# International Secured Transactions Law

Facilitation of Credit and International Conventions and Instruments

N. Orkun Akseli



## International Secured Transactions Law

Facilitation of credit and international conventions and instruments

#### N. Orkun Akseli



First published 2011 by Routledge 2 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 4RN

Simultaneously published in the USA and Canada by Routledge 270 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10016

Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business

© 2011 Orkun Akseli

The right of Orkun Akseli to be identified as author of this work has been asserted by him in accordance with sections 77 and 78 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

Typeset in Baskerville by RefineCatch Limited, Bungay, Suffolk Printed and bound in Great Britain by CPI Antony Rowe, Chippenham, Wiltshire

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data Akseli, Orkun.

International secured transactions law: facilitation of credit and international conventions and instruments / Orkun Akseli.

p. cm.
 Includes biliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-415-48810-5

1. Security (Law) 2. Export sales contracts. I. Title. K1100.A93 2011

\346.07'4-dc222010031926

ISBN 13: 978-0-415-48810-5 (hbk) ISBN 13: 978-0-203-83161-8 (ebook)

#### **Preface**

This book grew out of a doctoral thesis I wrote at the University of Manchester. Harmonisation of secured transactions law is critical both on a global basis and domestically. Small businesses are in need of liquidity to expand their businesses. International instruments that aim to harmonise secured transactions are the focus of this book. The book does not intend to employ an article-by-article analysis of international instruments nor does it for English and American laws. It simply provides an overview in which the international instruments, their travaux preparatoires, English Law and UCC Article 9 are used as a vehicle to illustrate and distil the unifying principles in creating a harmonisation process in secured transactions law and some of the problems that may be experienced, and some of the conclusions that might be drawn. One of the more feasible methods in achieving this is to use, generally, English and American laws to illustrate problems in secured transactions. The book also does not cover all secured transactions instruments, but deals only with the most influential ones. It is hoped that the resulting distillation of underlying principles may provide a conceptual, and maybe analytical, framework to further the debate on the utility and efficacy of international secured transactions instruments. It is hoped the monograph will be useful to academics, students, law reform agencies and, maybe, practitioners.

At various stages of both my doctoral research and the writing of this book, and in various other forms, I have engaged in many thoughtful discussions with a number of colleagues. My foremost debt is to Gerard McCormack and Andrew Bell, my PhD supervisors, who provided invaluable inspiration and guidance. Spiros Bazinas, Frederique Dahan, Franco Ferrari, Harry Sigman, Richard Hyland and Loukas Mistelis also have provided insightful criticism and encouragement. I have no doubt that their help and suggestions have added substantially to whatever value there is to the arguments made here. While the credit is given to people who have helped me, all that may be found to be unwise in this book remains my responsibility and mine alone. I also would like to thank my Editor, Ms. Katie Carpenter, and my Assistant Editor, Ms. Khanam Virjee, for their wholehearted dedication and for being extremely patient during the publication process regarding my requests of extension of deadlines, and for administering a very smooth publication process. I would like to extend my gratitude to my colleagues and the Newcastle University

#### xii Preface

Law School for granting me a semester of research leave that enabled me to finalise the monograph.

Finally, I would like to thank my parents Erbil and Sevil, and my brother Ilgaz, without whose support and encouragement during the whole process the project would not have been finalised. Especially, I would like to doubly thank my mother and former boss, Sevil, from whose experience as a lawyer spanning four decades and from whose guidance, I have learned a lot as a lawyer and as a colleague. Certainly my wife, Nicola, and my wonderful daughter, Ela Taylor, deserve my deepest gratitude for their immense patience and assistance in this long and winding road. I love all of them more than they think. I promised to play hopscotch with my two-and-a-half-year-old daughter once the book is finished. I think I am now free to do that.

