# AN INTRODUCTION TO THE PHYSIOLOGY OF CROP MILLO



ROBERT K M HAY & ANDREW J WALKER

# AN INTRODUCTION TO THE PHYSIOLOGY OF CROP YIELD

ROBERT K. M. HAY
Scottish Agricultural Science Agency,
East Craigs, Edinburgh
AND
ANDREW J. WALKER
Scottish Agricultural College, Auchincruive,
Ayr



### Longman Scientific & Technical,

Longman Group UK Limited, Longman House, Burnt Mill, Harlow, Essex CM20 2JE, England and Associated Companies throughout the world.

Copublished in the United States with John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 605 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10158

C Longman Group UK Limited 1989

All rights reserved; no part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise without either the prior written permission of the Publishers or a licence permitting restricted copying in the United Kingdom issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency Ltd, 90 Tottenham Court Road, London W1P 9HE.

First published 1989 Reprinted 1992, 1994

# British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

Hay, Robert K M
An introduction to the physiology of crop yield.
1. Crops. Productivity. Physiological aspects
I. Title II. Walker, Andrew J. 631.5'4

# ISBN 0-582-40808-3

# Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Hay, Robert K. M., 1946-

An introduction to the physiology of crop yield/Robert

K. M. Hay and Andrew J. Walker.

p. cm.

Bibliography: p.

Includes index.

ISBN 0-470-21192-X (Wiley, USA only).

1. Crops - Physiology. 2. Crop yields. I. Walker,

Andrew J., 1951- . II. Title.

SB112.5.H38 1989

88-18731

633 - dc19

CIP

Set in 10/12 pt Linotron 202 Imprint Roman

Produced through Longman Malaysia, PA

# AN INTRODUCTION TO THE PHYSIOLOGY OF CROP YIELD

This book is dedicated to our parents, in gratitude

Margaret Hay 1916–1982 William Hay 1912–1990 Mary Walker

Kenneth Walker 1920-1987

# **PREFACE**

As we neared the completion of this book, the population of the earth reached five thousand million (billion), double that of about 1950. World agricultural production kept pace with, or slightly exceeded, this increase, and indeed the current production of food is sufficient to provide an adequate nutrition for about six billion people (the estimated population for the year 2000). In this sense, agriculturalists have met the demands imposed on them by the increasing population. However, it hardly needs to be repeated here that the available food is unequally distributed, with surpluses in some regions and severe shortages in others. Apparently intractable social, political and economic complexities, at local, national and international levels, maintain this imbalance. The long-term solution to the problem of food shortages in many developing countries is, therefore, an increase in the production of food, for local consumption and sale, on countless small farms. The problems of agriculture in the developed world are, of course, very different, although here again agriculture cannot be considered in isolation, simply as the means of producing food. Decisions which a farmer makes about what he grows are influenced, or even dictated, by the policies of governments attempting to control their countries' political and economic machinery.

This is not the place to enlarge upon the problems of agricultural production throughout the world. Instead, we offer our belief that the introduction of improved crop plants and growing systems should play no small part in solving some of these problems. 'Improved' could mean a variety of changes specific to particular species and environments but, in general, we are referring to plants able to photosynthesize more efficiently in terms of available solar radiation, water use and fertilizer input, able to convert a greater proportion of biomass into the desired product, whether food, fibre, fuel or the precursors of medicinal drugs, or better able to withstand environmental stresses. We further believe that such changes will be brought about as a result of understanding how the plants function, both individually and as crops: 'plant physiology is to agronomy what human physiology is to medicine' (van Overbeek 1976). The rapidly developing techniques of genetic engineering, complementing traditional plant breeding, provide the means; physiology must provide the knowledge required to draw up the specifications.

We have written this book as a text for degreelevel teaching, and have assumed that our readers have a basic knowledge of plant physiology, biochemistry and anatomy. We have taken pains to explain ideas which we know, from our own teaching experience, students find difficult. Some of these problems arise because students can be reluctant to accept that, because our understanding of certain topics is incomplete, some experimental observations have more than one (or no) 'explanation'. Other difficulties arise because processes at the crop level are controlled by many factors, the relative importance of which can change with time or with variations in the environment or management. The 'correctness' of different explanations may therefore change

according to circumstances. And, of course, our perception of how the crop works must be continually reviewed as new observations are made.

