Signet Classic

SHAKESPEARE

Henry IV, Part Two



William Shakespeare

The Second Part of [KING] HENRY IV

With New Dramatic Criticism and an Updated Bibliography

EDITED BY NORMAN N. HOLLAND

The Signet Classic Shakespeare
GENERAL EDITOR: SYLVAN BARNET



SIGNET CLASSIC
Published by the Penguin Group
Penguin Books USA Inc., 375 Hudson Street,
New York, New York 10014, U.S.A.
Penguin Books Ltd., 27 Wrights Lane,
London W8 5TZ, England
Penguin Books Australia Ltd., Ringwood,
Victoria, Australia
Penguin Books Canada Ltd., 10 Alcorn Avenue,
Toronto, Ontario, Canada M4V 3B2
Penguin Books (N.Z.) Ltd., 182-190 Wairau Road,
Auckland 10, New Zealand

Penguin Books Ltd, Registered Offices: Harmondsworth, Middlesex, England

Published by Signet Classic, an imprint of Dutton Signet, a division of Penguin Books USA Inc.

25 24 23 22 21

Copyright © 1965, 1988 by Norman N. Holland Copyright © 1963, 1988 by Sylvan Barnet All rights reserved



REGISTERED TRADEMARK—MARCA REGISTRADA

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 86-62299

Printed in Canada

If you purchased this book without a cover you should be aware that this book is stolen property. It was reported as "unsold and destroyed" to the publisher and neither the author nor the publisher has received any payment for this "stripped book."

Contents

SHAKESPEARE: PREFATORY REMARKS	vii
INTRODUCTION	xviii
Henry IV, Part Two	45
TEXTUAL NOTE	170
THE SOURCES OF Henry IV [Part Two]	175
Raphael Holinshed: from Chronicles of Eng- land, Scotland, and Ireland Samuel Daniel: from The First Four Books of	177
the Civil Wars between the Two Houses of Lancaster and York	185
Commentaries	190
William Hazlitt: from Characters of Shakespear's Plays Sigmund Freud: from Jokes and Their Rela-	191
tion to the Unconscious	199
Philip Williams: from The Birth and Death of Falstaff Reconsidered	201
Harold Jenkins: The Structural Problem in Shakespeare's Henry the Fourth	212
James L. Calderwood: from Metadrama in Shakespeare's Henriad	234
Coppélia Kahn: from Man's Estate: Masculine Identity in Shakespeare Sidney Homan: Henry IV, Part Two on Stage	245
and Screen	249
SUGGESTED REFERENCES	263



William Shakespeare

The Second Part of [KING] HENRY IV

With New Dramatic Criticism and an Updated Bibliography

EDITED BY NORMAN N. HOLLAND

The Signet Classic Shakespeare
GENERAL EDITOR: SYLVAN BARNET



SIGNET CLASSIC
Published by the Penguin Group
Penguin Books USA Inc., 375 Hudson Street,
New York, New York 10014, U.S.A.
Penguin Books Ltd, 27 Wrights Lane,
London W8 5TZ, England
Penguin Books Australia Ltd, Ringwood,
Victoria, Australia
Penguin Books Canada Ltd, 10 Alcorn Avenue,
Toronto, Ontario, Canada M4V 3B2
Penguin Books (N.Z.) Ltd, 182-190 Wairau Road,
Auckland 10, New Zealand

Penguin Books Ltd, Registered Offices: Harmondsworth, Middlesex, England

Published by Signet Classic, an imprint of Dutton Signet, a division of Penguin Books USA Inc.

25 24 23 22 21

Copyright © 1965, 1988 by Norman N. Holland Copyright © 1963, 1988 by Sylvan Barnet All rights reserved



REGISTERED TRADEMARK—MARCA REGISTRADA

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 86-62299

Printed in Canada

If you purchased this book without a cover you should be aware that this book is stolen property. It was reported as "unsold and destroyed" to the publisher and neither the author nor the publisher has received any payment for this "stripped book."

