



NATO Science for Peace and Security Series
E: Human and Societal Dynamics - Vol. 73

Rapid Diagnosis in Populations at Risk from Radiation and Chemicals

Edited by
Antonina Cebulska-Wasilewska
Andreyan N. Osipov
Firouz Darroudi

IOS
Press



*This publication
is supported by:*

The NATO Science for Peace
and Security Programme

Rapid Diagnosis in Populations at Risk from Radiation and Chemicals

Edited by

Antonina Cebulska-Wasilewska

*Environmental and Radiation Biology Department,
The H. Niewodniczański Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy
of Sciences, Kraków, Poland*

Andreyan N. Osipov

*N.N. Semenov Institute of Chemical Physics, Russian Academy of Sciences,
Moscow, Russia*

and

Firouz Darroudi

*The Department of Toxicogenetics, Leiden University Medical Centre,
Leiden, The Netherlands*

IOS
Press

Amsterdam • Berlin • Tokyo • Washington, DC

Published in cooperation with NATO Public Diplomacy Division

Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Training Course on Rapid Diagnosis in Population at
Emergency and Risk
Krakow-Zakopane, Poland
19-24 October 2009

© 2010 The authors and IOS Press.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without prior written permission from the publisher.

ISBN 978-1-60750-644-7 (print)
ISBN 978-1-60750-645-4 (online)
Library of Congress Control Number: 2010935401

Publisher

IOS Press BV
Nieuwe Hemweg 6B
1013 BG Amsterdam
Netherlands
fax: +31 20 687 0019
e-mail: order@iospress.nl

Distributor in the USA and Canada

IOS Press, Inc.
4502 Rachael Manor Drive
Fairfax, VA 22032
USA
fax: +1 703 323 3668
e-mail: iosbooks@iospress.com

LEGAL NOTICE

The publisher is not responsible for the use which might be made of the following information.

PRINTED IN THE NETHERLANDS

NATO Science for Peace and Security Series

This Series presents the results of scientific meetings supported under the NATO Programme: Science for Peace and Security (SPS).

The NATO SPS Programme supports meetings in the following Key Priority areas: (1) Defence Against Terrorism; (2) Countering other Threats to Security and (3) NATO, Partner and Mediterranean Dialogue Country Priorities. The types of meeting supported are generally "Advanced Study Institutes" and "Advanced Research Workshops". The NATO SPS Series collects together the results of these meetings. The meetings are co-organized by scientists from NATO countries and scientists from NATO's "Partner" or "Mediterranean Dialogue" countries. The observations and recommendations made at the meetings, as well as the contents of the volumes in the Series, reflect those of participants and contributors only; they should not necessarily be regarded as reflecting NATO views or policy.

Advanced Study Institutes (ASI) are high-level tutorial courses to convey the latest developments in a subject to an advanced-level audience.

Advanced Research Workshops (ARW) are expert meetings where an intense but informal exchange of views at the frontiers of a subject aims at identifying directions for future action.

Following a transformation of the programme in 2006 the Series has been re-named and re-organised. Recent volumes on topics not related to security, which result from meetings supported under the programme earlier, may be found in the NATO Science Series.

The Series is published by IOS Press, Amsterdam, and Springer Science and Business Media, Dordrecht, in conjunction with the NATO Public Diplomacy Division.

Sub-Series

A. Chemistry and Biology	Springer Science and Business Media
B. Physics and Biophysics	Springer Science and Business Media
C. Environmental Security	Springer Science and Business Media
D. Information and Communication Security	IOS Press
E. Human and Societal Dynamics	IOS Press

<http://www.nato.int/science>

<http://www.springer.com>

<http://www.iospress.nl>



Sub-Series E: Human and Societal Dynamics – Vol. 73

ISSN 1874-6276 (print)

ISSN 1879-8268 (online)

