

EDITED BY DOMINIC WRING, ROGER MORTIMORE AND SIMON ATKINSON



Political Communication in Britain

The Leader Debates, the Campaign and the Media in the 2010 General Election

Edited by

Dominic Wring Reader in Political Communication, Loughborough University, UK

Roger Mortimore
Director of Political Analysis,
Ipsos MORI, UK

Simon Atkinson Assistant Chief Executive, Ipsos MORI, UK







Editorial matter, selection, introduction and conclusion © Dominic Wring, Roger Mortimore and Simon Atkinson 2011

All remaining chapters © respective authors 2011

All rights reserved. No reproduction, copy or transmission of this publication may be made without written permission.

No portion of this publication may be reproduced, copied or transmitted save with written permission or in accordance with the provisions of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, or under the terms of any licence permitting limited copying issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency, Saffron House, 6-10 Kirby Street, London EC1N 8TS.

Any person who does any unauthorized act in relation to this publication may be liable to criminal prosecution and civil claims for damages.

The authors have asserted their rights to be identified as the authors of this wo in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

First published 2011 by PALGRAVE MACMILLAN

Palgrave Macmillan in the UK is an imprint of Macmillan Publishers Limited, registered in England, company number 785998, of Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire RG21 6XS.

Palgrave Macmillan in the US is a division of St Martin's Press LLC, 175 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10010.

Palgrave Macmillan is the global academic imprint of the above companies and has companies and representatives throughout the world.

Palgrave® and Macmillan® are registered trademarks in the United States, the United Kingdom, Europe and other countries.

ISBN: 978-0-230-30145-0 hardback ISBN: 978-0-230-30146-7 paperback

This book is printed on paper suitable for recycling and made from fully managed and sustained forest sources. Logging, pulping and manufacturing processes are expected to conform to the environmental regulations of the country of origin.

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

A catalog record for this book is available from the Library of Congress.

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 20 19 18 17 16 15 14 13 12 11

Printed and bound in Great Britain by CPI Antony Rowe, Chippenham and Eastbourne

Political Communication in Britain

Previous volumes in this series sponsored by the UK Political Studies Association's Elections, Public Opinion and Parties Group:

Political Communications: The General Election Campaign of 1979/edited by Robert M. Worcester and Martin Harrop. London: Allen & Unwin, 1982

Political Communications: The General Election Campaign of 1983/edited by Ivor Crewe and Martin Harrop. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1986

Political Communications: The General Election Campaign of 1987/edited by Ivor Crewe and Martin Harrop. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989

Political Communications: The General Election Campaign of 1992/edited by Ivor Crewe and Brian Gosschalk. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1995

Political Communications: Why Labour Won the General Election of 1997/edited by Ivor Crewe, Brian Gosschalk and John Bartle. London: Frank Cass, 1998

Political Communications: The General Election Campaign of 2001/edited by John

Bartle, Simon Atkinson and Roger Mortimore. London: Frank Cass, 2002 *Political Communications: The General Election Campaign of 2005*/edited by Dominic Wring, Jane Green, Roger Mortimore and Simon Atkinson. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 2007

Preface and Acknowledgements

Since our series of books began with a volume on the 1979 election, political communication is now unquestionably a central facet of the modern democratic process, and one increasingly about strategy and not just tactics. That campaign over thirty years ago proved to be important in signalling the embrace of a more capitally intensive form of electioneering, focused on what was perceived to be an increasingly volatile and more heterogeneous voting public. Following the Conservatives' victory, the Saatchi and Saatchi brothers' agency became Britain's most famous advertisers and were indelibly linked with their client Margaret Thatcher. Intriguingly, by 2010, the same iconic firm was working for a Labour party whose leadership had once denounced the firm for debasing elections and 'selling politics like soap powder'. It should, however, be noted that the founders who had given their name to the company had long departed to form their own rival agency, M&C Saatchi, and once again found themselves advising the Conservatives during this campaign.

So-called spin doctors, image-makers, policy wonks and pollsters now play a major role in the kitchen cabinets that surround every politician aspiring to high office. Underlying this development is a desire to communicate with the public, both through direct persuasion and indirectly via the news media. However, conscious of the growing pervasiveness of what they have often dismissed as spin, journalists routinely doubt politicians as a default position. Tony Blair attacked this attitude when he bemoaned the destructive competitiveness of the contemporary media in a speech he made days before stepping down as Prime Minister in 2007. The seemingly rapacious nature of journalism was something Menzies Campbell also identified as one of the reasons for his own resignation as Liberal Democrat leader later that same year. Campbell's turned out to be a momentous decision that paved the way for the succession of Nick Clegg, a politician their predecessor Paddy Ashdown had supported for the job, citing his abilities as a communicator. The introduction of the Prime Ministerial Debates in this election turned out to be the ultimate gift for Clegg, which he gratefully exploited.

