Microbial Testers

Probing Carcinogenesis

edited by I. Cecil Felkner

Microbial Testers

Probing Carcinogenesis

Edited by I. CECIL FELKNER

Institute for Mechanisms of Carcinogen Action Vollage Texas Tech University Lubbock, Texas



r translated in any ludes of photocopying, toudge and retrieval

270 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 1901.

Current printing dark digit):

MARCEL DEKKER, INC.: New York Baselou and Marcel Details

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data Main entry under title:

Microbial testers.

(Microbiology series; v. 5) Includes bibliographies and indexes.

- 1. Carcinogenicity testing. 2. Microbial mutation.
- I. Felkner, I. Cecil, [date] [DNLM: I. Carcinogens.
- 2. Mutagens. 3. Microbial sensitivity tests. Wl

MI292S v. 5 / QZ 202 M626]

616. 99'4071 80-29034 RC268, 65, M52

ISBN 0-8247-1244-7

COPYRIGHT © 1981 by MARCEL DEKKER, INC. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

Neither this book nor any part may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, microfilming, and recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher.

MARCEL DEKKER, INC.

270 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10016

Current printing (last digit): 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

PRINTED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FOREWORD

Emphasis on this compilation of essays in Felkner's Microbial Testers: Probing Carcinogenesis is a thrust in preventive medicine. In our essentially artificial environment there are working and living arenas revealing an interesting list of common substances suspected of causing cancer; and perhaps, more importantly, due to our environment we have adopted life styles and habits with known carcinogenic overtones. The emphasis in the text is to study microbial tester systems (and sensitive scientific instrumentation) as a means of detecting small traces of organic or inorganic chemicals, with important directional points toward implementation of cancer prevention.

If, in a rapid screen, a carcinogen is detected as a mutagen either directly or indirectly, there is an excellent possibility of pinpointing the chemical as a link in the events leading to a potential neoplastic problem. To identify the different chemical contaminations is the thrust of this book with deciphering the cost/benefit ratios and informational transfer to the human organism being the next logical steps from this basic science.

Bold leadership is needed in the oncology scientific community to test and then activate the conceptualization of cancer prevention. This differs from the research upon cancer cause and/or mechanisms of cancer metabolism. If we are being unwittingly exposed to conditions, materials, or chemicals, directly or through social habit patterns, a tester system is of inestimable help. This is particularly so if the system(s) is comparatively inexpensive, swift, and reasonably active in transferring knowledge of mutagenicity to carcinogenesis.

While the microbial tester system is basic and fundamental to health maintenance, leadership in the application and interpretation of this information may be difficult.

Iatrogenic issues must be faced; drugs or agents used to cure or palliate cancer may cause cancer. Cigarette smoking and, indeed, the physical agents associated with sunbathing are a social behavioral science issue. Colonic neoplasms may reflect in causation a sequence of interacting carcinogens and cocarcinogens, including dietary factors, all of which need to be unraveled.

The microbial tester system represents a rapid system to detect mutagens which cause DNA damage and have a high likelihood of being carcinogenic. The latency of the neoplastic process in the human being and the length and expanse of studies in animals makes this system very important to our health and the health of our progeny.

ROBERT C. HICKEY

Executive Vice President University of Texas System Cancer Center Houston, Texas

Director

M. D. Anderson Hospital and Tumor Institute

ually artificial environment there are working and living arenas revealing artiferesting list of common substances suspected of causing concer; and perhaps, more importantly, due to our environment we have adopted life styles and habits with known carcinogenic overtones. The emphasts in the text is to study interoblal tester systems (and sensitive scientific instrumentation) as a means of detecting small traces of organic or inorganic chemicals, with important directional points toward implementation of cancer prevention.

If, in a rapid sereon, a carcinogen's detected as a mutagen either directly or indirectly, there is an excellent possibility of pinpointing the chemical as a link in the events leading to a potential neoplastic problem. To identify the different chemical contaminations is the thrust of this book with deciphering the cost, benefit ratios and informational transfer to the human organism being the next logical steps from this basic science.