These complications pose problems also for the writer, who tries to be objective, but whose inevitable (but unwitting) bias in interpretation may well be shown by subsequent work to have been misjudged. This is unfortunate, but unavoidable if the work is to draw on the most recent literature, and will at least serve to reinforce the reader's awareness of the multiplicity of influences on the crop plant. However, any unintentional bias in interpretation has been superimposed upon a conscious bias in the selection of topics. Crop physiology is a vast field, and we have chosen to deal only with the above-ground processes fundamental to crop yield. Even so, in trying to keep the book to a manageable size, we have perhaps neglected work which should have been included, and it would be helpful if any such omissions, as well as errors or misinterpretations, are brought to our attention (R K M H, Chapters 2, 6, 7, 8; A J W, Chapters 3, 4, 5, 9). We are grateful to Dr Dale Walters who has collaborated in this project from the start, contributing several valuable sections on the interactions between plant disease and crop physiology.

Parts of the book have been read in draft form by Mr E J Allen, Dr L C Ho, Dr E J M Kirby, Dr P E H Minchin, Dr J R Porter, Dr M J Robson, Dr J H M Thornley and Miss J Woledge, and we are grateful for their valuable comments. Professor D A Baker kindly read the entire manuscript, and to him we are especially indebted. The exacting task of producing the diagrams in their final form fell to Jacqueline Gemmell, Felicity Walker and Euan McCall, and to them we extend our thanks. Finally, we thank our families for their forbearance during a long period of undeserved neglect.

### REFERENCE

van Overbeek, J. 1976 Plant physiology and the human ecosystem, Ann Rev Plant Physiol 27: 1-17

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We are grateful to the following for permission to reproduce copyright material:

