

Pesticide residues in food 2011

Joint FAO/WHO Meeting
on Pesticide Residues

FAO
PLANT
PRODUCTION
AND PROTECTION
PAPER

211

REPORT 2011



World Health
Organization



Food and Agriculture
Organization of
the United Nations

Pesticide residues in food 2011

Joint FAO/WHO Meeting
on Pesticide Residues

FAO
PLANT
PRODUCTION
AND PROTECTION
PAPER

211

Report of the Joint Meeting of the FAO Panel of Experts on
Pesticide Residues in Food and the Environment and the
WHO Core Assessment Group on Pesticide Residues
Geneva, Switzerland, 20–29 September 2011



The designations employed and the presentation of material in this information product do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) concerning the legal or development status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. The mention of specific companies or products of manufacturers, whether or not these have been patented, does not imply that these have been endorsed or recommended by FAO in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned.

The views expressed in this information product are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of FAO.

ISBN 978-92-5-107103-8

All rights reserved. FAO encourages reproduction and dissemination of material in this information product. Non-commercial uses will be authorized free of charge, upon request. Reproduction for resale or other commercial purposes, including educational purposes, may incur fees. Applications for permission to reproduce or disseminate FAO copyright materials, and all queries concerning rights and licences, should be addressed by e-mail to copyright@fao.org or to the Chief, Publishing Policy and Support Branch, Office of Knowledge Exchange, Research and Extension, FAO, Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00153 Rome, Italy.

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

2011 JOINT FAO/WHO MEETING ON PESTICIDE RESIDUES

GENEVA, 20–29 SEPTEMBER 2011

FAO Members

Dr Ursula Banasiak, Federal Institute for Risk Assessment, Thielallee 88-92, D-14195 Berlin, Germany

Professor Eloisa Dutra Caldas, Pharmaceutical Sciences Department, College of Health Sciences, University of Brasilia, Campus Universitário Darci Ribeiro, 70919-970 Brasília/DF, Brazil (*FAO Rapporteur*)

Mr David Lunn, Principal Advisor (Plants and Residues), Assurances and Standards Group, New Zealand Food Safety Authority, PO Box 2835, Wellington, New Zealand

Dr Dugald MacLachlan, Residues and Food Safety, Food Division, Biosecurity Services Group, Australian Government Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry, GPO Box 858, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia (*FAO Chairman*)

Dr Yukiko Yamada, Deputy Director-General, Food Safety and Consumer Affairs Bureau, Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, 1-2-1 Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8950, Japan

WHO Members

Professor Alan R. Boobis, Centre for Pharmacology and Therapeutics, Division of Experimental Medicine, Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London, Hammersmith Campus, Ducane Road, London W12 0NN, England (*WHO Chairman*)

Dr Vicki L. Dellarco, Office of Pesticide Programs (7501P), United States Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20460, United States of America (USA) (*WHO Rapporteur*)

Dr Douglas B. McGregor, Toxicity Evaluation Consultants, Aberdour, Scotland

Professor Angelo Moretto, Department of Environmental and Occupational Health, University of Milan, International Centre for Pesticides and Health Risk Prevention, Luigi Sacco Hospital, Via Stephenson 94, 20157 Milan, Italy

Dr Roland Solecki, Chemical Safety Division, Steering of Procedures and Overall Assessment, Federal Institute for Risk Assessment, Thielallee 88-92, D-14195 Berlin, Germany

Dr Maria Tasheva, Associate Professor Toxicologist, Sofia, Bulgaria

Secretariat

Ms Catherine Adcock, Head, Toxicology Section 2, Health Effects Division II, Health Evaluation Directorate, Pest Management Regulatory Agency, 2720 Riverside Drive, Address Locator: 6605E, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1A 0K9 (WHO Temporary Adviser)

Professor Árpád Ambrus, Hungarian Food Safety Office, Gyali ut 2-6, 1097 Budapest, Hungary (FAO Temporary Adviser)

