THE LIVER: NORMAL AND ABNORMAL FUNCTIONS

Part B

THE LIVER: NORMAL AND ABNORMAL FUNCTIONS (in two parts)

Part B

Edited by

FREDERICK F. BECKER

New York University Medical Center New York, New York



COPYRIGHT © 1975 by MARCEL DEKKER, INC.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

Neither this book nor any part may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, microfilming, and recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher.

MARCEL DEKKER, INC. 270 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10016

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS CATALOG CARD NUMBER 77-77112 ISBN 0-8247-6214-2

PRINTED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

INTRODUCTION TO THE SERIES

Rudolf Virchow wrote in 1855 as follows:

"When we require cellular pathology to be the basis of the medical viewpoint, a most concrete and quite empirical task is at stake, in which no a priori or arbitrary speculation is involved. All diseases are in the last analysis reducible to disturbances, either active or passive, or large or small groups of living units, whose functional capacity is altered in accordance with the state of their molecular composition and is thus dependent on physical and chemical changes of their contents. Physical and chemical investigation has a very great significance in this respect, and we can do no more than wish a prosperous development to the school which is striving to form itself. But we should not conceal from ourselves that the story of metabolic interchange will be brought to satisfactory conclusion only when it is carried back to the primary active parts; in other words, when it becomes possible to describe the particular role every tissue, and every pathologically altered part of a tissue, plays in that story. Therefore, although one may begin with the outworks, the ultimate goal, beyond the urine and the sweat and the various waste products of organic activity, must never be lost from sight, nor should it be supposed that these waste products are themselves the goal. There would always be the danger of suffering shipwreck in a more or less exclusively humoral pathology, if this were to be the case."

It has taken a hundred years to start the molecular approach in a fruitful way. Modern developments in physics, chemistry, and mathematics, both conceptual and instrumental, make it now possible to exploit that new-old approach to pathology. Hence, my return to Virchow's expression, cellular pathology.

We, in modern pathology and medicine, are so involved in generating and interpreting the new research available that no one person at this stage could endeavor to write an all-encompassing treatise on the biochemistry of disease. Besides, we do not know enough yet. We can only highlight some of the most interesting details. This will be one of our objectives in this monograph series.

Underlying this are two basic roles of pathology in medicine and biology. The first and most classical role is to analyze disease processes in depth, the aim being as detailed a knowledge as possible about the causes and the cellular and

vi INTRODUCTION

tissue development of disease. Included in this is the production of experimental disease which mimics or simulates the naturally occurring process in order to study it more easily. However, there is a second role which will assume increasing importance as we probe more deeply into cells. The molecular approach to disease has not only as its aim the study of cellular pathology but also the deeper understanding of the normal cell, both structurally and functionally. Through the induction of selected derangements in the cell, especially reversible ones, cellular pathology offers novel but essential ways to dissect the cell. The contributions of the pathologic (genetic, toxic, etc.) in the development of the molecular biology of microorganisms clearly point to an important role for such experimental pathologic systems in the molecular analysis of eukaryotic cells.

All the modern conceptual and methodologic approaches of cellular biochemistry and ultrastructural analysis must be used in such a dissection of the cell. However, our orientation is quite different. Whereas cytochemists nowadays have as their major aim the delineation of the different chemical interactions in cells, the modern cellular pathologist must continually attempt to integrate these into a gestalt that is meaningful biologically as well as biochemically. Therefore, a second important objective of this monograph series will be the presentation of model systems of higher organisms which are of particular use for the modern biologist interested in the molecular analysis of cell function in higher organisms.

Emmanuel Farber Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

PREFACE

In ancient times, it was implied that the liver was the seat of the soul, although Plato decried that view and suggested instead that the organ was the vegetative center of the body. For the moment, the validity of the former concept remains unclear, but no organ deserves to be held in greater esteem. For the liver is truly a rich organ; rich in structural complexity, in diverse function, and as often follows, rich in the spectrum of malfunctions to which it falls victim. No other organ is involved in as many complex interrelationships with the host as is the No other organ's functions have been so frequently probed by varied scientific methods, nor has any been used so frequently as an experimental tissue, both normal and abnormal. Often, as exemplified in studies of chemical carcinogenesis, the liver's response to challenge has been the model for others', which are more frequently the site of the disease but less accessible to study. Often, as exemplified by the studies of the agent aflatoxin, experimental studies of the liver are found to be broadly applicable to human disease. The liver's accessibility, its relative homogeneity, and its availability in varying states of development and physiologic alteration make it a biologic model par excellence.