Bold lendership is needed in the oncology scientific community to test and then activate the conceptualization of cancer prevention. This differs from the research upon cancer cause and/or mechanisms of cancer metabolism. If we are being unwittingly exposed to conditions, materials, or chemicals, directly or through social habit patterns, a tester system is of inestimable help. This is particularly so if the system(s) is comparatively inexpensive, swift, and reasonably active in transferring knowledge of mutagenicity to carcinogenesis.

PREFACE

This book is intended to give an account of the primary evidence for our current belief that environmental agents acting at the cellular, subcellular, and molecular levels can be detected and identified by carefully engineered microbial genetic systems. One should not, however, be led to the conclusion that identification of a suspected etiological agent as being one with mutagenic, DNA-damaging, or other genetic activity will necessarily associate it with oncogenic properties. Rather, a broader concept which is the underlying theme of this book should become apparent. This is the widely accepted theory that agents such as radiation and certain classes of chemicals which are reactive with nucleic acids may induce a sequence of events that lead to oncogenic, teratogenic, reproductive, and many other types of deleterious genetic effects. These genetic effects may be perpetuated through either somatic or germinal cell lines culminating in such dramatic expressions as cancer, birth defects, inherited metabolic or physiological

diseases, and atherosclerosis.

The approach that we chose for this book is a relatively simple one that orients the reader through a series of four parts, each of which should provide conceptual building blocks for the next. Briefly, these include molecular and cellular mechanisms, design and construction of the assays, identification of potential pitfalls and suggestions for their resolution, and some examples of practical problems for which microbial assays have been or might be used.

vi

This book has been prepared primarily for the scientist who is interested in the broad interdisciplinary areas of genetic toxicology, oncology, teratology, and in general environmental protection monitoring. It is hoped that the concepts and systems described will suggest to the reader unique ways to develop additional and more effective approaches, and assays to solve these problems which are potential sources of human suffering and economic drain.

As editor of this book, I wish to express my sincere gratitude to the authors who so generously contributed their valuable time and expertise. I wish to pay a special tribute to two of my former mentors whose guidance was particularly important to my interest in the present topic. To Professors Charlotte Auerbach and Orville Wyss, I am especially grateful. Finally, I would express my gratitude to Linda, my wife, not only for designing the opening page of the book, but also for her understanding during my many hours of labor.

I. ÇECIL FELKNER

Texas Tech University Lubbock, Texas

This book is intended to give an account of the primary evidence for our courrent belief that environmental agents acting at the cellular, subcellular, and molecular levels can be detected and dentitled by carefully engineered microfial genetic systems. One should not, however, be led to the convolution that identification of a suspected stiplogical agent as being one with metagenic, DNA-damaging, or other genetic activity will necessarily associate it with encogenic properties. Rather, a brugder concept which is the aderlying theme of this book should become apparent. The is the widely accepted theory that agents such as radiation and entrum classes of chemicals which are tractive with nucleic soids may induce a sequence of events that lead to encogenic, teratogenic, reproductive, and many other types of deleterious genetic effects. These genetic effects may be perpetuated through eliber somatic or germinal cell lanes culminating in such dramatic expressions as cancer, birth defects, inherited metabolic or physiological diseases, and atherosocierosic.

The approach that we chose for this book is a relatively simple one that orleads the reader through a series of four parts, each of which shobite frow vide conceptual building blocks for the next. Building, these include molecular and reliuier mechanisms, design and construction of the assays, identification of potential pitalis and suggestions for their resolution, and some examples of practical problems for which microbial assays have been or might be used.

