

# GREAT BOOKS OF THE WESTERN WORLD

ROBERT MAYNARD HUTCHINS, EDITOR IN CHIEF

20.

THOMAS AQUINAS: II

MORTIMER J. ADLER, Associate Editor

Members of the Advisory Board: Stringfellow Barr, Scott Buchanan, John Erskine,
Clarence H. Faust, Alexander Meiklejohn, Joseph J. Schwab, Mark Van Doren.

Editorial Consultants: A. F. B. Clark, F. L. Lucas, Walter Murdoch.

WALLACE BROCKWAY, Executive Editor

The text of this edition is derived from the translation of *The Summa Theologica* by Fathers of the English Dominican Province by arrangement with Burns, Oates & Washbourne Ltd., London, and Benziger Brothers, Inc., New York.

The bibliographical footnotes and bibliography in this edition are derived from the Piana Edition of the *Summa Theologiae* published by the Dominican Fathers in Ottawa by arrangement with the Institute of Medieval Studies Albert le Grand of the University of Montreal. Copyright, 1941, by College Dominicain D'Ottawa.

COPYRIGHT IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 1952, BY ENCYCLOPÆDIA BRITANNICA, INC.

COPYRIGHT 1952. COPYRIGHT UNDER INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHT UNION BY ENCYCLOPÆDIA BRITANNICA, INC. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED UNDER PAN AMERICAN COPYRIGHT CONVENTIONS BY ENCYCLOPÆDIA BRITANNICA, INC.

# THE SUMMA THEOLOGICA

#### OF SAINT THOMAS AQUINAS

Translated by Fathers of the English Dominican Province

Revised by Daniel J. Sullivan

#### VOLUME II



WILLIAM BENTON, Publisher

ENCYCLOPÆDIA BRITANNICA, INC.

 $CHICAGO \cdot LONDON \cdot TORONTO$ 

\*

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY OF FOOTNOTE REFERENCES

#### ABBREVIATIONS

DZ—Denzinger, H., and Bannwart, C., Enchiridion Symbolorum Definitionum et Declarationum de Rebus Fidei et Morum, 16th-17th edition by J. P. Umberg, Freiburg, 1928.

MA-Mansi, J D., Sacrorum Conciliorum Nova et Amplissima Collectio, 54 vols., Paris and Leipsig, 1901-1927.

PG—MIGNE, J. P., Patrologiae Cursus Completus, Series Graeca, 166 vols., Paris, 1857–1866.

PL—MIGNE, J. P., Patrologiae Cursus Completus, Series Latina, 217 vols. text, 4 vols. indices, Paris, 1844–1855.

#### Sources

ABELARD, Opera, PL 178.

Acta Sanctorum, ed. I. Bollandus, and others [BL], 60 vols., Paris, 1863–1870 and 6 vols., Brussels, 1883–1925

Agatho, Epistola I, Ad Augustos Imperatores, PL 87, 1161-1214.

———, Epistola III, Epistola Agathonis et Romanae Synodi, PL 87, 1215-1248.

ALAN OF LILLE, Theologicae Regulae, PL 210, 621-684.
ALBERT THE GREAT, Opera, ed. A. Borgnet [BO], 38 vols., Paris, 1800-1800.

——, De quindecim Problematibus, ed. P. Mandonett [MD] in Siger de Brabant et l'Averroisme Latin, Louvain, 1908.

Alcher of Clairvaux (Pseudo-Augustine), De Spiritu et Anima, PL 40, 779-832.

ALCUIN, Opera, PL 100-101.

ALEXANDER, Epistola Alexandri de Ariana Haeresi, PG 18, 547-582.

ALEXANDER III, Epistola DCCXLIV, Ad Willelmum Archiepiscopum Senonensem, PL 200, 685.

ALEXANDER OF APHRODISIAS, De Intellectu et Intellecto in G. Théry [TH], Alexandre d'Aphrodise (Bibliothèque Thomiste, VII), Kain, 1926.

———, Praeter Commentaria Scripta Minora: De Anima Liber cum Mantissa, ed. I. Bruns (Supplementum Aristotle [SA], Vol. II. P. 1), Berlin, 1887.

ALEXANDER OF HALES, Summa Theologica, 3 vols., Quarrachi [QR], Florence, 1924–1930.

—, Summa Theologica, 4 vols., Lyons, A. Koburger, 1515-1516.

ALFARABI, Al Farabi's philosophische Abhandlungen, aus dem Arabischen übersetzt von F. Dieterici [DI], Leinden 1892.

——, De Intellectu, in Avicenna, Opera, Venice, 1508.

——, De Intellectu et Intellecto, ed. E. Gilson [GI], in Archives d'histoire doctrinale et littéraire du moyen-âge, IV, 1929.

ALGAZEL, Metaphysica, ed. J. T. Muckle [MK], Toronto, 1933.

AMBROSE, Opera, PL 14-17.

PSEUDO-AMBROSE, Epistola I, Ad Virgines Sacras, PL 17, 813-821.

Ambrosiaster, Commentaria in Duodecim Epistolas Beati Pauli, PL 17, 45-536.

—, Quaestiones Veteris et Novi Testamenti, PL 35, 2213-2416.

Anonymous, Presbyterum et Diaconorum Achaiae Epistola de Martyrio Sancti Andreae Apostoli, PG, 2, 1217-1248.

Anonymous (Pseudo-Hugh of St. Victor), Summa Sententiarum septem Tractatibus Distincta, PL 176, 41-174.

Anselm, Opera, PL 158-159.

Apuleius, De Deo Socratis Liber, Firmin-Didot [DD], Paris, 1842.

Aristotle, Aristotelis Opera, ex recensione I. Bekkeri, edidit Academia Regia Borussica, 5 vols., Berlin, 1831.

——, The Works of Aristotle, ed. W. D. Ross, 11 vols., Oxford, 1928-1931.

For The Works of Aristotle, see volumes 8 and 9 of this set.

[Arnim, H. von] Stoicorum Veterum Fragmenta, 4 vols., Leipsig, 1921-1924.

ATHANASIUS, Opera, PG 25-28.

PSEUDO-ATHANASIUS, Symbolum, MA II, 1353-1356; DZ no. 39-40.

Augustine, Opera, PL 32-46.

——, For The Confessions, The City of God, and On Christian Doctrine, see volume 18 of this set.

PSEUDO-AUGUSTINE, Hypomnesticon contra Pela-

PSEUDO-AUGUSTINE, Hypomnesticon contra Pelagianos et Caelestianos vulgo Libri Hypognosticon, PL 45, 1611-1664.

AVERROES, Commentaria in Opera Aristotelis, 12 vols., Venice, 1562-1576.

AVICEBRON, Fons Vitae, ed. C. BAEUMKER [BK], (Beiträge zur Geschichte der Philos. und Theol. des Mittelalters, I, 2-4), Münster, 1892-1895.

AVICENNA, Opera, trans. by Dominic Gundissalinus, Venice, 1508.

BARONIUS, C., and others, Annales Ecclesiastici, ed. A. Theiner, 37 vols., Paris and Freiburg, Surtz., 1864–1883.

x

BASIL, Opera, PG 29-32.

Bede, Opera, PL 90-95.

Bede (?), Sententiae sive Axiomata Philosophica ex Aristotele et alia Praestantibus Collecta, PL 90, 965-1090.

BENEDICT, Regula, cum Commentariis, PL 66,

215-932.

BERNARD, Opera, PL 182-185.

Boëthius, Opera, PL 63-64.

BONAVENTURE, Opera, 10 vols., Quarrachi [QR], 1882-1902.

