

THE JAPANESE LEGAL SYSTEM

CASES, CODES, AND COMMENTARY

CURTIS J. MILHAUPT

J. MARK RAMSEYER

MARK D. WEST

FOUNDATION PRESS

CASES, CODES, AND COMMENTARY

THE JAPANESE LEGAL SYSTEM

by

CURTIS J. MILHAUPT

Fuyo Professor of Law and Director, Center for Japanese Legal Studies
Columbia University School of Law

J. MARK RAMSEYER

Mitsubishi Professor of Japanese Legal Studies
Harvard Law School

MARK D. WEST

Nippon Life Professor of Law and Director, Center for Japanese Studies
University of Michigan Law School

FOUNDATION PRESS

2006

THOMSON

WEST

This publication was created to provide you with accurate and authoritative information concerning the subject matter covered; however, this publication was not necessarily prepared by persons licensed to practice law in a particular jurisdiction. The publisher is not engaged in rendering legal or other professional advice and this publication is not a substitute for the advice of an attorney. If you require legal or other expert advice, you should seek the services of a competent attorney or other professional.

Nothing contained herein is intended or written to be used for the purposes of 1) avoiding penalties imposed under the federal Internal Revenue Code, or 2) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

© 2006 By FOUNDATION PRESS

395 Hudson Street
New York, NY 10014
Phone Toll Free 1-877-888-1330
Fax (212) 367-6799
foundation-press.com

Printed in the United States of America

ISBN-13: 978-1-59941-017-3

ISBN-10: 1-59941-017-6



TEXT IS PRINTED ON 10% POST
CONSUMER RECYCLED PAPER



UNIVERSITY CASEBOOK SERIES

EDITORIAL BOARD

ROBERT C. CLARK

DIRECTING EDITOR

Former Dean & Distinguished Service Professor
Harvard University

DANIEL A. FARBER

Sho Sato Professor of Law
University of California at Berkeley

OWEN M. FISS

Sterling Professor of Law
Yale University

HERMA HILL KAY

Dean & Barbara Nachtrieb Armstrong Professor of Law
University of California, Berkeley

HAROLD HONGJU KOH

Dean & Gerard C. & Bernice Latrobe Smith Professor of International Law
Yale Law School

SAUL LEVMORE

Dean & William B. Graham Professor of Law
University of Chicago

THOMAS W. MERRILL

Charles Keller Beekman Professor of Law
Columbia Law School

ROBERT L. RABIN

A. Calder Mackay Professor of Law
Stanford University

CAROL M. ROSE

Gordon Bradford Tweedy Professor of Law & Organization
Yale University

DAVID L. SHAPIRO

William Nelson Cromwell Professor of Law
Harvard University

KATHLEEN M. SULLIVAN

Stanley Morrison Professor of Law and
Former Dean of the School of Law
Stanford University

PREFACE

A recent National Geographic survey found that 42% of young Americans couldn't locate Japan on a map. We suspect that law students have better geography skills, but we think that even those who don't would benefit from an introduction to Japanese law. We could elaborate (as others have) for volumes, but we'd prefer to avoid a deep inquiry here into the justifications for comparative law. Suffice it to say that an exploration of Japanese law helps place legal rules and institutions in broader context, a context that includes economics, society, and politics. By way of comparison it helps expose the economic assumptions, political bargains, and social settings that animate the U.S. legal system. In this book, we explore that interplay between law and the larger context in which it operates and evolves, using Japan as our focal point.

Two of us have produced other collections of readings on Japanese law in the past. For this volume, we have borrowed in part from that material because some of the readings are now standard. But we have added much that is new and otherwise inaccessible to most non-Japanese students, particularly English translations of Japanese case law. We recognize that the book is a bit long, but we have included only material that at least one of us, and usually all three of us, enjoys and finds pedagogically useful.

We have not tried to advance a particular research method or approach. In truth, it would have been difficult for us to do so, because we often (cheerfully) disagree on matters of approach or conclusions to draw from a given set of facts. We have designed this material to play to the intellectual diversity of the classroom.