Despite an enormous literature that deals with every aspect of disease of the liver from the clinical standpoint, no single work has attempted to apply these cell biologic findings and modern scientific concepts to the liver in a unified manner to examine its normal functions, the alterations of these functions as imposed by injurious challenges, and the various responses of the host to these alterations. However, the continuing series *Progress in Liver Diseases*, edited superbly by Popper and Schaffner, has been a major stimulus to the scientific approach to liver disease. It is that purpose to which this book is dedicated.

The richness of the liver's functions can be grouped roughly into three major roles; endocrine, exocrine and regulatory.

An endocrine organ can be defined broadly as that which synthesizes and secretes proteins possessing activities related to the functional modulation of other target tissues. The liver secretes numerous, immunochemically distinct plasma proteins, the total number as yet unclear. Indeed, many of these are of unknown function and others bear functional designations that may be only a partial estimate of their capacities. Several, such as those which transport hormones,

xii PREFACE

metallic ions, and other substances, interact with tissues distant from the liver. Furthermore, there are strong hints that vital interactions between other plasma proteins and distant tissues may yet be uncovered (see Chapter 5), thus approximating an endocrine activity.

The entire spectrum of liver-synthesized lipoproteins, too, can be considered as interacting in a crucial manner at many sites, especially at membrane and endothelial surfaces, although a discussion of lipoproteins and protein transport will as readily fall into the category of regulatory function.

An exocrine organ is defined as one that secretes its products to the external environment. The liver does this and more. Components of bile are produced within the hepatocytes and flow to the gut, wherein they act in a vital digestive capacity. Moreover, the liver clears the blood of exogenous and endogenous chemical substances, bacteria, and other particulate matter; processes these; and secretes them to the external environment. In this regard it is exocrine, but once again the definition between this function and that of regulation is blurred. For without the vital catabolic and alterative functions of the hepatocyte, pharmacologic agents, toxins, and carcinogens would often be fatal.

I have described the regulatory capacity of the liver above in terms of plasma protein function and metabolic elimination of many substances. But nowhere is the regulatory capacity of the liver more vivid than in its handling glucose. It is the ultimate storage depot and site of synthesis of this vital substance, smoothing the peaks and troughs of nutritional supply and energy demand. The liver then can be considered as the ultimate regulator of its host.

The liver has also been the most useful model for the rapidly expanding studies of the correlation of structure and function. The granular and smooth endoplasmic reticulum, glycogen aggregates, and mitochondria of this cell have been used in definitive studies of protein synthesis, drug metabolism, and metabolic regulation. In no other tissue has the study of injury and repair or experimental carcinogenesis been more extensively pursued. In no other tissue is the spectrum of diseases more varied, ranging as it does from abnormalities of a genetic nature, to toxic alteration, to tumors or parasitic infestation.

And last, but of crucial importance, is our rapidly expanding realization of relationships between the liver and the host other than those described above. Who would have proposed a liver defect as basic to lung pathology prior to the description of a-trypsin inhibitor deficiency? What other alterations in the structure or secretion of plasma proteins are responsible for other "distant" disease patterns? Is atherosclerosis a liver disease? Are the altered lipoprotein patterns, so much in vogue, seated in an abnormality of liver metabolism?

Therefore, this book is not written as a final word. It is written more as a landmark to indicate the progress made and to suggest some of the paths of the future.