The focus on image and personality regularly informed much reporting of Tony Blair's and latterly Gordon Brown's leadership of the government throughout Labour's third consecutive term in office. Brown's long-awaited succession to the premiership failed to stem febrile media speculation as to whether his position was secure. Inevitably, Conservative leader David Cameron attempted to exploit the Prime Minister's discomfort by presenting himself as a capable, personable alternative. He did so through a carefully orchestrated campaign over five years that was initially overseen by the marketing consultant Steve Hilton and latterly by Andy Coulson, the former editor of the best-selling paper *The News of the World*. Both advisers would join Cameron as key lieutenants overseeing strategy from 10 Downing Street. The proximity of these communications specialists to the Prime Minister is a telling feature of how both governments and elections operate in modern Britain. This volume is devoted to exploring the latter by focusing on the campaigns, debates, polling and mediation of the 2010 General Election.

We are grateful to various people for their help. Philip Cowley, David Denver, Andreas Murr, Anja Neundorf, Paul Whiteley and members of the UK Political Studies Association's Elections, Public Opinion and Parties group provided support that helped make this volume possible. All the authors have made valuable contributions and we would like to put on record our thanks for their work and involvement in producing this book. We would also like to pay tribute to Jane Green, our editorial colleague on the last edition, whose wisdom has continued to inform the series. We are grateful to Michael Cockerell and David Seawright for their support, and Jo Sheriff has been a great help to the project. Special thanks go to Tilly Wring and her friend Diane. Amber Stone-Galilee, Amy Lankester-Owen and Liz Blackmore of Palgrave Macmillan, and Vidhya Jayaprakash and her team at Newgen Imaging Systems have been patient and very helpful from the outset, and we are immensely grateful for their encouragement and dedication to continuing this unique series.

DW, RM and SA

Contributors

Simon Atkinson is Assistant Chief Executive at Ipsos MORI. He has been a member of the company's polling team at every general election since 1992.

Ric Bailey is the BBC's Chief Political Adviser and has represented the BBC in the negotiations over setting up the TV Election Debates.

Jay G. Blumler is Emeritus Professor of Public Communication, Institute of Communication Studies, University of Leeds.

Adam Boulton has been Political Editor of Sky News since the channel's launch in 1989. He has also written extensively for the print media and published a number of books, most recently *Hung Together: The 2010 Election and the Coalition Government* co-authored with his Sky colleague Joey Jones.

Chris Burgess is a doctoral student at the University of Nottingham, who is working in a collaborative partnership with the People's History Museum, Manchester. His research focuses on the development of party political posters in Britain during the twentieth century.

Helen Cleary is Head of Political Research within Ipsos MORI's Social Research Institute. She directed much of the organisation's general election work, including a series of polls in key marginal constituencies for Reuters, ad hoc polls for national media clients and the eve-of-election poll for the *Evening Standard*.

Stephen Coleman is Professor of Political Communication, Institute of Communication Studies, University of Leeds.

Greg Cook has worked for the Labour Party since 1988 and has been the party's Head of Polling and Political Strategy since 1995. He coordinated submissions to boundary review and headed the team that carried out focus group work during the 2010 General Election.

John Corner is Visiting Professor of Communication Studies at the University of Leeds and was formerly at the University of Liverpool. He has written widely on the topics of broadcast journalism, documentary, media audiences, political communication and cultural analysis.

David Cutts is Senior Research Fellow in the Institute of Social Change at the University of Manchester. He has published extensively on electoral geography and other aspects of British politics.

David Deacon is Professor of Communication and Media Analysis at Loughborough University. He pioneered and has worked on each of the media content analyses of every general election since 1992. He has also written widely on other aspects of political communication.

Edward Fieldhouse is Professor and Director of the Institute for Social Change at the University of Manchester, where his main research interests are electoral geography and political participation. He is currently involved in the EPSRC-funded project 'The Social Complexity of Immigration and Diversity'.

Justin Fisher is Professor of Political Science and Director of the Magna Carta Institute at Brunel University. He is joint editor of the Journal of Elections, Public Opinion and Parties and has acted as a consultant to various bodies, including the Hayden Phillips review of Party Finance.