Academic Press Inc. (London and San Diego) and the authors for figs. 1.1 from fig. 1 (Biscoe & Gallagher 1977), 2.26 adapted from fig. 12 (Ripley & Redman 1976), 4.1 from fig. 5b, p. 297 (Loomis et al. 1967), 5.10 from fig. 4, p. 334 (Christy & Swanson 1976) and table 4.1 from table 1, p. 329 (Penning de Vries 1972); the American Association for the Advancement of Science and the authors for fig. 5.8 from fig. 1 (Gifford et al. 1984) (c) 1984 by the AAAS and table 4.2 from table 1 (Sinclair & de Wit 1975) (c) 1975 by the AAAS; the American Society of Agronomy Inc. and the Crop Science of America Inc. for fig. 2.23 adapted from fig. 3.5 (Loomis & Williams 1969); the American Society of Plant Physiologists for figs. 3.15 & 3.16 from figs. 1 & 2 (Ehleringer & Pearcy 1983), 3.23 from fig. 1 (Camp et al. 1982), 3.24 from fig. 2 (Evans 1983), 3.26 from figs. 1-4 (Thorne & Koller 1974), 3.27 from figs. 1 & 2 (Nafziger & Koller 1976), 3.28 & 29 from figs. 3 & 8A (Azcon-Bieto 1983), 3.31 from fig. 2, (Setter et al. 1980a), 3.32 from fig. 2 (Setter et al. 1980b), 3.34 from fig. 1 (Boyer & Bowen 1970), 3.35 from figs. 2 & 3 (O'Toole et al. 1976), 3.37a from fig. 2B (Hutmacher & Krieg 1983), 4.2 from fig. 1 (McCree & Troughton 1966b), 4.4b from figs. 3 & 4 (Azcon-Bieto & Osmond 1983), 5.9 from fig. 2 (Servaites & Geiger 1974), 5.11 from fig. 1 (Outlaw et al. 1975), 5.12 & 13 from figs. 1, 2, 5 & 6b (Fisher et al. 1978), 5.15 from figs. 1 & 2 (Rufty & Huber 1983), 5.16 from fig. 1 (Chatterton & Silvius 1979), 5.18 from figs. 1 & 3 (Hammond & Burton 1983), 5.32 from figs. 1 & 2 (Thorne 1981), 5.36 from fig. 1B (Thorne 1982), 5.37 & 5.38 from figs. 7, 1 & 6 (Schussler et al. 1984) and table 3.7 from table 1 (O'Toole et al. 1976); the Annals of Botany Company and the authors for figs. 2.10 from fig. 2b (Robson & Deacon 1978), 2.12 adapted from fig. 4 (Last 1962), 2.13 from fig. 5 (Watson 1947), 3.39 & 3.40 from figs. 7 & 6 (Robson & Parsons 1978), 4.8 & 4.9 from figs. 1, 4 & 5 (Jones et al. 1978), 4.13-15 from figs. 1, 2 & 4 (Robson 1982), 5.2 from fig. 4 (Austin et al. 1977a), 5.39 from fig. 3 (Walker & Ho 1977) and tables 4.3 from table 3 (Breeze & Elston 1978), 4.5 from table 1 (Robson 1982), 5.1 from table 2 (Austin et al. 1980a); Annual Reviews Inc. for fig. 5.28 from fig. 3 (Thorne 1985) (c) 1985 by Annual Reviews Inc.; Arable Unit, National Agricultural Centre for figs. 6.2 adapted from figs. 5.16-5.19 & 5.26-5.27 (Kirby and Appleyard 1984a), 6.5 adapted from fig. 1 (Thorne & Wood 1982) 6.8a adapted from p. 104 (Widdowson 1979) and 6.11 adapted from fig. 6 (Biscoe & Willington 1984b); the Association of Applied Biologists and the authors for figs. 2.7 (adapted Milford et al. 1985), 4.12a from fig. 1b (Wilson 1975), fig. 8.1 (Colvill & Marshall 1984) and tables 4.4a & b from tables 8 & 3 (Wilson 1975) and 6.1 (adapted Tottman et al. 1979); Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford for fig. 3.46 from fig. 6.2, p. 77 (Smedegaard-Petersen 1984) and table 2.1 adapted from table 4 (Kirby, Appleyard & Fellowes 1982); the British Ecological Society and the authors for figs. 3.7 from fig. 8a (Biscoe et al. 1975a), 3.19 from fig. 2b (Biscoe et al. 1975b), 4.5-7 from figs. 9, 8 & 6 (Biscoe et al. 1975c) and 8.4 from fig. 1 (Parsons et al. 1983a); the British Grassland Society for figs. 2.18 adapted from fig. 1 (Jones 1981), 8.5 from fig. 1 (Hodgson et al. 1981) & table 4.6 from table 1, p. 211 (Wilson & Robson 1981); the British Plant Growth Regulator Group for figs. 5.19-21 from figs. 3b, 2a & 2b, pp. 71-3 (Farrar & Farrar 1985b) and 5.42 from fig. 1 (Morris 1983); the author, Dr. W. G. Burton for table 7.1 from table 50 (Burton 1966); Butterworths & Co. Publishers Ltd. for fig. 9.5 from fig. 7.5, p. 119 (France & Thornley 1984); Cambridge University Press for figs. 2.1 from fig. 4.7.2, p. 132 (Williams 1975), 2.4 from fig. 6.4, (Dale & Milthorpe 1983), 2.6 from fig. 1 (Hay & Tunnicliffe 1982), 2.15 adapted from fig. 5.6 (Jones & Allen 1983), 2.16b from fig. 1 (Kirby 1967), 2.17 adapted from fig. 4b (Allen & Morgan 1972), 2.19 adapted from fig. 8a (Moorby & Milthorpe 1975), 2.21 adapted from fig. 5.4 Evans et al. 1975), 3.12a from fig. 7.9 (Jones 1983), 3.41 & 3.42 from fig. 3 & 6 (Gregory et al. 1981), 3.44 from fig. 3A (Walters 1985), 3.45 from fig. 8, p. 24 (Buchanan et al. 1981), 5.41 from fig. 5 (Walters 1985), 6.4 adapted from fig. 5 (Kirby 1967), 6.12 from fig. 2 (Easson 1984), 7.6 & 7.7 from figs. 1, 2 & 11 (O'Brien et al. 1983), 7.8 & 7.11 from figs. 7 & 13 (Allen & Scott 1980), 7.9 from fig. 1 (Wurr 1974), 7.12 adapted from fig. 2 (Birch et al. 1967), 8.2 adapted from fig. 3 (Anslow & Green 1967), 8.10 adapted from fig. 2 (Reid 1970), 9.1 from fig. 1 (Weir et al. 1984), 9.2, 9.3a, 9.10 & 9.11 from figs. 1, 2, 4 & 6 (Porter 1984), 9.9 from fig. 4 (Weir et al. 1984) and tables 5.3 from tables 1, 2 & 5 (Austin et al. 1980b), 5.4 from tables 1 & 4 (Brooking & Kirby 1981), 6.6 adapted from table 1 (Ellis & Kirby 1980), 8.3 from table 5 (Jackson & Williams 1979), 9.1 & 9.2 from App. 1 and table 1 (Weir et al. 1984); Chapman and Hall Ltd. and the author, Prof. E. G. Cutter for figs. 2.2 from fig. 3.5 (Cutter 1978), 7.2 & 7.16 from figs. 17.6 & 17.5 (Scott & Wilcockson 1978); Commonwealth Agricultural Bureaux for figs. 2.24 from fig. 1 (Brown & Blaser 1968), 7.10 from fig. 2 (Holliday 1960) & table 2.3 adapted from table 1 (Trenbath & Angus 1975); C.R.C. Press Inc. for table 3.2 from table 3, pp. 235-8 (Eagles & Wilson 1982) Copyright CRC Press Inc.; Crop Science Society of America Inc. for figs. 2.16a adapted from fig. 2A (Williams et al. 1968), 3.37b from fig. 4A (Krieg & Hutmacher 1986) and 4.10b from fig. 5 (Moser et al. 1982); C.S.I.R.O.

