EVIDENCE

Cases and Materials—EIGHTH EDITION

JON R. WALTZ ROGER C. PARK

CASES AND MATERIALS

ON

EVIDENCE

EIGHTH EDITION

By

JON R. WALTZ

Edna B. and Ednyfed H. Williams Professor of Law and Lecturer in Medical Jurisprudence, Northwestern University

ROGER C. PARK

Fredrikson & Byron Professor of Law University of Minnesota

Westbury, New York
THE FOUNDATION PRESS, INC.
1995

COPYRIGHT © 1968, 1972, 1976, 1981, 1984, 1987, 1992 THE FOUNDATION PRESS, INC. COPYRIGHT © 1995 By THE FOUNDATION PRESS, INC.

615 Merrick Ave.

Westbury, N.Y. 11590-6607

(516) 832-6950

All rights reserved
Printed in the United States of America

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Waltz, Jon R.

Cases and materials on evidence / by Jon R. Waltz, Roger C. Park.

- 8th ed.

p. cm. — (University casebook series)

Rev. ed. of: Cases and materials on evidence / by John Kaplan, Jon

R. Waltz, Roger C. Park. 7th ed. 1992.

Includes index.

ISBN 1-56662-189-5

1. Evidence (Law)—United States—Cases. I. Park, Roger C.

II. Kaplan, John, 1929-1989. Cases and materials on evidence.

III. Title. IV. Series.

KF8934.K37 1995

347.73'6-dc20

[347.3076]

94-41709





PREFACE TO THE EIGHTH EDITION

We are pleased to present the Eighth Edition of the work that began in 1968 as Louisell, Kaplan & Waltz, became Kaplan & Waltz in 1984, and then became Kaplan, Waltz & Park in 1991.

There have now been two editions since John Kaplan's untimely death in 1989. We believe that the time has come for the present authors to take full responsibility for the way the book has evolved, and that it is only decent to remove his name from it. John Kaplan remains in our affections and continues to influence our conception of the work. This edition, as the last one was, is dedicated to him.

For this edition, some of our revision decisions have been driven by the need to take account of changes in the law and in its context. For example, we have continued to add new materials on expert testimony and to update the confrontation section. At other places, we simply continued our search for lively examples and teachable cases. Where we felt that a recent case would not only present a fresher example than an old one, but would also work better in class, we have substituted new for old. We have, however, tried to be sensitive to the importance of keeping time-honored favorites that teach especially well.

DNA evidence was a special challenge. We had to recognize that DNA is a hard subject to teach in an initial course on evidence to students with widely varying scientific backgrounds, and that it is also difficult to identify enduring issues in this fast-changing area. In the end, we settled upon a case that deals with typical issues, and on an article about DNA statistics that should allow instructors to pursue themes about probabilistic evidence that arise earlier in the book. We tried to provide grist for instructors who wish to survey DNA issues, while realizing that others might reasonably decide to leave the subject to a more specialized course.

We revisited the question whether to print line numbers to make it easier to pinpoint materials in class. Some instructors found this innovation useful, while a larger number reported that the numbers were distracting to readers or just plain ugly. With some misgivings, we decided to side with the readers and to leave out the numbers.

Believing that few teachers reached the materials on the privilege against self-incrimination, we decided to bow to curricular convention and leave that topic to criminal procedure teachers.

As before, we have generally left the creation of hypotheticals and questions to the individual teacher. We have, however, continued the practice of including selected hypotheticals from Judge Bernard Jefferson's remarkable *California Evidence Benchbook*.

Where we thought it made sense to delete footnotes and citations to authority, we did so without noting each deletion.

PREFACE TO THE EIGHTH EDITION

We have profited from the comments and suggestions of many users of this casebook—students and instructors alike. We are grateful for their communications and have often been influenced by their suggestions.