[Bremond, A.] Bullarium Ordinis FF, Praedicatorum, 8 vols., Rome, 1729-1740.

CAESAR, Commentarii de Bello Gallico, Firmin-

Didot [DD], Paris, 1879.

CAIUS ROMANUS, Fragmenta ex Dialogo sive Disputatione adversus Proclum, PG 10, 25-26.

CANDIDUS ARIANUS, Liber de Generatione Divina, PL 8, 1013-1020.

CASSIANUS, Collationum XXIV Collectio in tres Partes Divisa, PL 49, 477-1328.

-, De Coenobiorum Institutis Libri duodecim, PL 49, 53-476.

Cassiodorus, De Anima, PL 70, 1279-1308.

-, In Psalterium Expositio, PL 70, 25-1056. Cassiodorus (?), Expositio in Cantica Canticorum, PL 70, 1055-1106.

CHALCIDIUS, Timaeus ex Platonis Dialogo translatus et in eundem Commentarius (Fragmenta Philosophorum Graecorum, Firmin-Didot [DD] Vol. II), Paris, 1862.

CICERO, Oeuvres Complètes, Firmin-Didot [DD], Paris, 1881.

PSEUDO-CLEMENT OF ROME, De Actibus, Peregrinationibus et Praedicationibus S. Petri, PG 2, 469-604. CORNELIUS (CYPRIANUS?), Epistola X, Ad Antonianum, PL 3, 787-820.

[CORSSEN, P.] Monarchianische Prologe zu den vier Evangelien, Leipsig, 1896.

COSTA-BEN-LUCA, De Differentia Animae et Spiritus, ed. C. S. Barach [BH], Innsbruck, 1878.

CYPRIAN, De Oratione Dominica Liber, PL 4, 535-562. -, Epistola LXX, Ad Januarium, PL 3, 1073-1082; cf. PL 4, 421.

-, Liber de Habitu Virginum, PL 4, 451-478. CYPRIAN (CORNELIUS?), Epistola LII, Ad Antonia-

num, De Cornelio et Novatiano, PL 4, 355; cf. PL 3, 787-820.

CYRIL OF ALEXANDRIA, Opera, PG 68-77.

DECIUS AUSONIUS, Epigrammata, Idyllia, Epistolae, etc., PL 19, 817-958.

Decretales Gregorii in Corpus Iuris Canonici, ed. Richter and Friedberg [RF], Leipsig, 1922.

[DENIFLE, H. and CHATELAIN, E.] Chartularium Universitatis Parisiensis, 4 vols, Paris, 1889-1897. DIDYMUS OF ALEXANDRIA, Liber de Spiritu Sancto, trans. Jerome, PG 39, 1029-1110.

[DIELS, H.] Die Fragmente der Vorsokratiker, 3 vols., Berlin, 1910-1912.

-, Doxographia Graeci, Berlin and Leipsig, 1929.

Digesta, ed. T. Mommsen, rev. P. Krueger [KR]

in Corpus Iuris Civilis, 15th edition, Vol. I, Berlin, 1928.

Diogenes Laërtius, De Clarorum Philosophorum Vitis, Firmin-Didot [DD], Paris, 1878.

DIONYSIUS OF ALEXANDRIA, Interpretatio in S. Evangelii secundum Lucam PG 10, 1589-1596.

DIONYSIUS THE PSEUDO-AREOPAGITE, Opera, PG 3-4.

Eadmer, Liber de Sancti Anselmi Similitudinibus, PL 150, 605-708.

EPIPHANIUS, Adversus Octoginta Haereses Panarium, PG 41, 173-42, 774.

-, Epistola ad Ioannem, PG 43, 379-392.

Euclid, Geometria, tr. Boëthius, PL 63, 1307-1364. -, For The Thirteen Books of Euclid's Elements, see volume 11 of this set.

EUSEBIUS, Historia Ecclesiastica, PG 20, 9-906.

Eustratius, In Ethica Nicomachea Commentaria, ed. G. Heylbut (Commentaria in Aristotelem Graeca (CG), XX), Berlin, 1802.

FRONTINUS, Strategematicon Libri quatuor, Firmin-Didot [DD], Paris, 1878.

FULGENTIUS (PSEUDO-AUGUSTINE), De Fide ad Petrum, PL 65, 671-708.

- Liber de duplici Praedestinatione Dei, PL 65, 153-178.

GALEN, Opera Omnia, ed. D. C. Gottlob Kühn [KU], 20 vols., Leipsig, 1821-1833.

GENNADIUS (PSEUDO-AUGUSTINE), Liber de Ecclesiasticis Dogmatibus, PL 58, 979-1000.

GERHOHUS, Epistola VII, Ad Adamum Abbatem Eberacensem, PL 193, 496-500.

-, Epistola VIII, Ad Eberhardum Archiepiscopum Salzburgensem, PL 193, 500-514.

GILBERT DE LA PORRÉE, Commentaria in Librum De Trinitate (Böethius), PL 64, 1255-1300.

-, Commentaria in Librum de Praedicatione trium Personarum, (Böethius), PL 64, 1302-1310. -, Liber de Sex Principiis, PL 188, 1257-1270. Glossa in Decretum Gratiani (Decretum Gratiani

Emendatum et Notationibus Illustratum una cum Glossis), 2 vols., Venice, 1595.

Glossa (Glossa ordin. and Glossa interl.) cum expositione Lyre Litterali et morali, necnon additionibus et relicis, 6 v., Basel, 1506-1508.

Gratian, Decretum Magistri Gratiani in Corpus Iuris Canonici, ed. Richter and Friedberg [RF], Leipsig, 1922.

Gregory Nazianzen, Opera, PG 35-38.

Gregory of Nyssa, Opera, PG 44-46.

GREGORY OF TOURS, Historiae Ecclesiasticae Francorum Libri Decem, PL 71, 161-572.

GREGORY THE GREAT, Opera, PL 75-79.

Gundissalinus, De Anima, ed. J. T. Muckle [MK] (Medieval Studies, II), New York-London, 1940. Начмо, Opera, PL 116-117.

PSEUDO-HERMES TRISMEGISTUS, Liber XXIV Philosophorum, ed. Baeumker [BK], in Abhandlungen aus dem Gebiete der Philosophie und ihrer Geschichte, Freiburg, 1913.

HESYCHIUS, Commentarius in Leviticum, PG 93,

787-1180.

HILARY, Opera, PL 9-10.

HILDEBERT, Versus de Excidio Troiae, PL 171,

HUGH OF ST. CHER, Opera Omnia in Universum Vetus, et Novum Testamentum, 8 vols., Venice, 1754.

HUGH OF ST. VICTOR, Opera, PL 175-177.

PSEUDO-HUGH OF St. VICTOR, Summa Sententiarum septem Tractatibus Distincta, PL 176, 41-174.

Innocent I, Epistola II, Ad Victricium Episcopum Rotomagensem, PL 20, 469-481.

———, Epistola XVII, Ad Rusinum, Eusebium, etc., PL 20, 526-537.

IRENAEUS, Contra Haereses Libri Quinque, PG 7, 433-1224.

ISAAC ISRAELI, Liber de Definicionibus ed. Muckle in Archives d'histoire doctrinale et littéraire du moyen-âge [AHDLM], XII-XIII, 1937–1938.

ISIDORE, Opera, PL 81-84. JEROME, Opera, PL 22-30.

JOHN CHRYSOSTOM, Opera, PG 47-64.

PSEUDO-JOHN CHRYSOSTOM, Opus, Imperfectum in Matthaeum, PG 56, 611-946.

JOHN DAMASCENE, Opera, PG 94-96.

