIOR OF REPAIRED R/C FRAMES UNDER SEVERE REPEATED LOADS .....	105
Nina A. Maio, Cirano Fei	
INDUSTRIAL ASEISMIC NORMAL AND MULTISTORIED BUILDINGS.....	113
Yu. V. Ismailov, A.A. Chuprina	
PEAK DUCTILITY DEMANDS IN SIMPLE TORSIONALLY UNBALANCED BUILDING MODEL SUBJECTED TO EARTHQUAKE EXCITATION .....	117
H. Max Irvine, G.E. Kountouris	
STRENGTH AND BEHAVIOR OF STRUCTURAL WALLS WITH SHEAR FAILURE .....	121
Oscar Hernandez B., Mario E. Zermeno de L	
SEISMIC RESPONSE SPECTRA FOR NONLINEAR STRUCTURES .....	125
Mario Paz	
INELASTIC SPECTRA FOR SEISMIC DESIGN .....	129
Mathan M. Newmark, Rafael Riddell	
SEISMIC RESISTANCE OF UNBONDED POST TENSIONED FLAT PLATE CONSTRUCTION .....	137
Narong Trongtham, Neil M. Hawkins	
FRANK LLOYD WRIGHT'S IMPERIAL HOTEL: A SEISMIC RE- EVALUATION .....	145
Robert King Reitherman	
BUILDING CONFIGURATION PROBLEMS AND SOLUTIONS .....	153
Christopher Arnold, Eric Elsesser	
DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION AND PERFORMANCE OF REINFORCED MASONRY BUILDINGS SUBJECTED TO SEISMIC FORCES .....	161
James E. Amrhein	
ULTIMATE RESISTANCE OF MULTISTORY REINFORCED CONCRETE BUILDINGS WITH CANTILEVER SHEAR WALLS .....	169
Hiroshi Kawamura, K. Masua	
OPTIMUM SEISMIC RESISTANCE OF LARGE PANEL STRUCTURES USING LIMITED SLIP BOLTED JOINTS .....	177
Avtar S. Pall, Fredric Marsh	
INFLUENCE OF RESTORING-FORCE CHARACTERISTICS OF BRACES ON DYNAMIC RESPONSE OF BRACED FRAME .....	185
Michio Shibata	

A PROPOSAL FOR ASEISMIC DESIGN OF SUBSTATION APPARATUS AND THEIR FOUNDATIONS .....	193
Satoshi Shiomi, Hiroyuki Watanabe	
UNFAVORABLE SEISMIC DIRECTIONS IN EARTHQUAKE RESISTANT DESIGN .....	201
Adnan Çakıroğlu	
A DESIGNER'S DEFINITION OF AN OPEN-SECTION SHEAR WALL .....	209
Bülent Trak, Ergin Atımtay	
STRESS MAGNIFICATIONS IN WALLS WITH OPENINGS .....	217
Bisülay Utku	
A NEW SYSTEM OF BRICK BUILDINGS FOR IMPROVED BEHAVIOUR DURING EARTHQUAKES .....	225
A.S.Arya, M.Qamaruddin, Brijesh Chandra	
DYNAMIC PROPERTIES OF PREFABRICATED APARTMENT BUILDINGS.....	233
J.G. Bouwkamp, R.M. Stephen	
OPTIMUM DESIGN OF A CHIMNEY FOR DYNAMIC INPUTS .....	241
Hasan Boduroğlu	
EARTHQUAKE RESPONSE OF R/C PILOTIS BUILDING SUBJECTED TO OFF MIYAGI PREFECTURE EARTHQUAKE 1978 IN JAPAN .....	245
Tomoya Nagasaka, Akira Hiramatsu	
MODELING THE STIFFNESS CONTRIBUTION OF INFILL PANELS TO FRAMED STRUCTURES BY A CONSTRAINT APPROACH.....	249
James W. Axley	
THE COMMONALITY OF DYNAMIC ANALYSIS PROCEDURES FOR EARTHQUAKE AND WIND LOADINGS .....	253
P. J. Cevallos Candau, W.J. Hall	
LESSONS FROM STRUCTURAL DAMAGES OBSERVED IN RECENT EARTHQUAKES .....	257
Vitelmo V. Bertero, Stephen A. Mahin, James A. Axley	
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ON PREFABRICATED REINFORCED CONCRETE STRUCTURAL SYSTEM .....	265
R. Fukuzawa, O. Chiba, T.Hatori, S. Maruyama, T.Kato, K. Yagishita	
DETAILING REINFORCED CONCRETE COLUMNS FOR SEISMIC PERFORMANCE ....	273
Loring A. Wyllie Jr.	