Ivor Gaber is Professor of Political Journalism at City University, London. He is an experienced broadcaster, who has worked for all of the major British networks, and has also acted as a consultant to various public bodies, including the UK Government, the EU and the UNESCO.

Andrew Hawkins founded ComRes in 2003 and has advised various politicians in the UK and overseas. He writes for a wide range of publications, including a monthly column for Total Politics and is a regular commentator in the broadcast media.

Jennifer vanHeerde-Hudson is Lecturer in Research Methods in the Department of Political Science, University College, London. Her research interests include campaign finance and political communication, and, especially, negative advertising.

Peter Kellner has been President of YouGov since 2007, having previously been its Chairman from 2001. He has also been a political and elections analyst for BBC, Channel Four News and a number of newspapers.

Caroline Lawes joined ComRes in 2007 and managed their political polling during the 2010 General Election work on behalf of various media organisations. She worked on the development of ComRes's automated instant telephone polling following each of the leader debates.

Tomasz Mludzinski is a member of the Political Research team at Ipsos MORI and manages the monthly Political Monitor. He was involved in much of the organisation's political polling during the 2010 General Election, including 'the worm' for the BBC used in the Prime Ministerial Debates and also the series of marginal constituency polls for Reuters.

Roger Mortimore is Director of Political Analysis at Ipsos MORI. He has published numerous books and papers on elections and political marketing, including Explaining Labour's Landslip (with Robert Worcester and Paul Baines, 2005), and he is Review Editor of the International Journal of Public Opinion Research.

Katy Parry is Research Associate at the University of Liverpool, where she works on the Arts and Humanities Research Council-funded project 'Media Genre and Political Culture'. She is co-author of Pockets of Resistance, a study of British media coverage of the Iraq invasion.

Chris Rennard was Director of Campaigns & Elections for the Liberal Democrats from 1989 to 2003 and then party Chief Executive until 2009. He is a member of the House of Lords, speaking mostly on issues of constitutional reform.

Kay Richardson is Reader in Communication Studies at the University of Liverpool. She is the principal investigator on the Arts and Humanities Research Council-funded project 'Media Genre and Political Culture: Beyond the News'.

Tom D. C. Roberts is a doctoral candidate at Macquarie University, Australia, where he is researching the life and legacy of Sir Keith Murdoch. Prior to this, he worked for the UK Press Complaints Commission.

Rosalynd Southern is a doctoral student at the University of Manchester. She is researching the impact of new digital media on campaigning and political engagement, using the 2010 General Election as a case study.

Fabro Steibel is a PhD student at the Institute of Communication Studies, University of Leeds.

Joe Twyman is Director of Political and Social Research at YouGov. He has coordinated operations in a number of countries across the world, including working as a project director for the 2001, 2005 and 2010 British Election Studies.

Stephen Ward is Reader in Politics at the University of Salford. He has written widely on the relationship between the internet and politics, both in Britain and in other countries.

Jenny Watson is Chair of the Electoral Commission, a trustee of the Charities Aid Foundation and currently a board member of the charity Money Advice Trust. She was the last Chair of the Equal Opportunities Commission prior to the creation of the Commission for Equality and Human Rights.

Anthony Wells is Associate Director of Political and Social Research at YouGov, running their regular media polling for News International. He also runs the polling blog UKPollingReport.

Alex Wilson joined the Field Campaigning Department at Conservative Campaign Headquarters in early 2008, working on the opinion research and target seats programme. He is also a local councillor in the London Borough of Redbridge.

Dominic Wring is Reader in Political Communication at Loughborough University. He has published widely on press partisanship and the development of election campaigning.

Contents

Lis	st of Figures and Tables	vii
Preface and Acknowledgements		x
No	Notes on Contributors	
1	Introduction Dominic Wring	1
	Part I Debates	
2	What Took So Long? The Late Arrival of TV Debates in the UK General Election of 2010 Ric Bailey	7
3	The Election Debates: Sky News' Perspective on their Genesis and Impact on Media Coverage Adam Boulton and Tom D.C. Roberts	22
4	Media Coverage of the Prime Ministerial Debates Stephen Coleman, Fabro Steibel and Jay G. Blumler	37
5	The Polls, The Media and Voters: The Leader Debates Caroline Lawes and Andrew Hawkins	56
	Part II Polling	
6	Were the Polls Wrong about the Lib Dems All Along? Simon Atkinson and Roger Mortimore	77
7	Polling Voting Intentions Peter Kellner, Joe Twyman and Anthony Wells	94
	Part III Voters	
8	The Campaign As Experienced by the Voters in the Battleground Seats Roger Mortimore, Helen Cleary and Tomasz Mludzinski	111
9	Public Confidence in Elections Jenny Watson	126