JON R. WALTZ ROGER C. PARK

May, 1994

SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

_		Page
	FACE TO THE EIGHTH EDITION	V
TAB	LE OF CASES	xvi
	LE OF FEDERAL EVIDENCE RULES	
TAB	LE OF CALIFORNIA EVIDENCE CODE SECTIONS	XX
	CHAPTER I. MAKING THE RECORD	
	CHAPTER II. AN INTRODUCTION TO RELEVANCE	
Part		
A.	Relevance to What?	64
В.	Relevance and Inference	69
C.	Probative Value Versus Prejudicial Effect	77
	CHAPTER III. THE HEARSAY RULE	
A.	Rationale and Meaning: Definitions	82
B.	Exceptions to the Hearsay Rule	
	1. Dying Declarations	
	2. Spontaneous and Contemporaneous Exclamations	
	3. Admissions	
	4. Former Testimony	188
	5. Declarations Against Interest	199
	6. State of Mind	209
	7. Medical Diagnosis or Treatment	233
	8. Prior Identification	
	9. Past Recollection Recorded	
	10. Business and Public Records	
_	11. Miscellaneous Exceptions	
C.	The Future of Hearsay	301
	CHAPTER IV. A RETURN TO RELEVANCE	
A.	Probabilistic Evidence	351
B.	Character, Habit, and Custom	366
	1. Character in Issue	366
	2. Character as Circumstantial Evidence	367
C.	Similar Happenings	
D.	Subsequent Precautions	
\mathbf{E} .	Offers in Compromise	
F.	Prior Sexual Conduct	439
	CHAPTER V. IMPEACHMENT AND	
	CROSS EXAMINATION	
A.	The Rule Against Impeaching One's Own Witness and Other Forensic Problems	448

SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

Part B.	Methods of Impeachment			
	2. Character of the Witness	461		
	3. Prior Inconsistent Statements			
	4. Bias			
	CHAPTER VI. CONFIDENTIALITY AND CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION			
A.	The Attorney-Client Privilege	521		
В.	Patient-Physician and Psychotherapist-Patient Privileges			
C.	The Marital Privileges			
D.	Miscellaneous Privileges	569		
	CHAPTER VII. GOVERNMENTAL PRIVILEGES			
	CHAPTER VIII. WRITINGS			
A.	The Best Evidence Rule	612		
B.	Authentication	620		
	CHAPTER IX. COMPETENCY OF WITNESSES			
	CHAPTER X. JUDICIAL NOTICE			
Á	Adjudicative Facts	cco		
A. B.	Jury Notice			
C.	Legislative Facts			
77.7		-		
	CHAPTER XI. THE BURDEN OF			
	PROOF AND PRESUMPTIONS			
A.	Civil Cases	688		
B.	Criminal Cases	715		
	CHAPTER XII. OPINION, EXPERTISE AND EXPERTS; SCIENTIFIC AND DEMONSTRATIVE EVIDENCE			
A.	Opinion, Expertise and Experts			
В.	Scientific and Demonstrative Evidence	777		
	APPENDICES			
App.				
Α.	Federal Rules of Evidence for United States Courts and Magistrates	A-1		
B.	Comments to the Federal Rules of Evidence for United States Courts and Magistrates	B-1		
C.	Appendix of Deleted and Superseded Materials With Notes			
D.	Evidence Code of the State of California	D-1		
Ind	ex	[1]		

