Nonlinear Dynamics

A TWO WAY TRIP FROM PHYSICS TO MATH

H G Solari,

M A Natiello

and G B Mindlin

Nonlinear Dynamics

A Two-way Trip from Physics to Math

H G Solari

Departamento de Física, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

M A Natiello

Department of Mathematics, Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden

G B Mindlin

Departamento de Física, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Institute of Physics Publishing Bristol and Philadelphia

© IOP Publishing Ltd 1996

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publisher. Multiple copying is permitted in accordance with the terms of licences issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency under the terms of its agreement with the Committee of Vice-Chancellors and Principals.

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

ISBN 0 7503 0379 4 hbk ISBN 0 7503 0380 8 pbk

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data Solari, Hernán G.

Nonlinear dynamics: a two-way trip from physics to math / Hernán G. Solari, Mario A. Natiello, Gabriel B. Mindlin.

p. cm.

"December 14, 1995"

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-7503-0379-4 (hardbound : alk. paper). -- ISBN 0-7503-0380-8 (pbk. : alk. paper)

- 1. Nonlinear theories. 2. Dynamics. 3. Mathematical physics.
- I. Natiello, Mario A. II. Mindlin, Gabriel B. III. Title.

QC20.7.N6S65 1996 003' .85 - - dc20

96-15831

CIP

Published by Institute of Physics Publishing, wholly owned by The Institute of Physics, London

Institute of Physics Publishing, Techno House, Redcliffe Way, Bristol BS1 6NX, UK

US Editorial Office: Institute of Physics Publishing, The Public Ledger Building, Suite 1035, 150 South Independence Mall West, Philadelphia, PA 19106, USA

Typeset in TEX using the IOP Bookmaker Macros
Printed in the UK by J W Arrowsmith Ltd, Bristol BS3 2NT

There are more things in heaven and earth, Horatio Than are dreamt of in your philosophy...
W Shakespeare, Hamlet, Act 1, Scene 5.

To:
Bárbara, Patrizia and Silvia
Florencia and Julia
and Cristal

Acknowledgments

... si acaso fuera a quedar de mis deudas un haber...

José Larralde, Herencia pa' un hijo gaucho

The manuscript has been produced by the authors using LATEX and Canvas (for most of the figures). It has travelled a distance comparable to the size of the orbit of the Moon around the Earth in the form of binary pulses. We thank all the PTTs and relay operators involved for providing an almost daily connection across the world (and for allowing us to test the limits of our patience).

Grants from Fundación Antorchas, Argentina, from Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina and from Naturvetenskapliga Forskningsrådet, Sweden have partially supported this project. Travel grants from the Departamento de Física, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina, from Uppsala University, Sweden and from the International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy for supporting about half a dozen face-to-face discussion sessions during these three years are also acknowledged. H G S and G B M are members of the Carrera del Investigador Científico, CONICET, Argentina. We thank the librarians at Beurling and NTC libraries, Uppsala University for the kindness and patience with which they answered our wildest requests.

We are indebted to many people, some of them known to us and many of them not known, since every one of our ideas has been possible only because of the ideas of other people. We have certainly have received a lot more than we will ever be able to give.

We would like to thank those friends that have helped us in one way or another in producing this book, in particular (in alphabetic order): Neal Abraham, Celia Dibar de Ure, Billy Dussel, Sascha Firle, Robert Gilmore, Rafael Gonzalez, Osvaldo Goscinski, Lotten Hägg, Hector Mancini, Carlos Perez, Silvina Ponce Dawson, Marcos Saraceno, Jorge Tredicce and Martin Zimmermann.

H G Solari, M A Natiello, G B Mindlin

Preface

About the book

The aim of this book is to render available to readers the tools that nonlinear dynamics provides for the exploration of new problems in all fields of physics. In research we deal with open problems: problems for which, at the beginning, we have no solutions but at most a set of hunches, feelings and guesses based on our previous experience with other problems. From there, we work our path to the solutions (though we do not always succeed). In finding our way we take what seems to us the *most natural* approach just as we would bush-walk in the forest avoiding as much as possible the difficult paths in our hike towards an interesting place.