Contents

SHAKESPEARE: PREFATORY REMARKS	AII
INTRODUCTION	xviii
Henry IV, Part Two	45
TEXTUAL NOTE	170
THE SOURCES OF Henry IV [Part Two]	175
Raphael Holinshed: from Chronicles of Eng- land, Scotland, and Ireland Samuel Daniel: from The First Four Books of	177
the Civil Wars between the Two Houses of Lancaster and York	185
Commentaries	190
William Hazlitt: from Characters of	
Shakespear's Plays	191
Sigmund Freud: from Jokes and Their Rela-	
tion to the Unconscious	199
Philip Williams: from The Birth and Death of	201
Falstaff Reconsidered Harold Jenkins: The Structural Problem in	201
Shakespeare's Henry the Fourth	212
James L. Calderwood: from Metadrama in	
Shakespeare's Henriad	234
Coppélia Kahn: from Man's Estate: Masculine	
Identity in Shakespeare	245
Sidney Homan: Henry IV, Part Two on Stage and Screen	249
SUGGESTED REFERENCES	263
The Part of the Pa	- C

Shakespeare: Prefatory Remarks

Between the record of his baptism in Stratford on 26 April 1564 and the record of his burial in Stratford on 25 April 1616, some forty documents name Shakespeare, and many others name his parents, his children, and his grandchildren. More facts are known about William Shakespeare than about any other playwright of the period except Ben Jonson. The facts should, however, be distinguished from the legends. The latter, inevitably more engaging and better known, tell us that the Stratford boy killed a calf in high style, poached deer and rabbits, and was forced to flee to London, where he held horses outside a playhouse. These traditions are only traditions; they may be true, but no evidence supports them, and it is well to stick to the facts.

Mary Arden, the dramatist's mother, was the daughter of a substantial landowner; about 1557 she married John Shakespeare, who was a glove-maker and trader in various farm commodities. In 1557 John Shakespeare was a member of the Council (the governing body of Stratford), in 1558 a constable of the borough, in 1561 one of the two town chamberlains, in 1565 an alderman (entitling him to the appellation "Mr."), in 1568 high bailiff—the town's highest political office, equivalent to mayor. After 1577, for an unknown reason he drops out of local politics. The birthday of William Shakespeare, the eldest son of this locally prominent man, is unrecorded; but the Stratford parish register records that the infant was baptized on 26 April 1564. (It is quite possible that he was born on

23 April, but this date has probably been assigned by tradition because it is the date on which, fifty-two years later, he died.) The attendance records of the Stratford grammar school of the period are not extant, but it is reasonable to assume that the son of a local official attended the school and received substantial training in Latin. The masters of the school from Shakespeare's seventh to fifteenth years held Oxford degrees; the Elizabethan curriculum excluded mathematics and the natural bethan curriculum excluded mathematics and the natural sciences but taught a good deal of Latin rhetoric, logic, and literature. On 27 November 1582 a marriage license was issued to Shakespeare and Anne Hathaway, eight years his senior. The couple had a child in May, 1583. Perhaps the marriage was necessary, but perhaps the couple had earlier engaged in a formal "troth plight" which would render their children legitimate even if no further ceremony were performed. In 1585 Anne Hathaway bore Shakespeare was born is excellent; that he mar-

That Shakespeare was born is excellent; that he married and had children is pleasant; but that we know nothing about his departure from Stratford to London, or about the beginning of his theatrical career, is lamentable and must be admitted. We would gladly sacrifice details about his children's baptism for details about his earliest days on the stage. Perhaps the poaching episode is true (but it is first reported almost a century after Shakespeare's death), or perhaps he first left Stratford to be a schoolteacher, as another tradition holds; perhaps he

was moved by

Such wind as scatters young men through the world, To seek their fortunes further than at home Where small experience grows.