TONG-MAN ONG Laboratory of Molecular Genetics, Microbiology Section, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, Research Extangle Park, North Carolina

CONTRIBUTORS

BANDARU S. REDDY Division of Nutrition, Navier Cans institute for Disease Prevention, American Health Foundation, Valhalia, New York

STEPHEN J. RINKUS Department of Preventive Medicine and Community Health, Division of Environmental Toxicology, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, Texas

PILL-SOON SONG Department of Chemistry, Texas Tech University, Lubbook, Texas

I. CECIL FELKNER Department of Biological Sciences, Institute for Mechanisms of Carcinogen Action, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas

M. L. HARTER* Cold Spring Harbor Laboratories, Cold Spring Harbor, New York

FRED F. KADLUBAR Division of Carcinogenesis Research, National Center for Toxicological Research, Jefferson, Arkansas

B. J. KILBEY Institute of Animal Genetics, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Scotland

ANDREW D. LAUMBACH Division of Food and Color Additives, Bureau of Foods, Food and Drug Administration, Washington, D.C.

MARVIN S. LEGATOR Department of Preventive Medicine and Community Health, Division of Environmental Toxicology, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, Texas

THOMAS S. MATNEY Medical Genetics Center, Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, the University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, Texas

Present Affiliation

^{*}Department of Microbiology, New Jersey Medical School, College of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey, Newark, New Jersey

TONG-MAN ONG* Laboratory of Molecular Genetics, Microbiology Section, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina

CHING-NAN OU Department of Chemistry, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas

BANDARU S. REDDY Division of Nutrition, Naylor Dana Institute for Disease Prevention, American Health Foundation, Valhalla, New York

STEPHEN J. RINKUS Department of Preventive Medicine and Community Health, Division of Environmental Toxicology, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, Texas

PILL-SOON SONG Department of Chemistry, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas

HARRY F. SPROAT Department of Pathology, Health Sciences Center, School of Medicine, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas

ULDIS N. STREIPS Department of Microbiology and Immunology, School of Medicine, University of Louisville, Louisville, Kentucky

DAVID H. SWENSON National Center for Toxicological Research, Food and Drug Administration, Jefferson, Arkansas and Englishment and Toxicological Research, Food

K. JOHN TAPLEY, JR. Department of Chemistry, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas

JONATHAN B. WARD, JR. Department of Preventive Medicine and Community Health, Division of Environmental Toxicology, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, Texas

SHIANG P. YANG Department of Food and Nutrition, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas

RONALD E. YASBIN Department of Microbiology, Cell Biology, Biochemistry and Biophysics, College of Science, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania

Present Affiliation

^{*}Division of Respiratory Disease Studies, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, ALOSH, Morgantown, West Virginia

Genetic Toxicology Unit, The Upjohn Company, Kalamazoo, Michigan

xiv

not enough to accept the theory that possession of both carcinogenic and mutagenic and/or DNA-damaging properties necessarily implies an identi-cal underlying mechanism. Thus a sophisticated development of biochemistry, molecular biology, and photochemistry was the historic predecessor in the construction of wileschafted by the construction of the construction of wileschafted by the construction of the construction

restricted to mammalian life forms. Therefore, any encoloring

animal models and/or relevant epidemiological data. It is now becoming well established that cancer epidemiology and even animal cancer models may be greatly modified by dietary and nutritional components. Thus, a given dose of a carcinogen may appear to be very potent in an individual with one nutritional status, but relatively weak in another whose dietary regimen is different. This makes it very difficult to relate the potency of an agent as a carcinogen to its potency as a mutagen or DNA-modifying substance, even if it can be shown that the mechanism by which an one ogen receponse is induced is molecularly idealical to mutation induction.

There is a strong tendency for us to want precise answers to our queries rather than to seek guidance toward asking the right questions. Perhaps if we ask what questions might be answered about chemical carcinogenesis with the aid of microbial testers, then a unique focal point may emerge.

On first inspection, the contents of this book might lead the reader to conclude that the topics covered are too diverse to be interrelated. This is because on the one hand microbial detectors are discussed, while on the other hand there is the matter of chemical carcinogens. In addition, there are chapters which deal with chemical and molecular biological concepts while still others focus on the relationship of diet and nutrition to cancer epidemiology. This could cause one to assume that a central focus might be missing. In order to reconcile these potential confusions, it is desirable to explain how these parts have a truly important interconnection.