JOHN OF SALISBURY, Vita Sancti Thomae Cantuariensis, PL 190, 195-208.

JOSEPHUS, Works, trans. by H. Thackeray and R. Marcus, Loeb Classical Library, Cambridge, Mass., 1926.

Julian of Toledo, Prognosticon Futuri Saeculi Libri tres, PL 96, 453-524.

Julianus Pomerius, De Vita Contemplativa Libri tres, PL 59, 415-520.

JUSTINIAN, Codex Justinianus, ed. Paul Krueger [KR], in Corpus Iuris Civilis, 9th edition, Vol. II, Berlin, 1915.

——, Digesta, ed. T. Mommsen, rev. P. Krueger [KR] in Corpus Iuris Civilis, 15th edition, Vol. I, Berlin, 1928.

Koran, trans. by G. Sale [SL], London, 1863.

LANFRANC, De Corpore et Sanguine Domini adversus Berengarium Turonensem, PL 150, 407-442.

——, In Omnes Pauli Epistolas Commentarii cum Glossula Interiecta, PL 150, 101-406.

Leo the Great, Epistles and Sermons, PL 54.

Liber de Causis, ed. O Bardenhewer [BA], Freiburg
i. B., 1882.

MACROBIUS, Commentarius ex Cicerone in Somnum Scipionis, Firmin-Didot [DD], Paris, 1875

MAIMONIDES, Guide for the Perplexed, trans. by M. Friedlander [FR], London, 1928.

Maximus, Commentaria in S. Dionysii Areopagitae Librum de Caelesti Hierarchia, PG 4, 29-114.

— Commentaria in Librum de Ecclesiastica Hierarchia, PG 4, 115-184.

MAXIMUS OF TURIN, Sermones in tres Classes Distributi, PL 57, 529-760.

MOMBRITIUS, B., Sanctuarium seu Vitae Sanctorum, 2 vols., Paris, 1910.

Nemesius Emesenus (Pseudo-Gregory of Nyssa), De Natura Hominis, PG 40, 503-818.

Nestor, Blasphemarium Capitula XII, trans. by M. Mercator, PL 48, 907-923.

Nestor, Epistola II, Ad Cyrillum, PG 77, 49-58; Cf. Mercator's translation, PL 48, 818-827.

ORIGEN, Opera, PG 11-17.

Ovid, Oeuvres complètes, Firmin-Didot [DD], Paris, 1881.

PASCHASIUS DIACONUS, De Spiritu Sancto Libri Duo, PL 62, 9-40.

PAUL THE DEACON, Liber Exhortationis, Vulgo De Salutaribus Documentis ad Hencricum Comitem, PL 99, 197-282; item, PL 40, 1047-1078.

Pelagius, Commentarius in Evangelium secundum Marcum, PL 30, 609-668.

——, Epistola I, Ad Demetriadem, PL 30, 16-47.
——, Libellus Fidei Pelagii ad Innocentium, PL 45, 1716-1718.

PETER DAMIAN, De Novissimis et Antichristo, PL 145, 837-842.

PETER THE EATER, Historia Scholastica, PL 198, 1053-1722.

Peter Lombard, Glosses, PL 191, 55-192, 520.

Liber IV Sententiarum, 2 vols., Quaracchi

[QR], 1916.
PETER OF POITIERS, Sententiarum Libri Quinque, PL 211, 783-1280.

PHILIP THE CHANCELLOR, Summa Quaestionum Theologicarum (Summa de Bono), MS. Tolosae 192, 171 ff.

PLATO, The Dialogues of Plato, 5 vols., trans. by B. Jowett, Oxford, 1871.

\_\_\_\_\_, for The Dialogues of Plato, see volume 7 of this set.

PLOTINUS, *Ennéades*, ed. and trans. by E. Bréhier, 6 vols. (édition Budé [BU]), Paris, 1924–1938.

——, for the Ennéads, see volume 17 of this set. Porphyry, Isagoge, ed. A. Busse (Commentaria in Aristotelem Graeca [CG], vol. IV, part 1), Berlin, 1887.

Praepositinus, Summa, MS. Turone, 142 ff. 53-127.
Proclus, Institutio Theologica, ed. F. Dubner,
Firmin-Didot [DD], Paris, 1855.

PROSPER OF AQUITAINE, Sententiarum ex Operibus S. Aug. Delibatarum Liber Unus, PL 51, 427-496.

PTOLEMY, Liber Ptholemei quattuor tractatuum (Quadripartitum) cum Centiloquio, Venice, 1484.

——, Syntaxis Mathematica (Almagest), 2 vols., ed. J. L. Heiberg [HB], Leipsig, 1898–1903.

, for The Almagest, see volume 16 of this set. RAYMOND OF PENNAFORT, Summa, Verona, 1744.

RHABANUS MAURUS, Opera, PL 107-112.

RICHARD OF ST. VICTOR, Opera, PL 196.

ROBERT GROSSETESTE, An unedited text by Robert Grosseteste on the subject-matter of theology (Hexaëmeron), ed. G. B. Phelan in Revue néo-scholastique de philosophie [RNP], XXXVI (Mélanges de Wulf), 1934.

Grosseteste, ed. L. Baur [BR], in Beiträge zur Geschichte der Philosophie des Mittelalters,

Band IX, Münster, 1912.

ROBERT KILWARDBY, De Natura Theologiae, ed. F. Stegmüller, Münster, 1935.

RUFINUS, Orationum Gregorii Naziazeni novem in-

terpretatio, ed. A. Engelbrecht (Corpus script. eccles. lat. [CV] t. 46), Vindob., 1910.

RUPERT, De Gloria et Honore Filii Hominis super Matthaeum, PL 168, 1307-1634.

-, De Trinitate, PL 167, 199-1828.

Sallust, Conjuration de Catilina-Guerre de Jugurtha, ed. and trans. by J. Roman (éditions Budé [BU]), Paris, 1924.

SEDULIUS SCOTUS, Collectanea in Omnes B. Pauli Epistolas, PL 103, 9-270.

SENECA, Works, Firmin-Didot [DD], Paris, 1887.

SIMPLICIUS, In Aristotelis Categorias Commentarium, ed. C. Kalbfleisch (Commentaria in Aristotelem Graeca [CG], VIII), Berlin, 1907.

SIRICIUS, Epistola VII, Ad Diversos Episcopos Missa adversus Iovinianum Haereticum, PL 13, 1168-

Socrates Scholasticus, Historia Ecclesiastica, PG 67, 29-842.

Stobaeus, Eglogarum Physicarum et Ethicarum Libri Duo, ed. T. Gaisford, Oxford, 1850.

TERTULLIAN, Adversus Valentinianos Liber, PL 2, 557-632.

THEMISTIUS, In Libros Aristotelis de Anima Paraphrasis, ed. R. Heinze (Commentaria in Aristotelem Graeca [CG] V, 3), Berlin, 1899.

THEODORE OF MOPSUESTIA, Ex Epistola ad Domnum Fragmenta, PG 66, 1011-1014.

-, Fragmenta ex Libris de Incarnatione Filii

Dei, PG 66, 969-994. THEODORE THE NESTORIAN, Epistolae ad Ioannem,

Antiochenum Episcopum, PG 83, 1483-1486. -, Haereticarum Fabularum Compendium, PG 83, 335-556.

THEODOTUS OF ANCYRA, Homiliae, PG 77, 1349-

THEOPHANES, Chronographia Annorum DXXVIII, PG 108, 55-1164.

THEOPHILUS OF ALEXANDRIA, Epistola Altera

Paschalis Anni 402 Ad Totius Aegypti Episcopos, trans. by Jerome, PL 22, 792-812.