As an extensive compilation of readings and translations, this book represents the work of many scholars. We are grateful to Mr. Yukio Yanagida, Prof. Hidetaka Aizawa and Ms. Yukino Nakashima for assistance in contacting copyright holders, and thank all those who granted permission to reprint their work here. Our former students have helped us in numerous ways, including critiquing readings in earlier collections and translating cases for this book.

At bottom, we created this book because we find the material fascinating—even fun—and we hope that you will, too.

CURTIS J. MILHAUPT
J. MARK RAMSEYER
MARK D. WEST

*

CASES, CODES, AND COMMENTARY

THE JAPANESE LEGAL SYSTEM

*

SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

PREFACE	iii
CHAPTER 1. Introduction	1
A. The Historical Context	1
B. The Civil Law Tradition	36
CHAPTER 2. The Legal Profession	46
A. Structure, Education, and Training	46
B. Domestic Law Firms and Foreign Competition	77
C. Lawyer Scarcity: Comparative Approaches	87
D. The Judiciary	109
CHAPTER 3. Dispute Resolution	141
A. Disputes in Modern Japan	141
B. Competing Explanations	151
C. Institutional Factors	177
CHAPTER 4. Constitutional Law	191
A. Origins	191
B. The Military	205
C. Freedom of Religion	226
D. Minority Groups	245
CHAPTER 5. Contracts	295
A. Contract Practice	295
B. Contract Law	306
C. Comparative Perspectives	317
CHAPTER 6. Torts	327
A. Core Concepts	327
B. Medical Malpractice	347
C. Environmental Law	357
D. Products Liability	380
CHAPTER 7. Property	394
A. Landlord-Tenant Law	394
B. Regulation	400

CHAPTER 8. Criminal Law	402
A. Crime in Japan	402
B. Law Enforcement Institutions	438
C. Criminal Procedure and Police Behavior	456
CHAPTER 9. Family Law	488
A. The Traditional Family	488
B. Defining the Modern Family	515
C. Divorce	523
D. Birth Control	537
CHAPTER 10. Employment Law	545
A. Hiring, Work Conditions, and Firing	545
B. Discrimination	572
C. Sexual Harassment	593
CHAPTER 11. Corporate Law and Governance	615
A. Basic Legal Framework	615
B. The Institutional Setting	645
STATUTORY APPENDIX	729
INDEX	779

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE	iii
CHAPTER 1. Introduction	1
A. The Historical Context	1
Dan Fenno Henderson, <i>Nuinosuke v. Chūbē: Conciliation in Tokugawa Civil Trials</i>	1
Okō v. Sako	21
Michael Young and Constance Hamilton, <i>Historical Introduction to the Japanese Legal System</i>	27
B. The Civil Law Tradition	36
John Henry Merryman, <i>The Civil Law Tradition</i>	36
Martin Shapiro, <i>Courts: A Comparative and Political Analysis</i>	42
CHAPTER 2. The Legal Profession	46
A. Structure, Education, and Training	46
Michael K. Young and Constance Hamilton, <i>The Legal Profession of Japan</i>	46
Curtis J. Milhaupt & Mark D. West, <i>Law's Dominion and the Market for Legal Elites in Japan</i>	54
Daniel H. Foote, <i>Forces Driving and Shaping Legal Training Reform in Japan</i>	71
B. Domestic Law Firms and Foreign Competition	77
Yasuharu Nagashima and E. Anthony Zaloom, <i>The Rise of the Large Japanese Business Law Firm and its Prospects for the Future</i>	77
C. Lawyer Scarcity: Comparative Approaches	87
Kazuhiro Yonemoto, <i>The Shimane Bar Association: All Twenty-One Members Strong</i>	87
Derek C. Bok, <i>A Flawed System of Law Practice and Training</i>	97
Kevin M. Murphy, Andrei Shleifer and Robert W. Vishny, <i>The Allocation of Talent: Implications for Growth</i>	100
D. The Judiciary	109
John O. Haley, <i>The Japanese Judiciary: Maintaining Integrity, Autonomy and the Public Trust</i>	109
Setsuo Miyazawa, <i>Administrative Control of Japanese Judges</i>	117
J. Mark Ramseyer & Eric B. Rasmusen, <i>Skewed Incentives: Paying for Politics as a Japanese Judge</i>	123
1969 Beijing–Shanghai–Japan Industrial Exhibition v. Japan [The COCOM Case]	130
CHAPTER 3. Dispute Resolution	141
A. Disputes in Modern Japan	141
Steve Lohr, <i>Tokyo Air Crash: Why Japanese Do Not Sue</i>	141
Susan Chira, <i>If You Insist on Your Day in Court, You May Wait and Wait and Wait</i>	143
Frank K. Upham, <i>Law and Social Change in Postwar Japan</i>	145