N. Orkun Akseli Newcastle-upon-Tyne, 10 July 2010

#### **Foreword**

With his present book, Dr. Nazmi Orkun Akseli achieves a maturity rare for his age and makes another significant contribution to a better understanding of international instruments dealing with secured transactions law, which is a necessary condition for their implementation. His citation in the Introduction from the Institutes of Justinian shows clearly that he understands very well the key to secured transactions law, which many, lost in the tensions between debtor and creditor interests, often miss; that is, that security is good for both the debtor (to borrow more and at better terms) and the creditor (to obtain repayment in a more time- and cost-efficient way).

His choice of instruments is a very wise one. The Unidroit Convention on International Factoring (Ottawa, 1988) may not have been widely adopted, but it paved the way for another bold step in the field of receivables financing law, the United Nations Convention on the Assignment of Receivables in International Trade (New York, 2001).

The latter Convention may not have been widely adopted yet either, but I agree with Dr. Akseli that it will soon become a success (for those that measure success of a convention by the number of ratifications or accessions) and that it is already having an impact to the extent that it influenced national law in several countries and set the standards for national secured transactions law in line with the recommendations of the UNCITRAL Legislative Guide on Secured Transactions (2007).

Having already influenced secured transactions laws around the world, the Guide is becoming the general standard and a common point of reference for law reform in the field of secured transactions law. To mention just some examples, the new Personal Property Security Act of Australia, similar draft legislation in the Republic of Korea, the Draft Common Frame of Reference, volume 6 book IX, proprietary security in moveable assets and the recent publication of the World Bank Group, Secured Transactions Systems and Collateral Registries, bear witness to the increasing influence of the Guide.

The EBRD Model Law on Secured Transactions (1994) has already been implemented in a number of countries in Eastern Europe, and the Core Principles for a Secured Transactions Law (1997) has also guided legal reform in several countries. And last but certainly not least, the Convention on International

Interests in Mobile Equipment (Cape Town, 2001) is a remarkable success already by any standard. Of particular importance is the Protocol to the Convention on International Interests in Mobile Equipment on Matters specific to Aircraft Equipment (Cape Town, 2001), which has given the world its first asset-based international registry that is based on notice (rather than document) filing and results in the perfection (rather than in the creation) of an interest.

The discussion in the book is very practical, analytical and thorough. To take an example, Dr. Akseli's criticism of the view that is trying to discount all these international instruments on the grounds that they have been unduly influenced by Article 9 of the Uniform Commercial Code, is very justified.

The first and most important question that one should raise with regard to a legislative text is whether it addresses the concrete practical problems it is supposed to address in an effective and balanced way. In the case of a trade law text, this essentially means whether the text facilitates national and international trade while taking into account the interests of all stakeholders in a balanced way without, of course, violating the integrity of the national legal system.

The functional approach to secured transactions is one of the key characteristics of Article 9 UCC (which is itself the result of an enormous comparative law and harmonisation effort, and constitutes a departure from common law), but all these texts adopt it because there is no other way to rationalise secured transactions laws at the national level and harmonise secured transactions laws at the international level. Notice filing for perfection purposes may also come from Article 9 UCC, but again no other way has been suggested so far for an efficient registry system that can provide an objective way for ordering priorities of security interests, including interests serving security purposes (for example, retention-of-title or financial lease interests).

Equally justified is Dr. Akseli's criticism of the view that these international instruments may somehow threaten the integrity of national legal systems, propagate a virus or constitute unsuitable legal transplants. Conventions are the best instrument for the cross-border recognition of security interests, a result that in itself may promote the extension of credit against assets that are likely to cross national borders. In addition, the principles developed by the EBRD and the Guide developed by UNCITRAL provide legislators with sufficient flexibility to address secured transactions law issues in a focused way, taking into account the needs and the legal tradition of every country.

To mention another example demonstrating the thorough analysis of issues in this book, Dr. Akseli is absolutely right that good old asset-based lending did not cause the financial crisis; the violation of its fundamental principles caused it and, of course, the lack of proper control by the supervisory authorities. It is time to return to the principles of asset-based lending to provide markets with the necessary liquidity to grow their way out of this unprecedented financial (and not only) crisis.