We will follow in the presentation the same procedure that we follow when doing research, i.e., we begin with the problem and find one path to the solution, we work inductively proposing new paths, checking them and redrawing our route according to the experience we gain in successive efforts.

We will avoid the temptation of selecting the problems according to the tools we possess. Rather, we prefer to construct the tools along with the problems. We sustain the idea that our tools (theories) and our problems evolve hand in hand. The best pages of physics have been written in this way. Consider for example the pairs calculus—mechanics (Newton) or Hilbert spaces—quantum theory (Von Neumann) and, as we will see, nonlinear dynamics—topology (Poincaré).

This is a book to be read with paper and pencil at hand. Our intention is to furnish readers with enough knowledge to be able to do research in nonlinear dynamics after having read the book (or better, while they are reading the book). We prefer to convey the key ideas within their mathematical framework rather than doing lengthy demonstrations. Therefore, the calculations around the results presented in the book are usually only sketched or left more or less as guided exercises.

At the end of the day, we would like the reader to finish this book with the feeling (or certainty) that, given enough time, she/he would have come up with the same answers to the problems as those we have shown (well ... perhaps just better answers). After all the answers are dictated by the problems, the two of them evolve in interaction, and our task is to read them from nature.

About nonlinear dynamics

Nonlinear dynamics is a subject at least as old in physics as Newton's mechanics. The dynamics of the planetary system, a primary concern for Newton, Poincaré and many others, turns out to be nonlinear in general, but fortunately the simplest examples can be solved exactly (two-body systems are completely integrable). In the context of Hamiltonian mechanics a completely integrable system is 'almost' a linear system in an appropriate set of coordinates (those given by the Hamilton–Jacobi theory for integrable systems [arno89]).

In contrast, three-body problems are also nonlinear, but in general very complex and non-integrable. It was while studying the three-body problem that Poincaré gave a new and important impulse to nonlinear dynamics at the beginning of the 20th century. However, the new physics of the atom (later the nucleus, then quarks, ...) caught the attention of physicists. There was apparently no use for nonlinear dynamics in quantum mechanics since the latter rests on Hilbert spaces (linear spaces after all). The excess of zeal with quantum mechanics caused the (almost complete) disappearance of nonlinear dynamics from physics and especially from textbooks.

The emergence of computers as new tools for theoretical physics in the late 1950s and early 1960s favoured a comeback of nonlinear dynamics. Numerical simulations made accessible to the intuition of physicists and non-physicists the richness of nonlinear models. The graphical output added an artistic touch.

Commensurate with its earlier neglect, the impact of the phenomenology of nonlinear dynamics rocked the physics community in the early 1980s, to the point that people even talked of a 'new science' [glei87].

Today, we have a calmer perspective. We recognize that many situations can only be described with nonlinear interactions. There is a growing consciousness that the tools, methods and phenomenology of nonlinear dynamics will be increasingly necessary for the study of most subjects in physics and natural science in general.

The present text is far from being a complete guide to nonlinear dynamics but it covers the basic ideas for general systems. Some topics, though important for historical and even practical reasons for physicists, like one-dimensional maps and Hamiltonian mechanics, have not been emphasized, *on purpose*. We are certain that if we were to stress these special (singular) cases we would induce the wrong generalization, just as our generation was induced to think that Hamilton–Jacobi theory applied to all systems.

The discussion is presented in most cases having in mind low-dimensional systems, i.e., systems where the spatial aspects behave coherently. In general, spatio-temporal dynamics is known to a lesser degree than low-dimensional dynamics and the authors' knowledge of the subject is correspondingly more limited.