RAPID DIAGNOSIS IN POPULATIONS AT RISK FROM RADIATION AND CHEMICALS

Preface

Populations can potentially be exposed to varying doses of ionising radiation or to hazardous chemicals as a result of an accident, act of terrorism, or war. This exposure could cause direct clinical effects within days or weeks or bring about late effects on human health in such as an increased cancer rate. A determination of the magnitude of the exposure to individuals is crucial so that those persons with a significant health risk can have appropriate procedures initiated immediately. It is extremely unlikely that the potential victims will wear adequate exposure indicators. Therefore, there is a critical need for a method to measure the dose from molecular and cellular effects that occur within the individual and that are associated with levels of chemical, biological, radiological and nuclear (CBRN) agents, and their possible short and long term effects on potentially exposed members of a population at risk. Many methods are now available for biological monitoring both of the environment and of humans, and measuring genetic damage and other changes in macromolecules and body tissues. Damage to DNA and other molecules, tissues, and organs after acute or chronic exposures are referred to as biomarkers. For the best kind of study, human monitoring needs close contact with many other disciplines like epidemiology, medical physics and others. As an experimental science, it also has to keep pace with the rapidly growing understanding of the language of the genome and mechanisms of deterministic and stochastic effects, particularly risk of cancer. An individual's genetic constitution, lifestyle, age, diet and levels of physical activity, can affect the body's response to exogenous agents. Gene and pathway complexity have to be considered when investigating gene-environment interaction and "phenotypic" response of individuals at risk. The efforts of research and applied science should ultimately contribute to an approval of regulated biodosimetry and diagnostic tests integrated into the national and international radioprotection and human monitoring programs. The main aim of the RADIPER NATO Advanced Training Course was to cover scientific aspects in this field by taking in advance the best action to protect the public against consequences of terrorism and other threats on the basis of state-of-the-art knowledge. On the 95th anniversary of the First World Scientific and Scientists Service for Protection Against War Injury, and on the 60th anniversary of NATO, we have welcomed to Poland scientists and researchers from 22 countries, with the support of the NATO Science for Peace and Security Programme. We have welcomed them to the country of Madame Curie¹ (awarded twice with the Nobel Prize). Maria Skłodowska-Curie was the first, in 1914, to organize radiological and therapeutic services for the frontline of the First World War and to start training courses for medical doctors and nurses from two continents.

¹ *Nobel Award 1903* - 'In recognition of the extraordinary services they have rendered by their joint research on the radiation phenomena discovered by Professor Henri Becquerel'. *Nobel Award 1911* - 'In recognition of Maria Skłodowska-Curie services to the advancement of the chemistry and many other fields of radiation research, by the discovery of the elements radium and polonium, by the isolation of radium and the study of the nature and compounds of this remarkable element'.

We hope that participants of the RADIPER course have gained knowledge of the deterministic and stochastic effects and biomarkers associated with humans' early and late health risk, after exposure to physical and chemical agents. We hope they have also gained expanded practical skills in detecting biomarkers of exposure to genotoxicants using different well established biological assays, such as dicentrics, micronuclei, translocations, premature chromosome condensations, comet and more.

Finally, we hope that the participants of the RADIPER course will cherish and preserve the created network for use in the future, in order to protect the public from a pointless health risk.

The Editors



Contents

Preface	v
 I. Exposure, Biomarkers and Health Risk	
Monitoring Exposed Populations for Health Risk Assessment Using Biomarkers <i>William W. Au</i>	3
Short Term Assays in Human Monitoring of Health Risk from Genotoxic Exposures <i>Diana Anderson</i>	9
Environment-Related Cancer: Diagnosis and Risk Assessment <i>Sofia Pavanello and Marcello Lotti</i>	15
Development and Validation of Biological Assays to Assess the Genotoxic Potential of Physical and Chemical Agents in Human <i>Firouz Darroudi</i>	27
Relationship between Biomarkers of Effect (CAs, MNi, SCEs) and Risk of Cancer <i>Hannu Norppa</i>	51
Biomarkers in the Retrospective Biological Radiation Dosimetry (LET, Dose, Dose Rate Effects) <i>Antonina Cebulska-Wasilewska</i>	55
Heritable Versus Somatic Effects <i>In Vivo</i> and <i>In Vitro</i> <i>Diana Anderson</i>	65
 II. Retrospective Biological Dosimetry, Monitoring and Emergency	
Monitoring of Human Population Exposed to Radiation: Studies of Genetic Polymorphism and Expression of Minisatellite Mutations in Three Generations of Population Living in Vicinity to the Semipalatinsk Nuclear Test Site <i>Rakhmetkazhi I. Bersimbaev</i>	81
Assesment of Hemopoietic Progenitor Cells in Patients Affected by Chernobyl Accident and Risk of Oncohematological Diseases <i>Nadja M. Bilko</i>	95
Age-Related Difference in Genome Damage After Exposure to Ionizing Radiation in Living Environment <i>Aleksandra Fučić, Marija Gamulin, Vladimir Druzhinin and Jelena Katić</i>	103