Frederick F. Becker New York, New York

CONTRIBUTORS TO PART B

- FREDERICK F. BECKER, Department of Pathology, New York University, School of Medicine, New York, New York
- G. DEWEY DUNN, Division of Gastroenterology, Vanderbilt University Medical School and Veterans Administration Hospital, Nashville, Tennessee
- EMMANUEL FARBER, Fels Research Institute and Departments of Pathology and Biochemistry, Temple University School of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
- JOSEF E. FISCHER, Harvard Medical School and Surgical Physiology Laboratory, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts
- CARL G. GROTH, *Department of Surgery, University of Colorado School of Medicine and the Veterans Administration Hospital, Denver, Colorado
- KENNETH M. KLEIN, Department of Pathology, New York University School of Medicine and Bellevue Hospital Center, New York, New York
- BENJAMIN H. LANDING, Department of Pathology, Children's Hospital of Los Angeles and Departments of Pathology and Pediatrics, University of Southern California School of Medicine, Los Angeles, California
- HENRY M. MIDDLETON III, Division of Gastroenterology, Vanderbilt University Medical School and Veterans Administration Hospital, Nashville, Tennessee
- N. C. NAYAK, Department of Pathology, All-India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India
- ALFRED M. PRINCE, Laboratory of Virology, The New York Blood Center and Department of Pathology, The New York Hospital—Cornell Medical Center, New York, New York

^{*}Present address: Department of Surgery, Karolinski Institute, Stockholm, Sweden

- S. RAJALAKSHMI, Fels Research Institute and Department of Biochemistry, Temple University School of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
- V. RAMALINGASWAMI, Department of Pathology, All-India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India
- D. S. R.SARMA, Fels Research Institute and Department of Pathology, Temple University School of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
- STEVEN SCHENKER, Division of Gastroenterology, Vanderbilt University Medical School and Veterans Administration Hospital, Nashville, Tennessee
- ROGER A. SCHINELLA, Department of Pathology, New York University School of Medicine, New York, New York
- STEWART SELL, Department of Pathology, University of California at San Diego Medical School, La Jolla, California
- H. SHINOZUKA, Fels Research Institute and Department of Pathology, Temple University School of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
- THOMAS E. STARZL, Department of Surgery, University of Colorado School of Medicine, Denver, Colorado
- K. S. WARREN, Departments of Medicine (Division of Geographic Medicine) and Community Health, Case Western Reserve University and University Hospitals, Cleveland, Ohio
- H. TERRY WEPSIC, Department of Pathology, University of California at San Diego Medical School, La Jolla and Veterans Administration Hospital, San Diego, California

CONTENTS OF PART A

- 1. Functional Analysis of the Liver BERNARD P. LANE
- 2. Hepatic Circulation
 CHARLES L. WITTE and MARLYS H. WITTE
- 3. Liver Lymph
 ALLAN E. DUMONT
- 4. Regeneration FREDERICK F. BECKER
- 5. Plasma Protein Synthesis by Liver RICHARD ASOFSKY
- 6. Antiproteases and the Liver
 A. MYRON JOHNSON
- 7. Hemochromatosis LAWRIE W. POWELL
- 8. Drug Metabolism in Liver
 JAMES R. GILLETTE and DAVID J. JOLLOW
- 9. Models of Liver Injury: The Effect of Toxins on the Liver ILENE H. RAISFELD
- 10. Hepatic Injury Caused by Therapeutic Agents HYMAN J. ZIMMERMAN
- 11. Bilirubin and Jaundice
 J. DONALD OSTROW
- 12. Bile Formation
 K. E. ANDERSON and NORMAN B. JAVITT
- 13. Porphyrin-Heme Synthesis and the Hepatic Porphyrias
 ANTHONY S. GIDARI, RICHARD D. LEVERE, and
 ATTALLAH KAPPAS

- 14. Glucose Metabolism

 NORMAN ALTSZULER and MILTON J. FINEGOLD
- 15. The Liver and the Formation of Normal and Abnormal Plasma Lipoproteins R. L. HAMILTON and H. J. KAYDEN

试读结束,需要全本PDF请购买 www.ertongbook.com

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION TO THE SERIES

	EE BUTORS TO PART B VTS OF PART A			xi xiii xv
			.111	
	ACUTE AND CHRONIC LIVER DAMAGE			
				*
16. Th	e Hepatitis B Antigen			575
	ALFRED M. PRINCE	inerferi Total		
T				576
II. IV. V. VI. VII. VIII. IX. X. XI. XII.	Methods for Detecting HB Ag and HB Ab Characterization of Hepatitis B Antigen Eticlogic Specificity of Happetitis P Antigen	e		576 577 578 581 584 585 589 590 591 594 596 606 606 607 612
	patitis KENNETH M. KLEIN and FREDERICK F. BECKER	Эгьчэ. Т.Г. 2 г		627
II.	Acute Hepatitis Chronic Hepatitis References			628 634 639