- Mr Kevin Bodnaruk, 26/12 Phillip Mall, West Pymble, NSW 2073, Australia (FAO Editor)
- Ms Gracia Brisco, Codex Secretariat, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00153 Rome, Italy
- Dr Ian Dewhurst, Chemicals Regulation Directorate, Mallard House, King's Pool, 3 Peasholme Green, York YO1 7PX, England (WHO Temporary Adviser)
- Dr William Donovan, United States Environmental Protection Agency, MC 7509C, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20460, USA (FAO Temporary Adviser)
- Dr Yibing He, Department of Science and Education, Ministry of Agriculture, No. 11 Nong Zhan Guan Nanli, Beijing - 100125, China (FAO Temporary Adviser)
- Mr Makoto Irie, Plant Product Safety Division, Food Safety and Consumer Affairs Bureau, Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, 1-2-1 Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8950, Japan (FAO Temporary Adviser)
- Dr Debabrata Kanungo, Chairman, Scientific Panel on Residues of Pesticides and Antibiotics, Food Safety and Standard Authority of India, Nityakshetra, 294 / Sector – 21D, Faridabad – 121005, India (WHO Temporary Adviser)
- Dr Matthew O'Mullane, Food Standards Australia New Zealand, PO Box 7186, Canberra BC, ACT 2610, Australia (WHO Temporary Adviser)
- Dr Rudolf Pfeil, Toxicology of Pesticides and Biocides, Federal Institute for Risk Assessment, Thielallee 88-92, D-14195 Berlin, Germany (WHO Temporary Adviser)
- Dr Xiongwu Qiao, Shanxi Academy of Agricultural Sciences, 2 Changfeng Street, Taiyuan, Shanxi 030006, China (FAO Temporary Adviser)
- Ms Jeannie Richards, 15 bis rue Georges Musy, 71100 Saint Remy, France (FAO Temporary Advisor)
- Dr Prakashchandra V. Shah, Chief, Inert Ingredient Assessment Branch, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs, United States Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20460, USA (WHO Temporary Adviser)
- Dr Weili Shan, Residues Division, Institute for Control of Agrochemicals, Ministry of Agriculture, Maizidian 22, Chaoyang District, Beijing 100125, China (FAO Temporary Adviser)
- Ms Marla Sheffer, 1553 Marcoux Drive, Orleans, Ontario, Canada K1E 2K5 (WHO Editor)
- Dr Angelika Tritscher, Department of Food Safety and Zoonoses (FOS), World Health Organization, 1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland (WHO Joint Secretariat)
- Ms Trijntje van der Velde, National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), PO Box 1, 3720 BA Bilthoven, the Netherlands (FAO Temporary Adviser)
- Dr Philippe Verger, Department of Food Safety and Zoonoses (FOS), World Health Organization, 1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland (WHO Joint Secretariat)
- Dr Gerrit Wolterink, Centre for Substances and Integrated Risk Assessment, National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Antonie van Leeuwenhoeklaan 9, PO Box 1, 3720 BA Bilthoven, the Netherlands (WHO Temporary Adviser)
- Ms Yong Zhen Yang, Plant Production and Protection Division (AGP), Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00153 Rome, Italy (FAO Joint Secretary)

Dr Midori Yoshida, Section Chief, Division of Pathology, Biological Safety Research Centre,
National Institute of Health Sciences, Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, 1-18-1 Kamiyoga,
Setagaya-ku, Tokyo 158-8501, Japan (WHO Temporary Adviser)

Dr Jürg Zarn, Swiss Federal Office of Public Health, Nutritional and Toxicological Risks Section,
Stauffacherstrasse 101, CH-8004 Zurich, Switzerland (WHO Temporary Adviser)

ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|------------|---|
| AChE | acetylcholinesterase |
| ACTH | adrenocorticotropic hormone |
| ADI | acceptable daily intake |
| ae | acid equivalent |
| ai | active ingredient |
| ALT | alanine aminotransferase |
| AMPA | aminomethylphosphonic acid |
| AP | alkaline phosphatase |
| AR | applied radioactivity |
| ARe | androgen receptor |
| ARfD | acute reference dose |
| asp gr fn | aspirated grain fraction |
| AST | aspartate aminotransferase |
| AU | Australia |
| BBCH | B iologischen Bundesanstalt, B undessortenamt und C hemische Industrie |
| BMD | benchmark dose |
| BMDL | lower limit on the benchmark dose |
| BROD | benzyloxyresorufin- <i>O</i> -dealkylase |
| bw | body weight |
| CAC | Codex Alimentarius Commission |
| CAR | constitutive androstane receptor |
| CAS | Chemical Abstracts Service |
| CCN | Codex classification number (for compounds or commodities) |
| CCPR | Codex Committee on Pesticide Residues |
| ChE | cholinesterase |
| C_{\max} | maximum concentration |
| CXL | Codex MRL |
| CYP | cytochrome P450 |
| DAP | days after planting |
| DAT | days after treatment |
| DCSA | 3,6-dichlorosalicylic acid |
| DDT | dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane |
| DM | dry matter |