[THIEL, A.] Epistolae Romanorum Pontificum Genuinae et Quae ad Eos Scriptae Sunt a S. Hilaro usque ad Pelagium II, Brunsbergae, 1868.

THOMAS AQUINAS, Opera Omnia, ed. E. Fretté and P. Maré, 34 vols., Paris, 1872-1880.

-, Summa Theologiae, cura et studio Instituti Studiorum Medievalium Ottaviensis, 5 vols., Ottawa, 1941-1945.

-, Opuscula Omnia, ed. P. Mandonnet, 5 vols., Paris, 1927.

TICHONIUS AFER, Liber de Septem Regulis, PL 18, 15-66.

VALERIUS MAXIMUS, Factorum et Dictorum Memorabilium Libri novem, Firmin-Didot [DD], Paris, 1841.

VARRO, De Lingua Latina ad Ciceronem, Firmin-Didot [DD], Paris, 1875.

VEGETIUS RENATUS, Institutorum Rei Militaris, Firmin-Didot [DD], Paris, 1878.

VIGILIUS TAPSENSIS, Contra Arianos etc. Dialogus, PL 62, 179-238.

VIGILIUS TAPSENSIS (PS.-AUGUSTINE), Contra Felicianum et Arianum De Unitate Trinitatis ad Optatum Liber, PL 62, 333-352; Cf. PL 42, 1157-1172.

Vitae Patrum sive Historiae Eremetricae Libri Decem, PL 73, 89-74, 240.

Volusianus, Inter Epistolas Augustini Epistola CXXXV, Ad Augustinum Episcopum, PL 33, 512-514.

WILLIAM OF AUXERRE, Summa Aurea, MS. Paris, Bibl. Nat., lat. 15.746, 330 ff.

WILLIAM OF PARIS (WILLIAM OF AUVERGNE), Works, 2 vols., Venice, 1501; 2 vols., Paris, 1674.

WILLIAM OF ST. THIERRY, Tractatus de Natura et Dignitate Amoris, PL 184, 379-408.

WILLIAM OF SHYRESWOOD, Introductiones in Logicam, ed. Grabmann, Munich, 1937.

#### SECONDARY WORKS

BAEUMKER, C. Witelo, ein Philosoph und Naturforscher des XII. Jahrhunderts (Beiträge zur Geschichte der Philosophie und Theologie des Mittelalters, III, 2), Münster, 1908.

BERGERON, M., La Structure du concept latin de Personne, in Etudes d'histoire littéraire et doctrinale du XIII siècle [EHLD], II, Ottawa, 1932. Brunet, P. and Mieli, A., Histoire des Sciences-

Antiquité, Paris, 1935.

CAPELLE, G. C., Amaury de Bène (Autour du décret de 1210, III [Bibliothèque Thomiste, vol. XVI]), Paris, 1932.

CHENU, M. D., Grammaire et théologie au XIIe et XIIIe siècles, Archives d'histoire doctrinale et littéraire du moyen-âge [AHDLM], X-XI, 1935-

La psychologie de la foi dans la théologie du XIIIe siècle, Etudes d'histoire littéraire et doctrinale du XIIIe siècle [EHLD], deuxième série, Paris and Ottawa, 1932.

CHENU, M.D., Les réponses de S. Thomas et de Kilwardby à la consultation de Jean de Verceil (1271), Mélanges Mandonnet, I, (Bibliothèque Thomiste, XIII) Paris, 1930.

-, Notes de Lexicographie philosophique médiévale-Antiqui, Moderni, Revue des sciences philosophiques et théologiques [RSPT], XVII, 1928.

DESTREZ, J., La lettre de Saint Thomas d'Aquin dite lettre au Lecieur de Venise, Mél. Mandonnet, I (Bibliothèque Thomiste, XIII), Paris, 1930.

DEVAUX, R., Note conjointe sur un texte retrouvé de David de Dinant, Revue des sciences philosophiques et théologiques [RSPT], XXII, 1933.

[DTC] Dictionnaire de théologie catholique, ed. A. Vacant, and others, Paris, 1923-1930.

DuCange D., Dufresne, C., Glossarium ad Scriptores Mediae et Infimae Latinatis, Venice, 1736-1740. DUHEM, P., Le Système du Monde, 5 vols., Paris, 1913-1917.

GEYER, B., Die Übersetzunges der Aristotelischen Metaphysik bei Albertus Magnus und Thomas von Aquin, Philosophisches Jahrb. [PJ], XXX, 1917. GILSON, E., La Philosophie de saint Bonaventure,

Paris, 1924.

-, L'Esprit de la philosophie médiévale, 2 vols., Paris, 1932.

-, Les sources gréco-arabes de l'augustinisme avicennisant, Archives d'histoire doctrinale et littéraire de moyen-âge [AHDLM], IV, 1929.

-, Pourquoi saint Thomas a critiqué saint Augustin, Archives u'histoire doctrinale et littéraire du moyen-âge [AHDLM], I, 1926.

GLORIEUX, P., "Contra Geraldinos." L'enchaînement des polémiques. Recherches de théologie ancienne et médiévale [RTAM], VII, 1935.

-, Le "Contra Impugnantes" de s. Thomas. Ses sources-son plan. Mélanges Mandonnet, I, (Bibliothèque Thomiste, XIII), Paris, 1930.

-, Les polémiques "contra Geraldinos." Les pièces du dossier, Recherches de théologie ancienne et médiévale [RTAM], VI, 1934.

Grabmann, M., Forschungen über die Lateinischen Aristotelesübersetzungen des XIII. Jahrhunderts (Beiträge zur Geschichte der Philosophie des Mittelalters, XVII, 5-6), Münster, 1916.

GRUNDMANN, H., Studien über Joachim von Floris (Beiträge zur Kulturgeschichte des Mittelalters und der Renaissance), Leipsig and Berlin, 1927.

KLEINEIDAM, E., Das Problem der hylemorphen Zusammensetzung der geistigen Substanzen im 13. Jahrhundert, behandelt bis Thomas von Aquin, Breslau, 1930.

Kors, J. B., La Justice primitive et le Péché originel d'après S. Thomas, (Bibliothéque Thomiste, II), Le Saulchoir, 1922.

LAGRANGE, M. J., Evangile selon saint Marc, Paris,

LEBON, J., Le Monophysisme sévérien, Louvain, 1909. LOTTIN, O., La composition hylémorphique des substances spirituelles, Revue néo-scolastique de philosophie [RNP], XXXIV, 1932, pp. 21-41.

-, La nature du péché d'ignorance, Revue

thomiste [RT], XXXVII, 1932.

-, La pluralité des formes substantielles avant s. Thomas d'Aquin, Revue néo-scolastique de philosophie [RNP] XXXIV, 1932, pp. 449-467.

-, La syndérèse chez les premiers maîtres franciscains de Paris, Revue néo-scolastique de philosophie [RNP], XXIV, 1927.

-, La théorie des vertus cardinales de 1230 à 1250, Mélanges Mandonnet, II (Bibliothéque Thomiste, XIV), Paris, 1930.

-, La théorie du libre-arbitre depuis saint Anselme jusqu'à saint Thomas d'Aquin, Saint Maximin, 1929.

-, Le droit naturel chez s. Thomas d'Aquin et ses prédécesseurs, Zème édition, Bruges, 1931.

-, Les dons du Saint-Esprit chez les théologiens depuis P. Lombard jusqu'à S. Thomas d'Aquin,

Recherches de théologie ancienne et médiévale [RTAM], 1929, I.