Another example of the analytical nature of the book is the structure of the materials. After the first introductory chapters, the book discusses scope and party autonomy, creation, third-party effectiveness and priority of a security interest,

acquisition financing and applicable law, following the order in which a legislator would have to address these matters in the law. The fact that the book does not discuss security interests in securities and intellectual property or enforcement and insolvency law issues does not reduce its value and is understandable. Securities and intellectual property raise a whole set of different issues that would justify the writing of a second book. The same applies to enforcement and insolvency law issues, a discussion of which would justify the writing of a third book.

On a personal note, for the benefit of those who may find it strange that a Greek lawyer writes the foreword of a book of a Turkish lawyer, I would like to mention that, in my view, the cup of the historical relations between our two peoples is more than half full; and the interests that bring us together today are stronger than those that may set us apart. This is why the legacy of our two great leaders, Venizelos and Atatürk, is one of peace and friendship. In line with this tradition and in view of the merits of this book, it is an honour and a pleasure for me to write the prologue and wish that the book may have a great journey.

Spiros V. Bazinas\*

\* Senior Legal Officer, International Trade Law Division, Office of Legal Affairs, United Nations. The International Trade Law Division of the United Nations Office of Legal Affairs serves as the Secretariat of the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL). The views expressed are the personal views of the author and do not reflect the views of the United Nations or UNCITRAL.

#### Acknowledgements

Some of the contents related to anti-assignment clauses of Chapter 4 were originally published in *Journal of Business Law*. I would like to thank the editors and Sweet & Maxwell for granting permission to reproduce some portions of N. Orkun Akseli (2009) 'Contractual Prohibitions and Assignment of Receivables: An English and UN perspective', *JBL*, 650–78.

I would also like to thank Professor Roderick A. Macdonald (McGill University) and acknowledge his permission to cite his paper, 'A Model Law on Secured Transactions: A Representation of Structure? An object of imitation? A type or Design?' presented at The UNCITRAL Third Colloquium on Secured Transactions (1–3 March 2010, Vienna) in the Introduction to this book.

### Table of cases

Abbey National Building Society v Cann [1991] 1 AC 56	25, 94, 182
Agnew v Commissioner of Inland Revenue (Re Brumark Investments Ltd) [2001]	UKPC 28,
[2001] 2 AC 710 24, 30, 37, 38	•
Air Foyle Ltd v Center Capital Ltd [2002] EWHC 2535	254
Alcock v Smith [1892] 1 Ch 238	252
Aluminium Industrie Vaassen BV v Romalpa Aluminium Ltd [1976] 1 WLR 6	76 31
Aluminium Industrie Vaassen BV v Romalpa Aluminium Ltd [1976] 1 WLR 6	
Arbuthnot v Norton (1846) 5 Moo PCC 219	149
Armour v Thyssen Edelstahlwerke AG [1991] 2 AC 339 HL	25
Asborder BV v Green Gas Power Ltd [2004] EWHC 1517	204
Barbados Trust Co Ltd v Bank of Zambia [2007] 2 All ER (Comm) 445; [2	2007] EWCA
Civ 148	147, 152
BCCI (No. 8), Re [1998] AC 214	24, 33, 197, 198
Bond Worth Ltd, Re [1980] Ch 228	27
Borough Council [2002] 1 AC 336	51, 167, 177
Brice v Bannister (1878) LR 3 QBD 765	143, 149
Brightlife Ltd, Re [1987] Ch 200	24
Business Computers Ltd v Anglo-African Leasing Ltd [1977] 1 WLR 578	149
Caledonia North Sea Ltd v London Bridge Engineering Ltd [2000] Lloyd's Rep	IR 249
(Ct Sn: IH)	139, 151
Calisher v Forbes (1871-72) LR 7 Ch App 109	142
Cammell v Sewell (1860) 5 H & N 728	247, 254, 274
Castell & Brown Ltd, Re [1898] 1 Ch 315	204
Charge Card Services Ltd, Re [1987] 1 Ch 150	198
Clough Mill Ltd v Martin [1985] 1 WLR 111	231
Commissioner of Stamps v Hope [1891] AC 476	260
Compaq Computer Ltd v Abercorn Group Ltd [1993] BCLC 602	217, 230
Cosslett (Contractors) Ltd, Re [1997] Ch 23	51
Dallas, Re [1904] 2 Ch 385	217
Dearle v Hall (1828) 3 Russ 1 72, 126, 142,	, 151, 165, 166, 174,
175	5, 205, 214, 216, 217
$\label{lem:condition} \textit{Deutsche Schachtbau-und TiefbohrgesellschaftmbH} \ v \ \textit{Shell International Petroleum}$	n Co Ltd
[1990] 1 AC 295	247
Don King Productions Inc, v Warren [1998] 2 All ER 608 (High Court);	
[1999] 2 All ER 218 (Court of Appeal); [2000] Ch 291	149
Durham Bro. v Robertson [1898] 1 QB 765	143