SEISMIC DESIGN LAPPED SPLICES IN REINFORCED CONCRETE.....	281
Peter Gergely, Richard N.White	
SPATIAL RESPONSE SPECTRUM .....	289
Massima Cantone, Carlo Gavarini	
EARTHQUAKE RESISTANT PRESTRESSED CONCRETE STRUCTURES .....	296
Ugur Ersoy, Emin Aktan, Tuğrul Tankut	
CONCEPT OF SINGLE BRICK THICK LOAD BEARING WALLS UPTO FOUR TO FIVE STOREYED RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS IN SEISMIC ZONES ....	303
G. C. Mathur	
ON DEFORMATIONS DUE TO HORIZONTAL FORCES .....	311
Halit Demir	
SEISMIC RESISTANT CONNECTIONS FOR MIXED CONSTRUCTION .....	319
Charles W. Roeder	
STRENGTHENING EXISTING R/C COLUMNS FOR EARTHQUAKE RESISTANCE.....	327
Lawrence F. Kahn	
REHABILITATION OF DAMAGED REINFORCED CONCRETE ELEMENTS: AN EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION .....	335
G. Augusti, F. Focardi, S. Giordano, E. Manzini	
STUDIES OF EARTHQUAKE DAMAGE TO JAPANESE LOW-RISE BUILDINGS .....	343
Charles Scawthorn, Hirokazu Iemura	
ULTIMATE ASEISMIC SAFETY OF REINFORCED CONCRETE STRUCTURES.....	351
M. Yamada, H. Kawamura	
INDUSTRIAL MONOLITHIC HOUSE-BUILDING IN MOLDAVIA .....	359
N.D. Polyakov.	
STUDY OF REINFORCED CONCRETE BUILDINGS DAMAGED DUE TO THE MIYAGI-KEN-OKI, JAPAN, EARTHQUAKE OF JUNE 12, 1978 .....	361
Shizuo Hayashi, Y. Morishima, A. Wada	
ON INELASTIC RESPONSE SPECTRA FOR ASEISMIC BUILDING DESIGN .....	365
Shih-Sheng P.Lai, John M. Biggs	
AN INVESTIGATION FOR THE DESIGN OF FRAMED STRUCTURES WITH INFILL WALLS .....	369
M. Makino, A. Kawano, Y. Kurobane	