| | |
|------------------|---|
| DM-PCA | 3-trifluoromethyl-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxylic acid |
| DNA | deoxyribonucleic acid |
| DT ₅₀ | time required for 50% dissipation of the initial concentration |
| dw | dry weight |
| ECD | electron capture detector |
| EC ₅₀ | the concentration of agonist that elicits a response that is 50% of the possible maximum |
| EPO | early post-emergence |
| EPSPS | 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase |
| ER | estrogen receptor |
| EROD | ethoxyresorufin- <i>O</i> -deethylase |
| EtOAc | ethyl acetate |
| EU | European Union |
| F ₀ | parental generation |
| F ₁ | first filial generation |
| F ₂ | second filial generation |
| FAO | Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations |
| FPD | flame photometric detector |
| fw | fresh weight |
| GAP | good agricultural practice |
| <i>GAT</i> | glyphosate-N-acetyltransferase |
| GC | gas chromatography |
| GC-ECD | gas chromatography with electron capture detection |
| GC-FPD | gas chromatography with flame photometric detection |
| GC/MS | gas chromatography/mass spectrometry |
| GC/TSD | gas chromatography with thermionic sensitive detection |
| GD | gestation day |
| GEMS/Food | Global Environment Monitoring System – Food Contamination Monitoring and Assessment Programme |
| GLC | gas liquid chromatography |
| GLP | good laboratory practice |
| GPC | gel permeation chromatography |
| HPLC | high performance liquid chromatography |
| HR | highest residue in the edible portion of a commodity found in trials used to estimate a maximum residue level in the commodity |
| HR-P | highest residue in a processed commodity calculated by multiplying the HR of the raw commodity by the corresponding processing factor |
| IEDI | international estimated daily intake |

| | |
|------------------|--|
| IENTI | international estimate of short-term dietary intake |
| IPCS | International Programme on Chemical Safety |
| ISO | International Organization for Standardization |
| IUPAC | International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry |
| JECFA | Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives |
| JMPR | Joint FAO/WHO Meeting on Pesticide Residues |
| JMPS | Joint FAO/WHO Meeting on Pesticide Specifications |
| JP | Japan |
| LC | liquid chromatography |
| LC ₅₀ | median lethal concentration |
| LD ₅₀ | median lethal dose |
| LH | luteinizing hormone |
| LHR | luteinizing hormone receptor |
| LOAEC | lowest-observed-adverse-effect concentration |
| LOAEL | lowest-observed-adverse-effect level |
| LOD | limit of detection |
| LOQ | limit of quantification |
| LPO | late post-emergence |
| MFO | mixed-function oxidase |
| MG | methylguanidine |
| MOA | mode of action |
| MRL | maximum residue limit; maximum residue level |
| MS | mass spectrometry |
| MS/MS | tandem mass spectrometry |
| nAChR | nicotinic acetylcholine receptor |
| NOAEC | no-observed-adverse-effect concentration |
| NOAEL | no-observed-adverse-effect level |
| NOEL | no-observed-effect level |
| NPD | nitrogen phosphorus detector |
| NTE | neuropathy target esterase |
| OECD | Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development |
| PAM | 1-methyl-3-trifluoromethyl-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxamide |
| PBI | plant back interval |
| PCA | 1-methyl-3-trifluoromethyl-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxylic acid |
| Pf | processing factor |
| PH | pre-harvest |

| | |
|------------------|--|
| PHI | pre-harvest interval |
| ppm | parts per million |
| PRE | pre-emergence |
| PROD | pentoxoresorufin- <i>O</i> -deethylase |
| PXR | pregnane X receptor |
| RAC | raw agricultural commodity |
| RSD | relative standard deviation |
| RTI | re-treatment interval |
| SC | suspension concentrate |
| STMR | supervised trials median residue |
| STMR-P | supervised trials median residue in a processed commodity calculated by multiplying the STMR of the raw commodity by the corresponding processing factor |
| T ₃ | triiodothyronine |
| T ₄ | thyroxine |
| T _{max} | time to reach maximum concentration |
| TAR | total administered radioactivity |
| TF | transfer factor |
| TLC | thin-layer chromatography |
| TRIS | tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane |
| TRR | total radioactive residues |
| UGT | uridine diphosphate glucuronosyltransferase |
| UK | United Kingdom |
| USA | United States of America |
| US/CAN | United States and Canada |
| US-FDA | USA – Food and Drug Administration |
| WG | wettable granule |
| WHO | World Health Organization |

USE OF JMPR REPORTS AND EVALUATIONS BY REGISTRATION AUTHORITIES

Most of the summaries and evaluations contained in this report are based on unpublished proprietary data submitted for use by JMPR in making its assessments. A registration authority should not grant a registration on the basis of an evaluation unless it has first received authorization for such use from the owner of the data submitted for the JMPR review or has received the data on which the summaries are based, either from the owner of the data or from a second party that has obtained permission from the owner of the data for this purpose.

