

*KNAPP  
CRYSTAL*

**PROBLEMS  
IN  
CONTRACT  
LAW**

**Cases  
and  
Materials**

*Second  
Edition*

# **Problems in Contract Law Cases and Materials**

**Second Edition**

**Charles L. Knapp**

Professor of Law, New York University

**Nathan M. Crystal**

Professor of Law, University of South Carolina



**Little, Brown and Company**

Boston

Toronto

**Copyright © 1987 by Charles L. Knapp and Nathan M. Crystal**

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced in any form or by any electronic or mechanical means including information storage and retrieval systems without permission in writing from the publisher, except by a reviewer who may quote brief passages in a review.

**Library of Congress Catalog Card No. 86-81770**

**ISBN 0-316-49926-9**

MV

**Published simultaneously in Canada  
by Little, Brown & Company (Canada) Limited**

**Printed in the United States of America**



## **Problems in Contract Law**

# **Editorial Advisory Board**

**Little, Brown and Company**  
**Law Book Division**

**Thomas Ehrlich**

Provost and Professor of Law  
University of Pennsylvania

**Richard A. Epstein**

James Parker Hall Professor of Law  
University of Chicago

**E. Allan Farnsworth**

Alfred McCormack Professor of Law  
Columbia University

**Geoffrey C. Hazard, Jr.**

Sterling Professor of Law  
Yale University

**James E. Krier**

Professor of Law  
University of Michigan

**Bernard Wolfman**

Fessenden Professor of Law  
Harvard University

---

**HONORARY**

**A. James Casner**

Austin Wakeman Scott Professor of Law, Emeritus  
Harvard University

**Clark Byse**

Byrne Professor of Administrative Law, Emeritus  
Harvard University

**Francis A. Allen**

Huber C. Hurst Professor of Law  
University of Florida

To my father, James Lincoln Knapp, attorney and Judge (1963-1981) of the Muskingum County (Ohio) Court of Common Pleas—in honor of his example, both personal and professional.

*Charles Knapp*

To the memory of my father, William H. Crystal; and to my mother, Gladys S. Sorentrue; my wife, Nancy McCormick; and my children, Abraham and Miriam—with love and gratitude.

*Nathan Crystal*

# Preface

When the first edition of this book was prepared, a decade ago, many of our social institutions had recently been called into serious question by those—students and others—who heard in the spirit of “the Sixties” a call to action. Hardly immune from this process, legal education at that time faced serious challenge from many who were then currently engaged in or affected by it. Traditional modes of instruction were attacked; traditional curricula were questioned; the rules of law taught in law school were derided as not “relevant” to modern life. At that point in time, it seemed important to stress the extent to which the rules of contract law could still be important to lawyers and their clients in the modern world and to suggest that the study of law in law school could provide a solid foundation for a career in lawyering. The title *Problems in Contract Law* was chosen to reflect two related notions: that the rules (both common law and statutory) of contract law could be usefully approached through multi-issue, integrative problems; and that those rules, once mastered, could be creatively used by attorneys to solve the problems of their clients.

Today much has changed. A decade ago, many students appeared to reject the established social order; most students today appear willing at least to accept the fact of its existence, while continuing to press for improvement and reform. Where once legal academe appeared to write off contract law as a field for investigation, scholars now practically fall over each other in their eagerness to present, defend, and debate new theories of contract law and contract formation. Not so long ago, the movement from classical to modern contract law appeared to be a one-way street, with the ultimate triumph of modern liberalism a sure bet; more recently, countersigns have begun to appear. While the reaction against modern contract law is by no means as

strong as the reaction against the “liability explosion” of modern tort law, it must be taken into account by anyone attempting to predict future growth in this area. This book represents our attempt to incorporate these developments in an up-to-date survey of contract law.

This new edition of these materials, like its predecessor, represents a blend of the orthodox with the modern. For those instructors who rely on the traditional case method of instruction, we have tried to present an ample body of judicial opinions, with particular emphasis on recent cases. (More than half of the decisions in the book were handed down after 1970.) Throughout these materials, introductory text presents historical background and summarizes basic concepts, reducing the need for lecture in the classroom. (See, for example, the summary in the text of Chapter 5 of the major exceptions to the parol evidence rule.) As a result, most cases go beyond the routine application of rules to facts and focus on more difficult, challenging aspects of doctrine. Following each case are Notes and Questions, which raise issues based on the principal case or describe related developments.

