# Legal Reasoning And Legal Writing Structure, Strategy, and Style

**Sixth Edition** 

Richard K. Neumann, Jr.



#### ASPEN PUBLISHERS

# LEGAL REASONING AND LEGAL WRITING

Structure, Strategy, and Style

SIXTH EDITION

Richard K. Neumann, Jr. Professor of Law Hofstra University

Copyright © 2009 by Richard K. Neumann, Jr.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher. Requests for permission to make copies of any part of this publication should be mailed to:

Aspen Publishers Attn: Permissions Department 76 Ninth Avenue, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10011-5201

Printed in the United States of America

1234567890

ISBN: 978-0-7355-7666-7

#### Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Neumann, Richard K., 1947-Legal reasoning and legal writing: structure, strategy, and style / Richard K. Neumann, Jr. — 6th ed.

p. em.

Includes index.

ISBN 978-0-7355-7666-7

 Legal composition.
Trial practice—United States.
Law—United States— Methodology.
Title.

KF250.N48 2009 808'.06634 — dc22 2009003050 While rewriting your work to turn it into a final draft, consider these questions and the ones on the inside back cover:

#### Organization — Have you . . . ?

- 13-Λ stated your conclusions (p. 128)?
- 13-B stated the rule or rules on which your conclusion is based (p. 128)?
- 13-C proved rules in an appropriate amount of depth (p. 128)?
- 13-D applied rules to the facts in an appropriate amount of depth (p. 128)?
- 13-E completed rule proof before starting rule application (p. 129)?
- 13-F varied the sequence of the paradigm only where truly necessary (p. 129)?
- 13-G organized a multi-issue presentation so that the reader understands how everything fits together (p. 129)?
- 13-H organized around tests and elements, rather than around cases (p. 129)?
- avoided presentation authority in chronological order unless you have a special need to do so (p. 129)?
- 13-J collected closely related ideas, rather than scattering them (p. 130)?

#### Paragraphing — Have you . . . ?

- 18-A told the reader, near the beginning of each paragraph, the paragraph's thesis or its topic (p. 211)?
- 18-B gotten rid of throat-clearing introductory sentences (p. 211)?
- 18-C given each paragraph a unified purpose (p. 211)?
- 18-D within each paragraph, expressed your ideas in a logical and effective sequence (p. 212)?
- 18-E broken up paragraphs that were so large that the reader would have gotten lost (p. 212)?
- 18-F rewritten paragraphs that were so short that no thesis or topic is developed (p. 212)?
- 18-G shown the reader how each paragraph is related to the surrounding material (unless the relationship is implied by the context (p. 212)?

#### Quotations—Have you . . . ?

- 20-A quoted and cited every time you use the words of others (p. 257)?
- 20-B quoted only the essential words (p. 257)?
- 20-C been eareful not to quote out of context (p. 260)?
- 20-D quoted accurately (p. 260)?
- 20-E placed quotation marks exactly where they belong (p. 260)?
- 20-F been careful not to quote from a headnote (p. 260)?

### LEGAL REASONING AND LEGAL WRITING

#### EDITORIAL ADVISORS

#### **Erwin Chemerinsky**

Alston & Bird Professor of Law Duke University School of Law

#### Richard A. Epstein

James Parker Hall Distinguished Service Professor of Law University of Chicago Peter and Kirsten Bedford Senior Fellow The Hoover Institution Stanford University

#### Ronald J. Gilson

Charles J. Meyers Professor of Law and Business Stanford University Marc and Eva Stern Professor of Law and Business Columbia University

#### James E. Krier

Earl Warren DeLano Professor of Law University of Michigan

#### Richard K. Neumann, Jr.

Professor of Law Hofstra University School of Law

#### Kent D. Syverud

Dean and Garner Anthony Professor Vanderbilt University Law School

#### Elizabeth Warren

Leo Gottlieb Professor of Law Harvard University

#### EMERITUS EDITORIAL ADVISORS

#### E. Allan Farnsworth

Alfred McCormack Professor of Law Columbia University

#### Geoffrey C. Hazard, Jr.

Trustee Professor of Law University of Pennsylvania

#### Bernard Wolfman

Fessenden Professor of Law Harvard University

#### **About Wolters Kluwer Law & Business**

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business is a leading provider of research information and workflow solutions in key specialty areas. The strengths of the individual brands of Aspen Publishers, CCH, Kluwer Law International and Loislaw are aligned within Wolters Kluwer Law & Business to provide comprehensive, in-depth solutions and expert-authored content for the legal, professional and education markets.

CCH was founded in 1913 and has served more than four generations of business professionals and their clients. The CCH products in the Wolters Kluwer Law & Business group are highly regarded electronic and print resources for legal, securities, antitrust and trade regulation, government contracting, banking, pension, payroll, employment and labor, and healthcare reimbursement and compliance professionals.

Aspen Publishers is a leading information provider for attorneys, business professionals and law students. Written by preeminent authorities, Aspen products offer analytical and practical information in a range of specialty practice areas from securities law and intellectual property to mergers and acquisitions and pension/benefits. Aspen's trusted legal education resources provide professors and students with high-quality, up-to-date and effective resources for successful instruction and study in all areas of the law.

