Isa Schön Koen Martens Peter van Dijk *Editors*

Lost Sex

The Evolutionary Biology of Parthenogenesis





Isa Schön · Koen Martens · Peter van Dijk Editors

Lost Sex

The Evolutionary Biology of Parthenogenesis



Editors
Isa Schön
Royal Belgian Institute of
Natural Sciences
Freshwater Biology
Vautierstraat 29
1000-Brussels
Belgium
isa.schoen@naturalsciences

Peter van Dijk Keygene N.V. Agro Business Park 90 6708 PW Wageningen The Netherlands peter.van-dijk@keygene.com Koen Martens Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences Freshwater Biology Vautierstraat 29 1000-Brussels Belgium koen.martens@naturalsciences

ISBN 978-90-481-2769-6 e-ISBN 978-90-481-2770-2 DOI 10.1007/978-90-481-2770-2 Springer Dordrecht Heidelberg London New York

Library of Congress Control Number: 2009933886

© Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2009

No part of this work may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission from the Publisher, with the exception of any material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work.

Cover illustration: Cover figure: M.C. Escher's "Moebius Strip II" © 2009 The M.C. Escher Company B.V. – Baarn – The Netherlands

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

Lost Sex

Foreword

The idea to compile this book was first raised during an Exploratory ESF workshop in Wageningen in 2001. At that meeting, it was thought that it should provide the long-needed update on parthenogenesis and its genetic and ecological consequences, but that it should also look at the paradox of sex from an asexual perspective. Already then, it was decided to only focus on eukaryotes, as this would avoid discussions on whether bacteria have sex or not... The book would thus deal with these evolutionary opportunists that had given up or lost sexual reproduction. We also wanted to make sure that both animals and plants were included and to help the zoological and botanical communities in understanding each other. When ESF funded the proposal of PVD and KM for a scientific network on parthenogenesis. (PARTNER) we started hunting for authors and contributions during the four PARTNER workshops in 2003 (Wageningen), 2004 (Valencia and Münster) and 2005 (London). This is why most authors of this book have also been participants of one or several PARTNER workshops, and this book can be seen as an ESF deliverable. However, there are also contributions from autors outside of PARTNER, which have added additional expertise to this book. Their contributions also illustrate that the field of research on parthenogenesis is currently moving very fast.

The book contains five parts. The first one consists of the introduction to the theme (see Chapter 1) to catch the reader's interest and its history by concentrating on four major scientists who have significantly contributed to the field (Chapter 2). The general part also comprises the necessary descriptions of the cytology and the mechanisms related to the different asexual and sexual modes of reproduction in plants (Chapter 3) and animals (Chapter 4). In Chapter 3, great care has been taken to explain the botanical terminology of parthenogenetic reproduction and potential pitfalls are pointed out, which have greatly hampered the discussion between zoologists and botanists on asexual reproduction in the past.

The second part of the book discusses the main hypotheses behind the paradox of sex and how modern science regards them. Although there are more than 25 hypotheses attempting to explain the paradox of sex, we have decided to concentrate on four major ones. Is the accumulation of mutations (Muller's ratchet (Muller 1964) and Kondrashov's hatchet (Kondrashov 1988)) still regarded as one of the major problems of long-term asexuality and if so, under which conditions might it apply to the real biological world (see Chapter 5)? What is the reaction norm of

vi Foreword

asexuals? Can the general-purpose genotype and frozen niche hypotheses indeed be found in biological reality (Chapter 6)? Is the Red Queen still in the running as one of the major theories to explain the prevalence of sex (Chapter 7)? And if so, what are the conditions that have to be matched? Chapter 8 deals with the phenomena of geographic parthenogenesis and presents a new theory on how hybridisation could have caused the patterns in both plants and animals.

The third part of the book deals with more philosophical questions, which have nonetheless a practical impact on working with asexuals: how can clones (Chapter 9) and asexual species (Chapter 10) be defined? It is our hope that readers will find workable solutions in both chapters.

The fourth part comprises numerous case studies, illustrating the enormous variety of mechanisms by which asexual plants and animals reproduce in the living world. The first set of case studies includes examples of putative ancient asexual scandals (following the terminology of Judson and Normark 1996) from the animal world, namely darwinulid ostracods (Chapter 11), oribatid mites (Chapter 12) and bdelloid rotifers (Chapter 13). The surprising conclusion seems to be that none of these so-called scandals has followed the same route in avoiding the long-term disadvantages of asexuality, and that each of them might have found a novel way to circumvent the paradox of sex. There appears to be a general consensus that there are no ancient asexual plants (see Chapter 3).