Contents

	Ack	nowledg	gments		XV
	Pref	ace			xvii
1	1.1 1.2	Hiking Turbul Bénaro Dynan	among rate ance instabilitation of a region of a pla		1 2 3 6 10 13 16
2	2.1	Why I Linear 2.3.1 2.3.2	duction inear dynamics flows Autonor 2.3.1.1 2.3.1.2 2.3.1.3 Forced for 2.3.2.1 2.3.2.2 2.3.2.3 2.3.2.4 2.3.2.5	Autonomous homogeneous flows Autonomous inhomogeneous flows Singular points in bi-dimensional flows flows Additively forced flows Parametrically forced flows Floquet's theorem Oscillation theorem—Liapunov-Haupt Arnold tongues	19 19 20 20 21 21 26 27 29 30 31 33 35 37 38
3			examples		39
-	3.1		inary con	nments	39
	3.2			c CO ₂ laser	39
		3.2.1	The mo		39
		3.2.2	Dynami	cs of the laser	42
	3.3	Duffin	g oscillate		44

V111	Contents
AIII	Contents

		3.3.1	The mod	del	44
		3.3.2	Dynamic	es in the unforced model	44
	3.4		orenz equ		45
		3.4.1			46
		2000		Properties	48
		3.4.2		lynamics in the Lorenz model	49
	3.5				49
	3.6	Addit	ional exerc	cises	51
4	Eler	nents o	f the desc	ription	53
	4.1	Introd	uction		53
	4.2		elements		54
		4.2.1	Phase sp	pace	54
		4.2.2	Flow		55
		4.2.3	Invariant	ts	56
			4.2.3.1	Orbits	56
		4.2.4			58
				Attracting sets	58
				Attractors	58
				Basin of attraction	59
				Boundaries of the basin of attraction	60
			Trapping		60
		4.2.6	Stable ar	nd unstable sets	61
	4.3		aré section		62
		4.3.1		opic section	62
			Transver		63
		4.3.3		sections and Poincaré first-return maps	64
			4.3.3.1		64
				Local Poincaré section	65
	4.4	_	and dynan		66
		4.4.1		es of maps	67
		_	4.4.1.1	Conjugated maps	67
	4.5		eter depen		68
				of flows and maps	68
	4.6				69
	4.7	Additi	onal exerc	ise	69
5	Elen	nentary	stability	theory	71
	5.1	Introd	uction		71
	5.2	Fixed	point stab	ility	72
		5.2.1	Liapuno	v stability criteria	73
	5.3			he linearization procedure	76
	5.4	Maps	and period	lic orbits	79
		5.4.1	Maps		79
		5.4.2	Periodic	orbits of flows, Floquet stability theory	80

			Cont	tents	ix
	5.5	Structi	ural stability		82
		5.5.1	Orbital equivalence		82
		5.5.2	700 000 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100		83
	5.6	Summ			84
	5.7		onal exercise		84
6	Bi-d	limensio	onal flows		85
	6.1	Limit	sets		85
	6.2	Transv	verse sections and sequences		87
	6.3	Poinca	aré-Bendixson theorem		88
	6.4	Structi	ural stability		92
	6.5	Summ	nary		93
7	Bifu	rcation	s		95
	7.1	The bi	ifurcation programme		95
		7.1.1	Families of flows and maps		95
		7.1.2	Local and global bifurcations		96
		7.1.3	Local bifurcations: the programme		96
	7.2	Equiva	alence between flows		97
	7.3		tions for fixed point bifurcations		98
			Unfolding of a bifurcation		100
	7.4		ction to the centre manifold		101
			Adiabatic elimination of fast-decaying variable	es	101
			Approximation to the centre manifold		102
			Centre manifold for maps		105
			Bifurcations		106
	7.5	- 1	al forms		107
			Example: Jordan block		107
		7.5.2	•		109
		7.5.3	AND		110
		7.5.4	Resonances		112
			7.5.4.1 Small denominators		113
	7.6		est local bifurcations of fixed points		113
		7.6.1			114
		7.6.2	Pitchfork and transcritical bifurcations		114
			7.6.2.1 Pitchfork bifurcation		114
			7.6.2.2 Transcritical bifurcation		115
			7.6.2.3 Structural stability		116
		7.6.3	Hopf bifurcation (flows)		118
		7.6.4	General features of fixed point bifurcations		120
	7.7		cations of maps and periodic orbits		120
		7.7.1	Saddle-node bifurcation		120
		7.7.2	Flip bifurcation		122
		7.7.3	Hopf bifurcation (maps)		123 125
			Land Industrial Modern Control of the Carton		17.7