While recognizing that the course in contract law has traditionally been the major vehicle for instruction in case analysis, we have also continued to use the problem method adopted in the first edition. Most of the problems presented in these materials are lengthy, multiple-issue questions. Normally presented at the end of sections or chapters, the problems can conveniently be used to review and expand on case material that has already been discussed in class. Many of the problems are also adaptable for use as the focus of initial class discussion, rather than simply as review. Problems not discussed in class can be used for review by students individually or in study groups. While all of the problems call for legal analysis of the issues raised, many also invite the student to exercise other lawyering skills: drafting, counseling, and fact investigation.

Teachers and students of contract law today must, of course, be concerned not only with the analyses reflected in judicial opinions but also with the growing body of contract scholarship, which will inevitably affect future judicial decisions. The materials therefore include excerpts from and commentary on all the major schools of contemporary contract scholarship. (See, for example, the extended discussion in Chapter 11 of the “efficient breach” hypothesis advanced by scholars who employ the tools of economic analysis.) Most of this theoretical material is provided in the Notes and Questions that follow the cases or in the separate Comments; these may be assigned for class discussion or designated as outside or optional reading.

The book comprises 14 chapters, which fall generally into five parts:

Formation	Chapters 1-4
Interpretation and implication	Chapters 5, 6
Defenses or grounds for nonenforcement	Chapters 7-9
Breach and remedies	Chapters 10-13
Third parties	Chapter 14



Both among and within the chapters, the flow of the materials has a historical theme: the transformation of contract law from the classical period (roughly from 1850 through 1920) to modern contract law (particularly as expressed in the Uniform Commercial Code and the revised Restatement of Contracts). Thus, Chapter 2 develops the classical rules of offer-and-acceptance and the doctrine of consideration; Chapters 3 and 4 go on to explore modern limitations on those doctrines, such as the concept of promissory estoppel and the UCC rules for the “battle of the forms.” Material on the Code is integrated throughout, except for the material on warranties and remedies under UCC Article Two; this material is given separate treatment in Chapter 13. (Teachers at schools with an upper level Sales course may choose to omit this chapter, or cover it only selectively.) A separate supplement reprints important provisions and comments from Articles One, Two, and Nine of the Uniform Commercial Code and from the Restatement (Second) of Contracts.

For us, collaboration in preparation of these materials has been not only an educational experience but a great pleasure as well. We hope that those who use this book will likewise find not only information but enjoyment in its pages. As our last word to students and teachers about to embark on this journey with us, we repeat the admonition of the preface to the first edition:

No study of law is adequate if it loses sight of the fact that law operates first and last *for, upon, and through* individual human beings. This, of course, is what rescues law from the status of a science, and makes its study so frustrating—and so fascinating.

*Charles L. Knapp*  
*Nathan M. Crystal*

March 1987

# Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank a number of individuals who contributed to these materials. Professor Girardeau A. Spann of Georgetown University Law Center read our manuscript in draft form and provided many useful suggestions for clarification and improvement, along with highly valuable moral support. At South Carolina, Professors Philip T. Lacy and Gregory B. Adams taught from the manuscript and gave us a number of helpful ideas. Professor Lacy also contributed several problems to the materials. The following students provided valuable research assistance: At N.Y.U.—John DeAngeli, David Gottfried, Beth Tractenberg, and John R. Utzschneider; at South Carolina—Gene Fulton, Doni McCoy, and Carew Rice. Ms. McCoy deserves special mention: She spent many hours cutting and pasting manuscript, checking citations, and contacting copyright holders for permission to reprint material. The authors are very grateful for generous financial support received from the Filomen D'Agostino and Max E. Greenberg Research Fund of New York University School of Law and from the University of South Carolina School of Law. A number of secretaries worked on various stages of the manuscript, but special mention goes to Patti Yates, at the University of South Carolina, who typed a significant portion of the text and accompanying tables, without ever losing her good cheer. Three classes of students at N.Y.U. and South Carolina were subjected to one or another version of these materials. Their interest, enthusiasm, and imagination contributed greatly to whatever good qualities the book may have. Needless to say, any mistakes in these materials are our own.