Edwards v Flightline Ltd [2003] EWCA Civ 63; [2003] 3 All ER 1200, [2003] 1 WLR	. 25
Embiricos v Anglo-Austrian Bank [1905] 1 KB 677	252
F. & K. Jabbour v Custodian of Israeli Absentee Property [1954] 1 WLR 139; 1 All ER 145	260
Foamcrete v Thrust Engineering (21 December 2000) 2000 WL 33122473 (CA),	
[2002] BCC 221	151
Gan Insurance Co Ltd v Tai Ping Insurance Co Ltd [2001] EWCA Civ 1047,	
[2001] 2 All ER (Comm) 299	147
Glencore International AG v Metro Trading Inc (No 2) [2001] 1 Lloyd's Rep 284	254
Harvard Securities Ltd, Re [1997] 2 BCLC 369	253
Helstan Securities Ltd v Hertfordshire County Council [1978] 3 All ER 262, (QBD)	150
Hendry v Chartsearch Ltd [1998] CLC 1382, (CA (Civ Div))	147
	94, 141
Illingworth v Houldsworth [1904] AC 355	38
International Factors Ltd v Rodriguez [1979] QB 351	152
Joachimson v Swiss Bank Corporation [1921] 3 KB 110	260
Johnstone v Cox (1880) LR 16 ChD 571 affd (1881) 19 LR ChD 17, CA	142
Keenan Bros Ltd, Re [1986] BCLC 242	37
Kuwait Airways Corpn v Iraqi Airways Co (Nos 4 and 5) [2003] 2 Lloyd's Rep 753,	
[2002] 2 AC 883, [2002] UKHL 19	254
Legard v Hodges (1792) 1 Ves Junr 478 (Eng CA 1792)	141
Linden Garden Trust Ltd v Lenesta Sludge Disposal Ltd [1994] 1 AC 85,	
[1993] 3 All ER 417 148, 149, 15	
Liverpool City Council v Walton Group Plc [2001] NPC 135, [2002] 1 EGLR 149, (ChD	
Liverpool Corp v Wright (1859) 28 LJ Ch 868	149
Lloyds and Scottish Finance v Cyril Lord (Carpet Sales) Ltd [1992] BCLC 609	38
London Wine Co (Shippers), Re (1986) PCC 121	143
McEntire v Crossley Brothers Ltd [1895] AC 457	25
Merkur Island Shipping Corp, v Laughton [1983] 2 AC 570; [1983] 2 All ER 189	152
Methwold v Walbank (1750) 2 Ves Sen 238	149
Mirams, Re [1891] 1 QB 594	149
Mulkerrins v PricewaterhouseCoopers (a firm) [2003] UKHL 41;	
L 3	47, 149
National Westminster Bank plc v Spectrum Plus Ltd [2005] 2 AC 680	30
New Bullas Trading Ltd, Re [1994] 1 BCLC 485 (Court of Appeal)	37
New York Life Insurance Co v Public Trustee [1924] 2 Ch 101	260
Nokes v Doncaster Amalgamated Collieries Ltd [1940] AC 1014	149
NV Slavenburg's Bank v Intercontinental Natural Resources Ltd [1980] 1 All ER 955	254
Official Assignee of Madras v Mercantile Bank of India Ltd [1935] AC 53	47
Paramount Airways Ltd, Re [1990] BCC 130	24
Pfeiffer Weinkellerei-Weineinkauf GmbH & Co v Arbuthnot Factors Ltd [1988] 1 WLR 150;	
	17, 230
R v Lovitt [1912] AC 212	271
Raffeisen Zentralbank Österreich AG v Five Star General Trading LLC [2001] 3 All ER 257	262
Rother Iron Works Ltd v Canterbury Precision Engineers Ltd [1974] QB 1	142
Russian Bank for Foreign Trade, Re [1933] 1 Ch 745	271
Salomon v A Salomon & Co Ltd [1897] AC 22	52,167
Siebe Gorman & Co Ltd v Barclays Bank Ltd [1979] 2 Lloyd's Rep 142	37
Smith (Administrator of Cosslett (Contactors) Ltd) v Bridgend County Somerset . Cox	140
(1865) 33 Beav 634	142