LOTTIN, O., Les premiers définitions et classifications des vertus au moyen-âge, Revue des sciences philosoph. et théologiques [RSPT], XVIII, 1929.

-, Les premiers linéaments du traité de la syndérèse au moyen-âge, Revue néo-scolastique de

philosophie [RNP], XXVIII, 1926.

-, L'identité de l'âme et des facultés pendant la première moitié de XIIIe siècle, Revue néoscolastique de philosophie, XXXIV (Mélanges de Wulf, février 1934).

LUYCKX, B., Die Erkenntnislehre Bonaventuras (Beiträge zur Geschichte der Philosophie und Theologie des Mittelalters, XXIII, 3-4) Münster, 1923.

Manser, G. M., Johann von Rupella, Jahrbuch für Philosophie und speculative Theologie [JPST], XXVI, 1912.

MARTIN, J., Priscillianus oder Justantius? Historisches Jahrbuch, XLVII, 1927.

MOTTE, A. M., Une fausse accusation contre Abélard et Arnaud de Brescia, Revue des sciences philosophiques et théologiques [RSPT], XXII, 1933.

Muckle, J. T. Isaac Israeli's Definition of Truth, Archives d'histoire doctrinale et littéraire du moyen-âge [AHDLM], VIII, 1933.

PARENT, J. M. La Notion de Dogma au XIIIe siècle, in Etudes d'histoire littéraire et doctrinale du XIIIe siècle [EHLD], première série, Paris and Ottawa, 1932.

Pegis, A. C., St. Thomas and the Greeks, Milwaukee,

, St. Thomas and the Problem of the Soul in the Thirteenth Century, Toronto, 1034.

Pergamo, B., La Dottrina della "Gratia Unionis" in Allexandro d'Hales, Studi Francescani, XXIV,

PRANTL, C., Geschichte der Logik im Abendlande, 4 vols., Leipsig, 1855.

Rousselot, P., Pour l'histoire du problème de l'amour au moyen-âge (Beiträge zur Geschichte der Philosophie und Theologie des Mittelalters, VI, 6), Münster, 1908.

SARTON, G., Introduction to the History of Science,

2 vols., Baltimore, 1927-1931.

SCHMAUS, M., Die Texte der Trinitatslehre in den Sententiae des Simon von Tournai, Rech. de théologie ancienne et médiévale [RTAM] IV, 1932.

SIMONIN, H. D. and MEERSSEMAN, S., De Sacramentorum Efficentia apud Theologos Ord. Praed., Rome, 1936.

THORNDIKE, L., A History of Magic and Experimental Science during the First Thirteen Centuries of our Era, 2 vols., New York, 1929.

[TJE] The Jewish Encyclopaedia, 12 vols., ed. I. Singer, New York, 1901-1906.

[UJE] The Universal Jewish Encyclopaedia, 10 vols., ed. I. Landmann, L. RITTENBERG, and others, New York, 1939-1943.

Von Lieshout, H., La théorie plotinienne de la vertu,

Fribourg, Switz., 1926.

#### GENERAL CONTENTS, VOL. II

	PART I OF THE SECOND PART (Continued)					
III.	Treatise on Habits (QQ. 49–89)	1				
IV.	Treatise on Law (QQ. 90–108)	205				
V.	Treatise on Grace (QQ. 109–114)	338				
	PART II OF THE SECOND PART					
Proi	LOGUE					
I.	Treatise on Faith, Hope and Charity (QQ. 1–46)	380				
II.	Treatise on Active and Contemplative Life (QQ. 179–182)	606				
III.	Treatise on the States of Life (QQ. 183–189)	625				
THIRD PART						
Prol	OGUE					
I.	Treatise on the Incarnation (QQ. 1–26)	701				
II.	Treatise on the Sacraments (QQ. 60–65)	847				
Supp	LEMENT TO THE THIRD PART (QQ. 69–99)	885				
I.	Treatise on the Resurrection (QQ. 69–86)	885				
II.	Treatise on the Last Things (QQ. 87–99)	997				

## CONTENTS, VOLUME II

PART I OF THE SECOND PART (continue	QUESTION	PAGI	
The artist of TT-114		87. Of the Debt of Punishment	185
Treatise on Habits		88. Of Venial and Mortal Sin	192
I. IN GENERAL		89. Of Venial Sin In Itself	198
QUESTION	Treatise on Law		
49. Of Habits In General, As To Their Substa	194	Tay Community	
50. Of the Subject of Habits	6	I. IN GENERAL  90. Of the Essence of Law	
51. Of the Cause of Habits, As To Their Formation		91. Of the Various Kinds of Law	205
52. Of the Increase of Habits	12	92. Of the Effects of Law	208
53. How Habits Are Corrupted or Diminish	15	2. In Particular	213
54. Of the Distinction of Habits	22	93. Of the Eternal Law	215
2. In Particular	22	94. Of the Natural Law	220
(a) Good Habits—that is, Virtues		95. Of Human Law	226
55. Of the Virtues, As To Their Essence	26	96. Of the Power of Human Law	230
56. Of the Subject of Virtue	29	97. Of Change in Laws	235
57. Of the Intellectual Virtues	35	98. Of the Old Law	239
58. Of the Difference Between Moral and		99. Of the Precepts of the Old Law	245
lectual Virtues	41	100. Of the Moral Precepts of the Old Law	251
59. Of Moral Virtue In Relation To The		101. Of the Ceremonial Precepts in Themselves	265
Passions	45	102. Of the Causes of the Ceremonial Precepts	270
60. How the Moral Virtues Differ From One		103. Of the Duration of the Ceremonial	
Another	49	Precepts	298
61. Of the Cardinal Virtues	54	104. Of the Judicial Precepts	304
62. Of the Theological Virtues	59	105. Of the Reason for the Judicial Precepts	307
63. Of the Cause of Virtues	63	106. Of the Law of the Gospel, Called the	New
64. Of the Mean of Virtue	66	Law, Considered In Itself	321
65. Of the Connection of Virtues	70	107. Of the New Law as Compared With the Old	
66. Of Equality Among the Virtues	75	108. Of the Things That Are Contained In	325
67. Of the Duration of Virtues After This Life 68. Of the Gifts		New Law	
69. Of the Beatitudes	87	1100 200	331
70. Of the Fruits of the Holy Ghost	96	Treatise on Grace	
(b) Evil Habits—that is, Vices	101	109. Of the Necessity of Grace	200
71. Of Vice and Sin Considered in Themselves	TOE	110. Of the Grace of God As Regards Its	338
72. Of The Distinction of Sins	111	Essence	244
73. Of the Comparison of One Sin With	***	III. Of the Division of Grace	347 351
Another	119	112. Of the Cause of Grace	356
74. Of the Subject of Sin	128	113. Of the Effects of Grace	360
75. Of the Causes of Sin, In General	137	114. Of Merit, Which Is the Effect Of Co-opera	ting
76. Of the Causes of Sin, In Particular	140	Grace	370
77. Of the Cause of Sin On the Part of the S.	ensi-		0,
tive Appetite	144	PART II OF THE SECOND PART	
78. Of that Cause of Sin Which Is Malice	152	(QQ. 1-46; 179-189)	
79. Of the External Causes of Sin	156		
80. Of the Cause of Sin As Regards the Devil	159	Treatise on Faith, Hope, and Charity	
81. Of the Cause of Sin On The Part of Man	162	1. Of Faith	380
82. Of Original Sin, As To Its Essence	168	2. Of the Act of Faith	390
83. Of the Subject of Original Sin	171	3. Of the Outward Act of Faith	400
84. Of the Cause of Sin, In Respect of One		4. Of the Virtue Itself of Faith	402
Being the Cause of Another	174	5. Of Those Who Have Faith	410
85. Of the Effects of Sin 86. Of the Stoin of Sin	178	6. Of the Cause of Faith	413
oo. o, the bivin of bin	184	7. Of the Effects of Faith	415
	vii		