MULTICOMPONENT SEISMIC DESIGN .....	373
Ajaya K. Gupta	
SEISMIC RESISTANCE OF A 31-STORY SHEAR WALL-FRAME BUILDING USING DYNAMIC INELASTIC RESPONSE HISTORY ANALYSIS .....	379
Mark Fintel, S.K. Ghosh	
STEEL-BEAM DAMPERS FOR INCREASING THE EARTHQUAKE RESISTANCE OF STRUCTURES .....	387
R. I. Skinner, R.G.Tyler, A. J. Heine	
PREDICTION OF THE PROBABILITY OF EARTHQUAKE DAMAGE TO REINFORCED CONCRETE BUILDING GROUPS IN A CITY .....	395
Akenori Shibata	
SOME ASPECT OF BUILDING CONSTRUCTION IN EARTHQUAKE PRONE ZONES .....	403
D.K. Rakshit	
STRENGTH OF REINFORCED CONCRETE COLUMNS IN OBLIQUE SECTIONS EXPOSED TO SEISMIC LOADS .....	411
S.V. Polyakov, A.A. Gvozdev, Yu.S.Kulygin, A.S. Zalesov, O.F. Iljin, V.A. Gorodetky	
ON REDUCING SEISMIC LOAD, SELECTING OPTIMUM RIGIDITY OF STRUCTURES AND SPECIFYING SURPLUS SEISMIC RESISTIVITY.....	419
B.K. Karapetian, E.E. Khachikian, V.A. Stepanian, V. A. Ambartsumian, S.M.Arutiunian	
EFFECT OF DAMPING AND TYPE OF MATERIAL NONLINEARITY ON EARTHQUAKE RESPONSE .....	427
Rafael Riddell	
STRENGTHENING OF HIMALAYAN BUDIST MONASTRIES-A CASE STUDY .....	434
Ravindra PRAKASH.	
MASONARY STRUCTURAL SYSTEMS FOR REGIONS OF HIGH SEISMICITY .....	441
M.J.N .Priestley	
ASEISMIC STRENGTHENING OF EXISTING REINFORCED CONCRETE BUILDINGS .....	449
Shunsuke Sugano, Masaru Fujimura	
ADOBE CONSTRUCTIONS BASIS FOR A SEISMIC RESISTANT CODE.....	457
J. Vargas-Neumann	
STRENGTHENING OF ADOBE HOUSES FOR SEISMIC ACTIONS.....	465
Roberto Meli, Oscar Hernandez, Marciano Padilla	



DUCTILITY REQUIREMENTS FOR STRUCTURES IN SEISMIC AREAS OF CENTRAL EUROPE .....	473
F.P. Müller, E.Keintzel.	
ELECTRONIC DATA PROCESSING EQUIPMENT BRACED TO RESIST SEISMIC LOADING .....	477
J.W.Foss, G.A. Nikolakopoulou	
AN EFFECTIVE STRUCTURAL SYSTEM AGAINST EARTHQUAKES- INFILLED FRAMES .....	481
T.C. Liauw	
INFILLED FRAME CONSTRUCTION IN SEISMIC REGION .....	486
D.V.Mallick	
OPTIMUM DESIGN OF ASEISMIC COUPLED SHEAR WALLS .....	493
F. Braga, P.D. Asdia, M.Dolce	
AN EARTHQUAKE-RESISTANT DESIGN ON HIGHRISE BUILDING WITH V-SHAPED FRAMING PLAN .....	497
Kiyoshi Muto, M. Nagata, E. Fukuzawa	
A GUIDELINE TO EVALUATE SEISMIC PERFORMANCE OF EXISTING MEDIUM AND LOW-RISE REINFORCED CONCRETE BUILDINGS AND ITS APPLICATION .....	505
Hajime Umemura	
STRENGTHENING EFFECT OF ECCENTRIC STEEL BRACES TO EXISTING REINFORCED CONCRETE FRAMES .....	513
Shigeya Kawamata, Masaaki Ohnuma	
STRENGTHENING CONCEPT AFTER ROMANIAN STRONG EARTHQUAKE OF MARCH 4, 1977 .....	521
Mihail Ifrim	
A SPACIAL BEHAVIOUR OF A NINE STORIES SRC BUILDING DURING THE MIYAGIOKI EARTHQUAKE 1978 .....	529
Hirozo Mihashi, Norlaki Namura, Akira Kondo	
INVESTIGATION OF STRUCTURAL SYSTEMS AND ELEMENTS IN EARTHQUAKE RESISTANT CIVIL BUILDINGS .....	537
M. A. Marjanishvili, G.Sh.Chanukvadze, E.P. Alexandrian, A.M.Kimberg	
SOME LESSONS FROM THE MARCH 14,1979 EARTHQUAKE IN MEXICO CITY .....	545
Enrique del Valle	