B. Competing Explanations	151
Takeyoshi Kawashima, <i>Dispute Resolution in Contemporary Japan</i>	151
Carl J. Green, <i>Japan: "The Rule of Law Without Lawyers" Reconsidered</i>	154
John O. Haley, <i>The Myth of the Reluctant Litigant</i>	158
J. Mark Ramseyer and Minoru Nakazato, <i>The Rational Litigant: Settlement Amounts and Verdict Rates in Japan</i>	163
Tom Ginsburg & Glenn Hoetker, <i>The Unreluctant Litigant? An Empirical Analysis of Japan's Turn to Litigation</i>	169
C. Institutional Factors	177
Supreme Court of Japan, <i>Outline of Civil Trial in Japan</i>	177
Daniel H. Foote, <i>Resolution of Traffic Accident Disputes and Judicial Activism in Japan</i>	182
Shunko Muto, <i>Concerning Trial Leadership in Civil Litigation: Focusing on the Judge's Inquiry and Compromise</i>	185
CHAPTER 4. Constitutional Law	191
A. Origins	191
Hideo Tanaka, <i>A History of the Constitution of Japan of 1946</i>	191
<i>Selected SCAP Documents</i>	200
B. The Military	205
Japan Defence Agency	205
Japan v. Sakata [The Sunakawa Case]	207
Sakata v. Japan [The Sunakawa Case]	213
Ito v. Minister of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries [The Naganuma Nike Missile Site Case I]	216
Minister of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries v. Ito [The Naganuma Nike Missile Site Case II]	223
Uno v. Minister of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries [The Naganuma Nike Missile Site Case III]	224
C. Freedom of Religion	226
Kakunaga v. Sekiguchi [The Shinto Groundbreaking Ceremony Case]	226
Japan v. Nakaya [The Serviceman Enshrinement Case]	230
Anzai et al., v. Shiraishi, et al. [The Yasukuni Donation Case]	234
D. Minority Groups	245
1. Burakumin	245
Frank K. Upham, <i>Ten Years of Affirmative Action for Japanese Burakumin: A Preliminary Report on the Law on Special Measures for Dōwa Projects</i>	245
Japan v. [Party Omitted] [The Yata Criminal Case]	249
Japan v. Toda	260
Kinoshita v. Osaka [The Yata Administrative Case]	262
2. Ainu	267
Kayano et al. v. Hokkaido Expropriation Committee [The Nibutani Dam Decision]	267