3/	Contents
X	

		7.7.4	Hopf bifu	rcation at a weak resonance	126
			7.7.4.1	Hopf bifurcation at a 1/3 resonance	127
			7.7.4.2	Hopf bifurcation at a 1/4 resonance	128
	7.8	Remar	ks		129
	7.9	Summ	ary		131
	7.10	Addition	onal exerci	ses	131
8			experimen		135
	8.1	Period-	-doubling o		136
		8.1.1	Period do	publing in the CO ₂ laser	136
			8.1.1.1	Manifold organization	139
		8.1.2	Scaling ra		142
		8.1.3		lving: the inverse (noisy) cascade	144
	8.2		break-up		145
		8.2.1		l Yorke's experiment	145
		8.2.2		of the map	147
	8.3			osions in the Lorenz system	150
	8.4			phenomena	153
		8.4.1	Crisis	W	153
		8.4.2		asin boundaries and chaotic transients	156
	0.5	8.4.3		cattering	158
	8.5	Summa	ary		159
9	Glob	al bifu	rcations: 1	T.	161
9	Glob 9.1			Clinic orbits	161 161
9	9.1		erse homo		
9	9.19.2	Transve 9.1.1 Homoc	erse homoo Linear ap linic tange	clinic orbits proximation ncies	161
9	9.19.29.3	Transve 9.1.1 Homoc Homoc	erse homoo Linear ap linic tange linic tangle	clinic orbits proximation ncies es and horseshoes	161 162
9	9.1 9.2 9.3 9.4	Transver 9.1.1 Homoc Homoc Heteroo	erse homoo Linear ap linic tange linic tangle clinic tangle	clinic orbits proximation ncies es and horseshoes	161 162 164
9	9.19.29.3	Transve 9.1.1 Homoc Homoc	erse homoo Linear ap linic tange linic tangle clinic tangle	clinic orbits proximation ncies es and horseshoes	161 162 164 165
	9.1 9.2 9.3 9.4 9.5 Hors	Transver 9.1.1 Homoc Homoc Heteroc Summa	erse homod Linear applinic tange linic tangle linic tangle clinic tangle ary	clinic orbits proximation ncies es and horseshoes	161 162 164 165 166
	9.1 9.2 9.3 9.4 9.5 Hors	Transve 9.1.1 Homoc Homoc Summa seshoes The inv	erse homoo Linear applinic tange linic tangle clinic tangle clinic tangle ary	elinic orbits proximation ncies es and horseshoes es	161 162 164 165 166 169
	9.1 9.2 9.3 9.4 9.5 Hors	9.1.1 Homocy Heterocy Summa seshoes The inv	erse homode Linear application tange clinic tangle clinic tangle clinic tangle clinic tangle clinic tange clinic tangle clinic tange clinic tangle clinic tange c	clinic orbits proximation ncies es and horseshoes	161 162 164 165 166 169
	9.1 9.2 9.3 9.4 9.5 Hors 10.1	9.1.1 Homocy Homocy Summa Seshoes The invalidation	Linear application Linear application tanger clinic tanger	clinic orbits proximation ncies es and horseshoes es	161 162 164 165 166 169 171
	9.1 9.2 9.3 9.4 9.5 Hors 10.1	9.1.1 Homocy Homocy Summa Seshoes The inv 10.1.1 Cantor Symbol	Linear applinic tange clinic tangle clinic tangle clinic tangle clinic tangle cry variant set A horsesh sets	clinic orbits proximation ncies es and horseshoes es oe prototype	161 162 164 165 166 169 171 171
	9.1 9.2 9.3 9.4 9.5 Hors 10.1	9.1.1 Homocy Homocy Summar Seshoes The inv 10.1.1 Cantor Symbol 10.3.1	Linear applinic tanger linic tangle clinic tangle clinic tangle cry variant set A horsesh sets lic dynamic Restriction	clinic orbits proximation ncies es and horseshoes es oe prototype cs n of the map to the invariant set	161 162 164 165 166 169 171 171 175
	9.1 9.2 9.3 9.4 9.5 Hors 10.1	9.1.1 Homocy Heterocy Summa seshoes The inv 10.1.1 Cantor Symbol 10.3.1 10.3.2	Linear application tanger delinic ta	clinic orbits proximation ncies es and horseshoes es oe prototype cs n of the map to the invariant set points of F^n	161 162 164 165 166 169 171 171 175 176