Tabe	le of cases xi	X
Spectrum Plus Ltd v National Westminster Bank Plc [2005] UKHL 41; [2005] 2 A	C 680;	
[2005] 3 WLR 58; [2005] BCC 694		7
Steel Wing Co Ltd [1921] 1 Ch. 349	14	3
Swiss Bank Corp v Lloyds Bank Ltd [1979] Ch. 548 [1979] 2 All ER 853, (ChD	) 15	2
Tailby v Official Receiver (1888) 13 App Cas 523	94, 141, 14	4
Tom Shaw & Co v Moss Empires Ltd (1908) 25 TLR 190	14	6
Turcan, Re (1888) 40 Ch D 5	15	2
United Dominion Trust Ltd v Parkway Motors Ltd, [1955] 1 WLR 719	14	8
Vita Foods Products Inc v Unus Shipping Co Ltd [1939] AC 277	11	6
Welsh Development Agency v Export Finance Co Ltd [1992] BCLC 148, CA	3	0
Wight v Eckhardt Marine GmbH [2003] UKPC 37, [2004] 1 AC 147	24	7
Wilson v Kelland [1910] 2 Ch 306, 313 (ChD)	17	5
Winkworth v Christie Manson & Woods Ltd [1980] Ch 496	247, 254, 27	4
Woodroffes (Musical Instruments) Ltd, Re [1986] Ch 366 (Chancery Division)	2	4
Yeandle v Wynn Realisations Ltd (1995) 47 Con LR 1 (CA (Civ Div))	14	9
Yorkshire Woolcombers Association Ltd, Re [1903] 2 Ch 284	24, 3	8
USA		
Benedict v Ratner (1925) 268 US 353	9	0
Bennett Funding Group, Inc, In re 255 BR 616 (NDNY 2000)	14	3
Byers v. Comer 68 P2d 671 (Ariz), modified, 70 P2d 330 (Ariz 1937)	14	9
McAllister, In re 267 BR 614 (Bkrtcy ND Iowa, 2001)	14	3

Pickle Logging, Inc, In re 286 BR 181 (Bkrtcy MD Ga, 2002)