Chromosome Aberrations in Nuclear Power Plant Workers <i>Birute Gričienė, Grazina Slapsytė and Jūratė Mierauskienė</i>	115
Markers of Apoptosis and Lymphocytes Subtypes among Persons Occupationally Exposed to X-Rays in Cardiac Catheterisation Units <i>Soheir S. Korraa, Sanaa Abdel Meguid, Rasha M. Khalil, Abdel Razik Hussein and Nabila Abdel Khalek</i>	123
Investigation of Hematopoiesis in Patients with Chronic Myeloid Leukemia Living on the Radionuclide Contaminated Territories <i>M.V. Diachenko, N.M. Bilko and I.S. Dyagil</i>	133
Rapid Diagnostic of Human Cervical Cells Under a Reflected Light Microscope <i>Malika S. Abdullakhodjaeva, Adkham A. Paiziev and Viktor A. Krakhmalev</i>	139
EPR as a Tool for Rapid Detection of Exposure in Case of Emergency and Health Risk <i>Jan Gąsiorkiewicz</i>	145
Monitoring of Occupational Exposures in Albania (2003–2007), Using TLD –100 Cards <i>Luan Qafmolla and Enver Hoxhaj</i>	149
Clinical Estimation of Radiation Effects Using the Organ-Related Response Categories Concept METREPOL <i>H. Dörr, T.M. Fliedner and V. Meineke</i>	153
Emergency Response of Toxic Chemicals in Taiwan: The ERT System and Case Studies <i>Jenq-Renn Chen</i>	163
III. Individual Susceptibility	
Investigation of Chromosomal Aberration Frequencies and Glutathione- S-Transferase M1 and T1 Genes in Workers Occupationally Exposed to Uranium in Northern Kazakhstan <i>G.A. Toksobayeva, A.A. Kakabayev and R.I. Bersimbaev</i>	177
Molecular and Cellular Biomarkers of Human Individual Sensitivity to Ionizing Radiation <i>Andreyan N. Osipov</i>	183
Exogenous and Genetic Factors Influence on the DNA Repair Efficiency and Health Hazard <i>Antonina Cebulska-Wasilewska</i>	189
Estimation of Genome Instability by the Comet Assay in the Risk Groups <i>Marharyta P. Smal, Nataliya V. Savina, Tatyana D. Kuzhir and Roza I. Goncharova</i>	201

Studies of the Susceptibility to Radiation of Prostate Cancer or BPH Patients and Healthy Donors	211
<i>Antonina Cebulska-Wasilewska, Justyna Miszczyk, Nazym Balegenowa, Zofia Rudek, Barbara Dobrowolska, Mateusz Krzysiek, Agnieszka Panek, Wacław Lipczyński and Zygmunt Dobrowolski</i>	
Studies on Response to the Challenging Dose of X-rays in Lymphocytes of Patients Undergoing Diagnosis and Treatment with Iodine –131	221
<i>Jan Gąsiorkiewicz, Mateusz Krzysiek, Justyna Miszczyk, Agnieszka Panek, Jolanta Pawlus, Artur Stepień and Antonina Cebulska-Wasilewska</i>	
IV. Modification of Biological Effects, Protection	
Protective Role of Vitamin C, E and Phyto-Food Preparation Against Gamma Radiation-Induced Damage	233
<i>Wagida A. Anwar, Hassan A. El-Daway and Sameh S. Tawfik</i>	
Effects of NAC on Fish Hepatoma Cells Treated with Ionizing Radiation and Mercury Chloride	247
<i>Mohammad Nili, Min Han, Kyung Man Hyun and Jin Kyu Kim</i>	
Effect of Nicotinamide and 1-methylnicotinamide on Radiosensitivity and DNA Repair in Human Lymphocytes	255
<i>Agnieszka Panek, Aldona Karabin, Jerzy Gębicki and Antonina Cebulska-Wasilewska</i>	
The Influence of Modifying Factors on Radiation Induced Apoptosis in Human Lymphocytes	261
<i>E.V. Baranova, A.V. Boreyko, I.I. Ravnachka and M.G. Saveleva</i>	
V. Models and Modelling	
Late and Low Dose Radiation Effects in Mammals as a Consequence of the Accidental Radionuclide Release to the Environment	269
<i>Andreyan N. Osipov</i>	
Multigenerational Effects of Chronic Ionizing Radiation in Natural Populations of Model Mouse-Like Rodents Living in Accidently Radiocontaminated Areas	277
<i>Nadezhda Ryabokon</i>	
Induction of Chromosomal Aberrations on the Somatic and Germ Cells in Mice After Long-Term Exposure to Low-Dose-Rate Gamma-Irradiation	291
<i>Hala F. Abdelhamid Awad, Hassan Moawad and AbdelMohsen M. Soliman</i>	
Age Dynamics of DNA Damage, Apoptosis and Cell Senescence in Mice After Exposure to Low Dose Gamma-Irradiation at Early Development Stages	301
<i>Alexey A. Moskalev and Ilya O. Velegzhaninov</i>	
Modelling of the Induced Mutation Process in Bacterial Cells	307
<i>Oleg V. Belov, Evgeny A. Krasavin and Alexander Yu. Parkhomenko</i>	