The three scandalous chapters are followed by a number of items on invertebrates with mixed reproduction. These include examples of cyclic parthenogenesis, namely monogont rotifers and cladocerans (Chapters 14 and 15, respectively), which combine the best of both worlds and provide important insights into the factors triggering the switch between sex and asex. Also, stick insects with an amazing variety of reproductive modes (Chapter 16) are described, followed by examples of Hymenoptera, where microbial infections are one of the most common causes of asexuality (Chapter 17). The last invertebrate case study is on hermaphrodite planarians with asexual reproduction, but also with a little bit of sex (Chapter 18). The invertebrates are followed by vertebrate examples of parthenogenesis, covering fish (Chapter 19), waterfrogs (Chapter 20) and reptiles (Chapter 21). All three chapters provide good explanations on the mechanisms of vertebrate parthenogenesis and why it is less common than in invertebrates.

Two case studies on apomictic plants, the common dandelion (Chapter 22) and Böcher's rock cress (Chapter 23) close the section on case studies. Both author teams provide new, unpublished data on the evolutionary age of an apomixis gene (Chapter 22) and the Meselson effect, respectively (Chapter 23).

Last comes a section on applied aspects of parthenogenesis, a novel and important part. The section starts with examples of asexual diseases and why their reproductive mode poses a major threat to humanity (Chapter 24). The next chapter introduces aphids as agricultural pest and links their detrimental effects with their asexual reproduction. Chapter 26 explains why cloning of mammals is still so unsuccessful and how it can be improved. The last Chapter, 27, deals with clonal grape vines and its implication on wine making.

Foreword

This last chapter provides the perfect excuse to raise the glass to all authors and readers and to wish them a very fruitful and enjoyable reading – may the bouquet be rich, the flavour be crisp and the finish be lasting!

We express our thanks to the European Science Foundation for funding the PARTNER network as well as the publication of this book.

References

Judson OP, Normark BB (1996) Ancient asexual scandals. Trends Ecol Evol 11: 41–46
Kondrashov AS (1988) Deleterious mutations and the evolution of sexual reproduction. Nature 336: 435–440

Muller HJ (1964) The relation of recombination to mutational advance. Mutat Res 1: 2-9

Ghent, Perth and Wageningen

Contributors

Philip Agnew Génétique et Evolution des Maladies Infectieuses, Equipe Evolution des Systèmes Symbiotiques, UMR IRD/CNRS 2724, BP 64501, 911 Av. Agropolis, 34394 Montpellier Cedex 5, France, agnew@cepm.mpl.ird.fr

Timothy G. Barraclough Division of Biology, Imperial College London, Silwood Park Campus, Ascot, Berkshire SL5 7PY, UK, t.barraclough@imperial.ac.uk

Bengt O. Bengtsson Genetics, Department of Cell and Organism Biology, Lund University, Sölvegatan 29, SE-223 62 Lund, Sweden, Bengt_olle.bengtsson@cob.lu.se

Andrej Benjak Department of Applied Plant Sciences and Plant Biotechnology, University of Natural Resources and Applied Life Sciences, Vienna, Peter-Jordan Str. 82, A-1190 Vienna, Austria, andrej.benjak@boku.ac.at

Leo W. Beukeboom Evolutionary Genetics, Centre for Ecological and Evolutionary Studies, University of Groningen. P.O. Box 14, NL-9750 AA Haren, The Netherlands, l.w.beukeboom@rug.nl

Arjen Biere Department of Multitrophic Interactions, Netherlands Institute of Ecology, NIOO-KNAW, P.O. Box 40, 6666 ZG Heteren, The Netherlands, a.biere@nioo.knaw.nl

C. William Birky, Jr. Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, The University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85745 USA, birky@u.arizona.edu

Jose M. Corral Apomixis Research group, Department of Cytogenetics and Genome Analysis, Institut für Pflanzengenetik und Kulturpflanzenforschung (IPK), D-06466 Gatersleben, Germany, corral@ipk-gatersleben.de

Ellen Decaestecker Laboratory of Aquatic Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, K.U.Leuven, Ch. Debériotstraat 32, B-3000 Leuven, Belgium; Laboratory of Aquatic Biology, Interdisciplinary Research Center, K.U. Leuven Campus Kortrijk, E. Sabbelaan 53, B-8500 Kortrijk, Belgium, Ellen.Decaestecker@kuleuven-kortrijk.be

