Critical Issues in CRIME AND JUSTICE Thought, Policy, and Practice



Mary Maguire | Dan Okada EDITORS

Critical Issues in CRIME AND AND JUSTICE Thought, Policy, and Practice





Copyright © 2011 by SAGE Publications, Inc.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher.

For information:



SAGE Publications, Inc. 2455 Teller Road Thousand Oaks, California 91320 E-mail: order@sagepub.com

SAGE Publications Ltd. 1 Oliver's Yard 55 City Road London EC1Y 1SP United Kingdom

SAGE Publications India Pvt. Ltd. B 1/I 1 Mohan Cooperative Industrial Area Mathura Road, New Delhi 110 044 India

SAGE Publications Asia-Pacific Pte. Ltd. 33 Pekin Street #02-01 Far East Square Singapore 048763

Printed in the United States of America

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Critical issues in crime and justice: thought, policy, and practice / editors, Mary Maguire, Dan Okada.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-4129-7057-0 (pbk.)

1. Criminal justice, Administration of. 2. Criminology. I. Maguire, Mary (Mary Helen) II. Okada, Dan.

HV7419.C758 2011 364-dc22

2010001773

This book is printed on acid-free paper.

1 6 5 4 3 2 13 14 10 9 10 11 12

Acquisitions Editor:

Jerry Westby

Editorial Assistant:

Eve Oettinger

Production Editor:

Karen Wiley

Copy Editor:

April Wells-Hayes

Typesetter:

C&M Digitals (P) Ltd.

Proofreader:

Jeff Bryant

Indexer: Cover Designer: Molly Hall

Marketing Manager:

Candice Harman

Helen Salmon

Cover artwork:

Olga Storms, www.olgastorms.com

Preface

he creation of a single volume that spans the breadth of information contained in any institution's criminal justice major curriculum offers a bold challenge. A certain level of arrogance goes into conceiving a project such as this: In an academic endeavor that has become so popular, the presumption that one volume can capture the spirit, breadth, and vigor of this field is indeed daunting. We have considered direction and content, ideology and relevance, and we believe this work is a worthwhile addition to the world of academic criminal justice and criminology.

A growing number of capstone courses are required throughout virtually every academic discipline offering a major. Because of the ideology, perspective, direction, and vision of both the department housing the course and the professor delivering it, different philosophies and pedagogies guide their direction.

However, perhaps unique is the fundamental belief that soon-to-be college graduates should be able to demonstrate the knowledge they have acquired through an integration and synthesis of their course work. A highlight of the capstone experience might be the creation of a final project, an individual illustration of the synthesis of their undergraduate curriculum. Another might be, very simply, a curriculum-based opportunity to juxtapose, correlate, and integrate the perspectives and information learned in all courses taken by any one student—in short, the major's final examination. This collection aims to support a variety of capstone iterations.

Topics included span the gamut of a traditional criminal justice or criminology curriculum. Most major curricula include components of crime theory, law enforcement, jurisprudence, corrections, and organizations. The essays included here broadly represent those areas.

Students have been known to declare a major after having been influenced by popular culture and the media. The "If it bleeds, it leads" ethos of modern information dissemination routinely highlights the exploits of criminal justice practitioners and the need to conquer those who would cause them harm. These depictions, while often titillating and perhaps noteworthy, are just as often extraordinary and atypical. They overly dramatize or sensationalize human misery and suffering. Our students become fascinated with the possibility of

engaging a world glamorized as violent or confrontational so that their attitudes must likewise be aggressive and decisive rather than thoughtful or reflective. Some will see the social complexities more clearly. Students are torn by the perception that practitioners take action but academics regard and wait. What is the right approach? This is the yin/yang of academic criminal justice.

This is also the real world that academic criminal justice seeks to understand, contextualize, examine, and consider. While the work of the academic must be general and uncertain, the events we examine are specific, even personal. We hope the journey you take with us is informative, provocative, even exciting—for criminal justice is all these things, both inside the classroom and out.