Zartman v First National Bank of Waterloo (1907) 189 NY 267

Norman v Federal Comr of Taxation (1963) 109 CLR 9 High Court of Australia

Brandsma qq v Hansa Chemie AG Hoge Raad, 16 May 1997, Rechtspraak

Australia

Netherlands

van de Week 126

143

90

141

265

# Table of Conventions, Instruments and Statutes

UN Convention	on on the Assignment of	Article 7	61
Receivables in International Trade		7(2)	252
Article 1		Article 8	46, 49, 50, 80, 100, 123,
1(1)	218		132, 135, 139, 143, 144
1(1)(a)	103, 106, 268	8(1)	144, 145
1(1)(b)	103, 104	8(1)(a)	145
1(2)	218	8(1)(b)	142, 145
1(3)	106, 107	8(2)	126, 142, 146
1(4)	107, 108, 218, 248, 251	Article 9	50, 101, 119, 139, 150,
Article 2	97, 127, 138		155, 156
2(a)	88, 91, 96, 126, 130,	9(1)	152, 153
	145, 181, 248, 259	9(2)	147, 153, 154, 155
Article 3	104, 107, 108	9(3)	101, 152
Article 4		Article 10	49, 50, 100, 132, 138
4(1)	101	10(1)	146, 224
4(2)	101, 258, 263	10(2)	153
4(2)(e)	102	10(3)	147, 154
4(2)(f)	145, 270	Article 11	118, 138, 251
4(2)(g)	145	Article 12	118
4(3)	101, 102, 145	12(1)	118
4(4)	153	12(2)	118
4(5)	101	Article 13	118
Article 5		13(1)	118
5(b)	145	Article 14	49, 118, 127, 140, 251,
5(c)	133		263, 272
5(g)	50, 131, 138, 174, 211,	14(1)	50, 138
	248, 251, 258	14(2)	272
5(h)	105, 106, 108, 267	Article 15	107, 153
5(i)	212, 259	Article 16	118
5(j)	127	16(1)	118
5(m)	174, 211, 214, 259, 283	Article 17	100, 118
5(m)(i)	104, 283	Article 18	251
5(m)(ii)		18(2)	142
Article 6	48, 62, 87, 117, 118,	18(3)	155
	119, 246, 251, 264, 265, 266	Article 19	251

	$T_{i}$	able of conventions, instrum	ents and statutes xxi
19(1)	119	Optional Annex Artic	le 7 215
19(2)	119	•	
Article 21	107	1	
Article 22	49, 50, 53, 102, 104	•	
	105, 106, 118, 119, 129, 131	_	
	133, 138, 174, 187, 209, 211		ive Guide on Secured
	212, 213, 218, 244, 245, 247		
	248, 249, 250, 258, 259, 268		19, 85, 90, 91,
	269, 272, 283		95, 100
Article 23	49, 100, 119, 129, 133,		113
	213, 250, 269	* *	124
23(2)	119, 269	• /	88, 258
23(3)	119, 270		90, 137
Article 24	119, 213, 250, 272	` '	138
24(1)	273		90, 96, 184
24(2)	49, 127, 140, 273		181
24(2)(a		_ ` '	22, 55, 96, 97, 98,
24(2)(b			100, 103, 127
24(3)	273		100, 100, 127
Article 26	49, 108, 129, 133,		112
Tartiero 20	248, 251	, ,	263
26(a)	210, 231	* *	263
Article 27	133, 138, 248, 251	Recommendation 7	95
Article 28	251		88, 94, 96,100,
Article 29	251, 258		181, 201, 235
Article 30	53, 108, 209, 212, 218,		88, 100, 232, 236
7 In circle 50	248, 251		236
30(1)	210, 231	` '	201
30(2)	270	` '	237
Article 31	251	9(b)(ii)	237
Article 32	49, 129, 133, 251	Recommendation 10	87, 103, 120
Article 36	105, 267		133
Article 38	103, 207	Recommendation 13	126, 130, 131,
38(1)	111	Accommendation 15	132, 133, 134, 135,
38(2)	111		137, 138, 157, 168
Article 39	218, 252	Recommendation 14	120, 133, 135, 136
Article 40	155, 156		120, 131, 133,
Article 42	187		136, 157, 168, 194
42(4)	48, 52, 53, 80, 131, 167,		137, 138
12(1)	187, 214, 258	Recommendation 17	39, 90, 126, 137
Article 45bis	107, 211, 230	Recommendation 19	127, 138, 196
Optional Ann			127, 130, 130
Optional Ann			138, 139, 143, 144
Optional Ann		23(a)	130, 133, 143, 141
Optional Ann		23(b)	146
4(1)	177, 178, 187		80, 139, 150, 152
4(2)	188		152
4(3)	186, 188	` '	153, 155
Optional Ann			152
- F	210	(~)	132