18. A	Alcohol-Induced Liver Injury: Pathogenetic Considerations HENRY M. MIDDLETON III, G. DEWEY DUNN, and STEVEN SCHENKER	647	
I. II. III. IV.	Introduction Hepatic Alcohol Metabolism Pathophysiology of Alcohol Hepatotoxicity Ancillary Effects of Alcohol References	648 649 652 662 667	
19. V	Vorms	679	
	K. S. WARREN		
I. II. IV. V.	Introduction Nematodes Cestodes Trematodes Conclusion References		
20.	Cirrhosis ROGER A. SCHINELLA and FREDERICK F. BECKER	711	
I. II. III. IV.	Introduction Pathogenesis Etiology and Pathogenesis Summary References	712 713 715 721 721	
21. A	cute Hepatic Failure: Hepatic Coma and the Hepatorenal Syndrome JOSEF E. FISCHER	725	
I. II.	Introduction Acute Hepatic Failure References	725 727 746	
22. L	iver Carcinogenesis: A Unifying Hypothesis	755	
	E. FARBER, D.S.R. SARMA, S. RAJALAKSHMI, and H. SHINOZUKA		
I. II. III.	Introduction Liver Carcinogenesis: Factual Background Liver Carcinogenesis: Working Hypothesis	755 756 761	

CONTENTE	the second secon
CONTENTS	1 X

23. α-Fetoprotein S. SELL and H. T. WEPSIC	773
 Introduction α₁ - Fetoprotein: Experimental Studies α₁ - Fetoprotein and Human Disease Summary References 	774 776 794 806 807
PEDIATRIC LIVER DISEASES	
24. Protracted Obstructive Jaundice in Infancy with Emphasis on Neonatal Hepatitis, Biliary Atresia, and Choledochal Cyst BENJAMIN H. LANDING	821
 I. Obstructive Jaundice in Infancy II. Neonatal Hepatitis (Giant-Cell Transformation of the Liver) III. Biliary Atresia IV. Choledochal Cyst References 	822 824 837 843 847
25. Childhood Cirrhosis N. C. NAYAK and V. RAMALINGASWAMI	851
 I. Introduction II. General Considerations III. Indian Childhood Cirrhosis IV. The Jamaican Cirrhosis V. Other Miscellaneous Cirrhoses VI. Relationship to Cirrhosis in Adults VII. Conclusions: Future Approaches References 	852 852 853 872 873 874 874
26. Transplantation of the Liver C. G. GROTH and THOMAS E. STARZL	885
 I. Selection of Recipients II. Surgical Considerations III. Immunologic Considerations IV. Special Metabolic Considerations V. Late Results References 	886 887 891 898 903
AUTHOR INDEX SUBJECT INDEX	9 0 9

Chapter 16

THE HEPATITIS B ANTIGEN

Alfred M. Prince

Laboratory of Virology
The New York Blood Center
and Department of Pathology
The New York Hospital — Cornell Medical Center
New York, New York

I.	INTRODUCTION AND HISTORY	576
II.	TERMINOLOGY	577
III.	METHODS FOR DETECTING HB Ag AND HB Ab	578
IV.	CHARACTERIZATION OF HEPATITIS B ANTIGEN	581
	A. Morphology	581
	B. Biophysical and Biochemical Properties	582
	C. Immunologic Heterogeneity	583
V.	ETIOLOGIC SPECIFICITY OF HEPATITIS B ANTIGEN	584
VI.	NONPARENTERAL TRANSMISSION	585
VII.	TRANSPLACENTAL TRANSMISSION	589
/III.	TISSUE LOCALIZATION OF HEPATITIS B ANTIGEN	590
	A. Immunohistochemical Studies	590
	B. Electron Microscopic Studies	591
IX.	FACTORS AFFECTING DEVELOPMENT OF THE CHRONIC	
	HB Ag CARRIER STATE	591