		Page
	Preface to the Eighth Edition	
	LE OF CASES	
	LE OF FEDERAL EVIDENCE RULES	
TAB	LE OF CALIFORNIA EVIDENCE CODE SECTIONS	xxi
	CHAPTER I. MAKING THE RECORD	
	CHAPTER II. AN INTRODUCTION TO RELEVANCE	
Part		
A.	Relevance to What?	64
	The Judgment of Solomon	
	Hart and McNaughton, Evidence and Inference in the Law	
	James, Relevancy, Probability and the Law	
B.	Relevance and Inference	69
	Morgan, Basic Problems of Evidence	69
	McCormick's Handbook on the Law of Evidence	71
	Knapp v. State	
	Fisch on New York Evidence	
	Jones, The Law of Evidence	
C.	Probative Value Versus Prejudicial Effect	
	Ballou v. Henri Studios, Inc.	77
	CHAPTED III THE HEADCAY DITE	
	CHAPTER III. THE HEARSAY RULE	
A.	Rationale and Meaning: Definitions	82
	State v. English	83
	Lilly, An Introduction to the Law of Evidence	86
	Tribe, Triangulating Hearsay	87
	Park, Two Definitions of Hearsay Estate of Murdock	89
	Subramaniam v. Public Prosecutor	90 92
	Vinyard v. Vinyard Funeral Home, Inc.	93
	Johnson v. Misericordia Community Hospital	94
	Ries Biologicals, Inc. v. Bank of Santa Fe	95
	Strahorn, A Reconsideration of the Hearsay Rule and Admis-	30
	sions	96
	United States v. Hernandez	97
	United States v. Zenni	99
	United States v. Jaramillo-Suarez	103
	Commonwealth v. Knapp	105
	Morton, The Rothschilds	106
	United States v. Rhodes	
	McCormick, The Borderland of Hearsay	
	Silver v. New York Central Railroad	
	Morgan, Basic Problems of Evidence	112

Part		Page
A.	Rationale and Meaning: Definitions—Continued	
	United States v. Brown	112
	Morgan, Hearsay Dangers and the Application of the Hearsay	
		115
	Buck v. State	116
	Parrot May Have the Answer to a Killing	121
	Conversations With a Gorilla	122
	City of Webster Groves v. Quick	123
	Morgan, Hearsay and Non-Hearsay	126
	Morgan, Evidence Exam, Summer Term, 1946, Harvard Law School	127
B.	Exceptions to the Hearsay Rule	
	1. Dying Declarations	
	Cairns, Law and the Social Sciences	
	King John	
	The Bedside of Lope De Vega	
	Dying Declarations in the Punjab	
	Soles v. State	
	Kaplan, Of Mabrus and Zorgs-An Essay in Honor of	
		135
	2. Spontaneous and Contemporaneous Exclamations	139
	Hutchins & Slesinger, Some Observations on the Law of	
	Evidence	139
	Truck Insurance Exchange v. Michling	144
	Booth v. State	147
	Lira v. Albert Einstein Medical Center	154
	State v. Jones	157
	3. Admissions	162
	Reed v. McCord	
	United States v. Hoosier	
	La Buy, Jury Instructions in Federal Criminal Cases	
	The Gospel According to Luke	
	Admission by Silence—Another View	166
	State v. Carlson	
	La Buy, Jury Instructions in Federal Criminal Cases	
	Coke, Third Institute, 1747	
	Mahlandt v. Wild Canid Survival & Research Center, Inc.	172
	Big Mack Trucking Co., Inc. v. Dickerson	
	United States v. Doerr	
	Bourjaily v. United States	
	4. Former Testimony	
	Travelers Fire Insurance Co. v. Wright	
	United States v. Salerno	
	5. Declarations Against Interest	
	G.M. McKelvey Co. v. General Casualty Co. of America	
	United States v. Barrett	201