Hans de Jong Laboratory of Genetics, Wageningen University, Arboretumlaan 4, 6703 BD Wageningen, The Netherlands, Hans.deJong@wur.nl

Luc De Meester Laboratory of Aquatic Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, K.U.Leuven, Ch. Debériotstraat 32, B-3000 Leuven, Belgium, Luc.DeMeester@bio.kuleuven.be

Thierry De Meeûs Génétique et Evolution des Maladies Infectieuses, Equipe Evolution des Systèmes Symbiotiques, IRD, UMR 177 IRD-CIRAD "Trypanosomoses" Centre International de Recherche-Développement sur I'Elevage en zone Subhumide (CIRDES), OI BP 454, Bobo-Dioulasso 01, Burkina-Faso, demeeus@mpl.ird.fr

Gerard Driessen Institute of Ecological Science, Faculty of Earth and Life Sciences, VU University of Amsterdam, De Boelelaan 1085, NL-1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands, gerard.driessen@falw.vu.nl

Thomas G. D'Souza Faculty of Biology, Institute for Evolution and Ecology, Animal Evolutionary Ecology, University of Tuebingen, Auf der Morgenstelle 28, D-72076 Tuebingen, Germany, thomas.dsouza@uni-tuebingen.de

Robert Feil Institute of Molecular Genetics, CNRS and University of Montpellier, Montpellier, France, robert.feil@igmm.cnrs.fr

Astrid Forneck Department of Applied Plant Sciences and Plant Biotechnology, University of Natural Resources and Applied Life Sciences, Vienna, Peter-Jordan Str. 82, A-1190 Vienna, Austria, astrid.forneck@boku.ac.at

Urban Friberg Department of Ecology, Evolution and Marine Biology, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106-9610, USA, friberg@lifesci.ucsb.edu

Matthew K. Fujita Department of Integrative Biology, Museum of Vertebrate Zoology, University of California, 3101 Valley Life Sciences Building, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA, mkfujita@berkeley.edu

Gaston-Denis Guex Institute of Zoology, University of Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zürich, Switzerland, guex@zoolmus.unizh.ch

Michael Heethoff Abteilung für Evolutionsbiologie der Invertebraten, Institut für Evolution und Ökologie, Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen, Auf der Morgenstelle 28 E, 72076 Tübingen, Germany, heethoff@gmx.de

Elvira Hörandl University of Vienna, Department of Systematic and Evolutionary Botany, Rennweg 14, A-1030 Vienna, Austria, elvira.hoerandl@univie.ac.at

Hansjürg Hotz Institute of Zoology, University of Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zürich, Switzerland, hotz@zoolmus.unizh.ch

Michael Kearney Department of Zoology, The University of Melbourne, Victoria 3010, Australia, mrke@unimelb.edu.au

Britt Koskella Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford, OX1 3PS, UK, britt.koskella@zoo.ox.ac.uk

Dunja K. Lamatsch Institute for Limnology, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Mondseestr. 9, A – 5310 Mondsee, Austria, dunja.lamatsch@oeaw.ac.at

Pasqualino Loi Faculty of Veterinary, Department of Comparative Biomedical Sciences, University of Teramo, Piazza Aldo Moro, 45, 64020 Teramo, Italy, ploi@unite.it

Hugh D. Loxdale Institute of Ecology, Friedrich Schiller University, Dornburger Str. 159, 07743 Jena, Germany; Department of Entomology, Max Planck Institute for Chemical Ecology, Hans-Knoell-Strasse 8, D-07745 Jena, Germany, Hugh.Loxdale@uni-jena.de; hloxdale@ice.mpg.de

David B. Mark Welch Josephine Bay Paul Center for Comparative Molecular Biology and Evolution, Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole MA 02543, USA, dmarkwelch@mbl.edu

Mark Maraun J.F. Blumenbach Institute of Zoology and Anthropology, Animal Ecology, Georg August University of Goettingen, Berliner Str. 28, 37073 Goettingen, Germany, mmaraun@gwdg.de

Koen Martens Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences, Freshwater Biology, Vautierstraat 29, B-1000 Brussels, Belgium, koen.martens@naturalsciences

Irene Mateo Leach Evolutionary Genetics, Centre for Ecological and Evolutionary Studies, University of Groningen. P.O. Box 14, NL-9750 AA Haren, The Netherlands, I.Mateo-Leach@rug.nl