Mathematical Modelling of Radiation Changes in the Ozone Layer <i>Artak R. Barseghyan</i>	313
--	-----

VI. Trainings

Comparison of Sister Chromatid Exchanges (SCE) Levels in Lymphocytes Exposed to Challenging Doses of Radiation from Nonsmoker and Heavy Smoking Persons <i>Antonina Cebulska-Wasilewska</i>	321
--	-----

Retrospective Biological Dosimetry of the Absorbed Dose – Training on Estimation of the Radiation Dose of a Person Presumably Exposed to X – Ray Radiation by FISH <i>Justyna Miszczyk and Antonina Cebulska-Wasilewska</i>	329
--	-----

Comparison of Radio-Sensitivity and DNA Repair Efficiency in Prostate Cancer and Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia Patients Using SCGE (Comet) Assay <i>Mateusz Krzysiek and Antonina Cebulska-Wasilewska</i>	343
---	-----

Comparison of the Radiation Level Measured by Physical Dosimeters Located in the Tatra Mountains and at the Control Sites <i>Mariusz Kłosowski, Krzysztof Kozak, Jadwiga Mazur, Paweł Olko, Barbara Kubica, Paweł Skawiński and Antonina Cebulska-Wasilewska</i>	351
---	-----

Gene Mutations Frequency in <i>Tradescantia</i> Cells as a Bioindicator of the Environment at Risk <i>Antonina Cebulska-Wasilewska</i>	363
---	-----

Subject Index	375
---------------	-----

Author Index	377
--------------	-----

I. Exposure, Biomarkers and Health Risk

Monitoring Exposed Populations for Health Risk Assessment Using Biomarkers¹

William W. AU^{a,2}

^a Shantou University Medical College
Shantou, Guangdong Province, China

Abstract. Exposure to environmental toxic substances is a significant cause of human health problems. However, the critical question to ask is, at what concentrations and exposure conditions are these substances hazardous to human health. One approach to address the question is to conduct population monitoring studies using reliable biomarkers that can be used to indicate excessive exposure, identify biological damage and functional deficit, and predict increased risk for health problems. In this review, the application of traditional and functional biomarkers in population monitoring studies will be presented. A major emphasis in the review is to show how to design rigorous study protocols so that results from these studies will be appropriate for use in disease prevention programs.

Keywords. population monitoring, biomarkers, chromosome aberrations, challenge assay, DNA repair, health risk assessment

Introduction

Traditional epidemiological studies are instrumental to our understanding of health hazards from exposure to environmental toxic substances. On the other hand, these studies, in general, do not provide information on dose response, mechanisms for disease induction and inter-individual differences in response to the exposure. To address these shortcomings, scientists began to incorporate analytical procedures into epidemiological investigations. The revised protocols became known as molecular epidemiological studies [1]. Subsequently, the analytical procedures were expanded to include a variety of biomarkers that assess chemical metabolites, cellular response to exposure and biological consequences. In this brief review, the use of biomarkers in population monitoring studies is presented.

¹ Presented in the NATO (North Atlantic Treaty Organization) Advanced Training Course, Oct 19-23, Krakow, Poland

² Corresponding Author: Professor William W. Au, Associate Dean for the English Medical Curriculum and Chairman, Faculty of Preventive Medicine, Shantou University Medical College, No.22 Xinling Road, Guangdong Province, Shantou, China; Telephone : (86-754)88900279; Email: wau@stu.edu.cn

1. Strategies for Designing Population Monitoring Studies

The underlying reason for conducting population monitoring studies is to investigate whether exposure to certain environmental hazardous substances at some particular level poses a health risk to the population. In these investigations, scientists often use biomarkers to make the linkage between exposure, induction of abnormal biological effects and functional deficits, and risk for development of disease.