ENERGY CONCENTRATION OF MULTI-STORY BUILDINGS .....	553
Hiroshi Akiyama, Ben Kato	
EARTHQUAKE OBSERVATION IN AND AROUND A STEEL FRAME APARTMENT HOUSE WITH PRECAST CONCRETE PANEL .....	561
Koichi Ohami, Masaya Murakami	
REPAIR AND STRENGTHENING OF BUILDINGS DAMAGED BY EARTHQUAKES .....	569
J. Kuroiwa, J. Kogan	
PARAMETRIC ANALYSIS OF THE RESISTANCE OF MASONRY BUILDINGS .....	577
V. Turnsek, M. Tomazevic	
FLEXURAL RIGIDITY OF R/C COLUMNS IN SIDESWAY FRAMES .....	585
Ergin Atımtay, Orhan Baydar	
BUILDING CONFIGURATION: CHARACTERISTICS FOR SEISMIC DESIGN .....	589
Christopher Arnold	
STRUCTURAL RESPONSE ARISING FROM TRAVELLING SEISMIC WAVES .....	593
J. G. Morgan, W. J. Hall	
DUCTILITY REQUEST IN SEISMIC ANALYSIS OF NONLINEAR MULTIDEGREE OF FREEDOM STRUCTURES .....	597
D. Capecchi, G. Rega, F. Vestroni	
EARTHQUAKE DESIGN OF CONCRETE MASONRY WALLS .....	601
Robert E. Englekirk, Gary C. Hart	
EFFECTIVE MEASURES TO IMPROVE THE EARTHQUAKE RESISTANT PROPERTIES OF BRICK BUILDINGS .....	605
Zhou Bing-Zhang	
ON A METHOD OF EVALUATION OF ANTI-EARTHQUAKE DESIGN CODE OF INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES .....	613
Heki Shibata	
DESIGN SEISMIC COEFFICIENT IN SEISMIC COEFFICIENT METHOD AND ACTUAL EARTHQUAKE DAMAGE .....	621
Shunzo Okamoto, Choshiro Tamura, Katsuyuki Kato	
SOME COMMENTS TO THE NEW SEISMIC DESIGN REGULATIONS FOR COSTA RICA .....	629
Jorge A Gutierrez	
A LIFETIME COST APPROACH TO AUTOMATED EARTHQUAKE RESISTANT DESIGN .....	637
Norman D. Walker Jr., Karl S. Pister	

# DETERMINING DESIGN FORCE LEVELS FOR EARTHQUAKE-RESISTANT REINFORCED CONCRETE STRUCTURAL WALLS

by

A.T. Derecho<sup>I</sup>, M. Iqbal<sup>II</sup>, and W. G. Corley<sup>III</sup>

## SUMMARY

A procedure for determining design forces for earthquake-resistant reinforced concrete structural walls is presented. Following an extensive parametric study to identify significant variables, a comprehensive series of dynamic inelastic analyses was made. Response data obtained from some 300 analyses served as basis for the procedure developed. The procedure applies specifically to isolated structural walls.

In determining design force levels, attention was focused on the critical hinging region near the base of the wall. Results of the analyses are correlated with data from tests on large-size wall specimens subjected to slowly reversing loads. The procedure developed differs from currently used or proposed methods in providing an explicit relationship between ductility, yield level, and corresponding design forces.

## INTRODUCTION

Current code-specified forces for design of earthquake-resistant structures imply certain relationships between expected earthquake demand and structural capacity. Correlation of force and deformation demands and available capacities of different structures upon which these design forces are based, however, has not been fully established or adequately documented. The major difficulty is the lack of adequate information on earthquake demand. Also, more data are needed on structural capacity of particular structures. There is clearly a need to better define the variation of the significant "load" (earthquake demand) and "resistance" (structural capacity) quantities with the major variables for different types of structures and structural systems.

Investigation of reinforced concrete structural walls gained impetus from the observation that the dual requirements of life safety and damage control in multistory buildings can most efficiently be met by the use of structural walls. This observation has been repeatedly verified during recent earthquakes.

Work leading to the design procedure discussed in this paper was done to lay a firmer basis for the earthquake demand-versus-structural capacity relationship. Results for the particular case of isolated structural walls are presented here. Subsequent phases of the project consider wall systems, i.e., coupled walls and frame-wall systems.