D. Minority Groups—Continued	
3. Foreigners	279
Tokyo Metropolitan Government v. Chung Hyan-Gyun	279
Norimitsu Onishi, <i>Born to Be a Foreigner in Her Motherland</i> ...	286
Debito Arudou, <i>Japanese Only: The Otaru Hot Springs Case</i> <i>and Racial Discrimination in Japan</i>	288
CHAPTER 5. Contracts	295
A. Contract Practice	295
Takeyoshi Kawashima, <i>The Legal Consciousness of Contract in</i> <i>Japan</i>	295
E. Anthony Zaloom, <i>Obstacles to the Effective Rendering of Profes-</i> <i>sional Advice to Japanese Companies</i>	302
B. Contract Law	306
Sakabe v. Jushoin	306
Nihon gurando rekodo, K.K. v. Ito	307
Kono v. Otsuyama	310
[The Life Insurance Suicide Case].....	313
C. Comparative Perspectives.....	317
Stewart Macaulay, <i>Non-Contractual Relations in Business: A Pre-</i> <i>liminary Study</i>	317
Lisa Bernstein, <i>Merchant Law in a Merchant Court: Rethinking</i> <i>the Code's Search for Immanent Business Norms</i>	321
CHAPTER 6. Torts	327
A. Core Concepts.....	327
1. Negligence	327
Kigura v. Kasaoka	327
2. Injury and Damages	337
Kōno v. Otsuyama	337
B. Medical Malpractice	347
Kōno v. Japan	347
Kōno v. Japan	356
C. Environmental Law	357
Watanabe v. Chisso Corporation [The Kumamoto Minamata Case]	357
Frank K. Upham, <i>Litigation and Moral Consciousness in Japan:</i> <i>An Interpretive Analysis of Four Japanese Pollution Suits</i>	372
D. Products Liability.....	380
J. Mark Ramseyer, <i>Products Liability Through Private Ordering:</i> <i>Notes on a Japanese Experiment</i>	380
Ministry of International Trade & Industry, <i>Guide to the Product</i> <i>Liability Law</i>	385
Katsurakawa v. McDonalds	386
CHAPTER 7. Property	394
A. Landlord–Tenant Law	394
Reiganji v. Sano	394
[Five Million Yen Eviction Fee Case]	395
John O. Haley, <i>Land Issues in Japan: A Policy Failure?</i>	397

B. Regulation	400
Shin Tokyo kokusai kuko kodan v. Sanri zuka shibayama rengo kuko hantai domei.....	400
CHAPTER 8. Criminal Law	402
A. Crime in Japan	402
David H. Bayley, <i>Forces of Order: Police Behavior in Japan and the United States</i>	402
Norimitsu Onishi, <i>Crime Rattles Japanese Calm, Attracting Politi- cians' Notice</i>	405
[The Yamaguchi Gumi Shooting Case]	408
Curtis J. Milhaupt and Mark D. West, <i>The Dark Side of Private Ordering: An Institutional and Empirical Analysis of Organized Crime</i>	412
Minoru Yokoyama, <i>Analysis of the Crimes by Foreigners in Japan</i>	422
Apichai W. Shipper, <i>Criminals or Victims? The Politics of Illegal Foreigners in Japan</i>	431
Mayumi Izumida Tysons, Note, <i>Revising Shonenho: A Call to a Reform That Makes the Already Effective Japanese Juvenile System Even More Effective</i>	436
B. Law Enforcement Institutions.....	438
David H. Bayley, <i>Forces of Order: Police Behavior in Japan and the United States</i>	438
John O. Haley, <i>Authority Without Power: Law and the Japanese Paradox</i>	443
David T. Johnson, <i>The Japanese Way of Justice</i>	449
C. Criminal Procedure and Police Behavior	456
Supreme Court of Japan.....	456
Walter Ames, <i>Police and Community in Japan</i>	468
Japan v. Anonymous [The Police Shooting Case]	471
Setsuo Miyazawa, <i>Policing in Japan: A Study on Making Crime</i>	473
CHAPTER 9. Family Law	488
A. The Traditional Family	488
Joy Hendry, <i>Marriage in Changing Japan</i>	488
Robert Smith and Ella Wiswell, <i>The Women of Suze Mura</i>	495
J. Mark Ramseyer, <i>Odd Markets in Japanese History: Law and Economic Growth</i>	504
B. Defining the Modern Family	515
1. Legitimacy	515
Kōno v. Otsuno	515
2. Family Registry	521
Kōno v. City of Sapporo	521
C. Divorce	523
Harald Fuess, <i>Divorce in Japan: Family, Gender, and the State</i>	523
Gary S. Becker, <i>A Treatise on the Family</i>	526
Taimie L. Bryant, <i>Marital Dissolution in Japan: Legal Obstacles and Their Impact</i>	529
[The 36-Year Separation Divorce Case]	531
[The Responsible Spouse Divorce Case]	533