Acknowledgments _

ur heartfelt gratitude goes to our executive editor, Jerry Westby. Not only is Jerry a pleasure to work with, his publishing wisdom and guidance made this a much better book than it would have been otherwise. Many thanks also to the tireless efforts of his assistant, Eve Oettinger, who possesses the unique skill of moving mountains with a whisper. We also thank our copy editor, April Wells-Hayes, for her stellar attention to detail. Another note of thanks goes to the very talented Olga Storms, who generously donated her art to the cover. Lastly, we thank the reviewers, whose efforts and expertise provided insight and feedback that helped to shape this book into its current form: Barbara Sims, Pennsylvania State University, Harrisburg; Richard M. Hough, University of West Florida; Robert M. Baggett, University of North Florida; and Michael Leiber, Virginia Commonwealth University. Obviously, any errors in judgment, analysis, or interpretation are ours alone.

Brief Contents _____

| Pref | ace | xiii |
|------|---|------|
| | nowledgments | xv |
| 1. | Introduction: The Many Voices in Justice Mary Maguire and Dan Okada | 1 |
| PAF | RT I. Criminal Justice and Criminological Paradigms | |
| | The Importance of Ethics in Criminal Justice Cyndi Banks | 6 |
| 3. | Criminological Theory and Crime Explanation Dan Okada | 31 |
| 4. | Unleashing the Power of Criminal Justice Theory Peter B. Kraska | 47 |
| PA | RT II. Offenses and Offenders | |
| 5. | Juvenile Delinquency David L. Parry | 64 |
| 6. | Gender Matters: Trends in Girls' Criminality Meda Chesney-Lind | 79 |
| 7. | . Race and Crime Helen Taylor Greene | 95 |
| 8 | . Culture, Media, and Crime Dimitri A. Bogazianos | 109 |
| 9 | . Crime and Economics: Consumer Culture, Criminology, and the Politics of Exclusion Stephen L. Muzzatti | 119 |
| 10 | . Sex Crimes Mary Magnire | 132 |

| 11. | Terrorism and the Criminal Justice System: Questions, Issues, and Current Applicable Law Sharla J. "Kris" Cook | 145 |
|-----|--|-----|
| 12. | Developments in Cyber Criminology Johnny Nhan and Michael Bachmann | 164 |
| PAF | RT III. Policing and Law Enforcement | |
| 13. | A History of American Policing Craig D. Uchida | 184 |
| 14. | Police Theory John Crank, Dawn Irlbeck, and Connie M. Koski | 197 |
| 15. | Contemporary Policing: Police Work in the 21st Century Christopher C. Cooper | 217 |
| PAI | RT IV. Policy and Jurisprudence | |
| 16. | Police Organization and Administration Thomas W. Nolan | 232 |
| 17. | Public Policy Frank P. Williams III and Janice Ahmad | 245 |
| 18. | American Courts Cassia Spohn | 260 |
| 19. | The Juvenile Justice System Randall G. Shelden | 278 |
| PA | RT V. Corrections and Societal Response | |
| 20. | The Philosophical and Ideological Underpinnings of Corrections Anthony Walsh and Ilhong Yun | 296 |
| 21. | Community Corrections, Rehabilitation, Reintegration, and Reentry Marilyn D. McShane and Traqina Emeka | 309 |
| 22. | Restorative Justice in Theory Lois Presser | 321 |
| 23. | Garbage In, Garbage Out? Convict Criminology, the Convict Code, and Participatory Prison Reform Alan Mobley | 333 |
| Ind | lex | 350 |
| Ab | out the Editors | 371 |
| Ab | out the Contributors | 372 |

Detailed Contents_____

| Preface Acknowledgments | | xiii |
|----------------------------|---|------|
| | | xv |
| | ntroduction: The Many Voices in Justice Mary Maguire and Dan Okada | 1 |
| PART | I. Criminal Justice and Criminological Paradigms | |
| | he Importance of Ethics in Criminal Justice Syndi Banks | 6 |
| | Police Ethics | 6 |
| | Discrimination | 8 |
| | Legal Ethics | 9 |
| | Punishment | 11 |
| | Correctional Ethics | 13 |
| | Ethical Criminal Justice Policymaking | 16 |
| | Analyzing Ethical Dilemmas | 20 |
| | A Matter of Principle | 21 |
| | Considering the Consequences | 22 |
| | A Question of Character | 23 |
| | Indifference, Pleasure, or Selfishness? | 24 |
| | Social Justice | 25 |
| | The Ethic of Care | 26 |
| | Conclusion | 27 |
| | Discussion Questions | 27 |
| | Criminological Theory and Crime Explanation Oan Okada | 31 |
| L | Science/Society/Law/Crime/Criminology | 31 |
| | Micro-Level Analyses | 32 |
| | Macro-Level Analyses | 36 |
| | Conclusion | 43 |
| | Discussion Questions | 44 |