Part			Page
В.	Exce	eptions to the Hearsay Rule—Continued	
	6.	State of Mind	209
		Adkins v. Brett	209
		Mutual Life Insurance Co. of New York v. Hillmon	214
		Shepard v. United States	218
		United States v. Pheaster	221
		Zippo Manufacturing Co. v. Rogers Imports, Inc.	227
	7.	Medical Diagnosis or Treatment	233
		Emerging Problems Under the Federal Rules of Evidence	233
	8.	Prior Identification	236
		Weinstein's Evidence	236
		United States v. Owens	237
	9.	Past Recollection Recorded	
		Baker v. State	241
		Adams v. The New York Central Railroad Co	
	10.	Business and Public Records	
		Maryland—District of Columbia—Virginia Criminal Prac-	
		tice Institute Trial Manual	
		Johnson v. Lutz	254
		Dean Jerome Prince, "The Hearsay Rule" In Trial Evi-	
		dence	
		United States v. Duncan	
		Williams v. Alexander	260
		Peritz, Computer Data and Reliability: A Call for Authen-	
		tication of Business Records Under the Federal Rules of	
		Evidence	
		Palmer v. Hoffman	
		Lewis v. Baker	
		Yates v. Bair Transport, Inc.	
		Beech Aircraft Corp. v. Rainey	
		United States v. Oates	
		United States v. Grady	
	11.	Miscellaneous Exceptions	
		Judgment of Previous Conviction	
		Comment to Calif.Evid.Code § 1300	
		Comment to Calif.Evid.Code § 1301	
		Treatises and Other Professional Literature	
		Wellman, The Art of Cross-Examination	
		McCormick's Handbook of the Law of Evidence	
		McCormick's Handbook of the Law of Evidence	295
		McNaughton, Evidence Exam, Harvard Law School, First	007
~	mı	Semester, 1960–1961	
C.		Future of Hearsay	
		Cormick, Law and the Future: Evidence	
		byfill v. International Harvester Coted States v. Dent	
		erging Problems Under the Federal Rules of Evidence	
	EIIIE	aging I roblems Onder the rederal rules of Evidence	009

Part		Page
C.	The Future of Hearsay—Continued	
	Civil Evidence Act, England, 1968	312
	Ohio v. Roberts	
	Lilly, An Introduction to the Law of Evidence	318
	United States v. Inadi	
	Bourjaily v. United States	
	Idaho v. Wright	327
	White v. Illinois	
	Chambers v. Mississippi	339
	Williams, The Proof of Guilt	348
	Green v. Georgia	349
	CHAPTER IV. A RETURN TO RELEVANCE	
A.	Probabilistic Evidence	
	People v. Collins	351
	Lempert, The New Evidence Scholarship: Analyzing the Process of Proof	359
	Kammer v. Young	
	Odds Trip Up Pair in New York Race Bet Case	
В.	Character, Habit, and Custom	
	1. Character in Issue	
	Cleghorn v. New York Central & H. River Ry. Co.	
	Wellman, The Art of Cross-Examination	
	2. Character as Circumstantial Evidence	
	Michelson v. United States	
	Camus, The Stranger	
	A.L.I., Model Penal Code	
	Maryland—District of Columbia—Virginia Criminal Prac-	
	tice Institute Trial Manual	378
	Theodore Roosevelt as Character Witness	378
	McCormick's Handbook of the Law of Evidence	
	United States v. Carrillo	386
	California Constitution Art. 1, § 28	
	United States v. Beasley	
	Tucker v. State	
	Huddleston v. United States	
	James and Dickinson, Accident Proneness and Accident Law	
	Perrin v. Anderson	
	Lilly, An Introduction to the Law of Evidence	
C.	Similar Happenings	
.	Simon v. Kennebunkport	
	Halloran v. Virginia Chemicals Inc.	
	Morris, Studies in the Law of Torts	
D.	Subsequent Precautions	
	Kelly v. Crown Equipment Company	
	Pitasi v. Stratton Corporation	
	Y.	

Part		Page
D.	Subsequent Precautions—Continued	
	Anderson v. Malloy	426
	Maine Rules of Evidence	429
E.	Offers in Compromise	430
	Davidson v. Prince	430
	Keeton, Trial Tactics and Methods	433
	Ando v. Woodberry	434
F.	Prior Sexual Conduct	439
	State v. Cassidy	440
	Olden v. Kentucky	443
	CHAPTER V. IMPEACHMENT AND CROSS EXAMINATION	
A.	The Rule Against Impeaching One's Own Witness and Other	
	Forensic Problems	
	Kaplan and Waltz, The Trial of Jack Ruby	
	Mathew, Forensic Fables by O	
	Maguire, Evidence: Common Sense and Common Law	
	United States v. Hogan	
	Susanna and the Elders	
	Gilbert Without Sullivan	
	Mathew, Forensic Fables by O	
ъ	Keeton, Trial Tactics and Methods	
B.	Methods of Impeachment	
	1. Impeachment by Contradiction	
	State v. Oswalt	
	United States v. Copelin	
	2. Character of the Witness	
	a. Prior Bad Acts	
	United States v. Owens	
	Wellman, The Art of Cross-Examination	
	House, Great Trials of Famous Lawyers	
	b. Psychiatric Condition	
	United States v. Lindstrom	
	1950 Annual Survey of American Law	484
	Witness' Claim That He Once Was Harlow Cast a Reasonable Doubt	487
	c. Prior Convictions	
	United States v. Sanders	
	California Constitution Art. 1, § 28	
	United States v. Wong	
	United States v. Brackeen	
	Abby's View	
	Luce v. United States	