D. Birth Control.....	537
Samuel Coleman, <i>Family Planning in Japanese Society: Traditional Birth Control in a Modern Urban Culture</i>	537
Sabine Früstük, <i>Colonizing Sex: Sexology and Social Control in Modern Japan</i>	540
CHAPTER 10. Employment Law	545
A. Hiring, Work Conditions, and Firing.....	545
Shioda v. Kōchi Broadcasting Company	545
Tōyō Oxygen Gas Company v. Shimazaki	547
Sanyō Electric Company v. Ikeda.....	552
Ishiguro v. Nippon Stainless Steel Company	556
Aiko v. Nissan Motor Company	562
[The Dentsū Karoshi Case]	567
B. Discrimination.....	572
Matsuro v. Mitsui Shipbuilding Corporation.....	572
Sasaki v. Iron and Steel Federation.....	581
Linda N. Edwards and Margaret K. Pasquale, <i>Women's Higher Education in Japan: Family Background, Economic Factors, and the Equal Employment Opportunity Law</i>	589
C. Sexual Harassment.....	593
Kōno v. [Company X] et al. [Fukuoka Sexual Harassment Case] ...	593
Kono v. Shimizu Construction Co. [Yokohama Sexual Harassment Case]	600
CHAPTER 11. Corporate Law and Governance	615
A. Basic Legal Framework.....	615
Mark D. West, <i>The Puzzling Divergence of Corporate Law: Evidence and Explanations from Japan and the United States</i>	615
Bruce E. Aronson, <i>Learning from Comparative Law in Teaching U.S. Corporate Law: Director's Liability in Japan and the U.S.</i>	626
Ronald J. Gilson and Curtis J. Milhaupt, <i>Choice as Regulatory Reform: The Case of Japanese Corporate Governance</i>	636
B. The Institutional Setting.....	645
1. Keiretsu and the Main Bank System	645
Richard Pascale and Thomas P. Rohlen, <i>The Mazda Turn-around</i>	645
Paul Sheard, <i>The Main Bank System and Corporate Monitoring and Control in Japan</i>	658
Yoshiro Miwa & J. Mark Ramseyer, <i>The Myth of the Main Bank: Japan and Comparative Corporate Governance</i>	663
Curtis J. Milhaupt, <i>On the (Fleeting) Existence of the Main Bank System and Other Japanese Economic Institutions</i>	675
2. Shareholders and Gangsters.....	681
Mark D. West, <i>Why Shareholders Sue: The Evidence from Japan</i>	681
Mark D. West, <i>Information, Institutions, and Extortion in Japan and the United States: Making Sense of the Sokaiya Racketeers</i>	688
Japan v. Hobo	696
Chisso K.K. v. Gotō.....	697

B. The Institutional Setting—Continued	
3. Takeovers	702
Curtis J. Milhaupt & Mark D. West, <i>Institutional Change and M&A in Japan: Diversity Through Deals</i>	702
Curtis J. Milhaupt, <i>In the Shadow of Delaware? The Rise of Hostile Takeovers in Japan</i>	711
Shūwa K.K. v. K. K. Chūjitsuya	717
[The UFJ Case] Affirming the Appellate Proceedings Quashing the Temporary Injunction	720
Nippon Hōsō K.K. v. Livedoor K.K.	725
STATUTORY APPENDIX	729
Constitution of the Empire of Japan, 1889 [Meiji Constitution]	729
The Constitution of Japan, 1946	736
The Civil Code, Law No. 89 of 1896, as amended	749
Law of Securing, Etc. of Equal Opportunity and Treatment Between Men and Women in Employment	750
Product Liability Act [Law No. 85 of 1994]	756
Code of Criminal Procedure [Law No. 131 of 1948, as amended]	758
Act on Access to Information Held by Administrative Organs [Freedom of Information Act] Law No. 42 of 1999	760
Administrative Procedure Law	769
INDEX	779