To approach the subject of chemical carcinogenesis, it is clear that a multidisciplinary approach is required. To this end, it is perceived that bringing together varied aspects of research should ultimately lead to the prevention of cancer in humans. Therefore it is reasonable and proper that the carcinogenesis and mutagenesis processes should be the "center of the stage." However, in the world of reality they cannot stand in isolation in a manner likened to pure mathematics. This means that factors modifying these processes such as DNA repair; diet and nutrition; metabolism; epidemiology; and the formation, detection, identification, and quantification of environmental carcinogens/mutagens are subjects which are germane for our understanding of the subject.

Without a thorough understanding of the relevant chemical and molecular biological interactions of chemical and photochemical agents with macromolecular components, there would be no common ground upon which to relate carcinogenesis with mutagenic and DNA-damaging activities. It is

not enough to accept the theory that possession of both carcinogenic and mutagenic and/or DNA-damaging properties necessarily implies an identical underlying mechanism. Thus a sophisticated development of biochemistry, molecular biology, and photochemistry was the historic predecessor in the construction of microbial detector systems. But it should be recalled that microbes do not develop cancer or other bioendpoints that are restricted to mammalian life forms. Therefore, any extrapolations to a potential hazard for humans cannot be made directly without reference to animal models and/or relevant epidemiological data. It is now becoming well established that cancer epidemiology and even animal cancer models may be greatly modified by dietary and nutritional components. Thus, a given dose of a carcinogen may appear to be very potent in an individual with one nutritional status, but relatively weak in another whose dietary regimen is different. This makes it very difficult to relate the potency of an agent as a carcinogen to its potency as a mutagen or DNA-modifying substance, even if it can be shown that the mechanism by which an oncogenic response is induced is molecularly identical to mutation induction.

There is a strong tendency for us to want precise answers to our queries rather than to seek guidance toward asking the right questions. Perhaps if we ask what questions might be answered about chemical carcinogenesis with the aid of microbial testers, then a unique focal point may emerge. First one might ask whether a chemical acting as a mutagen forms a molecular adduct that can be also shown to occur in the course of its carcinogenic action, i.e., a common chemical adduct formed through an identical molecular biological interaction. Next it would be important to know if metabolic pathways in humans and/or model animal systems form a reactive chemical species that is both the relevant carcinogenic and DNA-modifying and/or in w mutagenic agent. This cannot be assumed on the basis of an in vitro produced genetic lesion in the tester system and an in-vivo-induced carcinogenesis. So we ask the question, "Do the metabolic paths of mutagenic agents coincide with the oncogenicity in an exposed individual?" If the answer is no, then why do carcinogenic agents also induce mutagenic and/or DNA-modifying effects? If the answer is yes, then how does one make maximum use of this knowledge? Obviously the use of microbial detectors with liver or other tissue postmicrosomal fractions applied in vitro as a prescreen for environmental carcinogenic agents demands such affirmation. Therefore, it is desirable that the scientific community closely scrutinize correlations between the in vitro test systems and carcinogenic responses in animal models. Here, the relevant question is, "Do in vitro tests in general, and more specifically those using microorganisms, accurately predict carcinogenic activity?" How do those tests using microbes as detectors compare to strategies that include mammalian tests? Presently, this is a difficult assessment to make because the vast amount of information for making such analyses is more or less confined to the most widely applied systems, in particular, the Salmonella/microsome test. As in vitro battery systems are developed and gain widespread use, the answers

Introduction

will become more certain. But these questions must be asked now rather than deferring them to some later time because the application of microbial tests is already incorporated into the guidelines developed by federal agencies for assessment of genetic damage by modifying activity of any given chemical agent involving the same course of events that lead to tumor formation and cancer. Such studies can then provide a substantial basis for the valid use of microbial test systems in cancer prevention and cancer epidemiology of humans.