X.	SIGNIFICANCE OF THE CHRONIC HB Ag CARRIER STATE	594
•	A. Infectivity	594
	B. Risk of Liver Disease	594
XI.	THE ASSOCIATION BETWEEN HB Ag AND CHRONIC LIVER	
2	DISEASE	596
	A. Chronic Hepatitis	596
	B. Cirrhosis	597
		599
XII.	THE ASSOCIATION BETWEEN HB Ag AND POLYARTERITIS	
	NODOSA	606
XIII.	THE ASSOCIATION BETWEEN HB ANTIGENEMIA AND GLUM-	
	ERULONEPHRITIS	606
XIV.	IMMUNOPATHOLOGIC MECHANISMS IN HEPATITIS B	
	INFECTIONS	607
	A. Role of Immune Complexes	607
	B. Role of Cell-Mediated Immunity	610
	REFERENCES	619

I. INTRODUCTION AND HISTORY

Years of speculation concerning the possible role of hepatitis virus (or viruses) in the etiology of chronic liver disease resulted only in the realization that the controversies generated could not be resolved until specific tests for the diagnosis of hepatitis infections became available. The association of the Australia antigen with hepatitis B infections provided such a test.

In 1964, Blumberg reported what appeared to be a serum protein polymorphism: An antigen was detected in the serum of an Australian aborigine that reacted in the agar gel diffusion test with an antibody derived from the serum of a multiply transfused patient with hemophilia [1]. Search for the presence of this antigen, which Blumberg called "Australia antigen," in available serum collections revealed the antigen to be rare in serum from clinically well persons in the United States but more common in serum from certain foreign populations and from patients with leukemia [2]. Family studies supported the hypothesis that the antigen was a genetically determined isoantigen [3]. The association between the antigen and certain

types of leukemia, and the known high incidence of leukemia in patients with Down's syndrome, led to the search for the antigen in serum from patients with this disease. The antigen was found to be present in up to 30% of patients with Down's syndrome resident in large institutions [4]. At this time the antigen was interpreted as a serum protein polymorphism that appeared to be associated with susceptibility to leukemia.

A chance observation opened up a new direction in these studies. A child with Down's syndrome who had been negative when tested previously for the presence of Australia antigen was found to have developed detectable antigen. Clinical study revealed that the child had developed anicteric hepatitis. This observation led to examination of serum from patients with hepatitis: the antigen was found in five out of 48 cases tested [4].

The data available at this time were compatible with at least three hypotheses: (1) The antigen could represent a genetically determined serum isoantigen the presence of which correlated with susceptibility to various diseases or disease agents (leukemia, mongolism, hepatitis); (2) the antigen was a genetically determined isoantigen the expression of which depended on the presence of a "derepressing" virus; and (3) the antigen was specifically associated with a virus causing one or more of the above conditions. The first hypothesis appeared unlikely to me because of the marked difference between the prevalence of the antigen in blacks in West Africa and in the United States. Collaborative experiments were therefore undertaken to characterize the antigen [5, 6]. These revealed it to be located on particles having a density and sedimentation coefficient compatible with those of a small virus particle. These studies led to the visualization of the antigen-associated virus particles by electron microscopy [6]. Further evidence in support of the third hypothesis was obtained when it was found that the antigen appeared in the blood during the incubation. period of posttransfusion hepatitis, reaching maximal quantities weeks before the time when maximal liver damage occurred [7]. The transient appearance of Australia antigen in cases of posttransfusion hepatitis was also observed by Okochi and Murakami [8]. Further indication that the antigen was specified by viral rather than host genes came from the finding that the antigen was present only in infections caused by hepatitis virus type B, e.g., Krugman's MS-2 strain, and not in infections from hepatitis virus type A, e.g., Krugman's MS-1 strain [7].

II. TERMINOLOGY

As indicated in Section I, the term "Australia antigen" [Au antigen, Au(1)] was initially employed because the antigen was found in the serum of an Australian aborigine. I later introduced the term "serum hepatitis antigen" (SH), because it was not initially clear that this and the Au antigen