Part		Page
B.	Methods of Impeachment—Continued	•
	d. Bad Reputation for Truth and Veracity	504
	Mathes and Devitt, Federal Jury Practice	
	3. Prior Inconsistent Statements	
	Coles v. Harsch	
	Goldstein, Trial Technique	
	United States v. Grooms	
	United States v. Montague	
	4. Bias	
	United States v. Abel	
	Waltz, Introduction to Criminal Evidence	
	CHAPTER VI. CONFIDENTIALITY AND CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION	
A.		F01
A.	The Attorney-Client Privilege	
	Jeremy Bentham, 5 Rationale of Judicial Evidence	521
	Louisell, Confidentiality, Conformity and Confusion: Privileges	F00
	in Federal Court Today United States v. Woodruff	
	Upjohn Co. v. United States	
	Clark v. State	
	United States v. Zolin	
B.	Patient-Physician and Psychotherapist-Patient Privileges	
D.	Prink v. Rockefeller Center Inc.	
	In Re Doe	
	Menendez v. Superior Court	
C.	The Marital Privileges	
C.	Trammel v. United States	
D.	Miscellaneous Privileges	
D.	Church & State in the United States	
	Note, Functional Overlap Between the Lawyer and Other Pro-	909
	fessionals: Its Implications for the Privileged Communica-	
	tions Doctrines	E70
	Parent-Child Privilege Found	
	The News-Person's Privilege	
	Matter of Farber	
	Matter of Parper	312
	CHAPTER VII. GOVERNMENTAL PRIVILEGES	
	United States v. Reynolds	E00
	Evidentiary Privilege of "State Secrets" In Contract Action United States v. Nixon	500
	Roviaro v. United States	
	McCray v. Illinois	6 03
	Non-Disclosure of Complainants' Names in School Desegrega-	coc
	tion Cases: Title IV of the Civil Rights Act of 1964	008

CHAPTER VIII. WRITINGS

Part		Page
A.	The Best Evidence Rule	612
	McCormick, Evidence	612
	Sirico v. Cotto	612
	Case Note	614
	Herzig v. Swift & Co.	615
	Meyers v. United States	616
	People v. Enskat	618
B.	Authentication	620
	McCormick, Evidence	620
	United States v. Dockins	
	First State Bank of Denton v. Maryland Casualty Co.	623
-4	CHAPTER IX. COMPETENCY OF WITNESSES	
	Hill v. Skinner	627
	Tracy, Handbook of the Law of Evidence	
	New York Civ. Prac. Act & Rules, § 4519	
	State Ex Rel. Collins v. Superior Court	
	Rock v. Arkansas	
	Tanner v. United States	655
	CHAPTER X. JUDICIAL NOTICE	
A.	Adjudicative Facts	662
11.	Varcoe v. Lee	
	State v. Lawrence	
	Comment, Judicial Notice by Appellate Courts of Facts and	000
	Foreign Laws Not Brought to the Attention of the Trial	
	Court	671
	Schwartz, A Suggestion for the Demise of Judicial Notice of	
	"Judicial Facts"	671
	Lilly, An Introduction to the Law of Evidence	675
B.	Jury Notice	
	IX Wigmore, Evidence § 2570 (1940)	
	Higgins v. Los Angeles Gas & Electric Co	
C.	Legislative Facts	684
	McCormick's Handbook of the Law of Evidence	684
	CHAPTER XI. THE BURDEN OF	
	PROOF AND PRESUMPTIONS	
A.	Civil Cases	688
	James, Civil Procedure	688
	Smith v. Rapid Transit, Inc.	693
	Hart & McNaughton, Evidence and Inference in the Law	
	Dyer v. MacDougall	695
	Maguire, Evidence: Common Sense and Common Law	702