Editorial and Publishing Unit for figs. 2.5 adapted from fig. 3 (Williams & Rijven 1965), 3.5 from fig. 4 (Jones & Osmond 1973), 3.20 from figs. 1 & 3 (Constable & Rawson 1980), 3.21 from fig. 4 (Woodward & Rawson 1976), 3.33a from figs. 2 & 8 (Troughton 1969), 3.33b from figs. 3 & 4a (Ludlow & Ng 1976), 4.3 from fig. 7 (Sale 1974), 5.4 from fig. 1 (Singh & Jenner 1984), 5.6 from fig. 1a (Stockman et al. 1983), 5.7 from fig. 4 (Fischer & HilleRisLambers 1978), 5.23 & 5.24 from figs. 1c & 5 (Bremner & Rawson 1978), 5.26 from fig. 1 (Jenner 1970), 5.30 from fig. 2 (Jenner & Rathjen 1978), 5.31a from fig. 1 (Offler & Patrick 1984), 5.31b from table 1 (Patrick & McDonald 1980) and tables 3.9 from table 1 (Moorby et al. 1975), 5.2 from table 1 (Fischer & HilleRisLambers 1978), 5.5 from table 2 (Singh & Jenner 1982), 8.4 from table 4 (Stern & Donald 1962a); Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Prague for fig. 3.36 from fig. 1 (Krampitz et al. 1984); Department of Agriculture and Fisheries for Scotland, Agricultural Scientific Services for fig. 6.7 from data; Elsevier Science Publishers for fig. 2.14 adapted from fig. 2 (Keating et al. 1982) and table 6.4 from table 1 (Hay 1986); European Association for Potato Research for figs. 2.20 from fig. 3 (Khurana & McLaren 1982), 7.4 adapted from fig. 2 (Headford 1962) and 7.14 adapted from fig. 5 (Schippers 1968); the author, Dr. J. Grace for fig. 3.9a from fig. 3.5a, p. 43 (Grace 1983); Gustav Fischer Verlag, Stuttgart and the author, Dr. W. Rademacher for fig. 5.25 from fig. 2b & c (Rademacher & Graebe 1984); The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office for figs. 6.1 (Large 1954), 6.8b (Lidgate 1984), tables 6.8 & 6.9 (MAFF 1983); International Potash Institute, Bern for figs. 5.1 & 5.3 from figs. 4 & 5 pp. 68-9 (Stoy 1980); International Thomson Publishing Ltd. for fig. 5.5 from fig. 4 (Biscoe & Gallagher 1978); Dr. W. Junk bv., Publishers, The Hague for figs. 3.43 from fig. 1 (Ayres 1979) and 5.40 from fig. 8 (Ho et al. 1983); the author, Dr. A. J. Keys for fig. 3.13 from fig. 7.1, p. 142 (Kevs & Whittingham 1981); Longman Group (UK) Ltd. for fig. 5.17b from figs. 6.16 & 6.17, p. 243 (Hall et al. 1974); the editor, The New Phytologist for fig. 3.4 from fig. 2 (Jones 1973); Martinus Nijhoff Publisher, The Netherlands for fig. 3.22 from figs. 1 & 2 (Araus et al. 1986); Oxford University Press for figs. 2.3 redrawn from fig. 6 (Sunderland 1960),