In 1592, thanks to the cantankerousness of Robert Greene, a rival playwright and a pamphleteer, we have our first reference, a snarling one, to Shakespeare as an actor and playwright. Greene warns those of his own educated friends who wrote for the theater against an actor who has presumed to turn playwright:

There is an upstart crow, beautified with our feathers, that with his tiger's heart wrapped in a player's hide supposes he is as well able to bombast out a blank verse as the best of you, and being an absolute Johannes-factotum is in his own conceit the only Shake-scene in a country.

The reference to the player, as well as the allusion to Aesop's crow (who strutted in borrowed plumage, as an actor struts in fine words not his own), makes it clear that by this date Shakespeare had both acted and written. That Shakespeare is meant is indicated not only by "Shake-scene" but by the parody of a line from one of Shakespeare's plays, 3 Henry VI: "O, tiger's heart wrapped in a woman's hide." If Shakespeare in 1592 was prominent enough to be attacked by an envious dramatist, he probably had served an apprenticeship in the theater for at least a few years.

In any case, by 1592 Shakespeare had acted and written, and there are a number of subsequent references to him as an actor: documents indicate that in 1598 he is a "principal comedian," in 1603 a "principal tragedian," in 1608 he is one of the "men players." The profession of actor was not for a gentleman, and it occasionally drew the scorn of university men who resented writing speeches for persons less educated than themselves, but it was respectable enough: players, if prosperous, were in effect members of the bourgeoisie, and there is nothing to suggest that Stratford considered William Shakespeare less than a solid citizen. When, in 1596, the Shakespeares were granted a coat of arms, the grant was made to Shakespeare's father, but probably William Shakespeare (who the next year bought the second-largest house in town) had arranged the matter on his own behalf. In subsequent transactions he is occasionally styled a gentleman.

transactions he is occasionally styled a gentleman.

Although in 1593 and 1594 Shakespeare published two narrative poems dedicated to the Earl of Southampton, Venus and Adonis and The Rape of Lucrece, and may well have written most or all of his sonnets in the middle nineties, Shakespeare's literary activity seems to have been almost entirely devoted to the theater. (It may be

significant that the two narrative poems were written in years when the plague closed the theaters for several months.) In 1594 he was a charter member of a theatrical company called the Chamberlain's Men (which in 1603 changed its name to the King's Men); until he retired to Stratford (about 1611, apparently), he was with this remarkably stable company. From 1599 the company acted primarily at the Globe Theatre, in which Shakespeare held a one-tenth interest. Other Elizabethan dramatists are known to have acted, but no other is known also to have been entitled to a share in the profits of the playhouse.

of the playhouse.

known also to have been entitled to a share in the profits of the playhouse.

Shakespeare's first eight published plays did not have his name on them, but this is not remarkable; the most popular play of the sixteenth century, Thomas Kyd's The Spanish Tragedy, went through many editions without naming Kyd, and Kyd's authorship is known only because a book on the profession of acting happens to quote (and attribute to Kyd) some lines on the interest of Roman emperors in the drama. What is remarkable is that after 1598 Shakespeare's name commonly appears on printed plays—some of which are not his. Another indication of his popularity comes from Francis Meres, author of Palladis Tamia: Wit's Treasury (1598): in this anthology of snippets accompanied by an essay on literature, many playwrights are mentioned, but Shakespeare's name occurs more often than any other, and Shakespeare is the only playwright whose plays are listed.

From his acting, playwriting, and share in a theater, Shakespeare seems to have made considerable money. He put it to work, making substantial investments in Stratford real estate. When he made his will (less than a month before he died), he sought to leave his property intact to his descendants. Of small bequests to relatives and to friends (including three actors, Richard Burbage, John Heminges, and Henry Condell), that to his wife of the second-best bed has provoked the most comment; perhaps it was the bed the couple had slept in, the best being reserved for visitors. In any case, had Shakespeare not excepted it, the bed would have gone (with the rest

of his household possessions) to his daughter and her husband. On 25 April 1616 he was buried within the chancel of the church at Stratford. An unattractive monument to his memory, placed on a wall near the grave, says he died on 23 April. Over the grave itself are the lines, perhaps by Shakespeare, that (more than his literary fame) have kept his bones undisturbed in the crowded burial ground where old bones were often dislodged to make way for new:

Good friend, for Jesus' sake forbear
To dig the dust enclosed here.
Blessed be the man that spares these stones
And cursed be he that moves my bones.