| 4. | Unleashing the Power of Criminal Justice Theory | 47 |
|-----|--|----------|
| | Peter B. Kraska | |
| | The Power of Criminal Justice Theory | 48 |
| | for Our Discipline Criminal Justice: A Worthy Object of Theorizing | 50 |
| | | 51 |
| | Developing a Useful Theoretical Infrastructure | 54 |
| | The Power of Theory to Transform Consciousness | 57 |
| | The Power of Theory to Affect Public Explanations | 60 |
| | Conclusion: Embracing Criminal Justice Theory Discussion Questions | 61 |
| PAI | RT II. Offenses and Offenders | |
| 5. | Juvenile Delinquency | 64 |
| | David L. Parry | |
| | What is Delinquency? | 65 |
| | How Much Delinquency? | 66 |
| | Adolescent Development, Risk Factors, and | |
| | Pathways to Delinquency | 68 |
| | Different for Girls? | 72 |
| | Conclusion | 73 |
| | Discussion Questions | 75 |
| 6. | Gender Matters: Trends in Girls' Criminality | 79 |
| | Meda Chesney-Lind | 70 |
| | Crime Wave? | 79 80 |
| | Delinquency: Gender Matters | |
| | Girls' Crime, Girls' Offenses | 81 |
| | Girls' Violence: When She Was Bad | 82 |
| | Running Away: Girls Coping With Trauma and Abuse | 85 |
| | Wild in the Streets: Girls, Drugs, and Alcohol | 87 |
| | Shoplifting: You Shoplift as You Shop | 88 |
| | Conclusion | 90 |
| | Discussion Questions | 91 |
| 7 | . Race and Crime Helen Taylor Greene | 95 |
| | Definitional Issues | 95 |
| | Crime and Victimization Statistics | 97 |
| | Theoretical Perspectives on Race and Crime | 102 |
| | Contemporary Race and Crime Issues | 104 |
| | Future Issues in the Study of Race and Crime | 106 |
| | Discussion Questions | 106 |
| 0 | · | 109 |
| 8 | Culture, Media, and Crime | 107 |
| | Dimitri A. Bogazianos Introduction | 109 |
| | Introduction Key Terms | 110 |
| | | |

| | Key Approaches | 111 |
|-----|---|------|
| | Key Levels of Analysis | 114 |
| | Key Methodologies | 115 |
| | Conclusion | 115 |
| | Discussion Questions | 116 |
| 9. | Crime and Economics: Consumer Culture, Criminology, | |
| | and the Politics of Exclusion | 119 |
| | Stephen L. Muzzatti | |
| | Introduction: A Spectre Is Haunting Criminology | 119 |
| | Reading the Profane: Theorising Crime and Capitalism | 121 |
| | Banality and Viciousness in Late Modernity | 122 |
| | Youth and the Vicissitudes of Late Modernity | 123 |
| | Consuming Crime and Culture | 124 |
| | Late Modernity, Crime, and Pseudo-Pacification | 125 |
| | Selling Crime, Marketing Transgression, | |
| | and Commodifying Violence | 126 |
| | Conclusion: Nothing to Lose but Our Chains | 128 |
| | Discussion Questions | 128 |
| 10. | Sex Crimes | 132 |
| | Mary Maguire | 404 |
| | Sex Crimes Defined | 134 |
| | Theoretical Explanations | 138 |
| | Criminal Justice Response to Sex Crimes | 139 |
| | Conclusion | 142 |
| | Discussion Questions | 142 |
| 11. | Terrorism and the Criminal Justice System: Questions, | |
| | Issues, and Current Applicable Law | 145 |
| | Sharla J. "Kris" Cook | |
| | Introduction | 145 |
| | Fighting Terrorism Effectively in | 4.40 |
| | a Constitutional Democracy | 148 |
| | Surveillance and Detection | 149 |
| | Detention and Treatment of Suspected | 4.50 |
| | Terrorists | 153 |
| | The Latest Developments | 158 |
| | Discussion Questions | 159 |
| 12. | Developments in Cyber Criminology | 164 |
| | Johnny Nhan and Michael Bachmann | 4.64 |
| | The Emergence of Cyber Criminology | 164 |
| | Defining and Classifying Cybercrimes | 165 |
| | The Internet Space and Jurisdiction | 167 |
| | Policing and Social Control on the Internet | 168 |
| | Cyber Rights and Cybercrime: The Case of | 171 |
| | Hacking | 171 |