2.8 & 2.9 from figs. 3 & 7 (Gallagher & Biscoe 1979), 3.18 from fig. 1 (Bird et al. 1977), 5.29 from fig. 3 (Ho & Gifford 1984), 6.6 adapted from fig. 5 (Kirby & Faris 1970), 7.5 from fig. 4 (Goodwin 1967), 9.6 from fig. 1b & c (Marshall & Biscoe 1980) and table 3.8 from table 1 (Lawlor & Fock 1977); Packard Publishing Co. for figs. 3.17 & 3.30 and tables 3.4 & 3.5 (Edwards & Walker 1983); the author, Dr. A. J. Parsons for fig. 8.3; Pergamon Books Ltd for table 5.6 (Holligan et al. 1973; Fung 1975); Plenum Press Inc. and the author Dr. W. Day for figs, 9.3b, 9.4 & 9.8 from figs. 1b, c & d (Weir et al. 1985); Pudoc, Centre for Agricultural Publishing and Documentation and the authors for figs. 3.8 from fig. 2, p. 182 (Uchijima 1970), 4.10a from fig. 2, p. 84 (Vos 1979) and 8.9 adapted from fig. 5 (Van Burg et al. 1980); Royal Botanical Society of the Netherlands, Leiden for fig. 3.11 from fig. 13 (Bange 1953); Royal Society of London and the author. J. L. Monteith for fig. 3.3 from fig. 1 (Monteith

1977a); Royal Society of New Zealand for fig. 5.27 from fig. 3b (Jenner 1974); Society of Agricultural Meteorology of Japan for fig. 3.9b from figs. 3 & 4 (Yabuki & Miyagawa 1970); Society of Chemical Industry, London and the author, Dr. K. Gales for fig. 6.14 from fig. 1 (Gales 1983); the editor, Span and the author, Dr. A. J. Parsons for fig. 8.7 adapted from fig. 1 (Parsons 1985); Springer Verlag (Heidelberg and New York) and the authors for figs. 3.12b from 1a (Von Caemmerer & Farquhar 1981), 3.25 from fig. 1 (King et al. 1967), 3.38 from fig. 6 (Von Caemmerer & Farquhar 1984), and 5.33-35 from figs. 1, 5 & 2 (Wolswinkel & Ammerlaan 1983); the author, Prof. J. Warren Wilson for fig. 2.25 from fig. 3 (Warren Wilson 1959); the Director of the Welsh Plant Breeding Station for fig. 4.12b from fig. 2 (Wilson 1976); Westview Press Inc for fig. 8.6 from fig. 1, p. 602 (Bircham & Hodgson 1983b); the author, Miss J. Woledge for fig. 8.11.

# **CONTENTS**

Preface Acknowledgements	xii xiv
I. INTRODUCTION	1
PART ONE: FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES OF CROP PHYSIOLOGY	5
2. INTERCEPTION OF SOLAR RADIATION BY THE CROP CANOPY	7
2.1 The life-history of a leaf	7
2.2 The components of plant leaf area expansion	11
2.2.1 Crop emergence	11
2.2.2 Leaf production	11
2.2.3 Leaf expansion	12
2.2.4 Branching and tillering	16
2.2.5 Leaf senescence, removal and damage - leaf duration	16
2.3 The development of the crop canopy - leaf area index	18
2.3.1 Seasonal development of leaf area index	18
2.3.2 Sowing date and plant population effects	19
2.3.3 Nitrogen fertilizer	20
2.3.4 Harvest date, pests, diseases and environmental stresses	21
2.3.5 Grassland swards	21
2.4 Canopy architecture and interception of solar radiation	21
2.4.1 Seasonal patterns of interception	21
2.4.2 Optimum and maximum leaf area indices	22
2.4.3 Leaf photosynthesis and canopy architecture	24
2.4.4 Optimum canopy architecture	29
3. PHOTOSYNTHETIC EFFICIENCY: PHOTOSYNTHESIS AND	
PHOTORESPIRATION	31
3.1 Introduction	31
3.1.1 Clarification of the meaning and relative magnitudes of	31
photorespiration and 'dark' respiration	32
3.1.2 Respiration in the light	34