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|------------|
| List of participants..... | iii |
| Abbreviations | vii |
| Use of JMPR reports and evaluations by registration authorities | xi |
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 Declaration of interests..... | 1 |
| 2. General considerations..... | 3 |
| 2.1 General discussions related to the toxicological evaluation of compounds | 3 |
| 2.2 Update of the automated spreadsheet applications for the calculation of dietary intake: New large portion data | 3 |
| 2.3 Maximum residue level estimation using the proportionality approach | 5 |
| 2.4 Geographical zones and estimation of maximum residue levels..... | 7 |
| 3. Responses to specific concerns raised by the Codex Committee on Pesticide Residues (CCPR) | 9 |
| 3.1 Bifenthrin (178)..... | 9 |
| 3.2 Indoxacarb (216) | 13 |
| 4. Dietary risk assessment for pesticide residues in food | 15 |
| 5. Evaluation of data for acceptable daily intake and acute dietary intake for humans, maximum residue levels and supervised trials median residue values | 19 |
| 5.1 Acephate (095) and methamidophos (100) (R)..... | 19 |
| 5.2 Acetamiprid (246) (T, R)* | 23 |
| 5.3 Azoxystrobin (229) (R)..... | 45 |
| 5.4 Clothianidin (238) / thiamethoxam (245) (R) | 49 |
| 5.5 Cypermethrins (including alpha- and zeta-cypermethrin) (118) (R)..... | 51 |
| 5.6 Dicamba (240) (R)..... | 59 |
| 5.7 Dichlorvos (025) (T)** | 63 |
| 5.8 Dicofol (026) (T)** | 71 |
| 5.9 Diflubenzuron (130) (R)..... | 79 |
| 5.10 Emamectin benzoate (247) (T, R)* | 85 |
| 5.11 Etofenprox (184) (T, R)**..... | 113 |
| 5.12 Etoxazole (241) (R)..... | 121 |
| 5.13 Flutriafol (248) (T, R)* | 125 |
| 5.14 Glyphosate (158) and metabolites (T, R) | 145 |
| 5.15 Hexythiazox (176) (R)..... | 161 |
| 5.16 Isopyrazam (249) (T, R)* | 165 |
| 5.17 Penthioopyrad (253) (T)* | 189 |
| 5.18 Profenofos (171) (R) | 195 |

| | | |
|-----------------|---|------------|
| 5.19 | Propylene oxide (250) (T, R)* | 197 |
| 5.20 | Pyraclostrobin (210) (R) | 213 |
| 5.21 | Saflufenacil (251) (T, R)* | 227 |
| 5.22 | Spinosad (203) (R) | 255 |
| 5.23 | Spirotetramat (234) (R) | 261 |
| 5.24 | Sulfoxaflor (252) T, R)* | 269 |
| 5.25 | Tebuconazole (189) (R)** | 307 |
| 5.26 | Thiamethoxam (245) (R) | 331 |
| 6. | Recommendations | 333 |
| 7. | Future work | 335 |
| 8. | Corrigenda – Corrections to the Report of the 2010 Meeting | 341 |
| Annex 1: | Acceptable daily intakes, short-term dietary intakes, acute reference doses, recommended maximum residue limits and supervised trials median residue values recorded by the 2011 Meeting | 343 |
| Annex 2: | Index of reports and evaluations of pesticides by the JMPR | 359 |
| Annex 3: | International estimated daily intakes of pesticide residues | 373 |
| Annex 4: | International estimates of short-term dietary intakes of pesticide residues | 435 |
| Annex 5: | Reports and other documents resulting from previous Joint Meetings of the FAO Panel of Experts on Pesticide Residues in Food and the Environment and the WHO Core Assessment Group on Pesticide Residues | 467 |
| Annex 6: | Livestock dietary burden | 475 |