Stephanie Meirmans Centre for the Studies of the Sciences and the Humanities, University of Bergen, PO Box 7800, 5020 Bergen, Norway, stephane.meirmans@svt.uib.no

Joachim Mergeay Laboratory of Aquatic Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, K.U.Leuven, Ch. Debériotstraat 32, B-3000 Leuven, Belgium, Joachim.mergeay@bio.kuleuven.be

Matthew Meselson Josephine Bay Paul Center for Comparative Molecular Biology and Evolution, Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole MA 02543, USA; Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge MA 02138, USA, meselson@mbl.edu

Nico K. Michiels Faculty of Biology, Zoological Institute, Animal Evolutionary Ecology, University of Tuebingen, Germany, nico.michiels@uni-tuebingen.de

Maurine Neiman Department of Biology, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, 52242, USA, maurine-neiman@uiowa.edu

Roy A. Norton SUNY College of Environmental Science & Forestry, 1 Forestry Drive, Syracuse, 13210 New York, USA, ranorton@esf.edu

Bart A. Pannebakker Institute of Evolutionary Biology, School of Biological Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Mains Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JT, Scotland,

UK, Bart.Pannebakker@ed.ac.uk; Evolutionary Genetics, Centre for Ecological and Evolutionary Studies, University of Groningen, P.O. Box 14, NL-9750 AA Haren, The Netherlands, B.A.Pannebakker@rug.nl

E. Davis Parker, Jr. Department of Ecology and Genetics, Aarhus University, Ny Munkegarde, DK 8000, Aarhus C, Denmark, dave.parker@biology.au.dk

Marcin Piwczynski Department of Plant Taxonomy and Geography, Nicolaus Copernicus University, Gagarina 9, PL-87-100, Torun, Poland, Marcin.Piwczynski@umk.pl

Franck Prugnolle Génétique et Evolution des Maladies Infectieuses, Equipe Evolution des Systèmes Symbiotiques, UMR IRD/CNRS 2724, BP 64501, 911 Av. Agropolis, 34394 Montpellier Cedex 5, France, prugnoll@mpl.ird.fr

Grazyna Ptak Department of Comparative Biomedical Sciences, University of Teramo, Piazza Aldo Moro, 45, 64020 Teramo, Italy, gptak@unite.it

Heinz-Ulrich Reyer Institute of Zoology, University of Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zürich, Switzerland, ulireyer@zool.uzh.ch

William R. Rice Department of Ecology, Evolution and Marine Biology, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106-9610, USA, rice@lifesci.ucsb.edu

Claudia Ricci Department of Biology, Università degli Studi di Milano, via Celoria 26, 20133 Milan, Italy, claudia.ricci@unimi.it

Jessica Ridenour Department of Zoology, The University of Melbourne, Victoria 3010, Australia, jessridenour@gmail.com

Giampaolo Rossetti Dipartimento di Scienze Ambientali, Universitá di Parma, Parco Area delle Scienze 11A, Edificio di Biologia, I-43100 Parma, Italy, giampaolo.rossetti@unipr.it

Ernst Rühl Geisenheim Research Centre, Section for Grapevine Breeding and Grafting, von Lade-Str. 1, D-65366 Geisenheim, Germany, E.Ruehl@fa-gm.de

Anssi Saura Department of Molecular Biology Umeå University, SE-901 87 Umeå, Sweden, Anssi.Saura@molbiol.umu.se

Valerio Scali Department of Biologia Evoluzionistica Sperimentale, University of Bologna, Via Selmi 3, 40126 Bologna, Italy, valerio.scali@unibo.it

Stefan Scheu J.F. Blumenbach Institute of Zoology and Anthropology, Animal Ecology, Georg August University of Goettingen, Berliner Str. 28, 37073 Goettingen, Germany, sscheu@gwdg.de

Dirk S. Schmeller Station d'Ecologie Experimentale du CNRS à Moulis, 09200 Saint Girons, France, dirk.schmeller@ecoex-moulis.cnrs.fr

Maria Victoria Schneider European Bioinformatics Institute, Wellcome Trust Genome Campus, Hinxton, Cambridge, CB10 1SD, UK, vicky@ebi.ac.uk

Isa Schön Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences, Freshwater Biology, Vautierstraat 29, B-1000 Brussels, Belgium, isa.schoen@naturalsciences.be

Manuel Serra Institute of Biodiversity and Evolutionary Biology, Universitat de València, A.O. 2085; E46061 Valencia, Spain, Manuel.Serra@uv.es