| Methodological Challenges in Researching | |
|---|-------|
| Cybercrime | 173 |
| Theoretical Challenges in Cybercrime Research | 175 |
| Conclusion: Toward a Conceptual Model of | |
| Cyber Criminology | 176 |
| Discussion Questions | 177 |
| PART III. Policing and Law Enforcement | |
| 13. A History of American Policing | 184 |
| Craig D. Uchida | 104 |
| The Roots of Modern Policing | 184 |
| Law Enforcement in the West | 185 |
| Forming the New Police in England | 185 |
| American Police Systems | 186 |
| Policing in the 19th Century | 188 |
| Police Work | 189 |
| Reforming the Police | 190 |
| Becoming Professionals | 191 |
| Technology Development | 192 |
| Turbulent Times: America in the 1960s | 192 |
| The Legacy of the 1960s | 193 |
| Community Policing | 194 |
| Conclusion | 195 |
| Discussion Questions | 195 |
| 14. Police Theory | 197 |
| John Crank, Dawn Irlbeck, and Connie M. Koski | 4.05 |
| Normative-Rational Theory | 197 |
| Institutional Theory | 201 |
| Conflict Theory | 205 |
| Postmodernism | 211 |
| Conclusion | 213 |
| Discussion Questions | 214 |
| 15. Contemporary Policing: Police Work in the | 215 |
| 21st Century | 217 |
| Christopher C. Cooper | 2.4.5 |
| Introduction | 217 |
| A Personal Note | 218 |
| GPS and Video Recording | 220 |
| The Age of the Taser | 222 |
| 21st-Century Police Work Requires a Higher | |
| Set of Social Skills | 224 |
| A 21st-Century Phenomenon: | |
| The Militarization of Police Work | 22. |
| Discussion Questions | 22 |

PART IV. Policy and Jurisprudence

| 16. | Police Organization and Administration | 232 |
|-----|--|-----|
| | Thomas W. Nolan | 232 |
| | Origins of the Structural Model of Police Organizations | 234 |
| | Police Organization and "War" | 235 |
| | Police Organization: Subculture, Ethos, and Milieu | 237 |
| | Organization and Subculture: Initiation and Masculinism | 239 |
| | Organizational Subculture and Moral Superiority | 240 |
| | Police Organization: Autonomy and Authoritarianism | 241 |
| | Police Administration and the Civil Service | 241 |
| | Police Administration and Unions | 242 |
| | Conclusion | 242 |
| | Discussion Questions | |
| 17. | Public Policy | 245 |
| | Frank P. Williams III and Janice Ahmad | 245 |
| | Public Policy: The Formal Version | 245 |
| | Sources of Criminal Justice Policy | 247 |
| | Public Policy as It Is in Practice | 248 |
| | The Use and Misuse of Public Policy | 252 |
| | The Policy Implications of Public Policy | 255 |
| | Conclusion | 257 |
| | Discussion Questions | 257 |
| 18. | American Courts | 260 |
| | Cassia Spohn | |
| | Supreme Court Decisions and American Courts | 260 |
| | The Sentencing Reform Movement | 265 |
| | Specialized or Problem-Solving Courts: | |
| | A Focus on Drug Courts | 269 |
| | Policy Implications | 272 |
| | Discussion Questions | 272 |
| 10 | . The Juvenile Justice System | 278 |
| 17 | Randall G. Shelden | |
| | Detention Centers | 279 |
| | Special Issues Facing the Juvenile Justice System | 280 |
| | The Racial Composition of Juvenile Institutions | 286 |
| | The Future of the Juvenile Court | 289 |
| | Discussion Questions | 290 |
| | Discussion Questions | |
| PA | RT V. Corrections and Societal Response | 295 |
| 20 | . The Philosophical and Ideological Underpinnings of Corrections Anthony Walsh and Ilhong Yun | 296 |
| | What Is Corrections? | 296 |
| | The Theoretical Underpinnings of Corrections | 296 |