#### xxii Table of conventions, instruments and statutes

· ·	*		
Recommendation 26	157	Recommendation 93	207
Recommendation 28	132, 138	Recommendation 94	207
Recommendation 29	131, 132, 168	Recommendation 101	103, 193, 208
Recommendation 30	132, 168	Recommendation 102	103, 208
Recommendation 31	165	Recommendation 103	103, 208
Recommendation 32	131, 132, 168,	Recommendation 106	208
	171, 177, 179, 181,	Recommendation 107	103, 208
	185, 194, 198	Recommendation 108	208
Recommendation 33	131, 168	Recommendation 109	53, 193, 208
Recommendation 34	132, 168, 171,	Recommendation 111	120
	179, 195	Recommendation 112	120
34(a)(iii)	179	Recommendation 120	
Recommendation 35	132, 168, 171	120(c)	155
Recommendation 37	193, 195	Recommendation 132	120
Recommendation 38	179, 196	Recommendation 136	120
38(a)	179	Recommendation 152	240
Recommendation 39	196	Recommendation 167	98
Recommendation 41	196	Recommendation 178	181, 208, 233, 242
Recommendation 42	196	Recommendation 180	180
Recommendation 43	101, 196	Alternative A	183, 234
Recommendation 44	196	Alternative A(a)(i	i) 183
Recommendation 45	196, 254	Alternative B	183, 234
Recommendation 46	194	Recommendation 182	208
Recommendation 48	153, 197	Recommendation 185	208
Recommendation 49	33, 196, 198	Recommendation 188	233
Recommendation 50	198	Recommendation 189	143
Recommendation 51	196, 198	Recommendation 191	208, 241
Recommendation 53	196, 198	Recommendation 192	
Recommendation 54	80, 177	Alternative A	183
Recommendation 57	178	Alternative B	183
Recommendation 67	184, 186	Recommendation 199	208
Recommendation 68	184, 186	Recommendation 202	248
Recommendation 75	80	Recommendation 203	252, 253
Recommendation 76	53, 168	Recommendation 204	249, 257
76(a)	207	Recommendation 205	257
76(b)	207	Recommendation 206	252
76(c)	207	Recommendation 207	249, 253
Recommendation 77	179	Recommendation 208	247, 248
77(a)	208	Recommendation 209	249, 272
Recommendation 78	179	Recommendation 210	249
Recommendation 79	165	210A	271
Recommendation 80		210B	263, 271
80(b)	204	Recommendation 211	249, 254, 272
Recommendation 81	165	Recommendation 212	249, 272
8I(a)	204	Recommendation 213	272
81(b) Recommendation 83	204	Recommendation 214	249, 272
	39, 95	Recommendation 215	274
Recommendation 84 Recommendation 86	39, 95	Recommendation 216	120, 250
recommendation 86	31	Recommendation 219	267

OF 11 C .:		7	• • •
Table of conventions,	in struments	and statutes	XX111
x wow of concentrations,	CHEST WITHOUTES	ana sources	2525144