	9.1 9.2 9.3 9.4 9.5 Hors 10.1	Homocy Homocy Summa seshoes The invalid Cantor Symbol 10.3.1 10.3.2 10.3.3	Linear application tanger delinic delinic tanger delinication delin	clinic orbits proximation ncies es and horseshoes es oe prototype cs n of the map to the invariant set points of F^n se in Λ	161 162 164 165 166 169 171 171 175 176 177 178 179
	9.1 9.2 9.3 9.4 9.5 Hors 10.1	Homocy Homocy Summa (seshoes) The invalidation 10.1.1 Cantor Symbol 10.3.1 10.3.2 10.3.3 10.3.4	Linear applinic tanger clinic tangle clinic dynamic Restriction Periodic properiodic properi	clinic orbits proximation ncies es and horseshoes es noe prototype cs n of the map to the invariant set points of F^n se in Λ I unstable foliations	161 162 164 165 166 169 171 171 175 176 177 178 179 179
	9.1 9.2 9.3 9.4 9.5 Hors 10.1 10.2 10.3	Homocy Homocy Heterocy Summa (seshoes) The invariant Tourist T	Linear applinic tanger linic tangle clinic dynamic Restriction Periodic properiodic properio	clinic orbits proximation ncies es and horseshoes es noe prototype cs n of the map to the invariant set points of F^n se in Λ I unstable foliations of to initial conditions	161 162 164 165 166 169 171 171 175 176 177 178 179 179 180 180
	9.1 9.2 9.3 9.4 9.5 Hors 10.1 10.2 10.3	Homocy Homocy Heterocy Summa Seshoes The inv 10.1.1 Cantor Symbol 10.3.1 10.3.2 10.3.3 10.3.4 10.3.5 Horsesh	Linear applinic tange linic tangle clinic tangle clinic tangle clinic tangle clinic tangle wariant set A horsesh sets lic dynamic Restriction Periodic probit density topes and at the control of the con	clinic orbits proximation ncies es and horseshoes es noe prototype cs n of the map to the invariant set points of F^n se in Λ I unstable foliations to initial conditions ettractors	161 162 164 165 166 169 171 171 175 176 177 178 179 180 180
	9.1 9.2 9.3 9.4 9.5 Hors 10.1 10.2 10.3	Homocy Homocy Heterocy Summa (seshoes) The invalidation of the control of the con	Linear applinic tanger linic tangle clinic tangle clinic tangle clinic tangle ary variant set A horsesh sets lic dynamic Restriction Periodic properiodic properiodic properiodic processes and at Smale's the control of the control o	clinic orbits proximation ncies es and horseshoes es noe prototype cs n of the map to the invariant set points of F^n se in Λ I unstable foliations to initial conditions ettractors	161 162 164 165 166 169 171 171 175 176 177 178 179 179 180 180 181
	9.1 9.2 9.3 9.4 9.5 Hors 10.1 10.2 10.3	Transve 9.1.1 Homoc Homoc Heterod Summa seshoes The inv 10.1.1 Cantor Symbol 10.3.1 10.3.2 10.3.3 10.3.4 10.3.5 Horsesh 10.4.1 Hyperb	Linear applinic tanger linic tangle clinic tangle clinic tangle clinic tangle ary variant set A horsesh sets lic dynamic Restriction Periodic properiodic properiodic properiodic processes and at Smale's the control of the control o	clinic orbits proximation ncies es and horseshoes es noe prototype cs n of the map to the invariant set points of F^n se in Λ I unstable foliations to initial conditions tractors neorem	161 162 164 165 166 169 171 171 175 176 177 178 179 180 180