| A Short History of Correctional Punishment | 297 |
|---|-----|
| The Emergence of the Classical School | 298 |
| The Emergence of Positivism | 299 |
| The Function of Punishment | 299 |
| The Objectives of Corrections | 300 |
| The Past, Present, and Future of Corrections | 304 |
| Summary | 306 |
| Discussion Questions | 307 |
| 21. Community Corrections, Rehabilitation, | |
| Reintegration, and Reentry Marilyn D. McShane and Traqina Emeka | 309 |
| Introduction | 309 |
| Probation: Progressive Reform and the | |
| Promise of Rehabilitation | 311 |
| Parole as Reward or Relief | 312 |
| Assessment and Prediction: Risk and Stakes | 313 |
| Worse Than Prison? | 314 |
| Reintegration and Reentry | 315 |
| Factors Correlated With Community Supervision | |
| Success and Failure | 316 |
| Ongoing Debates and Policy Implications | 317 |
| Discussion Questions | 318 |
| 22. Restorative Justice in Theory | 321 |
| Lois Presser | 322 |
| What Is Restorative Justice? | 324 |
| How Is Restorative Justice Supposed to Work? | 330 |
| Conclusion | 330 |
| Discussion Questions | 330 |
| 23. Garbage In, Garbage Out? Convict Criminology, | |
| the Convict Code, and Participatory Prison Reform Alan Mobley | 333 |
| Mass Incarceration in America | 334 |
| Convict Criminology | 335 |
| The Convict Code | 337 |
| Factors Undermining the Convict Code/Formal Structure | 343 |
| A New Code | 347 |
| Discussion Questions | 348 |
| Index | 350 |
| About the Editors | 371 |
| About the Contributors | 372 |
| | |

1

Introduction

The Many Voices in Justice Mary Maguire Dan Okada

merican culture is fascinated by crime. College and university criminology and criminal justice departments continue to multiply, and classes are packed with students eager to learn. The CSI and Law and Order franchises are among the most popular of all mainstream and cable network television programs; both are regularly programmed to fill time slots virtually every day and night. Even though society places crime and deviance outside of accepted and expected social frameworks, their prohibition makes them inherently interesting. The media adage "If it bleeds, it leads" suggests a fascination with misery and human suffering. Network newscasters refer to "slow" news days as those without homicides or with few crimes. Airplane crashes and instances of homicide do not happen very often, but when they do, viewing audiences are riveted to their chairs.

How has this allure with human failing and despair served the criminal justice system and the study of criminology over the years? For some, this attraction with what might be called deviance (but in many cases should be referred to simply as difference) fosters curiosity and motivates questions that call for deeper understanding. For others, it creates discomfort with the status quo and fosters a need for moral entrepreneurship. Some are comfortable with the stretching of social boundaries, while others believe that stasis is maintained by holding firmly to traditional social norms. Those with different philosophical and cultural persuasions bring competing pressures to bear on elected officials to reform or to maintain an accepted standard. Because criminological concerns are often third-rail issues for policymakers (gun control,

legalization of marijuana, prison sentencing, funding for law enforcement as well as for inmate programs), the louder voice among constituents is often what guides policy development. In other words, it is not necessarily clear evidence or even the consequences to individuals or communities that motivates criminal justice policy; rather, it is the policymaker's allegiance to the ideology of his or her constituents, however well- or ill-informed they may be.

A historical perspective illustrates these sometimes conflicting ideologies as they have alternately become the dominant way of thinking and the driving force of criminal justice policy. Legal scholar Michael Tonry (1996, 1998, 2001, 2004) has written at length about paradigm shifts in criminological and justice thinking and action. He notes that the proverbial criminal justice pendulum, which is often based on a "moral panic" (2004), swung from the reform-minded due process/offender's rights policy initiatives of the 1960s to the crime control model's "tough on crime" mandatory minimums of the 1980s. The reform ideology evaporated when multiple forces converged to shift policy to accord with the crime control model.