	•
V111	Contents

3.2 Photosynthetic efficiency	36	
3.3 Photosynthesis as a diffusive process	39	
3.3.1 Introduction	39	
3.3.2 The importance of carbonic anhydrase	40	
3.3.3 The resistance analogy	42	
3.3.3.1 The boundary layer resistance and turbulence	43	
3.3.3.2 The stomatal resistance	45	
3.3.3.3 The mesophyll resistance	46	
3.4 Endogenous and environmental factors affecting		
photosynthesis	48	
3.4.1 Photorespiration	48	
3.4.2 Avoidance of photorespiratory carbon losses in C <sub>4</sub> plants	51	
3.4.2.1 The C <sub>4</sub> mechanism	51	
3.4.2.2 Quantum yields of $C_3$ and $C_4$ species	53	
3.4.2.3 Effects of irradiance and temperature on net photosynthesis	33	
of C <sub>3</sub> and C <sub>4</sub> species	55	
3.4.2.4 Water use efficiency	57	
3.4.2.5 The potential yields of C <sub>3</sub> and C <sub>4</sub> crops	58	
3.4.3 Leaf age	58	
3.4.4 Feedback control by the demand for assimilate	62	
3.4.4.1 Carbohydrate accumulation	63	
3.4.4.2 The importance of inorganic phosphate	65	
3.4.4.3 Hormonal effects	66	
3.4.4.4 Conclusions	68	
3.4.5 Environmental effects on photosynthesis	68	
3.4.5.1 Water stress	69	
Effects on Calvin cycle enzymes	70	
Effects on photorespiration and respiration	71	
Parallel effects on the stomatal and non-stomatal resistances	71	
Mechanisms by which water stress could affect stomatal and		
non-stomatal resistances	74	
Adaptation to water stress	76 76	
3.4.5.2 Nitrogen stress	76	
Perennial ryegrass	77 79	
Wheat	80	
3.4.5.3 Pathogen infection	84	
3.5 The potential for increasing crop photosynthesis	84	
3.5.1 Introduction	04	
3.5.2 Breeding for increased rates of net CO <sub>2</sub> exchange per unit	0.4	
leaf area	84	
3.5.2.1 Anatomical characteristics	85	
3.5.2.2 Photorespiration	85	
3.5.3 Chemical control of photorespiration	86	
. PHOTOSYNTHETIC EFFICIENCY: RESPIRATION	97	
	87	
4.1 Variation in the rate of respiration	87	
4.2 Growth and maintenance respiration in controlled	00	
environments	90	
4.3 Growth and maintenance respiration in the field	94	
4.4 Wasteful respiration: the alternative pathway and	00	
wasteful maintenance respiration	99	

		- 4	222	
OI	ITP	nr	•	

ix

4.4.1 (7)	00
4.4.1 The alternative (cyanide-resistant) pathway	99
4.4.2 'Wasteful' maintenance respiration	101
5. DRY-MATTER PARTITIONING	107
5.1 Introduction	107
5.1.1 The rate and velocity of translocation	107
5.1.2 Sources and sinks	108
5.2 Source and sink limitations in the determination of	100
economic yield: a question of balance	112
5.2.1 Problems of interpretation	113
5.2.2 Competition for assimilates between the developing ear and	113
other sinks	115
5.2.3 The meaning of 'source limitation'	116
5.2.4 Source or sink limitations after anthesis	117
5.3 The importance of the harvest index	119
5.4 Carbon partitioning within the leaf, and phloem loading	123
5.4.1 Introduction	123
5.4.2 Biochemical partitioning	127
5.4.2.1 Sucrose and starch synthesis	127
5.4.2.2 The mobilization of stored starch and sucrose	130
5.4.3 Movement of sucrose to the minor veins, and phloem	
loading	134
5.5 Unloading and sink metabolism	137
5.5.1 Introduction	137
5.5.2 The wheat grain	137
5.5.2.1 Variation in grain weight within the ear	137
5.5.2.2 A physical resistance to sucrose movement within the grain	141
5.5.2.3 Sucrose uptake by the endosperm cells	143
5.5.3 The legume seed	145
5.5.4 The tomato fruit	150
5.5.5 The diseased plant	153
PART TWO: CROP CASE HISTORIES	157
6. TEMPERATE CEREALS	159
6.1 Introduction - cereal plant development and grain yield	
components	159
6.2 Plant population density and yield	163
6.2.1 Population density and the components of grain yield	164
6.2.1.1 Number of ears per unit area	164
6.2.1.2 Number of grains per ear	165
6.2.1.3 Individual grain weight	166
6.2.2 The influence of spatial arrangement	167
6.2.3 Optimum plant population density in practice	167
6.3 Sowing date and yield	168
6.3.1 Sowing date and the components of grain yield	170
6.3.1.1 Number of ears per unit area	170
6.3.1.2 Number of grains per ear	171
6.3.1.3 Individual grain weight	172