		Contents	хi
	10.7	Summary	185
		Additional exercises	185
11	One-	dimensional maps	187
		Unimodal maps of the interval	188
		11.1.1 Itineraries	190
		11.1.1.1 Order on the itineraries	191
		11.1.1.2 Reasonable itineraries	192
		11.1.1.3 Reasonable itineraries that are represented by	100
		some point	193
		11.1.1.4 Some examples	198
		11.1.1.5 The conclusion	200
	11.2	Elementary kneading theory	201
		11.2.1 Kneading of periodic orbits	201
		11.2.1.1 Orbit implication	202
		Parametric families of unimodal maps	204
	11.4	Summary	207
12	Торо	ological structure of three-dimensional flows	209
	12.1	Introduction	209
	12.2	Forced oscillators and two-dimensional maps	210
	12.3	Topological invariants	214
		12.3.1 The Conway polynomial and the linking number	215
		12.3.2 Braids	218
		12.3.3 Relative rotation rates	220
		Orbits that imply chaos	221
	12.5	Horseshoe formation	223
		12.5.1 Hénon map and the logistic map	223
		12.5.2 Orbit organization	225
		12.5.3 Subtleties of orbit organization	227
		12.5.4 Suspensions	227
		12.5.5 Templates	229
		Topological classification of strange attractors	230 232
	12.7	Summary	232
13	The	dynamics behind data	233
		Introduction and motivation	233
	13.2	Characterization of chaotic time-series	234
		13.2.1 Imbeddings	235
		13.2.2 Dimensions	238
		13.2.3 Liapunov exponents	241
		13.2.4 Entropy	242
		13.2.4.1 Probability entropy	242
		13.2.4.2 Topological entropy	244
	13.3	Is this data set chaotic?	244

	~
X11	Contents

		13.3.1 The well known enemies	245
		13.3.2 Testing the tests	247
		13.3.2.1 Testing with surrogate data	248
		13.3.3 Topological tests: how chaotic is our data set?	250
	13.4	Summary	251
14	Pert	urbative methods: averaging	253
	14.1	The perturbative problem	254
		14.1.1 The problem in standard form	254
		14.1.2 A first view of averaging	254
	14.2	A non-trivial example	256
		14.2.1 Rate equations for the laser with injected signal	256
		14.2.2 Averaged equations	257
		14.2.3 Fixed points and bifurcations	260
	14.3	The periodic case	263
		14.3.1 Bifurcations	268
	14.4	Perturbation of a Hamiltonian problem	268
		14.4.1 General position	270
		14.4.2 Resonant layer	271
		14.4.3 Perturbation of fixed points	273
		Perturbation of homoclinic loops	274
	14.6	Hopf bifurcation at a strong resonance	279
		14.6.1 The 1/3 resonance	281
		14.6.2 The 1/4 resonance	283
		14.6.2.1 Case $ A > 1$	284
		14.6.2.2 Case $ A < 1$	284
		Summary	286
	14.8	Additional exercise	287
15		rcations and symmetries	289
		Introduction	289
		Symmetries and groups	290
		Intensity patterns in lasers	291
	15.4	Symmetries and bifurcation theory: the tricks	293
		15.4.1 Symmetries and linear theory	294
		15.4.2 Invariant theory	296
		The treats	297
		Revisiting the laser	300
		Imperfect symmetries	302
		Summary	303
		Additional exercises	304
16		al bifurcations: II	307
		Introduction	307
	16.2	Homoclinic orbits of maps and flows	307