Provisions, comments, and illustrations from the Restatement (Second) of Agency (copyright 1958), the Restatement of Contracts (copyright 1932), the Restatement (Second) of Contracts (copyright 1981), the Restatement of

Restitution (copyright 1937), and the Restatement (Second) of Torts (copyright 1965) are reprinted with the permission of the American Law Institute. Portions of the comments to the Uniform Commercial Code, by the American Law Institute and the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws (copyright 1978), are reprinted with the permission of the Permanent Editorial Board for the Uniform Commercial Code.

Throughout the book we have also quoted from a number of law reviews and treatises, giving appropriate acknowledgment to the copyright holders, who are reserving all rights to the quoted material. We are grateful to the copyright holders and authors for permission to reprint this material. Excerpts from Baird & Weisberg, Rules, Standards, and the Battle of the Forms: A Reassessment of §2-207, 68 Va. L. Rev. 1217, 1233-1235 (1982); Harvey, Discretionary Justice under the Restatement (Second) of Contracts, 67 Cornell L. Rev. 666, 677-678 (1982); Macneil, Efficient Breach of Contract: Circles in the Sky, 68 Va. L. Rev. 947, 968-969 (1982); and Summers, "Good Faith" in General Contract Law and the Sales Provisions of the Uniform Commercial Code, 54 Va. L. Rev. 195, 202-203 (1968) are reprinted with permission of Fred B. Rothman & Co. Material from M. Horwitz, The Transformation of American Law, 1780-1860 (1977) and from C. Fried, Contract as Promise (1981) is reprinted by permission of Harvard University Press. 5 Williston, Contracts §669, at 154, is reprinted with permission of the copyright owner, Lawyers Co-operative Publishing Co., Rochester, New York. Selections from Corbin on Contracts (1971), Prosser & Keeton on Torts (5th ed. 1984), and White & Summers on the Uniform Commercial Code (2d ed. 1980) are reprinted with permission of West Publishing Co. Excerpts from Farnsworth, Your Loss or My Gain? The Dilemma of the Disgorgement Principle in Breach of Contract, 94 Yale L.J. 1339, 1382 (1985); Fuller & Perdue, The Reliance Interest in Contract Damages (Pt. I), 46 Yale L.J. 52, 53-63, 78, 87-88 (1936); and Peters, Remedies for Breach of Contracts Relating to the Sale of Goods under the Uniform Commercial Code: A Roadmap for Article Two, 73 Yale L.J. 199, 260-261 (1963) are reprinted by permission of the Yale Law Journal Co. and Fred B. Rothman & Co.

Unless indicated otherwise, references are to the revised edition of Professor Corbin's treatise, copyright dates from 1962 through 1971, and to the third edition of Professor Williston's treatise (W. Jaeger ed.), copyright dates from 1957 through 1979. Footnotes from cases and other quoted material have been omitted without indication; where footnotes have been included, their original numbering has been retained.



## **Problems in Contract Law**

# Summary of Contents

<b>Contents</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>Preface</b>	<b>xxi</b>
<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>xxv</b>

1	An Introduction to the Study of Contract Law	1
2	The Classical System of Contract Law: Mutual Assent and Bargained-for Exchange	25
3	Obligation in the Absence of Exchange: Restitution and Promissory Estoppel	99
4	Obligation in the Absence of Complete Agreement	183
5	The Meaning of the Agreement: The Parol Evidence Rule and Principles of Interpretation	293
6	Supplementing the Agreement: The Obligation of Good Faith and Other Implied Terms	355
7	The Statute of Frauds	421
8	Avoiding Enforcement: Incapacity, Bargaining Misconduct, Unconscionability, and Public Policy	469
9	Justification for Nonperformance: Mistake, Changed Circumstances, and Contractual Modifications	605
10	Justification for Nonperformance: Express Conditions, Constructive Conditions, and Anticipatory Repudiation	685
		vii

11	Expectation Damages: Principles and Limitations	767
12	Alternatives to Expectation Damages: Reliance and Restitutionary Damages, Specific Performance, and Agreed Remedies	895
13	UCC Article Two: Warranties and Remedies	993
14	Rights and Duties of Third Parties	1103
	Epilogue: The Future of Contract Law	1155
	<b>Table of Cases</b>	<b>1161</b>
	<b>Table of Uniform Commercial Code Provisions</b>	<b>1173</b>
	<b>Table of Provisions from Restatement (Second) of Contracts</b>	<b>1177</b>
	<b>Table of Provisions from Restatement (First) of Contracts</b>	<b>1181</b>
	<b>Table of Provisions from Other Restatements</b>	<b>1183</b>
	<b>Table of Secondary Authorities</b>	<b>1185</b>
	<b>Index</b>	<b>1195</b>