The initiation of a population study is often caused by the recognition of a serious pollution problem and the concerns for health problems in an exposed population. After gathering some exposure information and the composition of the exposed population, it is then necessary to conduct a careful literature research to validate the levels of health concerns. With the well-organized information, it is possible to formulate a good study hypothesis. The hypothesis should be testable with a well-designed investigation. It is essential that the design includes a vigorous protocol in the selection of individuals and appropriate biomarkers for the study. The overall goal of the investigation is to confirm or refute the health concerns and to test assumptions.

A vigorous protocol for the selection of exposed and control populations should include a list of predetermined criteria for inclusion or exclusion of volunteers. The selection process should be open to all qualified individuals so that there will not be a selection bias and/or any under-representation of certain subgroups of individuals.

It is well known that previous or concurrent exposure to other hazardous substances will have an undesirable effect on the outcome of investigations. These other environmental factors may include occupational exposure to other toxic substances, use of toxic medications, smoking of cigarettes and living near hazardous waste sites. In addition, it is important to select healthy individuals because certain medical conditions will influence individual responses to the exposure. Since the cigarette smoking habit is so prevalent in the population, it is, sometimes, impractical to exclude them from the exposed population but to match them with unexposed controls.

It should be emphasized that having appropriate controls is an essential component of the study. Ideally, the controls are identical to the cases in all aspects except the exposure conditions. In practice, the controls are selected based on the same criteria that are used for the exposed, except for the exposure. Then, the two qualified populations are matched based on certain essential characteristics such as age, gender and ethnicity. A general approach for matching for age is ± 5 years. For studies using small populations, e.g. around 50 cases and 50 controls, the matching can be done on a one-to-one basis. When the study populations are larger, frequency matching is more practical. In the latter approach, the matching is done based on the group characteristics rather than on individuals, (e.g. matching the mean age and pack-years of smoking for the two groups).

2. Selection of Biomarkers

Several review papers have addressed the use of different biomarkers in population monitoring studies [2, 3]. A summary of representative biomarkers is shown in Table 1. The available biomarkers are grouped into several categories: biomarkers of exposure, biomarkers for early biological effects and biomarkers for health risk. Biomarkers that are listed on the top of the table are of higher value because of their sensitivity and/or

specificity of measurements. It should be emphasized that a combination of biomarkers needs to be selected for the study in order to demonstrate the linkage from exposure to biological effects and to health risk.

Table 1. Traditional biomarkers for population monitoring studies ^a

Exposure	Biomarkers that are useful for indication of	
	Early biological effects	Health risk
Chemical metabolites	Chromosome aberrations	Chromosome aberrations
DNA adducts	Micronuclei	Specific gene expression
Protein adducts	DNA strand breaks & repair	
	HPRT gene mutation	
	Glycophorin A mutation	

^aThe biomarkers under each category are listed in the order of usefulness, the highest on top.

3. Functional Biomarker

Recently, several new interests have been focused on developing biomarkers to provide information on genome-based responses and on functional deficit to exposure. The former is based on genome-wide analysis and bio-informatic interpretation of the vast amount of data. Development of a functional biomarker, the cytogenetic challenge assay, is based on the assumption that the extensive and complex DNA machinery can be damaged by exposure to environmental toxic substances [4, 5]. Furthermore, damage to any component of a repair pathway will interfere with the pathway-specific repair activities.

In the challenge assay protocol, lymphocytes from exposed individuals and matched-controls are irradiated in vitro with 100 cGy X- or γ -rays (or 6 Joules/m UV-light) at the G₁ phase of the cell cycle and the expression of chromosome aberrations (CA) is determined at the metaphase stage of the cell cycle [6]. The X- or γ -ray-induced DNA damage would be subjected to the vast DNA repair machinery throughout the G₁, S, and G₂ phases of the cell cycle. Increased level of observed CAs in the exposed individuals compared with that in the controls is therefore indicative of in vivo exposure-induced DNA repair deficiency, e.g. chromosome deletions indicate the lack of (or incomplete) repair and translocations indicate mistakes in repair activities. Using the protocol, cigarette smokers, and workers exposed to butadiene, lead, pesticides and styrene, and residents exposed to uranium mining and milling waste and those exposed to heavy air pollution were found to have significantly higher CAs than the respective matched-controls [5]. On the other hand, mothers who were exposed to low level of air pollutants and workers who were exposed to very low levels of benzene did not show the defective repair capacity [5]. These studies show that prolonged exposure to environmental toxic substances, at high enough concentrations, causes damage to cellular repair mechanisms. In addition, the prediction of cancer risk among the uranium-exposed population [7] was confirmed by independent cancer epidemiological studies [8, 9]. These studies show that such exposure was significantly associated with the development of lung and kidney cancers.