Kluwer Law International supplies the global business community with comprehensive English-language international legal information. Legal practitioners, corporate counsel and business executives around the world rely on the Kluwer Law International journals, loose-leafs, books and electronic products for authoritative information in many areas of international legal practice.

**Loislaw** is a premier provider of digitized legal content to small law firm practitioners of various specializations. Loislaw provides attorneys with the ability to quickly and efficiently find the necessary legal information they need, when and where they need it, by facilitating access to primary law as well as state-specific law, records, forms and treatises.

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business, a unit of Wolters Kluwer, is headquartered in New York and Riverwoods, Illinois. Wolters Kluwer is a leading multinational publisher and information services company.

#### for

#### Richard K. Neumann, Sr. and Marjorie Batter Neumann,

who taught everything on which this book is based

The power of clear statement is the great power at the bar.

— Daniel Webster (also attributed to Rufus Choate, Judah P. Benjamin, and perhaps others)

## **Preface**

Students learn legal reasoning and legal writing better when the two are taught together than when they are taught separately. The act of writing forces the writer to test thought in order to express it fully and precisely, and thus complex analysis cannot be said to be complete until it becomes written and written well.

This text is designed to help students learn how to make professional writing decisions, how to construct proof of a conclusion of law, and how to develop their own processes of writing. The writing process is introduced in Chapter 6 and developed more fully in the remainder of the book.

Part I of the text introduces students to basic concepts of law as well as judicial opinions and methods of briefing them. Legal writing in general is introduced in Part II. Part III explains how to write an office memorandum. A chapter on client interviewing is included for those programs that provide an assignment's facts through a simulated interview. Parts IV, V, and VI explain analytical writing skills, including organizing proof of a conclusion of law, using authority, analyzing facts, and using paragraphing, style, and citations. Part VII covers lawyers' letters and law school examinations. Part VIII introduces advocacy skills. Appellate briefs are covered in Part IX; and oral argument in Part X.

On the inside covers of the book is a list of questions that students should ask themselves while working through successive drafts of a document. Each question represents a recurring problem in student writing—the sort that a teacher marks over and over again on student papers. Students can use these questions to make sure they have attended to likely problems. And teachers can use them to ease the burden of writing so many comments on student papers. Rather than write the same or a similar comment over and over again on students' papers, a teacher can circle problem passages and write a question number ("9-A," for example) in the margin. The

inside front and back covers will give a page number in the text, where the student will find a complete explanation of the problem, what causes it, and how to fix it.

Richard K. Neumann, Jr.

January 2009

# Acknowledgments

I am grateful to many people who generously contributed their thoughts to the development of this book in this or its earlier editions. Among them are Burton C. Agata, Lisa Aisner, Aaron Balasny, Kathleen Beckett, Sara Bennett, Barbara Blumenfeld, Ben Bratman, Susan L. Brody, Susan Bryant, Mark Carroll, Kimberly Klein Cauthorn, Robin Charlow, Kenneth Chestek, Barbara Child, Julie Close, Elizabeth W. Cohen, Leona Cunningham, Patti L. Dikes, Alice K. Duecker, Jo Anne Durako, Deborah A. Ezbitski, Peter M. Falkenstein, Neal R. Feigenson, Eric M. Freedman, William R. Ginsburg, John DeWitt Gregory, Marc Grinker, Donna Hill, Joseph Holmes, Sam Jacobson, Steven D. Jamar, Ellen M. James, Lawrence W. Kessler, Martha Krisel, Eric Lane, Jan M. Levine, Lisa Eggert Litvin, Ed McDougal, Douglas Miller, Juliet Neisser, Richard J. Peltz, Stuart Rabinowitz, John Regan, Linda A. Robinson, Jennifer Rosenberg, Kathryn A. Sampson, Terry J. Seligman, Carole Shapiro, Amy E. Sloan, Suzanne Spector, Judith Stinson, Grace C. Tonner, Marshall E. Tracht, Aaron D. Twerski, Ursula H. Weigold, Mary White, Grace Wigal, and Mark E. Wojcik, as well as the anonymous reviewers who examined the first edition manuscript for Little, Brown & Co. and those who responded to the questionnaires distributed by Little, Brown & Co. and Aspen Law & Business in preparation for each later edition.

I am also grateful for research assistance by Heather Canning, Elizabeth Hader, Shannon Hynes, Susan King, Vicky Ku, Ellen Leibowitz, Christine Lombardi, Courtney Murphy, Fusae Nara, Karen Nielsen, Colin Padgett, Rachael Ringer, Ashley Roberts, Edmire Saint-Pierre, Dan Wallach, and Carolyn Weissbach, supplemented by Darmin Bachu, Ann Carrozza, Nicole Gamble, Rori Goldman, Bill Kalten, Rachel Mallozzi, Beth Rogoff, Victoria Saunders, and Corey Wishner, together with the advice of Kellie Beach, Sharon Clarke, James Harris, Raj Jadeja, Lissa Mascio, Amee Shah, David Smith, and administrative assistance by Angela Wooden, Donna Posillico, Omayra Perez, Carla Alvarez, and Maureen Quinn.