Thirty-seven plays, as well as some nondramatic poems, are held to constitute the Shakespeare canon. The dates of composition of most of the works are highly uncertain, but there is often evidence of a terminus a quo (starting point) and/or a terminus ad quem (terminal point) that provides a framework for intelligent guessing. For example, Richard II cannot be earlier than 1595, the publication date of some material to which it is indebted; The Merchant of Venice cannot be later than 1598, the year Francis Meres mentioned it. Sometimes arguments for a date hang on an alleged topical allusion, such as the lines about the unseasonable weather in A Midsummer Night's Dream, II.i.81-117, but such an allusion (if indeed it is an allusion) can be variously interpreted, and in any case there is always the possibility that a topical allusion was inserted during a revision, years after the composition of a play. Dates are often attributed on the basis of style, and although conjectures about style usually rest on other conjectures, sooner or later one must relay on one's literary sense. There is no real proof, for example, that Othello is not as early as Romeo and Juliet, but one feels Othello is later, and because the first record of its performance is 1604, one is glad enough to set its composition at that date and not push it back into Shakespeare's early years. The following chronology, then, is as much indebted to

informed guesswork and sensitivity as it is to fact. The dates, necessarily imprecise, indicate something like a scholarly consensus.

PLAYS

1588-93	The Comedy of Errors
1588-94	Love's Labor's Lost
1590-91	2 Henry VI
1590-91	3 Henry VI
1591-92	1 Henry VI
1592-93	Richard III
1592-94	Titus Andronicus
1593-94	The Taming of the Shrew
1593-95	The Two Gentlemen of Verona
1594-96	Romeo and Juliet
1595	Richard II
1594-96	A Midsummer Night's Dream
1596-97	King John
1596–97	The Merchant of Venice
1597	1 Henry IV
1597–98	2 Henry IV
1598-1600	Much Ado About Nothing
1598–99	Henry V
1599	Julius Caesar
1599–1600	As You Like It
1599-1600	Twelfth Night
1600-01	Hamlet
1597-1601	The Merry Wives of Windsor
1601–02	Troilus and Cressida
1602-04	All's Well that Ends Well
1603-04	Othello
1604	Measure for Measure
1605–06	King Lear
1605-06	Macbeth
1606–07	Antony and Cleopatra
1605–08	Timon of Athens
1607–09	Coriolanus
1608–09	Pericles

1609-10	Cymbeline		
1610-11	The Winter's Tale		
1611	The Tempest		
1612-13	Henry VIII		

POEMS

1592	Venus and Adonis
1593-94	The Rape of Lucrece
1593-1600	Sonnets
1600-01	The Phoenix and the Turtle

Shakespeare's Theater

In Shakespeare's infancy, Elizabethan actors performed wherever they could—in great halls, at court, in the courtyards of inns. The innyards must have made rather unsatisfactory theaters: on some days they were unavailable because carters bringing goods to London used them as depots; when available, they had to be rented from the innkeeper; perhaps most important, London inns were subject to the Common Council of London, which was not well disposed toward theatricals. In 1574 the Common Council required that plays and playing places in London be licensed. It asserted that

sundry great disorders and inconveniences have been found to ensue to this city by the inordinate haunting of great multitudes of people, specially youth, to plays, interludes, and shows, namely occasion of frays and quarrels, evil practices of incontinency in great inns having chambers and secret places adjoining to their open stages and galleries,

and ordered that innkeepers who wished licenses to hold performances put up a bond and made contributions to the poor.

The requirement that plays and innyard theaters be