Part IV Parties

10	The Conservative Campaign Alex Wilson	147
11	The Labour Party's Road to 2010 Greg Cook	157
12	From Protest to Power – The Progress of the Liberal Democrats Chris Rennard	169
	Part V Campaigning	
13	'This Election will be Won by People not Posters' Advertising and the 2010 General Election Chris Burgess	181
14	Constituency Campaigning in 2010 Justin Fisher, David Cutts and Edward Fieldhouse	198
15	Below the Radar? Online Campaigning at the Local Level in the 2010 Election Rosalynd Southern and Stephen Ward	218
	Part VI Media	
16	Playing by the Rules: The 2009 MPs' Expenses Scandal Jennifer vanHeerde-Hudson	241
16 17	1 8 1	241 261
	Jennifer vanHeerde-Hudson The Transformation of Campaign Reporting: The 2010 UK General Election, Revolution or Evolution?	
17	Jennifer vanHeerde-Hudson The Transformation of Campaign Reporting: The 2010 UK General Election, Revolution or Evolution? Ivor Gaber Reporting the 2010 General Election: Old Media, New Media – Old Politics, New Politics	261
17 18	Jennifer vanHeerde-Hudson The Transformation of Campaign Reporting: The 2010 UK General Election, Revolution or Evolution? Ivor Gaber Reporting the 2010 General Election: Old Media, New Media – Old Politics, New Politics David Deacon and Dominic Wring Genre and the Mediation of Election Politics	261 281
17 18 19 20	Jennifer vanHeerde-Hudson The Transformation of Campaign Reporting: The 2010 UK General Election, Revolution or Evolution? Ivor Gaber Reporting the 2010 General Election: Old Media, New Media – Old Politics, New Politics David Deacon and Dominic Wring Genre and the Mediation of Election Politics Kay Richardson, Katy Parry and John Corner Conclusion: Time for Change?	261 281 304

Figures and Tables

Figures

4.1	Distribution of coded articles per type of media and	
	per media name	42
4.2	Distribution of game – substance scale per type	
	of media	47
4.3	Game – substance scale average per period (print	
	media only)	49
4.4	Game – substance scale average per period (broadcast	
	media only)	49
5.1	Who won the first debate?	62
5.2	Who won the second debate?	63
5.3	Who won the third debate?	64
5.4	Average daily voting intention polls during the campaign	68
5.5	Who gave the most honest answers?	69
6.1	Late swing scenario	81
6.2	Long-term sampling bias scenario	83
6.3	Phantom surge scenario	85
6.4	'Spiral of noise' scenario	87
6.5	Exaggerated swing scenario	89
7.1	Voting intentions in daily polls	106
13.1	Published by the Conservative party	183
13.2	Published by the Conservative party	184
13.3	Published by the Conservative party	186
13.4	Published by the Conservative party	187
13.5	Published by the Conservative party	188
13.6	Published by the Conservative party	189
13.7	Published by the Labour party	191
13.8	Published by the Labour party	191
13.9	Published by Liberal Democrats	194
13.10	Published by the Liberal Democrats	194
18.1	Frequency of main leaders' appearances as a percentage	
	of all politician appearances	284
18.2	Positive evaluations of the party leaders' performances	
	in the televised debates	288
18.3	National press circulation	290

viii List of Figures and Tables

18.4	Daily national press party endorsements by circulation	291
18.5	Strength of partisanship by circulation	291
18.6	Average number of items referring to 'Immigration/	
	Asylum/Race' before and after the Gillian Duffy	
	controversy	296
19.1	Chris Riddell, Observer, 18 April	313
19.2	Martin Rowson, Guardian, 19 April	314
19.3	Mac, Daily Mail, 5 May	316
19.4	Christian Adams, Telegraph, 15 May	317
Table	s	
4.1	Game – substance scale distribution and average per	
	media name	50
5.1	Instant poll methodologies	58
5.2	Instant poll sample sizes	58
5.3	Audience viewing figures	61
5.4	Impact of actual viewer figures weighting on ComRes	
	instant polls	65
5.5	Viewer voting intention changes	66
5.6	Switching voting allegiance	67
5.7	Best PM from debates	70
5.8	Most evasive and least willing to give straight answers	70
5.9	National voting intention before and after the first debate	73
5.10	Voting intention of viewers of the first debate	73
7.1	Opinion polls since 1945: variations in the average of	
	final polls from the result	95
7.2	How the polls performed in 2010	101
7.3	Post-debate polling results for 2010 General Election	
	campaign	103
8.1	Survey details	112
8.2	Campaign penetration	113
8.3	Indecisiveness of the voters	116
8.4	Awareness of marginality	117
8.5	Prevalence of tactical voting	118
8.6	Reported debate viewing	121
8.7	Reported impact of debates on party support	121
8.8	Voting intentions (marginal constituencies)	123
14.1	Overall campaign intensity by party and target status	206
14.2	Mean effort in year before election	207