Timothy F. Sharbel Apomixis research group, Department of Cytogenetics and Genome Analysis, Institut für Pflanzengenetik und Kulturpflanzenforschung (IPK), D-06466 Gatersleben, Germany, sharbel@ipk-gatersleben.de

Terry W. Snell School of Biology, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332-0230, USA, terry.snell@biology.gatech.edu

Per Stenberg Umeå Center for Molecular Pathogenesis, Umeå University, SE-901 87 Umeå, Sweden, Per.Stenberg@molbiol.umu.se

Matthias Stöck University of Lausanne (UNIL), Department of Ecology and Evolution (DEE) Biophore, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland, matthias.stoeck@unil.ch

Louis van de Zande Evolutionary Genetics, Centre for Ecological and Evolutionary Studies, University of Groningen. P.O. Box 14, NL-9750 AA Haren, The Netherlands, Louis.van.de.Zande@rug.nl

Peter Van Dijk Keygene N.V., Agro Business Park 90, 6708 PW Wageningen, The Netherlands, peter.van-dijk@keygene.com

Kitty Vijverberg Keygene N.V., Agro Business Park 90, 6708 PW Wageningen, The Netherlands, Kitty.vijverberg@keygene.com

Christoph Vorburger Institute of Zoology, University of Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zürich, Switzerland, christoph.vorburger@zool.uzh.ch

Robert C. Vrijenhoek Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute, Moss Landing, 7700 Sandholdt Road, Moss Landing, CA, 95039, USA, vrijen@mbari.org

Contents

1	Asex and Evolution: A Very Large-Scale Overview	1
2	The Evolution of the Problem of Sex	21
3	Apomixis: Basics for Non-botanists	47
4	Cytology of Asexual Animals Per Stenberg and Anssi Saura	63
5	A Graphical Approach to Lineage Selection Between Clonals and Sexuals William R. Rice and Urban Friberg	75
6	Geographical Parthenogenesis: General Purpose Genotypes and Frozen Niche Variation	99
7	Sex and the Red Queen	133
8	$\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{Geographical Parthenogenesis: Opportunities for Asexuality} & . & . & . \\ \textbf{Elvira H\"{o}} \textbf{H\"{o}} \textbf{randl} & . & . & . \\ \end{tabular}$	161
9	The Elusive Clone – In Search of Its True Nature and Identity Koen Martens, Hugh D. Loxdale and Isa Schön	187
10	Asexual Speciation	201
11	Darwinulid Ostracods: Ancient Asexual Scandals or Scandalous Gossip?	217
12	Parthenogenesis in Oribatid Mites (Acari, Oribatida): Evolution Without Sex	241

X

13	Bdelloid Rotifers: Progress in Understanding the Success of an Evolutionary Scandal	259
14	Sex Loss in Monogonont Rotifers	281
15	Cyclical Parthenogenesis in <i>Daphnia</i> : Sexual Versus Asexual Reproduction	295
16	Metasexual Stick Insects: Model Pathways to Losing Sex and Bringing It Back	317
17	Thelytoky in Hymenoptera with Venturia canescens and Leptopilina clavipes as Case Studies	347
18	Sex in Parthenogenetic Planarians: Phylogenetic Relic or Evolutionary Resurrection? Thomas G. D'Souza and Nico K. Michiels	377
19	Sperm-Dependent Parthenogenesis and Hybridogenesis in Teleost Fishes	399
20	Masked Damage: Mutational Load in Hemiclonal Water Frogs Christoph Vorburger, Dirk S. Schmeller, Hansjürg Hotz, Gaston-Denis Guex and Heinz-Ulrich Reyer	433
21	Lost Sex in the Reptiles: Constraints and Correlations Michael Kearney, Matthew K. Fujita and Jessica Ridenour	447
22	An Apomixis-Gene's View on Dandelions	475
23	Allelic Sequence Divergence in the Apomictic Boechera holboellii Complex	495
24	Asexual Reproduction in Infectious Diseases	517
25	What's in a Clone: The Rapid Evolution of Aphid Asexual Lineages in Relation to Geography, Host Plant Adaptation and Resistance to Pesticides	535
	Hugh D. Loydale	

Contents		xi

26	Epigenetic Mechanisms in Mammals and Their Effects on Cloning Procedures	559
27	Grapevine (Vitis ssp.): Example of Clonal Reproduction in Agricultural Important Plants	581
Ind	lex	599