QUESTION	PAGE	QUEUTION	AGE
8. Of the Gift of Understanding	416	Properly Consists	650
9. Of the Gift of Knowledge	423	187. Of Those Things That Are Appropriate	10
10. Of Unbelief In General	426		663
II. Of Heresy	438		674
12. Of Apostasy	442	189. Of the Entrance Into Religious Life	687
13. Of the Sin of Blasphemy, In General	444		
14. Of Blasphemy Against the Holy Ghost	447	THIRD PART (QQ. 1-26; 60-65)	
15. Of the Vices Opposed To Knowledge		THIRD FART (QQ. 1-20, 00-05)	
15. Of the vices Opposed to includes	452	Treatise on the Incarnation	
Understanding		1. Of the Fitness of the Incarnation	701
16. Of the Precepts of Faith, Knowledge	454		701
Understanding	456	2. Of the Mode of Union of the Word	
17. Of Hope	-	Incarnate	709
18. Of the Subject of Hope	462	3. Of the Mode of Union On the Part of the I	
19. Of the Gift of Fear	465	son Assuming	723
20. Of Despair	474	4. Of the Mode of Union On the Part of	the
21. Of Presumption	478	Human Nature Assumed	730
22. Of the Precepts Relating To Hope and		5. Of the Manner of Union With Regard To.	the
Fear	480	Parts of Human Nature	735
23. Of Charity, Considered In Itself	482	6. Of the Order of Assumption	740
24. Of the Subject of Charity	489	7. Of the Grace of Christ as an Individual	
25. Of the Object of Charity	501	Man	745
26. Of the Order of Charity	510	8. Of the Grace of Christ as He Is the Head	
27. Of the Principal Act of Charity, Which Is	5	the Church	756
Love	520	9. Of Christ's Knowledge In General	763
28. Of Joy	527	10. Of the Beatific Knowledge of Christ's Soul	
29. Of Peace	530		
	533	11. Of the Knowledge Imprinted or Infused In	
30. Of Mercy	536	Soul of Christ	772
31. Of Beneficence		12. Of the Acquired Knowledge of Christ's	OI I
32. Of Almsdeeds	540	Soul	776
33. Of Fraternal Correction	550	13. Of the Power of Christ's Soul	779
34. Of Hatred	558	14. Of the Defects of Body Assumed By the	Son
35. Of Acedia	562	of God	784
36. Of Envy	566	15. Of the Defects of Soul Assumed By Christ	787
37. Of the Sins Which Are Contrary To Peac	ce 570	16. Of the Consequences of the Union with Reg	gard
38. Of Contention	572	To Those Things Which Are Applicable	To
39. Of Schism	574	Christ In His Being and Becoming	796
40. Of War	577	17. Of What Pertains To Christ's Unity from	the
41. Of Strife	581	Standpoint of Being	806
42. Of Sedition	583	18. Of What Pertains To the Unity in Christ Fi	
43. Of Scandal	585	the Standpoint of Will	810
44. Of The Precepts of Charity	592	19. Of What Pertains To the Power of Christ W	
45. Of the Gift of Wisdom	598		816
46. Of Folly, Which Is Opposed To Wisdom	603	Regard to Operation	
40. Of Polly, Which is Opposed to Wisdom	003		821
Treatise on Active and Contemplative I	ife	21. Of Christ's Prayer	823
		22. Of the Priesthood of Christ	827
179. Of the Division of Life Into Active and		23. Of the Adoption of Christ	833
templative	606	24. Of the Predestination of Christ	836
180. Of the Contemplative Life	607	25. Of the Adoration of Christ	839
181. Of the Active Life	616	26. Of Christ as Called the Mediator of God	and
182. Of the Active Life In Comparison Wit	h the	Man	845
Contemplative Life	620		
210 s 1 5 s s s s s		Treatise on the Sacraments	
Treatise on the States of Life		60. Of the Sacraments	847
183. Of Man's Various Duties and States In		61. Of the Necessity of the Sacraments	855
General	625	62. Of the Sacraments' Principal Effect, Which	
184. Of Things Pertaining To the State of P	1.70	Grace	
			858
tion In General	628	63. Of the Other Effect of the Sacraments, Wi	
185. Of Things Pertaining To the Episcopal		Is a Character	864
State	639	64. Of the Causes of the Sacraments	870
86. Of Those Things In Which the Religious	State	65. Of the Number of the Sacraments	879

QUESTION PAGE	83. Of the Subtlety of the Bodies of the
SUPPLEMENT TO THE THIRD PART (QQ. 69-99)	Blessed 974
Treatise on the Resurrection	84. Of the Agility of the Bodies of the Blessed 983 85. Of the Clarity of the Bodies of the Blessed 989 86. Of the Conditions under Which the Bodies of
1. Before the Resurrection 69. Of Matters Concerning the Resurrection, and	the Damned Will Rise Again 992
First, of the Place Where Souls Are After Death 885	Treatise on the Last Things
70. Of the Quality of the Soul After Leaving the Body, and the Punishment Inflicted On It By Material Fire 893 71. Of Works of Intercession for the Dead 900	87. Of the Knowledge Which, After Rising Again, Men Will Have at the Judgment Concerning Merits and Demerits 997 88. Of the General Judgment, As To the Time and
72. Of Prayers With Regard to the Saints in Heaven 917	Place at Which It will Be 1000 89. Of Those Who Will Judge and Of Those Who
73. Of the Signs That Will Precede the Judg- ment 922	Will Be Judged at the General Judgment 1005 90. Of the Form of the Judge in Coming To the
74. Of the Fire of the Final Conflagration 925 2. THE RESURRECTION ITSELF	Judgment 1012 91. Of the Quality of the World After the Judg-
75. Of the Resurrection 935	ment 1016
76. Of the Cause of the Resurrection 939 77. Of the Time and Manner of the Resurrec-	92. Of the Vision of the Divine Essence in Reference to the Blessed 1025
tion 943	93. Of the Happiness of the Saints and Their
78. Of the Term From Which of the	Mansions 1037
Resurrection 947	94. Of the Relations of the Saints Towards the
79. Of the Conditions of Those Who Rise Again, and First, of Their Identity 951	Damned 1040 95. Of the Gifts of the Blessed 1042
80. Of the Integrity of the Bodies in the Resur-	96. Of the Aureoles 1049
rection 956	97. Of the Punishment of the Damned 1066
81. Of the Quality of Those Who Rise Again 964	98. Of the Will and Intellect of the Damned 1072
82. Of the Condition of the Blessed After Their	99. Of God's Mercy and Justice Towards the
Resurrection 968	Damned 1078

#### TREATISE ON HABITS

#### 1. In General

# QUESTION XLIX OF HABITS IN GENERAL, AS TO THEIR SUBSTANCE

(In Four Articles)

AFTER treating of human acts and passions, we now pass on to the consideration of the principles of human acts, and first, of intrinsic principles, second, of extrinsic principles, (Q. XC). The intrinsic principle is power and habit; but as we have treated of powers in the First Part (Q. LXXVII. sqq.), it remains for us to consider habits. And first we shall consider them in general; second, we shall consider virtues and vices and other like habits, which are the principles of human acts (Q. LV).

Concerning habits in general there are four points to be considered: First, the substance of habits; second, their subject (Q. L); third, the cause of their generation, increase, and corruption (Q. LI); fourth, how they are distinguished from one another (Q. LIV).