Richard Heuser, Daniel E. Mangan, Carol McGeehan, Melody Davies, Betsy Kenny, Richard Audet, Nick Niemeyer, Cate Rickard, Lisa Wehrle, Kurt Hughes, John Burdeaux, and their colleagues at Aspen Law & Business and Little, Brown & Co. have had enormous insight into the qualities that make a text useful; their perceptiveness and creativity ultimately caused this book to become a different and far better text than it otherwise would have been.

Elliott Milstein and Joseph Harbaugh had much influence on the pedagogy that has shaped this book.

And Deborah A. Ezbitski, Lillianna Ezbitski Neumann, and Alexander Ezbitski Neumann inspired in countless ways.

#### **Copyright Acknowledgments**

Permission to reprint copyrighted excerpts from the following is gratefully acknowledged:

D. Binder & P. Bergman, Fact Investigation: From Hypothesis to Proof 92-96 (1984). Copyright © 1984 by the West Publishing Co.

Albert J. Moore, *Inferential Streams: The Articulation and Illustration of the Advocate's Evidentiary Intuitions*. Originally published in 34 UCLA L. Rev. 611, 625-27. Copyright © 1987 by the Regents of the University of California. All rights reserved.

- E. Barrett Prettyman, *Some Observations Concerning Appellate Advocacy*, 39 Va. L. Rev. 285, 296 (1953). Copyright © 1953 by The Virginia Law Review Association.
- J. Ramage & J. Bean, *Writing Arguments: A Rhetoric with Readings*, 4th ed., 10-11 (1998). Copyright © 1998 by Allyn & Bacon. Reprinted by permission.

# **Summary** of Contents

Contents		xv
Preface		xxvii
Acknowledgments		xxix
PA	RT I INTRODUCTION TO LAW AND ITS STUDY	1
Chapter 1	An Introduction to American Law	3
Chapter 2	Rule-Based Reasoning	9
Chapter 3	An Introduction to Judicial Decisions and Statutes	23
Chapter 4	Briefing Cases	37
I	PART II INTRODUCTION TO LEGAL WRITING	45
Chapter 5	The Art of Legal Writing	47
Chapter 6	The Process of Writing	53
	PART III OFFICE MEMORANDA	63
Chapter 7	Office Memoranda	65
Chapter 8	Initially Obtaining the Facts: Client Interviewing	71
Chapter 9	Predictive Writing	77
	PART IV ORGANIZING PROOF OF A	
	CONCLUSION OF LAW	89
Chapter 10	A Paradigm for Organizing Proof of	
	a Conclusion of Law	91
Chapter 11	, 0	
	Rule Application	103
Chapter 12	Combining Proofs of Separate Conclusions of Law	113
Chapter 13	Working with the Paradigm	125
		-

	PART V GENERAL ANALYTICAL SKILLS	137
Chapter 14	Selecting Authority	139
Chapter 15	Working with Precedent	153
Chapter 16	Working with Statutes	171
Chapter 17		193
•	And Acceptation (Considerate) of the desired	
	PART VI GENERAL WRITING SKILLS	203
Chapter 18	Paragraphing	205
Chapter 19	Effective Style	215
Chapter 20	Citations and Quotations	239
	PART VII LETTERS AND EXAM ANSWERS	265
Chapter 21	Client Advice Letters	267
Chapter 22	Demand Letters	273
Chapter 23	How to Write Exam Answers	279
	PART VIII THE SHIFT TO PERSUASION	289
	Developing a Persuasive Theory	291
	Developing Persuasive Arguments	305
	Handling the Procedural Posture	329
	Motion Memoranda	341
_	Point Headings and Sub-Headings	347
Chapter 29		357
Chapter 30	Questions Presented	371
	PART IX APPELLATE BRIEFS	381
Chapter 31	Appellate Practice	383
	Appellate Briefs	395
	Writing the Appellate Brief	403
-		
	PART X INTO THE COURTROOM	413
Chapter 34	Oral Argument	415
	APPENDICES	437
Appendix A	Basic Legal Usage	439
Appendix B	Nine Punctuation Issues	445
Appendix C	Sample Office Memorandum	451
Appendix D	Sample Client Advice Letter	457

Appendix E	Sample Demand Letter	461
Appendix F	Sample Motion Memorandum	465
Appendix G	Sample Appellant's Brief	475
Appendix H	Sample Appellee's Brief	497
Index		515

# **Contents**

Acknowledgments		xxix
I INT	RODUCTION TO LAW AND ITS STUDY	1
	1. An Introduction to American Law	3
§1.1	The Origin of Common Law	3
§1.2	How American Courts Are Organized	6
	§1.2.1 State Courts	6
	§1.2.2 Federal Courts	7
	2. Rule-Based Reasoning	9
§2.1	The Inner Structure of a Rule	9
§2.2	Organizing the Application of a Rule	16
§2.3	Some Things to Be Careful About with Rules	18
$\S 2.4$	Causes of Action and Affirmative Defenses	19
§2.5	Where Rules Come From (Sources of Law)	21
Exerc	Exercise. Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure	
		xv