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

We begin by detailing an early eighteenth-century suit and presenting a medieval comic play, both of which illustrate how the indigenous legal system apparently worked. We then provide an overview of two formative periods of Japanese legal history—Tokugawa (1600–1868) and Meiji (1868–1912). The two periods reflect in turn the development of an indigenous legal tradition, and the importation of western legal systems. We conclude by presenting contrasting perspectives on the civil law tradition, one of several legal traditions that shape modern Japanese law. One perspective emphasizes the differences between the civil and common law systems; the other stresses that these differences may be more formal or procedural than substantive.

A. THE HISTORICAL CONTEXT

Dan Fenno Henderson, *Nuinosuke v. Chūbē*: *Conciliation in Tokugawa Civil Trials*

in Dan Fenno Henderson, 1 *Conciliation and Japanese Law: Tokugawa and Modern*, 127–62 (1965).*

Facts of the Case

... At the time of suit (1808), Nuinosuke, the plaintiff, was a twenty-eight-year-old “farmer” with an assessed productive capacity of twenty-five *koku* [of rice] and supporting a household of fifteen persons including servants. [One *koku* of rice was enough to feed one person for one year.] Besides his farming he engaged in commercial fishing. He was thus a young and prosperous “farmer,” and as will appear later, he was also a shrewd, if somewhat complicated, businessman. His land holdings were in Hebara village, Ishimi district of Kazusa province. Hebara was about twenty-two miles from Edo (near present-day Chiba) in the fief of Ōoka Suzen-no-kami, a small hereditary *daimyō* of twenty thousand *koku*.

Chūbē, the defendant, was a tea house operator in Sakai-chō, the theater district in the city of Edo. According to the plaintiff’s petition, at the behest of the defendant, in the sixth month of 1807 the plaintiff bought

* From Dan Fenno Henderson, 1 *Conciliation and Japanese Law: Tokugawa and Modern*, 127–62 (Seattle: University of Wash-

ington Press, 1965). © 1965, University of Washington Press. Reprinted by permission of the publisher.

nineteen shares in the tea house guild of Sakai-chō and one residence building from the defendant and paid him fifty *ryō* [one *ryō* roughly equaled one *koku*, although the price of rice fluctuated substantially] of gold in cash. He left the property in the possession of the defendant, but he received a deed and a promise that the defendant would deliver the property to the plaintiff on request.

The following fall the plaintiff requested delivery and the defendant refused to perform. While they were arguing over the matter, the plaintiff learned that the seal of the defendant's houseowner, Mataemon, on the deed given to the plaintiff had been forged. According to the law of conveyancing of the Tokugawa period, a deed was invalid without the seal of the grantor's headman and houseowner, and forgery was punishable by Decapitation (*shizai*). Therefore, lacking alternatives this petition is humbly submitted. Please in your gracious benevolence summon the opponent, Chūbē, and in your abundant kindness conduct a thorough examination. If in accordance with the deed which the plaintiff holds, the transfer of both the shares and house to the plaintiff were ordered as soon as possible, [the plaintiff] would be grateful and respectfully happy. But in stating his answer orally, the defendant discloses several facts that indicate that Nuinosuke may have been a bit of a pleasure seeker, and that the transaction may have been a loan secured by the property conveyed, and that the defendant's daughter added a bit of incentive and color to the transaction. These facts were not clearly determined, because they became irrelevant to dispose of the case.