In the fundamental and pragmatic senses, it would seem that the best hope for progress will be an interdisciplinary approach to chemical carcinogenesis. The first step would be to identify the related disciplines and bring them together in such a way that the knowledge in one arena fosters testable ideas in another. And so it is that carcinogens and mutagens take a "center stage" in this interdisciplinary book, drawing together a diversity of approaches to a related goal: prevention of human suffering from cancer.

14		
44	Photoreactions with Bases	III
08 7	Paotoreactions with I'NA and RNA	W.
10	Photobiological Effects	Y
80	Molecular Biological Applications	
	Conclusions	11V
	NTENTS	
GOI	사람들 마음 그 구나는 살이 나가 내용 살이 되는 것이 살아가면 되어 보고 있다. 그는 이스트를 가입니다 그 사람이 없다고 있다면 하다 하다니다.	
	LTRAVIOLET MUTAGENESIS: A COMPARISON OF ANISMS IN E. COLLAND VILAST (SACCHAROMYCES	
	VISIAE) B. J. Kilbey	
	forms of Course	anao
ET.	introduction	1
74	Pyrinciline Dimers and Mutegenesis	11
94	Genetic Centrol of UV Minagenesis	III
	Timing of DV Mutagenesis and Emplications for	VI
78	Its Mechanism	
28	UV Mutugenesis and Carcinogenesis	
18	References	
	물을 보고 있다. 그렇게 되면 가게 되었다고 있는데 어린 걸 먹다.	
PART 1 I CARC	PROPERTIES OF CHEMICAL MUTAGENS AND CHEMICAL INOGENS IN RELATION TO THEIR MECHANISMS OF	xiii
I	사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들이 가득하는 것이 되었다. 그 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은	
	Chemical Properties of Mutagens and Carcinogens	4
44	Mechanisms of Chemical Mutagenesis	5
IV		13
		40
	Relationship Between Mutagenesis and Consider and Conside	19
	Relationship Between Mutagenesis and Carcinogenesis:	
	Relationship Between Mutagenesis and Carcinogenesis: Rationale for Use of Microbial Test Systems	22
	Relationship Between Mutagenesis and Carcinogenesis: Rationale for Use of Microbial Test Systems References	22
	Relationship Between Mutagenesis and Carcinogenesis: Rationale for Use of Microbial Test Systems References	22 25
2 F	Relationship Between Mutagenesis and Carcinogenesis: Rationale for Use of Microbial Test Systems References PHOTOACTIVATION OF FUROCOUMARYL CARCINOGENS	22 25 1.817 1/ //4
2 F MUTA	Relationship Between Mutagenesis and Carcinogenesis: Rationale for Use of Microbial Test Systems References PHOTOACTIVATION OF FUROCOUMARYL CARCINOGENS/ GENS AND THEIR INTERACTIONS WITH NUCLEIC ACIDS	22 25
2 F MUTA Pill-S	Relationship Between Mutagenesis and Carcinogenesis: Rationale for Use of Microbial Test Systems References PHOTOACTIVATION OF FUROCOUMARYL CARCINOGENS/ GENS AND THEIR INTERACTIONS WITH NUCLEIC ACIDS oon Song, Ching-Nan Ou, and K. John Tapley, Jr.	22 25 35
2 F MUTA Pill-S	Relationship Between Mutagenesis and Carcinogenesis: Rationale for Use of Microbial Test Systems References PHOTOACTIVATION OF FUROCOUMARYL CARCINOGENS/ GENS AND THEIR INTERACTIONS WITH NUCLEIC ACIDS oon Song, Ching-Nan Ou, and K. John Tapley, Jr. Introduction	22 25 35 36
2 F MUTA Pill-S	Relationship Between Mutagenesis and Carcinogenesis: Rationale for Use of Microbial Test Systems References PHOTOACTIVATION OF FUROCOUMARYL CARCINOGENS/ GENS AND THEIR INTERACTIONS WITH NUCLEIC ACIDS oon Song, Ching-Nan Ou, and K. John Tapley, Jr. Introduction	22 25 35 36