x Contents		
6.3.2 Latitude effects		172
6.3.3 Optimum sowing date	e in practice	173
6.4 Nitrogen fertilizer and		174
6.4.1 Dry-matter production		
fertilization	, 8 , 8	175
6.4.1.1 Crop height		176
6.4.1.2 Crop growth regula	tors	177
6.4.1.3 Fungicides		178
6.4.2 Nitrogen fertilizer and	d the components of grain yield	179
6.4.2.1 Number of ears per	unit area	179
6.4.2.2 Number of grains p		181
6.4.2.3 Individual grain we		182
6.4.3 Nitrogen fertilization	in practice	182
6.5 Irrigation		184
6.6 The achievement of hig	h grain yields in practice	185
7. POTATOES		188
7.1 Introduction - the life h	istory of the potato plant	188
7.1.1 The components of to	uber yield	190
7.1.2 The classification of p	ootato varieties into maturity classes	191
7.2 The physiology of sprou		192
7.2.1 Tuber bud dormancy		192
7.2.2 The physiological age		195
7.2.3 Physiological age and		196
7.3 Plant population density		197
7.3.1 Population density an	d the components of tuber yield	199
7.3.1.1 Tuber bulking		199
7.3.1.2 Number of tubers		199
7.3.1.3 Individual tuber we		200
7.3.2 The influence of spat		200
7.3.3 Optimum population	density in practice	201
7.4 Planting date and yield		201
	components of tuber yield	202
7.4.2 Optimum planting da		203
7.5 Nitrogen fertilizer and		203
	d the components of tuber yield	206
7.5.1.1 Tuber bulking		206
	ensity and number of tubers per stem	206 207
7.5.1.3 Individual tuber we 7.5.2 Nitrogen fertilization	eight and tuber water content	208
7.6 Irrigation	in practice	209
7.6.1 Irrigation and tuber	viold	209
	yieiu	211
7.6.2 Irrigation in practice 7.7 The achievement of hig	h vields of tubers	211
The achievement of mg	ii yicidə di tubelə	211
8. GRASSLAND		213
	easonal pattern of dry-matter	
production		214

8.2 The influence of cutting and grazing on physiology and

219

yield

		Contents x1
8.2.1 The response of the sward to defoliation	219	
8.2.2 Defoliation in practice	223	
8.3 Nitrogen fertilizer and yield	224	
8.3.1 Nitrogen fertilization in practice	227	
8.4 Species mixtures	229	
8.4.1 Grass and clover mixtures	230	
8.5 Irrigation	234	
8.6 High-yielding swards	235	
PART THREE: CROP SIMULATION	237	
9. MATHEMATICAL MODELS AND CROP PHYSIOLOGY	239	
9.1 Introduction	239	
9.2 The AFRC winter wheat model	244	
9.2.1 Introduction	244	
9.2.2 The structure of the model	245	
9.2.2.1 Phenological development sub-model	246	
9.2.2.2 Tiller and leaf growth sub-model	246	
9.2.2.3 PAR interception and photosynthesis sub-model	249	
9.2.2.4 Dry-matter partitioning sub-model	252	
9.2.3 The behaviour of the model	253	
P. C.		
References	257	
Index	284	

# CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION

And then a queer thought came to her there in the drooked fields, that nothing endured at all, nothing but the land she passed across, tossed and turned and perpetually changed below the hands of the crofter folk since the oldest of them had set the Standing Stones by the loch of Blawearie and climbed there on their holy days and saw their terraced crops ride brave in the wind and sun. Sea and sky and the folk who wrote and fought and were learned, teaching and saying and praying, they lasted but as a breath, a mist of fog in the hills, but the land was forever, it moved and changed below you, but was forever, you were close to it and it to you, not at a bleak remove it held you and hurted you.

(From Sunset Song, Lewis Grassic Gibbon 1932).