298

14.4 Traditional campaigning by party and target status 14.5 Modern campaigning by party and target status 14.6 E-campaigning by party and target status 14.7 The balance of modern and e-campaigning by party and target status 14.8 E-organisation by party and target status 15.1 Overall levels of web use 15.2 Type of personal website by party 15.3 Use of Web 2.0 by party 15.4 Levels of engagement by type of Web 2.0 15.5 Levels of engagement by type of Web 2.0 15.6 by party 16.1 'Saints and sinners': highest and lowest total expense claims for 2007–8 and 2008–9 16.2a Tests of difference for total claims 16.2b Tests of difference for expenses repaid 16.3 Determinants of expense claims, 2007–9 16.4 Determinants of abuse of Additional Claims 18.1 Party appearances and quotation time by election campaign and media sector 18.2 Share of leadership debate coverage devoted to each encounter 18.3 Media evaluations of the main party leaders 18.4 Editorial declarations and circulations of the national newspapers: 2005 and 2010 18.5 Main themes in election news 294	14.2	Many effect during a service	200
status 209 14.5 Modern campaigning by party and target status 210 14.6 E-campaigning by party and target status 211 14.7 The balance of modern and e-campaigning by party and target status 211 14.8 E-organisation by party and target status 212 15.1 Overall levels of web use 226 15.2 Type of personal website by party 227 15.3 Use of Web 2.0 by party 228 15.4 Levels of engagement by type of Web 2.0 229 15.5 Levels of engagement by type of Web 2.0 229 16.1 'Saints and sinners': highest and lowest total expense claims for 2007–8 and 2008–9 250 16.2a Tests of difference for total claims 252 16.3 Determinants of expenses repaid 252 16.3 Determinants of expense claims, 2007–9 253 16.4 Determinants of abuse of Additional Claims Allowance 255 18.1 Party appearances and quotation time by election campaign and media sector 283 18.2 Share of leadership debate coverage devoted to each encounter 286 18.3 Media evaluations of the main party leaders 287 18.4 Editorial declarations and circulations of the national newspapers: 2005 and 2010 289 18.5 Main themes in election news 294	14.3	Mean effort during campaign	208
14.5 Modern campaigning by party and target status 14.6 E-campaigning by party and target status 14.7 The balance of modern and e-campaigning by party and target status 14.8 E-organisation by party and target status 15.1 Overall levels of web use 15.2 Type of personal website by party 15.3 Use of Web 2.0 by party 15.4 Levels of engagement by type of Web 2.0 15.5 Levels of engagement by type of Web 2.0 by party 16.1 'Saints and sinners': highest and lowest total expense claims for 2007–8 and 2008–9 16.2a Tests of difference for total claims 16.2b Tests of difference for expenses repaid 16.3 Determinants of expense claims, 2007–9 16.4 Determinants of abuse of Additional Claims Allowance 18.1 Party appearances and quotation time by election campaign and media sector 28.3 18.2 Share of leadership debate coverage devoted to each encounter 28.6 18.3 Media evaluations of the main party leaders 18.4 Editorial declarations and circulations of the national newspapers: 2005 and 2010 289 18.5 Main themes in election news	14.4		200
14.6 E-campaigning by party and target status 14.7 The balance of modern and e-campaigning by party and target status 14.8 E-organisation by party and target status 15.1 Overall levels of web use 15.2 Type of personal website by party 15.3 Use of Web 2.0 by party 15.4 Levels of engagement by type of Web 2.0 15.5 Levels of engagement by type of Web 2.0 15.6 by party 16.1 'Saints and sinners': highest and lowest 16.2a Tests of difference for total claims 16.2b Tests of difference for expenses repaid 16.3 Determinants of expense claims, 2007–9 16.4 Determinants of abuse of Additional Claims 18.1 Party appearances and quotation time by 18.2 Share of leadership debate coverage devoted 18.3 Media evaluations of the main party leaders 18.4 Editorial declarations and circulations of 18.5 Main themes in election news 251	14.5		
14.7 The balance of modern and e-campaigning by party and target status 14.8 E-organisation by party and target status 15.1 Overall levels of web use 15.2 Type of personal website by party 15.3 Use of Web 2.0 by party 15.4 Levels of engagement by type of Web 2.0 15.5 Levels of engagement by type of Web 2.0 16.1 'Saints and sinners': highest and lowest total expense claims for 2007–8 and 2008–9 16.2a Tests of difference for total claims 16.2b Tests of difference for expenses repaid 16.3 Determinants of expense claims, 2007–9 16.4 Determinants of abuse of Additional Claims Allowance 18.1 Party appearances and quotation time by election campaign and media sector 18.2 Share of leadership debate coverage devoted to each encounter 18.3 Media evaluations of the main party leaders 18.4 Editorial declarations and circulations of the national newspapers: 2005 and 2010 289 18.5 Main themes in election news			