	L		

Contents

Ш	Photoreactions with Bases	41
IV		44
v	경우 보다 가장 하는 사람들이 가장 하는 것이 되었다면 하다면 하는 것이 되었다. 그 사람들은 사람들이 되었다. 그는 사람들은 사람들이 되었다.	50
	Molecular Biological Applications	61
	Conclusions	66
	References	66
	Marie and a second	Court remain with a country of
3 U	LTRAVIOLET MUTAGENESIS: A COMPARISON OF	
	ANISMS IN E. COLI AND YEAST (SACCHAROMYCES	
	VISIAE) B. J. Kilbey	73
CERE	VISIAE) D. C. Kilbey	10
I	Introduction	73
11	Pyrimidine Dimers and Mutagenesis	74
III	Genetic Control of UV Mutagenesis	76
IV	Timing of UV Mutagenesis and Implications for	
	Its Mechanism	78
v	UV Mutagenesis and Carcinogenesis	82
	References	84
CARCI ASSAY	EVELOPMENT OF A B. SUBTILIS SYSTEM TO SCREEN INOGENS/MUTAGENS: DNA-DAMAGING AND MUTATION	Contribution introduced in the contribution in
	Introduction Interaction of Some Selected Chemical Carcinogens/ Mutagens with the DNA of Tester B. subtilis Mutants Use of Repair-Deficient B. subtilis Mutants to Detect DNA-Damaging Activity for Potentially Carcinogenic	76 ACTION
	Chemicals Properties of Students and Cardinord Inciment	
IV	Use of Mutagenic Response by B. subtilis as an	III
	Efficient Screen for Potentially Carcinogenic Chemicals	
	References oniour bas also septum use west quianoitales!	
	Rationale for Use of Microbial Test Systems	
THE D	UTAGENIC ASSAYS IN GRAM-NEGATIVE BACTERIA FOR DETECTION OF POTENTIAL CARCINOGENS: ACTIVATION MMALIAN MICROSOMAL FRACTIONS Thomas they	2 PH
	on Song, Ching-Nan Ou, and K. John Tapley, Jr.	
META	ACTERIAL MUTATION MONITORS FOR ACTIVE BOLITES OF CHEMICAL CARCINOGENS: B. SUBTILIS 'S FOR MUTATION AND DNA REPAIR UIdis N.	I H
	Androw D. Laumbach and Ronald F. Vachin	191

Contents

ix

I	그는 그들은 그는 그들은	
II	Microbial Assays	134
III_{209}	Discussion	141
0.00	Reterences	143
210	Introduction	
THE I	DEVELOPMENT OF A NEUROSPORA TEST USEFUL IN DETECTION OF CHEMICAL MUTAGENS AND CARCINOGENS E ENVIRONMENT Tong-Man Ong	II 147
212		147
1	introduction	148
H 218	Adenine-3 Forward Mutation System	148
111	Adenine-3 Reverse Mutation System	149
ergIV	Summary	161
	Appendix: Media and Stock Solutions	161
	References	163
PART	The state of the s	710600
Carcin	logenic Agents	165
8 S'	yahar -	Subtest
MICRO	DBIAL ACTIVATION SYSTEMS FOR DETECTING	
	ICAL MUTAGENS Jonathan B. Ward, Jr., Stephen J.	AFF
		167
	Introduction	168
II	The state of the s	169
	Metabolism	172
	In Vitro Testing: Structure-Activity Trends	176
V	Alternative Strategies	179
	References	182
PART	4 Application of Microbial Detectors to Some Practical	
Proble	ms of Cancer and Nutrition	187
	OLE OF DIET AND INTESTINAL MICROFLORA AS	
MODIF	TERS IN COLON CARCINOGENESIS Bandaru S.	
Reddy		189
I	Introduction	190
	Epidemiology of Cancer of the Colon	190
		191
IV	Metabolic Epidemiology	192
·V	Experimental Carcinogenesis in Animal Models	196
VI	Conclusion	204
	References	205