This book is an introduction to the physiological processes determining the yield which can be harvested from a stand of crop plants, processes which are common to all crop species, whether grown for direct consumption by humans (cereals, seed legumes, potatoes, vegetables), for indirect consumption via livestock (grass, forage legumes, oilseeds), or for industrial purposes (production of fibre, alcohol, fuel). Although crop physiology is firmly founded upon plant physiology and biochemistry, it has now emerged as a relatively distinct subject, for a number of reasons. First, the range of species studied is restricted, compared with that studied by plant physiologists and ecologists, with the greatest emphasis, to date, being placed upon cereals (wheat, rice, maize, barley). However, this specialization is offset by the unique depth of study of individual species at all levels from subcellular biochemistry to fieldscale agronomy. Furthermore, crop physiology involves complementary studies of plants growing singly and in stands, in the field and under controlled conditions. Secondly, in the field, concepts such as leaf area index have led to the idea of the crop leaf canopy as a single functional unit, rather than an assemblage of individual plants. This, in turn, has permitted the development of new micrometeorological methods for measuring mass and energy exchange between atmosphere and canopy (e.g. canopy net photosynthesis).

Growing a crop is an exercise in energy transformation, in which incident solar radiation is converted to more useful forms of chemical potential energy located in the harvested parts (e.g.

starch in cereal grains and potato tubers; lipids in oilseeds). To achieve this transformation, it is necessary for the crop to carry out the following three processes in sequence:—

- (a) interception of incident solar radiation by the leaf canopy;
- (b) conversion of the intercepted radiant energy to chemical potential energy (the latter conveniently expressed in terms of plant dry matter);
- (c) partitioning of the dry matter produced between the harvested parts and the rest of the plant.

The yield (Y) of a crop over a given period of time can, therefore, be expressed by the equation:

$$Y = Q \times I \times \varepsilon \times H \tag{1.1}$$

where Q is the total quantity of incident solar radiation received over the period

- I is the fraction of Q which is intercepted by the canopy
- ε is the overall photosynthetic efficiency of the crop (i.e. the efficiency of conversion of radiant to chemical potential energy), commonly expressed in terms of the total plant dry matter produced per unit of intercepted radiant energy
- H is the fraction of the dry matter produced which is allocated to the harvested parts. This is really the harvest index of the crop stand, although it should be emphasized that it is normally expressed in terms of above-ground production, excluding the root system.

The amount of solar radiation incident upon unit area of cropland (Q) per day, which depends upon daylength and the diurnal pattern of irradiance, varies regularly with season and latitude, and irregularly with altitude and short-term weather factors such as cloudiness. Variation in Q is an aspect of environmental physics, and since it is admirably covered in a number of other textbooks (e.g. Monteith 1973; Woodward and Sheehy 1983), it is not studied in depth here.

The extent to which the canopy intercepts the

available radiation (I) depends not only upon the crop leaf area displayed per unit of soil surface area (leaf area index) but also upon characteristics such as leaf angle and the arrangement of the leaves in space (the canopy structure or architecture). The factors controlling leaf growth and canopy development are reviewed in detail in Chapter 2. As shown by the pioneering studies of Watson in the 1940s, variation in I accounts for most of the differences in yield between sites and seasons in temperate regions, because ε and H are relatively constant in the absence of severe stress (drought, disease). This is clearly illustrated by Fig. 1.1, which shows that the total dry-matter production of three contrasting crop species, on a weekly basis, was linearly related to the quantity of radiant energy intercepted during that week (compare with Figs 3.3 and 7.16 where the same effect is shown over the entire growing season). Thus, since canopy development is limited primarily by temperature, potential yield is lost in spring simply because the temperatures during the preceding weeks have been too low for the development of leaf area to intercept the available radiation (e.g. Figs 2.13 and 2.19).

The scientific literature concerned with  $\varepsilon$ , the photosynthetic efficiency, which is reviewed in Chapters 3 and 4, is much more extensive than that dealing with leaf and canopy development, in spite of the fact that  $\varepsilon$  varies much less than I in the field. There are at least three reasons for this concentration of interest upon photosynthesis and related processes (respiration, photorespiration). First, the reactions of photosynthesis are intriguing in themselves since they are unique to green plants and are the primary source of energy for virtually all food chains. Secondly, it is important to be able to account for the fact that the rates of component processes of photosynthetic efficiency vary between species, and are influenced substantially by variation in environmental factors, whereas ε varies little between crops and species (note the linear relationships in Figs 1.1, 3.3, and 7.16). Thirdly, it has become clear that in certain crops, notably grasses, there is limited scope for improvement of yield by variation in I and H, and that increased production can come only from increases in E.

H, the partitioning of dry matter to the harvested parts, is undoubtedly the least under-