by party and target status 14.8 E-organisation by party and target status 15.1 Overall levels of web use 15.2 Type of personal website by party 15.3 Use of Web 2.0 by party 15.4 Levels of engagement by type of Web 2.0 15.5 Levels of engagement by type of Web 2.0 16.1 'Saints and sinners': highest and lowest total expense claims for 2007–8 and 2008–9 16.2a Tests of difference for total claims 16.2b Tests of difference for expenses repaid 16.3 Determinants of expense claims, 2007–9 16.4 Determinants of abuse of Additional Claims Allowance 18.1 Party appearances and quotation time by election campaign and media sector 18.2 Share of leadership debate coverage devoted to each encounter 18.3 Media evaluations of the main party leaders 18.4 Editorial declarations and circulations of the national newspapers: 2005 and 2010 289 18.5 Main themes in election news			211
14.8 E-organisation by party and target status 15.1 Overall levels of web use 15.2 Type of personal website by party 227 15.3 Use of Web 2.0 by party 228 15.4 Levels of engagement by type of Web 2.0 229 15.5 Levels of engagement by type of Web 2.0 232 16.1 'Saints and sinners': highest and lowest 232 16.2 Tests of difference for total claims 252 16.2a Tests of difference for total claims 252 16.3 Determinants of expenses repaid 252 16.4 Determinants of abuse of Additional Claims Allowance 255 18.1 Party appearances and quotation time by 256 257 268 269 270 289 280 294 294 296 297 298 298 299 299 299 299 299 299 290 290 290 290	14.7		
15.1 Overall levels of web use 15.2 Type of personal website by party 227 15.3 Use of Web 2.0 by party 228 15.4 Levels of engagement by type of Web 2.0 229 15.5 Levels of engagement by type of Web 2.0 232 16.1 'Saints and sinners': highest and lowest 252 16.2a Tests of difference for total claims 252 16.2b Tests of difference for expenses repaid 252 16.3 Determinants of expense claims, 2007–9 253 16.4 Determinants of abuse of Additional Claims Allowance 255 18.1 Party appearances and quotation time by 25c election campaign and media sector 283 18.2 Share of leadership debate coverage devoted 286 287 287 289 289 289 289 280 294 289 289 280 289 280 280 280 280 280 280 280 280 280 280			
15.2 Type of personal website by party 228 15.3 Use of Web 2.0 by party 228 15.4 Levels of engagement by type of Web 2.0 259 15.5 Levels of engagement by type of Web 2.0 250 251 26.1 'Saints and sinners': highest and lowest 26.2 total expense claims for 2007–8 and 2008–9 26.2 Tests of difference for total claims 26.2 Tests of difference for expenses repaid 26.3 Determinants of expense claims, 2007–9 26.4 Determinants of abuse of Additional Claims 26.6 Allowance 27.5 Allowance 28.1 Party appearances and quotation time by 28.2 Share of leadership debate coverage devoted 28.3 Media evaluations of the main party leaders 28.4 Editorial declarations and circulations of 28.5 Main themes in election news 29.4	14.8		
15.3 Use of Web 2.0 by party 15.4 Levels of engagement by type of Web 2.0 15.5 Levels of engagement by type of Web 2.0 16.1 'Saints and sinners': highest and lowest 16.2 Tests of difference for total claims 16.2b Tests of difference for expenses repaid 16.3 Determinants of expense claims, 2007–9 16.4 Determinants of abuse of Additional Claims 18.1 Party appearances and quotation time by 18.2 Share of leadership debate coverage devoted 18.3 Media evaluations of the main party leaders 18.4 Editorial declarations and circulations of 18.5 Main themes in election news 229 229 229 229 229 229 229 2	15.1		226
15.4 Levels of engagement by type of Web 2.0 15.5 Levels of engagement by type of Web 2.0 by party 232 16.1 'Saints and sinners': highest and lowest total expense claims for 2007–8 and 2008–9 16.2a Tests of difference for total claims 16.2b Tests of difference for expenses repaid 16.3 Determinants of expense claims, 2007–9 16.4 Determinants of abuse of Additional Claims Allowance 255 18.1 Party appearances and quotation time by election campaign and media sector 283 18.2 Share of leadership debate coverage devoted to each encounter 286 18.3 Media evaluations of the main party leaders 18.4 Editorial declarations and circulations of the national newspapers: 2005 and 2010 289 18.5 Main themes in election news	15.2	71 1	
by party 232 16.1 'Saints and sinners': highest and lowest total expense claims for 2007–8 and 2008–9 250 16.2a Tests of difference for total claims 252 16.2b Tests of difference for expenses repaid 252 16.3 Determinants of expense claims, 2007–9 253 16.4 Determinants of abuse of Additional Claims Allowance 255 18.1 Party appearances and quotation time by election campaign and media sector 283 18.2 Share of leadership debate coverage devoted to each encounter 286 18.3 Media evaluations of the main party leaders 287 18.4 Editorial declarations and circulations of the national newspapers: 2005 and 2010 289 18.5 Main themes in election news 294	15.3		228
by party 16.1 'Saints and sinners': highest and lowest total expense claims for 2007–8 and 2008–9 16.2a Tests of difference for total claims 16.2b Tests of difference for expenses repaid 16.3 Determinants of expense claims, 2007–9 16.4 Determinants of abuse of Additional Claims Allowance 18.1 Party appearances and quotation time by election campaign and media sector 18.2 Share of leadership debate coverage devoted to each encounter 18.3 Media evaluations of the main party leaders 18.4 Editorial declarations and circulations of the national newspapers: 2005 and 2010 289 18.5 Main themes in election news	15.4	Levels of engagement by type of Web 2.0	229