THE E	MUTAGENIC TESTING WITH BACTERIA FOR STUDYING FFECTS OF DIETARY FATS ON THE METABOLISM OF LUORENYLACETAMIDE Shiang P. Yang, I. Cecil	
	r, and Harry F. Sproat	209
I		210
ты ш	Humans On A 200 A	211
W VI	Laboratory Animals Metabolism of N-2-Fluorenylacetamide Bacterial Test Systems	212 215 218
101 101 100	of N-2-Fluorenylacetamide References	219 226
Glossar Author	Index	239
Subject	STRATEGIES FOR OVERCOMING THE DEFICIENCIES OF A STRATEGIES ACTIVATION SYSTEMS FOR DETECTING MICAL MUTAGENS Jonathan B, Ward, Jr., Stephen J. us, and Marvin S. Legator	CHE
168 172 172 175 179	1 Introduction 1 Introduction 1 Chemical Mutagenesis in Mammalian Systems 1 Metabolism 2 Metabolism 3 Vitro Testing: Structure-Activity Trends 5 Valternative Strategies 6 References	II II

demaging response of microbial tester systems. Using furocountarins and related countarins as well-defined model systems, a molecular basis for skin sensitization, erthems, and skin cancer in human and experimental animal models is theorized. There is an extensive update on the parameter literature, followed by experimental data of two kinds, i.e., photochomical

THE BASIS FOR MUTAGENIC AND CARCINOGENIC ACTION detector by

microbial tests in screening for light-activated chemicals that may induce potentially hazardous effects in humans.

Chapter 3 is concerned with the cellular events that are likely to follow the production of a chemical adduct in an exposed celt. Dr. B. J. Kilbey addresses the question of how the product of chemical action is converted into altered genetic information or gross chromosymal defects. Using ultraviolet light as the agent to produce the chemical lesion, an attempt to compare the mutagenic mechanisms in prokaryoite and entaryoite organisms is made. This knowledge is important so that the scientist can have a better perspective for interpreting the results obtained with both cell types that may be used in screening procedures. Also it is important for the recder to know that the endpoint in the mutagenic response is not reached simply when the chemical adduct is formed. Therefore, a series of cellular events that involve genetically controlled repair steps is described. Finally, the relationship of these events to nutagenic and carchogenic responses in

This part, the first of four, is intended to orient the reader in the basic principles which are fundamental to our understanding of mutagenesis, carcinogenesis, and other biological responses with a common mechanistic basis. It consists of three chapters, each of which maintains the central theme that agents which modify or damage essential macromolecules, particularly the nucleic acid DNA, initiate responses common to all organisms regardless of cell type, but which can have several different biological endpoints. Stated another way, the rationale for testing with microbial systems which register mutations and DNA-damaging lesions, and extrapolating to more complex biological phenomena such as carcinogenesis, teratogenesis, atherosclerosis, or other such diseases, is examined at the levels of chemical reactivity, molecular interaction, and cellular responses.

Chapter 1, by Drs. D. H. Swenson and F. F. Kadlubar, explains the features common to the mutagenic and carcinogenic mechanisms. It consists of an extensive literature review as a supportive basis for theories that help explain mutagenesis and carcinogenesis in terms of molecular mechanisms. In addition, this chapter admonishes the practicing scientist to exercise caution when attempting to extrapolate from the simple testing procedures to the more complex biological endpoints, i.e., cancer or teratogenesis. Emphasized are those chemicals that directly modify nucleic acids or require metabolic transformations as a requisite for reactivity.

Chapter 2 is authored by Drs. P. S. Song, C. N. Ou, and K. J. Tapley, Jr. Here the mechanisms of photoreactivity, photomodification, and molecular complexation are explained in relation to the mutagenic or DNA-