## OBJECTIVE

The major objective of the investigation is to determine force and deformation demands in critical regions of isolated structural walls sub-

I)Manager, and II)former Senior Structural Engineer, Structural Analytical Section, Engineering Development Division, III)Divisional Director, Engineering Development Division, Construction Technology Laboratories, Portland Cement Association, Skokie, Illinois, U.S.A.

jected to earthquake motions. A wide range of values of the significant structural and ground motion parameters is considered. Because the magnitude of inelastic deformation in critical regions of walls is a major design consideration, analysis must consider the inelastic phases of response.

## GENERAL APPROACH

### Parametric Study

As an initial step in developing the design procedure, an extensive parametric study was conducted to identify the most significant structural and ground motion parameters (1). Among the variables considered were: fundamental period, yield level in flexure, yield stiffness ratio, unloading and reloading stiffnesses characterizing the "decreasing-stiffness" hysteretic loop, damping, taper of stiffness and strength along height of structure, and base fixity condition. In addition to these structural parameters, effects of ground motion intensity, duration, and frequency content on dynamic inelastic response were also investigated.

Results of the parametric study indicate that among the structural variables considered, the most important are initial fundamental period and flexural yield level. Among the parameters characterizing ground motion, intensity is the most significant. However, frequency content can also have an appreciable effect on response. Ground motion duration primarily affects the cumulative plastic hinge rotation, by influencing the number of cycles of response.

### Compilation of Response Data

Once the principal variables had been identified through parametric studies, comprehensive dynamic response data were compiled. A wide range of values of the principal variables was considered. The purpose of this compilation was to establish the variation of selected response quantities with the major structural and ground motion parameters. A correlation with laboratory results could then follow.

### Computer Program

Dynamic analyses were carried out using the computer program DRAIN-2D (2), developed at the University of California, Berkeley. The program is a general purpose code for dynamic analysis of plane inelastic structures. A number of modifications have been introduced into the program by Construction Technology Laboratories/PCA staff.

### Analytical Model

An elevation of the basic 20-story isolated wall structure considered in the parametric study and in much of the subsequent series of analyses is shown in Fig. 1a. Preliminary studies indicated that it is permissible to use a lumped-mass model with 12 masses for the dynamic analysis (1). This reduced model is shown in Fig. 1b. Note that the nodes, as well as the lumped masses, in the lower critical region of the wall are spaced closer together than those above. This was done to obtain a more detailed estimate of deformation in this critical region. Similar reduced models were used for 10-, 30- and 40-story structures.




Fig. 1 Twenty-Story Isolated Structural Wall Model




Fig. 2 Hysteretic Loop of Decreasing-Stiffness Model

The primary moment-rotation relationship assumed for the hinging region is bilinear. The hysteretic loop, shown in Fig. 2, is defined by rules essentially following those proposed by Takeda (3). The loop is characterized by decreasing slopes of the reloading and unloading branches in cycles subsequent to yield.

#### Input Motions

A total of five different recorded accelerograms and one artificially generated motion was used in the analyses. Input motions are characterized by three major parameters, namely, intensity, duration, and frequency content. The intensities of input motions were normalized in terms of "spectrum intensity" (SI). As used here, spectrum intensity is defined as the area under the 5%-damped relative velocity response spectrum corresponding to 10 seconds of ground motion, between periods 0.1 sec. and 3.0 sec. The spectrum intensity for the N-S component of the 1940 El Centro record was used as the reference spectrum intensity,  $SI_{ref.}$ . Intensity values equal to 0.75, 1.0, and 1.5 times  $SI_{ref.}$  were considered.

After examination of available recorded motions and the literature on the subject of earthquake duration, a 20-second duration of strong ground motion was selected to provide a basis for design. In this study, an approximate method of classifying accelerograms with respect to frequency content based on the shape of the associated 5%-damped velocity spectrum is proposed. Results of the parametric study (1) indicate that the method provides a good basis for selecting input motions for near-maximum response.

#### DETERMINATION OF DESIGN FORCE LEVELS

Once the major variables affecting inelastic dynamic response had been identified through parametric studies (1), an extensive series of analyses was carried out. Over 300 such analyses were performed. The aim here was to compile response data corresponding to a wide range of values of the major variables. Response quantities of interest include maximum top displacement, interstory displacement, maximum shear, maximum

bending moment, and rotational ductility in the critical hinging region near the base of the wall.