		,	
Recommendation		Article 9	12, 27, 99, 139, 183, 190,
220(a)	269		234, 283
220(b)	268	9.1	137, 139, 190, 238, 239
Recommendation		9.3	190
Recommendation	222 270		0, 238, 239
		Article 10	27, 99, 139, 190, 193, 194
EBRD Model Law	on Secured	10.1	131
Transactions		Article 11	52
Article 1	26, 100	Article 17	53, 218, 219
1.1	27, 39, 139	17.1	219
Article 2	98	17.2	219, 220, 225
Article 3		17.3	137, 191, 219, 220, 239
3.1	224	17.4	219
Article 4	139	17.6	219
4.1	122, 137	17.8	219
4.3.2	137, 143, 146	Article 18	
4.3.4	137, 143, 146	18.1	224
4.2	123	Article 21	
4.3	123	21.2.7	239
4.4	126, 143, 146	Article 25	50
Article 5		Article 33	52, 80
5.1	122, 146	Article 35	52, 80
5.2	98, 139		
5.4	139, 152	Unidroit Cor	vention on International
5.4.1	152	Factoring	
5.4.2	152	Article 1	
5.5	126, 137, 139, 143, 146	1(b)	29
5.6	27, 50, 137, 139, 229	Article 2	
5.8	127, 137, 139	2(1)	99
5.9	127, 139	2(1)(a)	105
5.10	197	2(1)(b)	105, 107
Article 6		Article 3	48, 62, 116
6.1	193	3(1)(a)	116
6.1.2	190	3(1)(b)	116
6.1.3	190	Article 4	61
6.2	27, 52, 129, 131, 137,	Article 5	50, 139, 143, 144
	179	5(a)	143
6.3	27, 190	5(b)	142, 143
6.4	27, 131, 137, 190, 193	Article 6	50, 139
6.4.1	193	6(1)	156
6.5	139	6(2)	156
6.6	229	6(3)	156
6.7	219	Article 7	50
6.8	137, 219	Article 15	111
Article 7	52, 139, 168, 193	Article 18	117, 156
Article 8	27, 99, 139, 168, 190	mude 10	117, 150
8.1	186	Unidroit Cor	vention on International
8.2	190, 238		
8.3	52	Article 1	96, 159
0.5	JZ	A H HCIC I	30, 133

	GT 11 C	
XXIV	I able of convention	ns, instruments and statutes

l(a)	101	16(1)(d)	110
1(b)	111	Article 17	
l(c)	111, 223, 224	17(2)(i)	179
1(j)	108, 278	Article 18	
1(n)	278	18(1)	180
l(o)	158, 191	18(3)	186
1(w)	160, 197, 223, 224	Article 19	192
$l(\mathbf{x})$	191	19(2)	191
1(y)	186, 191	19(4)	186, 222
l(ii)	28, 159	19(6)	191
1(jj)	28, 159	Article 21	192
1(mm)	180	Article 22	
Article 2	50, 158	22(3)	186
2(1)	100	Article 26	178, 191
2(2)	28, 159, 191	Article 29	110, 131, 169, 22, 225
2(2)(a)	89, 158	29(1)	109, 192, 221
2(2)(b)	89, 158	29(2)	221
2(2)(c)	89, 158	29(2)(a)	180, 192
2(4)	89, 181, 277	29(3)	222
2(5)	197, 224	29(3)(b)	180, 192, 222
Article 3	108	29(4)	160, 223
3(1)	109, 110, 278	29(5)	122
3(2)	108, 278	29(6)	197, 223
Article 4	108, 109	Article 30	131
4(1)	278	30(1)	169
Article 5	61	30(3)	122
5(2)	89, 181	Article 31	
5(3)	27, 89, 181, 277	31(1)	225
5(4)	109	Article 32	
Article 6	113	32(1)	160
6(1)	113	32(3)	111
6(2)	113	Article 35	223, 225
Article 7	28, 49, 50, 90, 131, 133,	Article 36	223, 225
	135, 158	36(1)	224
Article 8	121, 160, 169, ,238, 240	36(3)	224
8(3)	122	Article 39	112, 223
8(4)	110, 122	Article 40	223
8(5)	122	Article 41	101, 222
8(6)	122, 240	Article 42	121
Article 9	110, 160, 238, 240	Article 49	113
9(3)	122	49(1)(a)	113
Article 10	160, 169, 238, 240	49(1)(b)	113
Article 11	122	Article 50	110, 278
Article 13		50(2)	110
13(2)	122	Article 51	113
Article 14	122	Article 52	
Article 15	62, 121	52(5)(a)	109
Article 16	110, 186, 191	Article 54(2)	121
16(1)	222		