Chapter 1

Asex and Evolution: A Very Large-Scale

Overview

Bengt O. Bengtsson

Abstract Asexuals come in all sorts. In this personal overview, I identify asexual organisms with eukaryotes that do not regularly go through the meiotic cycle. Such organisms may be asexual in many different ways and of many different reasons. The spread of asexuality is therefore always a unique process, and any notion of a general evolutionary advantage for asexuality is at best misleading. In discussions on the evolution of asexuality, ideas about genetic conflicts are often more helpful than notions about "costs". Many asexuals are associated with different fitness problems, and most of them are not particularly good at being asexual either. Their absence of long-term evolutionary success follows from their lack of recombination, leading to complex effects involving drift and selection that we are just beginning to understand. The interest in asexual organisms comes not from what they say about sex, but from what they say about living as a eukaryote.

1.1 Eukaryote Reproduction and the Meiotic Cycle

The asexual organisms that will be discussed in this chapter have one thing in common: they have all dropped out of the regular meiotic (sexual) cycle. In this they differ from the majority of eukaryotes in which meiosis and fertilization occur regularly. Among the large multi-cellular organisms that make up our visual natural environment – plants, animals and fungi with large fruiting bodies – the cycle is often easy to follow and study, with its prominent outer signs of sexual and reproductive activities. But the meiotic cycle predominates also among the small unicellular eukaryotes about whose lives we often know very little.

Regular meiotic sexuality evolved once, a long time ago. According to recent phylogenetic information, there are strong reasons to believe that the meiotic cycle

B.O. Bengtsson (⋈)

Genetics, Department of Cell and Organism Biology, Lund University, Sölvegatan 29,

SE-223 62 Lund, Sweden

e-mail: Bengt_olle.bengtsson@cob.lu.se

B.O. Bengtsson

developed at the origin of eukaryotes or very soon thereafter (Cavalier-Smith 2002). It cannot, of course, be excluded that one day we will find a eukaryote that departed from the "ur-eukaryote" lineage before meiosis evolved, but no such organism is currently known. Meiosis must have had a complex evolutionary history leading up to it, and some more or less alternative variants for decreases in ploidy-numbers have been characterized and discussed (Pontecorvo 1958; Haig 1993; Hurst and Randerson 2000). However, meiosis constitutes by far the most common mechanism for the separation of homologous genomes, and it functions in basically the same way wherever found, down to its most intricate cellular and molecular details (John 1990; Raikov 1995; Cnudde and Gerats 2005; Ramesh et al. 2005).

If the meiotic cycle is common to almost all eukaryotes, this does not mean that it always looks the same. Its basic structure of fertilization (with cell and nuclear fusions), diploid cellular life, meiosis, haploid cellular life, back to fertilization and a new round of the cycle, has taken on an almost infinite number of forms during eukaryote evolution. Careful investigations are often needed to ascertain exactly when, where and how the key events in the process occur. In humans, the length of the diploid state is measured in decades while the haploid state passes in hours. In mosses, the haploid state dominates and the diploid state is an ephemeral outgrowth extending from the top of a long-lived haploid structure. In aphids, after meiosis and fertilization there are rounds of asexual reproduction before meiosis occurs again. In the unicellular organism *Paramecium*, meiosis/fertilization occurs separately from reproduction/multiplication, which comes about via ordinary mitotic cell division. The last example is rare, however, since in most sexual organisms reproduction and dispersal is closely associated with the events of meiosis, gamete formation and fertilization.

Sometimes, the sexual cycle has become elaborated with new structural elements, as illustrated by the evolution in higher plants of a system for *double* fertilizations, where the sole use of the endosperm is to help regulate and support the development of the embryo.

Another way sexual organisms differ is in the appearance of the haploid cells produced by meiosis and intended for fertilization. In animals and plants, a clear division has evolved between small motile "male" gametic cells and large nutrient "female" gametic cells. This morphological distinction is often extended back to the individuals that produce these cells – thus many animals and some plants are segregated into males or females also during their diploid stage. Among the organisms that produce haploid gametes of both kinds (called hermaphrodites), yet other variants become possible. Fertilizations may occur between gametes produced by the same individual (selfing), or between gametes formed by different individuals. In general it appears as if outbreeding, of one kind or another, is the most common mode of reproduction in eukaryotes, and many mechanisms – ranging from cellular surface markers to the manipulation of pollination vectors – have evolved to promote it.

Thus, the underlying structure of the meiotic cycle seems not to have limited the morphological and behavioural evolution in sexual eukaryotes to any noticeable