Under the first head, there are four points of inquiry: (1) Whether habit is a quality? (2) Whether it is a distinct species of quality? (3) Whether habit implies an order to an act? (4) Of the necessity of habit.

#### ARTICLE 1. Whether Habit Is a Quality?

We proceed thus to the First Article: It would seem that habit is not a quality.

Objection 1. For Augustine says (QQ. LXXXIII, qu. 73): "This word 'habit' is derived from the verb 'To have." But "To have" pertains not only to quality, but also to the other categories, for we speak of ourselves as having quantity and money and other like things. Therefore habit is not a quality.

Obj. 2. Further, habit is considered as one of the predicaments, as may be clearly seen in the Book on the Predicaments.<sup>2</sup> But one predicament is not contained under another. Therefore habit is not a quality.

Obj. 3. Further, "every habit is a disposi-

<sup>1</sup> PL 40, 84.

tion," as is stated in the *Book on the Predica-*ments.<sup>3</sup> Now disposition is "the order of that which has parts," as stated in the *Metaphysics*.<sup>4</sup>
But this belongs to the predicament Position.
Therefore habit is not a quality.

On the contrary, The Philosopher says, in the Book on the Predicaments, 5 that "habit is a quality which it is difficult to change."

I answer that, This word habitus (habit) is derived from habere (to have). Now habit is taken from this word in two ways; in one way, according as man, or any other thing, is said to have something; in another way, according as a particular thing is ordered (se habet) in a certain way either in regard to itself, or in regard to something else.

Concerning the first, we must observe that "to have," as said in regard to anything that is had, is common to different genera. And so the Philosopher puts "to have" among the post-predicaments,6 so called because they result from the different genera of things; as, for instance, opposition, priority, posterity, and the like. Now among things which are had, there seems to be this distinction, that there are some in which there is no medium between the haver and that which is had; as, for instance, there is no medium between the subject and quality or quantity. Then there are some in which there is a medium, but only a relation; as for instance a man is said to have a companion or a friend. And, further, there are some in which there is a medium, not indeed an action or a passion, but something after the manner of action or passion; thus, for instance, something adorns or covers, and something else is adorned or covered. Therefore the Philosopher says7 that "a habit is said to be, as it were, an action or a passion of the haver and that which is had," as is the case in those things which we have about ourselves. And therefore these constitute a special genus of things, which is called the predicament of Habit, of which the Philosopher says8 that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Aristotle, Categories, 8 (8<sup>b</sup>26).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Ibid. (9<sup>a</sup>10). <sup>4</sup> Aristotle, v, 19 (1022<sup>b</sup>1). <sup>5</sup> Categories, 8 (9<sup>a</sup>3). <sup>6</sup> Ibid., 15 (15<sup>b</sup>17).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Metaphysics, V, 20 (1022<sup>b</sup>4). <sup>8</sup> Ibid.

"there is a habit between clothing and the man who is clothed."

But if "to have" be taken according as a thing is ordered in regard to itself or to something else, in that case habit is a quality, since this mode of having is in respect of some quality; and of this the Philosopher says¹ that "habit is a disposition whereby that which is disposed is disposed well or ill, and this, either in regard to itself or in regard to another; thus health is a habit." And in this sense we speak of habit now. Therefore we must say that habit is a quality.

Reply Ob. 1. This argument takes "to have" in the general sense, for in this sense it is common to many predicaments, as we have said.

Reply Obj. 2. This argument takes habit in the sense in which we understand it to be a medium between the haver and that which is had, and in this sense it is a predicament, as we have said.

Reply Obj. 3. Disposition does always, indeed, imply an order of that which has parts. But this happens in three ways, as the Philosopher goes on at once to say: namely, "either as to place, or as to power, or as to species." "In saying this," as Simplicius observes in his Commentary on the Predicaments,2 "he includes all dispositions:-bodily dispositions, when he says 'as to place," and this belongs to the predicament Position, which is the order of parts in a place. "When he says 'as to power,' he includes all those dispositions which are in course of formation and not yet arrived at perfect usefulness," such as undeveloped science and virtue. "And when he says, 'as to species,' he includes perfect dispositions, which are called habits," such as perfected science and virtue.

# ARTICLE 2. Whether Habit Is a Distinct Species of Quality?

We thus proceed to the Second Article: It would seem that habit is not a distinct species of quality.

Objection 1. Because, as we have said (A. 1), habit, in so far as it is a quality, is a disposition whereby that which is disposed is disposed well or ill. But this happens in regard to any quality, for a thing happens to be well or ill disposed in regard also to shape, and in like manner in regard to heat and cold, and in regard to all such things. Therefore habit is not a distinct species of quality.

Obj. 2. Further, the Philosopher says in the Book on the Predicaments<sup>3</sup> that "heat and cold

are said to be dispositions or habits, just as sickness and health." But heat and cold are in the third species of quality. Therefore habit or disposition is not distinct from the other species of quality.

Obj. 3. Further, "difficult to change" is not a difference belonging to the predicament of quality, but rather to movement or passion. Now no genus is determined to a species by a difference of another genus, but differences should be proper to a genus, as the Philosopher says in the Metaphysics. Therefore, since habit is said to be a quality difficult to change, it does not seem to be a distinct species of quality.

On the contrary, The Philosopher says in the Book on the Predicaments<sup>6</sup> that "one species of quality is habit and disposition."

I answer that, The Philosopher in the Book on the Predicaments<sup>7</sup> considers disposition and habit as the first among the four species of quality. Now Simplicius, in his Commentary on the Predicaments, explains the difference of these species as follows.8 He says that "some qualities are natural, and are in their subject in virtue of its nature, and are always there; but some are adventitious, being caused from without, and these can be lost. Now the latter, that is, those which are adventitious are habits and dispositions, differing in the point of being easily or difficultly lost. As to natural qualities, some regard a thing in the point of its being in a state of potency, and thus we have the second species of quality: while others regard a thing which is in act, and this either deeply rooted in it or only on its surface. If deeply rooted, we have the third species of quality; if on the surface, we have the fourth species of quality, as shape, and form which is the shape of an animated being." But this distinction of the species of quality seems unsuitable. For there are many shapes, and qualities pertaining to passion which are not natural but adventitious; and there are also many dispositions which are not adventitious but natural, as health, beauty and the like. Moreover, it does not suit the order of the species, since that which is the more natural is always first.

Therefore we must explain otherwise the distinction of dispositions and habits from other qualities. For quality, properly speaking, implies a certain mode of substance. Now mode, as Augustine says (Gen. ad. lit. iv, 3), "is that which

<sup>1</sup> Metaphysics, V, 20 (1022b10).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In Cat., VIII (CG VIII, 240.30). <sup>3</sup> Categories, 8 (8b36).

<sup>.4</sup> VII, 12 (1038a9). 5 Aristotle, Categories, 8 (9a3). 6 Ibid. (8b26). 7 Ibid.

<sup>8</sup> In Cat., VIII (CG VIII, 228.19).

<sup>9</sup> PL 34, 299.

a measure determines"; hence it implies a certain determination according to a certain measure. Therefore, just as that in accordance with which the potency of matter is determined to its substantial being, is called quality, which is a difference affecting substance, so that in accordance with which the potency of the subject is determined to its accidental being is called an accidental quality, which is also a kind of difference, as is clear from the Philosopher.<sup>1</sup>

Now the mode or determination of the subject according to accidental being may be taken either in relation to the very nature of the subject, or according to the action and passion resulting from its natural principles, which are matter and form; or again according to quantity. If we take the mode or determination of the subject in regard to quantity, we shall then have the fourth species of quality. And because quantity, considered in itself, is devoid of movement, and does not imply the notion of good or evil, so it does not concern the fourth species of quality whether a thing be well or ill disposed, nor quickly or slowly moving.