#### Maximum Response Values

To compile data on maximum response values, six different accelerograms were selected. Main structural variables considered are initial fundamental period,  $T_1$ , and flexural yield level,  $M_y$ . The fundamental period was assigned values ranging from 0.5 sec. to 3.0 sec. Yield level values from 150,000 in.-kips (16,950 kN·m) to 3,000,000 in.-kips (339,000 kN·m) were considered. In addition to the 20-story wall considered in the parametric studies 10-, 30- and 40-story structures were analyzed.

Results of the dynamic analyses have been assembled in the form of plots giving maximum response quantities as functions of the fundamental period  $T_1$ , and yield level,  $M_y$ . Selected plots of maximum top displacement, shear, and rotational ductility demand, for the case of 20-story structural walls with  $M_y = 750,000$  in.-kips (84,750 kN·m), are shown in Fig. 3.

#### Critical Response Values

From plots of maximum response values due to different input motions, such as shown in Fig. 3, a second set of figures was prepared. This second set shows only the largest response values due to any of the different input motions used in Fig. 3. These will be referred to as "critical" response values. Examples of critical response plots for 20-story isolated walls are shown in Fig. 4. A curve for a specific yield level in Fig. 4 is defined by points representing the largest values of the parameters in the maximum response plots. Thus, a maximum response plot, such as Fig. 3a, showing maximum response due to several input motions and corresponding to a particular yield level,  $M_y$ , provides one curve in the corresponding critical response plot of Fig. 4.



Figure 4b indicates that rotational ductility demand decreases with increasing strength or yield level and increasing fundamental period. On the other hand, Fig. 4c indicates that as yield level increases, maximum shear also tends to increase. Thus, an increase in strength or yield level has two counteracting effects, one tending to diminish ductility demand and the other tending to increase maximum shear in the hinging region. Experiments (4, 5) have shown that high shears can limit the rotational capacity (or ductility) of the hinging region in walls subjected to reversed loading.

#### DESIGN PROCEDURE

The procedure for determining design force levels for isolated structural walls is based on critical response plots such as shown in Fig. 4. Figures 5 and 6 show design charts developed for walls of 10 to 40 stories subjected to an earthquake intensity equal to 1.5  $S_{I_{ref}}$ . The method used in obtaining Figs. 5 and 6 from critical response plots is relatively straightforward and is described in detail in Ref. 6.

Basic design steps using Figs. 5 and 6 are as follows:

Fig. 3 Maximum Response Values for 20-Story Isolated Walls - SI = 1.5 (SIref.)



1. Starting with a preliminary design satisfying gravity and wind loading requirements, assume an available rotational ductility,  $\mu^a$ . An estimate may be obtained by using a chart based on experimental data (4, 5) similar to the plot shown in Fig. 8. The estimate is obtained by entering the chart with an assumed value of the design nominal shear stress.
2. Determine flexural design factor,  $\alpha_f$ , from a chart such as is shown in Fig. 5. The total lateral force for flexural design,  $V_T = \alpha_f W$  ( $W$  being the effective weight of the wall) is then distributed over the height of the wall according to UBC-76 (7), as shown in Fig. 7. Flexural reinforcement required to provide the minimum yield level,  $M_y^{\min}$ , at the critical section near the base of the wall can then be calculated. Provision of  $M_y^{\min}$  at the critical section is intended to ensure that the available rotational ductility assumed in Step 1 is not exceeded under the design earthquake intensity.
3. Determine the shear design factor,  $\bar{\alpha}_v$ , from a chart such as shown in Fig. 6. Using  $\bar{\alpha}_v$ , and a reduction factor,  $r_v^*$ , calculate effective static shear  $V_s = r_v \bar{\alpha}_v V_T = r_v \bar{\alpha}_v \alpha_f W$ .
4. Check if the available ductility,  $\mu^a$ , assumed in Step 1 can be developed under the design shear stress corresponding to the shear determined in Step 3 above. This check can be done using a chart, such as shown in Fig. 8, based on experimental data.

If the assumed ductility can be developed, then determine the required shear reinforcement. This will be based on design recommendations from results of the experimental program (4, 5).