# Contents

<b>Preface</b>	<b>xxi</b>
<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>xxv</b>

<b>1</b>	<b>An Introduction to the Study of Contract Law</b>	<b>1</b>
A.	Contract Law in the First-Year Law Curriculum	2
B.	The Sources of Contract Law	4
1.	Judicial Opinions	4
2.	Statutory Law	6
3.	The Restatements	8
4.	Legal Commentary	9
C.	The Perspective of Contract Theory	9
D.	The Lawyering Perspective	12
E.	Contract Law through Case Study: An Example	15
	<i>Monge v. Beebe Rubber Co.</i>	15
	Notes and Questions	20
	<i>Problem 1-1</i>	22
	Selected Bibliography	23
		ix

## 2 | The Classical System of Contract Law: Mutual Assent and Bargained-for Exchange 25

A. Mutual Assent: Offer and Acceptance	26
<i>Loneragan v. Scolnick</i>	27
Notes and Questions	30
<i>Normile v. Miller</i>	31
Notes and Questions	37
<i>Morrison v. Thaelke</i>	38
Notes and Questions	46
<i>Ray v. William G. Eurice &amp; Bros., Inc.</i>	48
Notes and Questions	56
<i>St. Landry Loan Co. v. Avie</i>	57
Notes and Questions	61
B. Enforcing Exchange Transactions: The Doctrine of Consideration	61
<i>Hamer v. Sidway</i>	62
Notes and Questions	66
Comment: History of the Consideration Doctrine	67
<i>Baehr v. Penn-O-Tex Oil Corp.</i>	69
Notes and Questions	72
<i>Dougherty v. Salt</i>	77
Notes and Questions	78
Comment: The Lawyer's Role in Counseling for Legal Effect	81
<i>Plowman v. Indian Refining Co.</i>	83
Notes and Questions	88
Comment: The Power of Agents to Bind Their Principals	92
Problem 2-1	95
Problem 2-2	96

## 3 | Obligation in the Absence of Exchange: Restitution and Promissory Estoppel 99

A. Restitution: Liability for Benefits Received	100
1. <u>Restitution in the Absence of a Promise</u>	102
<i>Glenn v. Savage</i>	103
Notes and Questions	104
<i>In re Estate of Steffes</i>	108



Notes and Questions	118
2. <u>Promissory Restitution</u>	120
<i>Mills v. Wyman</i>	120
Notes and Questions	123
<i>Webb v. McGowin</i>	126
Notes and Questions	129
B. <u>Promissory Estoppel</u> : Protection of Unbargained-for Reliance	133
1. Promises Within the <u>Family</u>	134
<i>Kirksey v. Kirksey</i>	135
Notes and Questions	136
<i>Ricketts v. Scothorn</i>	136
Notes and Questions	139
<i>Greiner v. Greiner</i>	140
Notes and Questions	144
2. Charitable Subscriptions	145
<i>Allegheny College v. National Chautauqua Co. Bank</i>	145
Notes and Questions	152
<i>Maryland National Bank v. United Jewish Appeal Federation</i>	153
Notes and Questions	161
<i>Problem 3-1</i>	162
3. Promises in a <u>Commercial Context</u>	163
<i>Katz v. Danny Dare, Inc.</i>	164
Notes and Questions	169
<i>Universal Computer Systems, Inc. v. Medical Services Assn.</i>	171
Notes and Questions	175
<i>Problem 3-2</i>	178
<i>Problem 3-3</i>	180

## 4 | **Obligation in the Absence of Complete Agreement** 183

A. <u>Limiting the Offeror's Power to Revoke</u>	183
1. Binding the Offeror to a Unilateral Contract	184
<i>Petterson v. Pattberg</i>	186
Notes and Questions	190
<i>Sylvestre v. State of Minnesota</i>	192
Notes and Questions	195
2. <u>Promissory Estoppel</u> : The Effect of Pre-Acceptance Reliance	197