But the mode or determination of the subject according to action or passion is considered in the second and third species of quality. And therefore in both, we take into account whether a thing be done with ease or difficulty; whether it be transitory or lasting. But in them, we do not consider anything pertaining to the notion of good or evil, because movements and passions have not the aspect of an end, while good and evil are said in respect of an end.

On the other hand, the mode or determination of the subject in regard to the nature of the thing belongs to the first species of quality, which is habit and disposition; for the Philosopher says,2 when speaking of habits of the soul and of the body, that they are "dispositions of the perfect to the best; and by perfect I mean that which is disposed in accordance with its nature." And since "the form itself and the nature of a thing is the end and the cause why a thing is made,"3 therefore in the first species we consider both evil and good, and also changeableness, whether easy or difficult according, as a certain nature is the end of generation and movement. And so the Philosopher4 defines habit, "a disposition whereby someone is disposed, well or ill"; and in the Ethics he says that "by habits we are directed well or ill in reference to the passions." For when the mode is suitable to the thing's nature, it has the aspect of good, and when it is unsuitable, it has the aspect of evil. And since nature is the first object of consideration in anything, for this reason habit is counted as the first species of quality.

Reply Obj. 1. Disposition implies a certain order, as stated above (A. I, Reply 3). Hence a man is not said to be disposed by some quality except in relation to something else. And if we add "well or ill," which belongs to the notion of habit, we must consider the quality's relation to the nature, which is the end. So in regard to shape, or heat, or cold, a man is not said to be well or ill disposed except by reason of a relation to the nature of a thing, with regard to its suitability or unsuitability. Consequently even shapes and qualities pertaining to passion, in so far as they are considered to be suitable or unsuitable to the nature of a thing, belong to habits or dispositions; for shape and colour, according to their suitability to the nature of a thing, concern beauty; while heat and cold, according to their suitability to the nature of a thing, concern health. And in this way heat and cold are put, by the Philosopher, in the first species of quality. Therefore the answer to the second objection, though some give another solution, as Simplicius says in his Commentary on the Predicaments.6

Reply Obj. 3. This difference, "difficult to change," does not distinguish habit from the other species of quality, but from disposition. Now disposition may be taken in two ways: in one way, as the genus of habit, for disposition is included in the definition of habit;7 in another way, according as it is divided against habit. Again, disposition, properly so called, can be divided against habit in two ways. First, as perfect and imperfect within the same species, and thus we call it a disposition, retaining the name of the genus, when it is had imperfectly, so as to be easily lost; but we call it a habit when it is had perfectly, so as not to be lost easily. And thus a disposition becomes a habit, just as a boy becomes a man. Secondly, they may be distinguished as different species of the one subaltern genus, so that we call dispositions those qualities of the first species which by reason of their very nature are easily lost, because they have changeable causes; for example, sickness and health. But we call habits those qualities which, by reason of their very nature, are not

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Metaphysics, v, 14 (1020<sup>8</sup>33).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Physics, VII, 3 (246a13).

³ Ibid., п, 7 (198<sup>b</sup>3).

<sup>4</sup> Metaphysics, v, 20 (1022b10).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> II, 5 (1105<sup>b</sup>25).

<sup>8</sup> In Cat., VIII (CG VIII, 233.10; 256.16).

<sup>7</sup> Aristotle, Metaphysics, v, 20 (1022b4).

easily changed, because they have unchangeable causes, for example, sciences and virtues. And in this sense, disposition does not become habit. The latter explanation seems more in keeping with the intention of Aristotle; for in order to confirm this distinction he invokes the common mode of speaking, according to which when a quality is by reason of its nature easily changeable, and, through some accident, becomes changeable with difficulty, then it is called a habit, while the contrary happens in regard to qualities by reason of their nature changeable with difficulty; for supposing a man to have a science imperfectly, so as to be liable to lose it easily, we say that he is disposed to that science, rather than that he has the science. From this it is clear that the word habit implies a certain lastingness: while the word disposition does not.

Nor does it matter that "to be easy and difficult to change" are specific differences (of a quality), although they belong to passion and movement, and not to the genus of quality. For these differences, though apparently accidental to quality, nevertheless designate differences which are proper and essential to quality. In the same way, in the genus of substance we often take accidental instead of substantial differences, in so far as by the former essential principles are designated.

### ARTICLE 3. Whether Habit Implies Order to an Act?

We proceed thus to the Third Article: It would seem that habit does not imply order to an act.

Objection 1. For everything acts according as it is in act. But the Philosopher says¹ that "when one is become knowing by habit, one is still in a state of potency, but otherwise than before learning." Therefore habit does not imply the relation of a principle to an act.

Obj. 2. Further, that which is put in the definition of a thing, belongs to it essentially. But to be a principle of action, is put in the definition of power, as we read in the Metaphysics.<sup>2</sup> Therefore to be the principle of an act belongs to power essentially. Now that which is essential is first in every genus. If therefore, habit also is a principle of act, it follows that it is posterior to power. And so habit and disposition will not be the first species of quality.

Obj. 3. Further, health is sometimes a habit, and so are leanness and beauty. But these do not indicate relation to an act. Therefore it is not essential to habit to be a principle of act.

<sup>1</sup> Soul, III, 4 (429b6). <sup>2</sup> Aristotle, v, 12 (1010a15).

On the contrary, Augustine says (De Bono Conjug. xxi)<sup>3</sup> that "habit is that by which something is done when necessary." And the Commentator says (De Anima, iii)<sup>4</sup> that "habit is that by which we act when we will."

I answer that, To have relation to an act may belong to habit both according to the notion of habit, and according to the subject in which the habit is. According to the notion of habit, it belongs to every habit to have relation to an act. For it is of the very notion of habit to imply some relation to a thing's nature, in so far as it is suitable or unsuitable thereto. But a thing's nature, which is the end of generation, is further ordered to another end, which is either an operation, or the product of an operation, to which one attains by means of operation. Therefore habit implies relation not only to the very nature of a thing, but also, consequently, to operation, in so far as this is the end of nature, or conducive to the end. Hence also it is stated5 in the definition of habit that it is "a disposition whereby that which is disposed, is well or ill disposed either in regard to itself," that is, to its nature, "or in regard to something else," that is, to the end.

But there are some habits, which even on the part of the subject in which they are, imply primarily and principally relation to an act. For, as we have said (A. 2), habit primarily and of itself implies a relation to the thing's nature. If therefore the nature of the thing in which the habit is consists in this very relation to an act, it follows that the habit principally implies relation to an act. Now it is clear that the nature and the notion of power is that it should be a principle of act. Therefore every habit which is in a power, as in its subject, implies principally relation to an act.

Reply Obj. 1. Habit is an act, in so far as it is a quality, and in this respect it can be a principle of operation. It is, however, in a state of potency in respect to operation. Therefore habit is called first act, and operation second act, as is explained in the book on the Soul.<sup>6</sup>

Reply Obj. 2. It is not of the essence of habit to be related to power, but to be related to nature. And as nature precedes action, to which power is related, therefore habit is put before power as a species of quality.

Reply Obj. 3. Health is said to be a habit, or a habitual disposition, in relation to nature, as

<sup>3</sup> PL 40, 390.

<sup>4</sup> Comm. 18 (VI, 2, 161B).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Aristotle, Metaphysics, v, 20 (1022<sup>b</sup>10).

<sup>6</sup> Aristotle, II, I (412822).