A catalyst of this shift was Martinson's (1974) "What Works" article, which reported that prison programs were not effectively rehabilitating prisoners. Martinson's findings, although they were later revised, were used to justify a change from indeterminate to determinate sentencing. If inmates could not be rehabilitated, imprisoning them for a determined amount of time seemed to be a reasonable option. Almost simultaneously, in May 1973, what became known as the Rockefeller drug laws went into effect in New York. These draconian measures stipulated that a prison sentence for selling 2 ounces or more of heroin, morphine, cocaine, or marijuana would carry the same prison term as second-degree murder: a sentence of 15 to 25 years to life. This new, punitive framework paved the way for the development of a "tough on crime" mentality across the nation, with the resulting adoption of mandatory minimums and harsher sentences across a broader range of offenses.

The paradigm shift that occurred in the United States from reform to retribution resulted in the largest prison system in the world. With more than 7 million people on probation, in jail or prison, or on parole at the end of 2007 (Bureau of Justice Statistics, 2009), 1 in every 100 Americans was under some form of correctional supervision (Pew Charitable Trusts, 2009), Clear and Cadora (2003) highlight the unintended consequences to some communities that were inhabited by more residents who had been in prison than not. Disadvantaged communities with high numbers of residents returning from prison are more likely to accept and take on the prison culture, thus exacerbating problems in those already vulnerable neighborhoods. In essence, with the ideological shift to retribution, we have created our own tautological social problem. With our get-tough crime policies, we have created a system impervious to attempts at outside intervention. By increasing the number of convictions and the terms of prison sentences, we have weakened community structures and created a prison system that is almost too large to be sustained, even in the best of economic times.

Just as a number of factors converged in the 1970s to create the shift to retribution, a number of factors are converging today to swing the pendulum back, an unsustainable prison system being one of them. Prison systems across the country were nearly at maximum capacity in the previous century, and overcrowding has continued into this century with state resources further co-opted and diverted to fight the War on Terror. This has placed untenable pressure on an already strained system. With the housing market collapse of 2008, economic conditions mandated that most states cut public funding for many governmental services, including law enforcement and corrections. With fewer state and federal resources available, conversations about the legalization of marijuana began in California (in direct contrast to the thinking that brought about the Rockefeller drug laws), as have negotiations for early inmate release. Sentences for crack and for cocaine, which were historically disparate, have been equalized and now stand simply as guidelines, not mandates, in most states. Retributive policies are under closer assessment in the light of worsening economic conditions.

With a cursory inspection, it is clear that the drift of criminal justice practice and policy has been barely affected by empirical evidence, by cost-effectiveness, or by beneficial or even fair practices. Evidence-based program assessments have been few. The fate of individuals who engage in deviant and sometimes criminal behavior is the result of the choices they make and the political winds of the time. In many cases, sanctions are not based on what is best for the individual, for the victim, or for the long-term health and safety of American communities. The waning of the "tough on crime" position is a consequence of the economic conditions of the time. Its passing is accompanied by no acknowledgment of ideological or practical failure, by no plan for effecting positive change in disadvantaged neighborhoods anywhere. Simply put, the ideological changes that in turn change laws and lives are not proactive. Criminal justice legislation is reactive and, as such, debilitating to many communities and cultures.

How should we, as criminal justice and criminology professionals, respond to the conundrums of the justice system as it interfaces with the changing social, political, and economic times? Clearly, our fascination with crime and deviance alone is not enough to develop and/or sustain improvement in our justice system. Historically, we have been guided by the vocal families of victims of rare, albeit heinous, crimes. We have also been guided by various stakeholders, such as labor unions, victims' rights and other political action groups, academics, and enterprising political leaders. Although each group has a point of view worthy of attention, beneficial contributions through noncollaborative action are not enough. One could speculate that what is missing is the appropriate dose of interdisciplinary study and research, thoughtful and articulate reflection, and reasonable action, leading to effective social policies in the service of that responsible evidence.

This text, Critical Issues in Crime and Justice: Thought, Policy, and Practice, brings multiple and varied voices together to discuss the contemporary issues