R, residue and analytical aspects; T, toxicological evaluation

* New compound

** Evaluated within the periodic review programme of the Codex Committee on Pesticide Residues

PESTICIDE RESIDUES IN FOOD

REPORT OF THE 2011 JOINT FAO/WHO MEETING OF EXPERTS

1. INTRODUCTION

The Joint FAO/WHO Meeting on Pesticide Residues (JMPR) met at the headquarters of the World Health Organization (WHO) in Geneva, Switzerland, from 20 to 29 September 2011. The meeting was opened by Dr Maged Younes, Director, Department of Food Safety and Zoonoses, WHO, on behalf of the Directors General of WHO and the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Dr Younes acknowledged the impressive and successful work of this programme for the past 50 years and the important role that the work of the Meeting plays in the establishment of international food safety standards, thereby contributing to the improvement of public health. The provision of independent scientific advice as the basis for public health decision-making is at the core of WHO's work, and, as such, the experts attending the meeting are contributing directly to the goals of the Organization. In closing, Dr Younes noted the challenging task ahead for this Meeting and gratefully acknowledged the invaluable contribution of the experts, including the tremendous efforts put into the preparation of the meeting.

During the meeting, the FAO Panel of Experts on Pesticide Residues in Food was responsible for reviewing residue and analytical aspects of the pesticides under consideration, including data on their metabolism, fate in the environment and use patterns, and for estimating the maximum levels of residues that might occur as a result of use of the pesticides according to good agricultural practice. The WHO Core Assessment Group on Pesticide Residues was responsible for reviewing toxicological and related data in order to establish acceptable daily intakes (ADIs) and acute reference doses (ARfDs), where necessary and possible.

The Meeting evaluated 26 pesticides, including eight new compounds and four compounds that were re-evaluated for toxicity or residues, or both, within the periodic review programme of the Codex Committee on Pesticide Residues (CCPR). The Meeting established ADIs and ARfDs, estimated maximum residue levels and recommended them for use by CCPR, and estimated supervised trials median residue (STMR) and highest residue (HR) levels as a basis for estimating dietary intakes.

The Meeting also estimated the dietary intakes (both short term and long term) of the pesticides reviewed and, on this basis, performed a dietary risk assessment in relation to their ADIs or ARfDs. Cases in which ADIs or ARfDs may be exceeded were clearly indicated in order to facilitate the decision-making process by CCPR. The rationale for methodologies for long- and short-term dietary risk assessment are described in detail in the FAO Manual on the submission and evaluation of pesticide residue data for the estimation of MRLs in food and feed (2009).

The Meeting considered a number of general issues addressing current procedures for the risk assessment of chemicals, the evaluation of pesticide residues and the procedures used to recommend maximum residue levels.

1.1 DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The Secretariat informed the Committee that all experts participating in the 2011 JMPR had completed declaration-of-interest forms and that no conflicts had been identified.

Dr McGregor had prepared, in 2006, an opinion on the carcinogenicity and mutagenicity of dichlorvos for the sponsor. Dr Kanungo, as an official of the Government of India, participated in the preparation of the dossier submitted to the JMPR on dicofol.

The JMPR confirmed that these declarations should not be considered as conflicts of interest and that the considered experts should not participate in the discussion about the respective compounds.

2. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

2.1 GENERAL DISCUSSIONS RELATED TO THE TOXICOLOGICAL EVALUATION OF COMPOUNDS

The World Health Organization (WHO) Core Assessment Group on Pesticide Residues discussed several items relevant to the toxicological evaluation of agricultural pesticides.

The group agreed on the need to update the guidance for monographers, to take account of changes in process since it was last published and to use the opportunity to improve and harmonize the monograph format to facilitate data submission and exchange of evaluations.

Current practices in rounding when expressing health-based guidance values (acceptable daily intake [ADI], acute reference dose [ARfD]) were also discussed, and the current Joint FAO/WHO Meeting on Pesticide Residues (JMPR) practice was confirmed.

After a brief presentation by Dr Andy Hart on ongoing activities on how to more systematically express the uncertainty underlying hazard assessments, the group decided that it would be beneficial to explore ways to more systematically express underlying uncertainties. For this, it was recommended that one or two JMPR experts should participate in the ongoing activity within WHO/International Programme on Chemical Safety (IPCS). The group also recommended that the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA) should consider this approach.

Following a brief presentation regarding ongoing activities in the United States of America on high-throughput screening assays (Tox21), the group decided to form a small working group to develop a draft position for JMPR on the use of such data in risk assessment, for discussion at the next meeting.

The group further agreed to form another small working group to define the scope of the need to develop further guidance on minor and adaptive effects, as a follow-up to previous discussions held at the 2006 meeting, for further discussion at the next meeting. Practical experience from the work of JMPR will serve as guidance when developing this scope.