16.1 'Saints and sinners': highest and lowest total expense claims for 2007–8 and 2008–9 16.2a Tests of difference for total claims 16.2b Tests of difference for expenses repaid 16.3 Determinants of expense claims, 2007–9 16.4 Determinants of abuse of Additional Claims Allowance 18.1 Party appearances and quotation time by election campaign and media sector 18.2 Share of leadership debate coverage devoted to each encounter 18.3 Media evaluations of the main party leaders 18.4 Editorial declarations and circulations of the national newspapers: 2005 and 2010 18.5 Main themes in election news	15.5	Levels of engagement by type of Web 2.0	
total expense claims for 2007–8 and 2008–9 16.2a Tests of difference for total claims 16.2b Tests of difference for expenses repaid 16.3 Determinants of expense claims, 2007–9 16.4 Determinants of abuse of Additional Claims Allowance 255 18.1 Party appearances and quotation time by election campaign and media sector 283 18.2 Share of leadership debate coverage devoted to each encounter 286 18.3 Media evaluations of the main party leaders 18.4 Editorial declarations and circulations of the national newspapers: 2005 and 2010 289 18.5 Main themes in election news		by party	232
16.2a Tests of difference for total claims 16.2b Tests of difference for expenses repaid 16.3 Determinants of expense claims, 2007–9 16.4 Determinants of abuse of Additional Claims Allowance 18.1 Party appearances and quotation time by election campaign and media sector 18.2 Share of leadership debate coverage devoted to each encounter 18.3 Media evaluations of the main party leaders 18.4 Editorial declarations and circulations of the national newspapers: 2005 and 2010 289 18.5 Main themes in election news	16.1	'Saints and sinners': highest and lowest	
16.2b Tests of difference for expenses repaid 252 16.3 Determinants of expense claims, 2007–9 253 16.4 Determinants of abuse of Additional Claims Allowance 255 18.1 Party appearances and quotation time by election campaign and media sector 283 18.2 Share of leadership debate coverage devoted to each encounter 286 18.3 Media evaluations of the main party leaders 287 18.4 Editorial declarations and circulations of the national newspapers: 2005 and 2010 289 18.5 Main themes in election news		total expense claims for 2007-8 and 2008-9	250
16.3 Determinants of expense claims, 2007–9 16.4 Determinants of abuse of Additional Claims Allowance 255 18.1 Party appearances and quotation time by election campaign and media sector 283 18.2 Share of leadership debate coverage devoted to each encounter 286 18.3 Media evaluations of the main party leaders 287 18.4 Editorial declarations and circulations of the national newspapers: 2005 and 2010 289 18.5 Main themes in election news	16.2a	Tests of difference for total claims	252
16.4 Determinants of abuse of Additional Claims Allowance 255 18.1 Party appearances and quotation time by election campaign and media sector 283 18.2 Share of leadership debate coverage devoted to each encounter 286 18.3 Media evaluations of the main party leaders 287 18.4 Editorial declarations and circulations of the national newspapers: 2005 and 2010 289 18.5 Main themes in election news 294	16.2b	Tests of difference for expenses repaid	252
Allowance 255 18.1 Party appearances and quotation time by election campaign and media sector 283 18.2 Share of leadership debate coverage devoted to each encounter 286 18.3 Media evaluations of the main party leaders 287 18.4 Editorial declarations and circulations of the national newspapers: 2005 and 2010 289 18.5 Main themes in election news 294	16.3	Determinants of expense claims, 2007–9	253
18.1 Party appearances and quotation time by election campaign and media sector 283 18.2 Share of leadership debate coverage devoted to each encounter 286 18.3 Media evaluations of the main party leaders 287 18.4 Editorial declarations and circulations of the national newspapers: 2005 and 2010 289 18.5 Main themes in election news 294	16.4	Determinants of abuse of Additional Claims	
election campaign and media sector Share of leadership debate coverage devoted to each encounter Media evaluations of the main party leaders Editorial declarations and circulations of the national newspapers: 2005 and 2010 Main themes in election news 283 284		Allowance	255
election campaign and media sector 18.2 Share of leadership debate coverage devoted to each encounter 18.3 Media evaluations of the main party leaders 18.4 Editorial declarations and circulations of the national newspapers: 2005 and 2010 18.5 Main themes in election news 283 284	18.1	Party appearances and quotation time by	
18.2 Share of leadership debate coverage devoted to each encounter 286 18.3 Media evaluations of the main party leaders 287 18.4 Editorial declarations and circulations of the national newspapers: 2005 and 2010 289 18.5 Main themes in election news 294			283
to each encounter 286 18.3 Media evaluations of the main party leaders 287 18.4 Editorial declarations and circulations of the national newspapers: 2005 and 2010 289 18.5 Main themes in election news 294	18.2		
18.4 Editorial declarations and circulations of the national newspapers: 2005 and 2010 289 18.5 Main themes in election news 294			286
18.4 Editorial declarations and circulations of the national newspapers: 2005 and 2010 289 18.5 Main themes in election news 294	18.3	Media evaluations of the main party leaders	287
the national newspapers: 2005 and 2010 289 18.5 Main themes in election news 294			
18.5 Main themes in election news 294			289
	18.5	* *	294
	18.6	Number of articles and main emphasis of	vente: Te