Part		Page
A.	Civil Cases—Continued	
	Legille v. Dann	
	Degnan, Syllabus on California Evidence Code	
D	Atkinson v. Hall	
В.	Criminal Cases	
	People v. Roder	715
	CHAPTER XII. OPINION, EXPERTISE AND EXPERTS; SCIENTIFIC AND DEMONSTRATIVE EVIDENCE	
A.	Opinion, Expertise and Experts	725
	Maguire, Evidence: Common Sense and Common Law	
	Commonwealth v. Holden	
	Government of the Virgin Islands v. Knight	
	Waltz, Introduction to Criminal Evidence	
	Waltz & Inbau, Medical Jurisprudence	
	State v. Odom	
	United States v. Scop	
	Waltz, The New Federal Rules of Evidence: An Analysis	
	Mayer v. Baisier	
	Department of Youth Services v. A Juvenile	
	Minnesota Rules of Evidence	
	United States v. Kristiansen	
	Expanded Use of Expert Witnesses Poses New Problems for Counsel	772
B.	Scientific and Demonstrative Evidence	777
	Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	
	United States v. Piccinonna	784
	The Case Against Expert Witnesses	
	Langbein, The German Advantage in Civil Procedure	
	State v. Chapple	
	United States v. Porter	809
	Jonathan J. Koehler, DNA Matches and Statistics: Important	004
	Questions, Surprising Answers Houts, Photographic Misrepresentation	
	Waltz, Introduction to Criminal Evidence	
	waitz, introduction to Criminal Evidence	001
	APPENDICES	
App.		
A.	Federal Rules of Evidence for United States Courts and Magistrates	A-1
B.	Comments to the Federal Rules of Evidence for United States Courts and Magistrates	
C.	Appendix of Deleted and Superseded Materials With Notes	
D.	Evidence Code of the State of California	
Ind	ev .	Γ 1 1

TABLE OF CASES

Principal cases are in italic type. Non-principal cases are in roman type. References are to Pages.

Abel, United States v., 514 Adkins v. Brett, 209 Anderson v. Malloy, 426 Ando v. Woodberry, 434 Atkinson v. Hall, 712

Baker v. State, 241
Ballou v. Henri Studios, Inc., 77
Barrett, United States v., 201
Beasley, United States v., 391
Beech Aircraft Corp. v. Rainey, 276
Big Mack Trucking Co., Inc. v. Dickerson, 176
Booth v. State, 147
Bourjaily v. United States, 182, 326
Brackeen, United States v., 497
Brown, United States v., 112

Carlson, State v., 166
Carrillo, United States v., 386
Cassidy, State v., 411, 440
Chambers v. Mississippi, 339
Chapple, State v., 798
City & County of San Francisco v. Superior
Court, 534

City of (see name of city)

Clark v. State, 537 Cleghorn v. New York Central & H. River Ry. Co., 366

Colles v. Harsch, 504 Collins, People v., 351

Buck v. State, 116

Collins, State ex rel. v. Superior Court, 636
Commonwealth v. _____ (see opposing party)

Copelin, United States v., 464 Cowley, United States v., 309

Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 777 Davidson v. Prince, 430 DeNoyer, United States v., 235 Dent, United States v., 304 Department of Youth Services v. A Juvenile, 765 Dockins, United States v., 621 Doe, In re, 549 Doerr, United States v., 180 Duncan, United States v., 258 Dyer v. MacDougall, 695

English, State v., 83 Enskat, People v., 618 Estate of (see name of party)