2.2 UPDATE OF THE AUTOMATED SPREADSHEET APPLICATIONS FOR THE CALCULATION OF DIETARY INTAKE: NEW LARGE PORTION DATA

The 2003 Meeting of the JMPR agreed to adopt automated spreadsheet applications for the calculation of dietary intake in order to harmonize and facilitate the estimation process. The spreadsheet applications were constructed by RIVM (National Institute for Public Health and the Environment), of the Netherlands in cooperation with WHO/GEMS/Food incorporating available consumption data into Excel spreadsheets and, where possible, linking this consumption data to the Codex Commodities for which maximum residue levels, HR(-P)s and STMR(-P)s are estimated. The spreadsheets are used to calculate the IEDI and IESTI using the formulas as described in Chapter 7 of the 2009 FAO Manual¹. To use the spreadsheets, estimates made by JMPR (ADI, ARfD, STMR(-P), HR(-P), and when necessary maximum residue level values) are entered according to the manual

¹ FAO Manual (2009), Submission and evaluation of pesticide residues data for the estimation of maximum residue levels in food and feed. 6.7 Estimation of group maximum residue levels STMR and HR values for plant commodities. FAO Plant Production and Protection paper 197, p 97–101

attached to the spreadsheets. The calculations and generation of a final table are then performed automatically.

In its 2010 Report, JMPR highlighted the importance of having contemporary consumption data to ensure reliable risk assessments (General Considerations 2.2 and 2.3). Some issues were identified with respect to the Large Portion (LP) database:

- In the current GEMS/Food LP database, several regions of the world are not, or not very well, represented.
- The GEMS/Food LP data are sometimes older than those used by the same country in national or regional assessments (e.g., Europe).

As a result WHO/GEMS/Food requested the provision of current national large portion data for acute dietary risk assessments (March 2011). The governments of Australia, France, Germany, Netherlands and Thailand provided new or updated information on large portion data and/or commodity unit weights and percent edible portions. Large portion data already available to JMPR and provided by the governments of Japan, South Africa, the UK and the USA were retained. Unit weight and edible portion data previously provided to the JMPR by the governments of Belgium, Japan, Sweden, the UK and the USA were retained.

The population age groups for which large portion data have been provided differed between countries. Large portion data are now available for general population (all, 1 years and above, 2 years and above, 3 years and above, 10 years and above, 16–64 years, 14–80 years), women of childbearing age (14–50 years), and children of various ages ranging from babies to teenagers (6 years and under, 8–20 months, 1–5 years, 1–6 years, 1.5–4.5 years, 2–4 years, 2–6 years, 3–6 years, 2–16 years). Given the availability of data sets for different population groups, the IESTI spreadsheet calculations are now based on the highest large portion (based on g/kg bw/d), for each commodity, chosen from all population groups. The data were accepted as received, i.e., no quality checking was done as the responsibility for the data lies with the respective national governments.

Large portion data provided were either expressed as raw agricultural commodity (e.g., orange with peel), as raw edible portion (e.g., peeled orange) or as processed product (e.g., orange juice). To enable the selection of the highest large portion, for a certain commodity, from different countries, all large portion data needs to be expressed in the same way. For this reason the submitted large portion data were modified so that the large portion data for raw consumed commodities and aggregated commodities are expressed as raw edible portion, while the large portion data for individual processed commodities are expressed as processed product.

Until recently the IESTI calculations were only done for aggregated large portion data (i.e., raw plus unspecified processed commodities). With the new data it is now possible to do IESTI calculations for individual raw and processed commodities (e.g., raw apples, apple juice, apple sauce, dried apples) as well as for aggregated large portion data (e.g., sum of raw apples, apple juice and dried apples). Large portion data for individual raw and individual processed commodities are listed separately from aggregate large portion data in the spreadsheet.

Generally the large portion data for the aggregated commodities will result in the highest IESTI for a certain commodity. When the ARfD is exceeded for the aggregated commodities, possibilities exist to refine the IESTI calculation by calculating the IESTI for all individual raw and processed commodities by making use of the processing factors derived from processing studies. However, since the aggregate large portion data and the large portion data for the individual commodities come from different countries, the outcome of such refinements, using individual commodities, may not be related to the outcome of the corresponding aggregated commodities. Conclusions on health concern should take this into account.

The spreadsheet applications will be available on the WHO website. http://www.who.int/foodsafety/chem/acute_data/en/index1.html. The call for data is still open and the spreadsheet will be updated when new data become available.