national press items referring to 'tuition fees'

1 Introduction

Dominic Wring

For the first time in a general election since the hung parliament of 1974 no single party emerged as the winner of the 2010 campaign. The Conservatives came first with 36.1 per cent of the vote (306 seats, up ninety-seven), Labour next on 29 per cent (258 seats, down ninetyone), the Liberal Democrats third with 23 per cent (fifty-seven seats, down five) and the rest on 11.9 per cent (twenty-nine seats, down two). In 2005 a 3 per cent margin of victory had been sufficient to enable Labour to form a government with a comfortable working majority. The electoral arithmetic this time meant that, although the Tories' lead was larger, they won fewer than half the seats necessary to govern alone. And, although a hung parliament had been widely predicted, the reality of it still appeared to come as a surprise to some commentators. What followed was a protracted period of negotiations over several days, principally involving the Conservatives and the Liberal Democrats, which ended in these parties agreeing to join together in a formal Coalition, the first of its kind since 1945. If this was a departure from past practice, then so was the campaign itself, given the arrival of the first ever Prime Ministerial Debates.

The UK General Election of 2010 was always going to be a different kind of campaign once the Labour, Conservative and Liberal Democrat parties had agreed to participate in the Debates. That they took place at all was helped by the fact that this would be the first campaign since 1979 in which there would be three politicians fighting their first election as leader. Furthermore, each believed they could gain leverage from these broadcast encounters: David Cameron was widely viewed as the best communicator; Gordon Brown perceived that his party's trailing in the polls might be offset by his explaining his role during the economic crisis; and Nick Clegg needed little incentive to accept the invitation to