	Contents	xiii
16.2.1 N	Ianifold organization around a homoclinic orbit	308
	lanifold organization around periodic orbits of flows	309
	5.2.2.1 Intersection of manifolds	310
16.3 Homoclin	ic orbit in two-dimensional flows (to a fixed point)	311
	ic orbit in three-dimensional flows (to a fixed point)	314
	omoclinic orbit with real eigenvalues	314
	6.4.1.1 Parameter versus period plots	317
16.4.2 H	omoclinic explosions: homoclinic orbit with symme	try 318
1	6.4.2.1 Local and global maps: a geometric approa	ch. 319
16.4.3 H	Iomoclinic orbit with complex eigenvalues	322
1	6.4.3.1 Parameter versus period plots in the Šilnik	ov
	phenomenon	324
1	6.4.3.2 The Šilnikov's scenario and horseshoes	326
16.5 The ques	t for homoclinic connections	327
16.5.1 H	leteroclinic cycles in symmetric systems	328
16.5.2 I	Iomoclinic orbits in the laser	329
	6.5.2.1 Local and global maps	330
1	6.5.2.2 Homoclinic orbits and the Šilnikov phenom	enon332
16.6 Summar	!	333
References		335
Index		343

Chapter 1

Nonlinear dynamics in nature

'man never regards what he possesses as so much his own, as what he does; and the labourer who tends a garden is perhaps in a truer sense its owner, than the listless voluptuary who enjoys its fruits... In view of this consideration, it seems as if all peasants and craftsmen might be elevated into artists; that is, men who love their labour for its own sake, improve it by their own plastic genius and inventive skill, and thereby cultivate their intellect, ennoble their character, and exalt and refine their pleasures. And so humanity would be ennobled by the very things which now, though beautiful in themselves, so often serve to degrade it... But, still freedom is undoubtedly the indispensable condition, without which even the pursuits most congenial to individual human nature can never succeed in producing such salutary influences. Whatever does not spring from a man's free choice, or is only the result of instruction and guidance, does not enter into his very being, but remains alien to his true nature; he does not perform it with truly human energies, but merely with mechanical exactness... we admire what he does, but despise what he is.'

Wilhelm Von Humboldt [chom87]

A sound theory starts usually with experiment. The cornerstone of natural sciences is precisely its validation procedure, namely the fact that relevant assertions can be put to test by way of suitable experiments and eventually be rejected (if the experiment *proves* them wrong). Consequently, the aim of this chapter is to present nonlinear problems from the experimental point of view. By *nonlinear* we just mean systems that demand to be modelled by nonlinear differential equations or nonlinear discrete-time mappings.

We will review some experiments that illustrate the kind of phenomenon we expect to find in nonlinear systems. The choice of examples is unavoidably biased and incomplete. We combine everyday experiences with well known problems, all of them sharing the characteristic features of nonlinearity. We want to emphasize that for the physicist nonlinear dynamics is far more than

a theoretical entertainment. Moreover, we hope to make clear that almost everything in nature is nonlinear.

1.1 Hiking among rabbits

A few years ago one of us went to a National Park near Ushuaia, Argentina on a 2 week hiking trip. The park is placed in the southernmost part of *Tierra del Fuego*, the mysterious island that enchanted sailors, poets and natural scientists [darw88]. At that time, it was striking to notice that there were plenty of rabbits, and that many of them looked rather ill.

In fact, rabbits are not 'original' to the place, but were introduced (from Europe) by sailors as a way of having fresh meat when the ports of Tierra del Fuego were a natural stop in the route from the Atlantic to the Pacific, before the opening of the Panama Canal. Rabbits reproduced and spread all around the region (which suggests that they encountered no natural predators).