Farber, Matter of, 572 First State Bank of Denton v. Maryland Cas. Co., 623

G. M. McKelvey Co. v. General Cas. Co. of America, 199

Government of (see name of party) Grady, United States v., 289 Green v. Bock Laundry Mach. Co., 501 Green v. Georgia, 349 Grooms, United States v., 507

Halloran v. Virginia Chemicals Inc., 416 Hernandez, United States v., 97 Herzig v. Swift & Co., 615 Higgins v. Los Angeles Gas & Elec. Co., 679 Hill v. Skinner, 627 Hogan, United States v., 451 Holden, Commonwealth v., 727 Hoosier, United States v., 164 Huddleston v. United States, 400

Idaho v. Wright, 327 Inadi, United States v., 321 Ingram v. McCuiston, 755 In re (see name of party)

Jaramillo-Suarez, United States v., 103 Johnson v. Lutz, 254 Johnson v. Misericordia Community Hospital, 94 Jones, State v., 157

Kammer v. Young, 360

TABLE OF CASES

Keegan v. Green Giant Co., 623 Kelly v. Crown Equipment Co., 421 Knapp, Commonwealth v., 105 Knapp v. State, 71 Kristiansen, United States v., 769

Lawrence, State v., 666 Legille v. Dann, 704 Lewis v. Baker, 270 Lindstrom, United States v., 480 Lira v. Albert Einstein Medical Center, 154 Luce v. United States, 501

Mahlandt v. Wild Canid Survival & Research Center, Inc., 172

Matter of (see name of party)

Mayer v. Baisier, 763

McCray v. Illinois, 603

Menendez v. Superior Court (People), 553

Meyers v. United States, 616

Michelson v. United States, 367

Montague, United States v., 508

Murdock, Estate of, 90

Mutual Life Ins. Co. of New York v. Hillmon, 214

Nixon, United States v., 590

Oates, United States v., 281 Odom, State v., 744 Ohio v. Roberts, 312 Olden v. Kentucky, 443 Oswalt, State v., 461 Owens, United States v., 108 S.Ct. 838, p. 237 Owens, United States v., 21 M.J. 117, p. 469

Palmer v. Hoffman, 267

People v. _____ (see opposing party)
Perrin v. Anderson, 407

Pheaster, United States v., 221

Piccinonna, United States v., 784

Pitasi v. Stratton Corp., 425

Porter, United States v., 809

Prink v. Rockefeller Center, Inc., 546

Reed v. McCord, 162 Reynolds, United States v., 583 Ries Biologicals, Inc. v. Bank of Sante Fe, 95 Rock v. Arkansas, 647 Roder, People v., 715 Roviaro v. United States, 598

Salerno, United States v., 193 Sanders, United States v., 488 Scop, United States v., 750 Shepard v. United States, 218 Sherrod v. Berry, 72 Silver v. New York Cent. R. Co., 110 Simon v. Kennebunkport, 414 Sirico v. Cotto. 612 Sloman, United States v., 493 Smith v. Rapid Transit, 693 Soles v. State, 132 State v. ___ __ (see opposing party) State ex rel. v. _ (see opposing party and relator) Subramaniam v. Public Prosecutor, 92 Superior Court, State ex rel. Collins v., 636

Tanner v. United States, 655 Trammel v. United States, 562 Travelers Fire Ins. Co. v. Wright, 188 Truck Ins. Exchange v. Michling, 144 Tucker v. State, 398 Turbyfill v. International Harvester Co., 302

United States v. _____ (see opposing party)

Upjohn Co. v. United States, 527

Varcoe v. Lee, 662 Vinyard v. Vinyard Funeral Home, Inc., 93 Virgin Islands, Government of v. Knight, 729

Webster Groves, City of v. Quick, 123 White v. Illinois, 333 Williams v. Alexander, 260 Williamson v. United States, 205 Wong, United States v., 495 Woodruff, United States v., 525

Yates v. Bair Transport, Inc., 272

Zenni, United States v., 99 Zippo Mfg. Co. v. Rogers Imports, Inc., 227 Zolin, United States v., 541