The defendant, Chūbē, alleged that Nuinosuke was enamored of his daughter, Michi, and that through the arrangements of the go-between, Buzaemon, he relinquished his daughter to Nuinosuke. To cover the expense of wedding preparations, he borrowed fifty *ryō* from the plaintiff. Since Nuinosuke and Chūbē were to become father-in-law and son-in-law, Buzaemon suggested that a note was unnecessary. Although they discussed whether Chūbē should deliver a mortgage deed, actually when the money was transferred, Chūbē wrote the deed in the form of a conveyance. Chūbē claimed that he objected to affixing his seal to a deed of conveyance, but after assurances that it was safe in a business relationship with the man who was to marry his daughter, he did seal the conveyance and forged the houseowner's seal on it. Chūbē did not deny that he had forged his houseowner's seal.

Nuinosuke always claimed that the transaction was a sale and that he did not know that his wife was Chūbē's daughter, but rather thought that she was the daughter of Hachibē, a houseowner of Torihatago-chō. These things seem difficult to believe, but Nuinosuke agreed with Chūbē at the time that the go-between was Buzaemon, and from remarks of the court, it seems that Hachibē was simply retaining Michi (the plaintiff's wife-to-be), as a servant, and that actually Chūbē was her real father. There is some question whether Michi was Nuinosuke's mistress rather than his wife. But leaving that aside, the plaintiff was apparently suing his father-in-law on what was probably a loan secured by a forged conveyance of the borrower's

business shares, and perhaps the consideration of extending the credit was one daughter, Michi. Since Chūbē admitted to the loan and forged deed and Nuinosuke soon agreed to accept repayment of the money transfer of the property, the exact nature of the transaction became immaterial to the conciliation settlement which followed, although it could have been quite material to the legal procedures which would have been followed, had the case gone to judgment. The long drawn-out proceeding was concerned almost entirely with the problems of when and how Chūbē should pay....

Initiation of the Suit

The Request to Submit the Petition (sashidashi negai): To begin the second stage in the Tokugawa dispute-settlement process, the litigant must proceed to a Shogunate court. The diary of Nuinosuke opens with his departure for Edo on the eighth day of the second month of 1808.

Upon arrival in Edo, it was customary for litigants to take up lodging at suit inns approved for out-of-town litigants by the Shogunate. Most of them were located in the section of Edo called Bakurō-chō. Between the eighth and eleventh, we can assume that Nuinosuke was getting to Edo, settling in the suit inn of Ōtsukaya Buzaemon, explaining his case to the suit innkeeper, learning the procedures from the innkeeper, and preparing to file his petition. These innkeepers served some of the function of lawyers by assisting the litigants in the preparation of their case and showing them the procedures, which the innkeepers had learned by experience with many other cases. Since lawyers were otherwise prohibited in court, this role of the publicly franchised litigant's inn was important to trial in Edo.

In a suit involving diversity of jurisdictions, it was necessary to get the official with primary jurisdiction (here the *daimyō*, Ōoka) to submit his approval of the petition. The *daimyō*'s approval was only obtainable if the plaintiff had first obtained the approval of his village headman. The *daimyō*'s approval was obtained by a request from the plaintiff bearing the headman's approval. If the petition were approved by the *daimyō* office, the *daimyō* sent an official to court with the plaintiff to request permission to file suit.

Thus, on the fourteenth of the second month, Nuinosuke went to the *daimyō*'s Lower Residence (*shimo yashiki*), which was the Office of Local Affairs (*jikata oyakusho*) for the fief of his *daimyō*, Ōoka. He requested the *daimyō*'s office to approve submission of his petition. As mentioned above, he had to present an application approved and sealed by his village officials, Accompanying Petitioner (*sashizoenin*), to show that he had been through the first stage of local conciliation. Although the text of his request to submit his petition is not given, apparently Nuinosuke failed to comply with this important prerequisite and did not include the name of the local official on his request. He was informed of the deficiency of his request on the fifteenth and resubmitted it on the sixteenth, after entering the name of a man, Heihachi, as substitute for the Group Chief (*kumigashira*). On the twenty-first he went to the upper residence of the *daimyō*, located at Iidamachi, and was granted an interview with Takagi, who, according to