Towards the end of the 19th century the region was substantially occupied by cattle-farmers. Rabbits entered into competition with the cattle (sheep) and the farmers searched for a way of getting rid of them.

The farmers learned that a similar situation had arisen in Australia, where the matter was 'solved' by inoculating rabbits with a specific virus, which provoked a dramatic decrease in their population. The same approach was tried in Tierra del Fuego despite the opposition of the Park authorities and rangers. The inoculation was not as massive as in Australia, since the Park became virtually a protection sanctuary and the farmers did not find collaboration for their project.

After a sharp drop in population, rabbits in Australia recovered their previous population levels, developing a virus-resistant breed. In Argentina, after an initial decrease in population, a fluctuating state has been reached. There is an apparently periodic alternation between years with high levels of mortality and sickness and years with low levels of mortality.

The tale has many morals. However, we will concentrate on some physically relevant consequences, although they may not be the most important. To begin with we shall assume that the comparison between the Australian and the Argentine 'experiments' is scientifically valid.

To assess which are the reasons behind oscillatory behaviour in population dynamics is an interesting topic of research in itself. For our purposes, one would expect that periodic changes in rabbit populations would have a 'natural' period such as that of the season cycle or the reproduction cycle.

We begin noticing that seemingly similar systems (rabbit populations) starting from apparently different initial states (the ratio between infected and healthy rabbits) can lead to the occurrence of different final (asymptotic) states. This result may not be surprising recalling better known problems such as the ideal pendulum or a two-body Kepler problem.

The dramatically new result is the existence of oscillating cycles which

extend over several years. What is surprising is not the periodic character of the solution but rather the fact that the period is *not* the 'natural' one. A recurrence of many times a given period is called *subharmonic behaviour*. Such behaviour cannot be achieved within a linear model.

Population dynamics, epidemiology and mathematical biology in general count among the most important contributors to the 'comeback' experienced by nonlinear science in recent decades. For example, very important names associated with nonlinear dynamics such as S Smale [smal76] and R May [may 75] have worked on this subject. A discussion concerning the example of the rabbits can be found in [dwye90]. Needless to say, the example of the rabbits is far from being unique.

1.2 Turbulence

The 1883 paper by Osborne Reynolds [reyn83] established the law of similarity that now bears his name (recall the *Reynolds number*). The article was concerned with two issues, one practical and one philosophical: 'the law of resistance of the motion of water in pipes' and 'that the general character of the motion of fluids in contact with solid surfaces depends on the relation between a physical constant of the fluid and the product of the linear dimensions of the space occupied by the fluid and the velocity'. Discussing these issues, Reynolds gave us the first study of the transition from laminar motion to turbulent motion in a fluid (*direct* and *sinuous* motion, as they are called in the paper). The exposition hardly needs further comments.

Reynolds states that 'The internal motion of water assumes one or another of two broadly distinguishable forms — either the elements of the fluid follow one another along the lines of motion which lead in the most direct manner to their destination, or they eddy about in sinuous paths the most indirect possible'. These are the laminar and turbulent forms of motion.

Further, we read that 'Certain circumstances have been definitely associated with the particular laws of force. Resistance, [varying] as the square of the velocity, is associated with motion in tubes of more than capillary dimensions, and with the motion of bodies through the water at more than insensibly small velocities, while resistance [varying] as the velocity is associated with capillary tubes and small velocities'. This is illustrated by figure 1.1 taken from Prandtl and Tietjens [pran34].

There are other circumstances that distinguish turbulent motion from laminar motion. For example, while laminar motion does not mix different stream lines, turbulent motion does. Therefore, if we colour one of the streamlines (see figure 1.2 taken from [reyn83]), or heat it up as in [barn04], only this streamline will be altered while, by contrast, in turbulent motion the whole fluid downstream of the alteration will be changed. Reynolds' description of this experiment is an excellent piece of scientific literature.

Having established that the transition between laminar and turbulent flow