5. If the assumed ductility cannot be developed under the calculated design shear stress, adjust the assumed ductility value accordingly and repeat Steps 1 through 4 until reasonable agreement between assumed and available ductilities is obtained.

These design steps are for the critical region at the base of an isolated structural wall. Specifically considered are the forces necessary to determine flexural and shear reinforcement. Assumed as known or specified are the fundamental period of the structure and the design earthquake intensity.

A major distinction between the proposed procedure and current simplified design procedures is the explicit relationship established between the principal structural parameters and force and deformation requirements. Also important is the manner in which these have been correlated with experimental data to obtain design forces. A design procedure for frame-wall systems can be developed along similar lines, with appropriate modifications to reflect the effect of other structural parameters characterizing the more complex systems. This is the subject of a current program of investigation.

\*This reduction factor is applied to the calculated critical dynamic shears to account for the effect of a number of factors and allow a direct comparison with shear strength values obtained experimentally under slowly reversed loading.






Fig. 5 Flexural Design Factor,  $\alpha_f$




Fig. 6 Shear Design Factor,  $\bar{\alpha}_v$




Fig. 7 Distribution of Lateral Forces Along Height of Isolated Structural Walls




Fig. 8 Rotational Ductility Ratio,  $\mu_r$

## SUMMARY

A procedure for determining design force levels for earthquake-resistant isolated structural walls is described. The method is based on a correlation of analytically-derived earthquake demands and capacity values obtained from the concurrent experimental program. The procedure developed differs from current code procedures in providing an explicit relationship between the principal structural and ground motion parameters and the corresponding force and deformation demands.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The review of the preliminary manuscript of this paper by Mark Fintel, Director, Advanced Engineering Services, PCA is gratefully acknowledged. This investigation was supported in major part by the National Science Foundation under Grant Nos. ENV74-14776 and ENV77-15333. Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

## REFERENCES

1. Derecho, A.T., Ghosh, S.K., Iqbal, M., Freskakis, G.N., and Fintel, M., "Structural Walls in Earthquake-Resistant Buildings - Analytical Investigation, Dynamic Analysis of Isolated Structural Walls - PARAMETRIC STUDIES," Final Report to the National Science Foundation, RANN, under Grant No. ENV74-14766, Portland Cement Association, Rann 1978.
2. Kanaan, A.E. and Powell, G.H., "General Purpose Computer Program for the Analysis of Plane Inelastic Structures," (DRAIN-2D), Report No. EERC 73-6, Earthquake Engineering Research Center, University of California, Berkeley, April 1973.
3. Takeda, T., Sozen, M.A., and Nielsen, N.N., "Reinforced Concrete Response To Simulated Earthquakes," Journal of the Structural Division, ASCE, Proceedings Vol. 96, No. ST12, December 1970.
4. Oesterle, R.G., Fiorato, A.E., Johal, L.S., Carpenter, J.E., Russell, H.G., and Corley, W.G., "Earthquake-Resistant Structural Walls - Tests of Isolated Walls," Report to the National Science Foundation, Portland Cement Association, November 1976, 44 pp. (Appendix A, 38pp.; Appendix B, 233 pp.).
5. Oesterle, R.G., Aristizabal, J.D., Fiorato, A.E., Russell, H.G., and Corley, W.G., "Earthquake-Resistant Structural Walls - Tests of Isolated Walls - Phase II," Report to the National Science Foundation, Portland Cement Association, November 1977.
6. Derecho, A.T., Iqbal, M., Fintel, M., and Corley, W.G., "Structural Walls in Earthquake-Resistant Buildings - Analytical Investigation, Dynamic Analysis of Isolated Structural Walls - DEVELOPMENT OF DESIGN PROCEDURE - DESIGN FORCE LEVELS," Final Report to the National Science Foundation, RANN, under Grant No. ENV77-15333, Portland Cement Association, (in preparation).
7. International Conference of Building Officials, Uniform Building Code, 1973 Edition, 5360 South Workman Mill Road, Whittier, California, 90601. (The latest edition of the Code is the 1976 Edition).