Tracts in Mathematics 9

Gebhard Böckle Richard Pink

Cohomological Theory of Crystals over Function Fields

Gebhard Böckle Richard Pink

Cohomological Theory of Crystals over Function Fields



Authors:

Gebhard Böckle Universität Duisburg-Essen Campus Essen 45117 Essen Germany

E-mail: gebhard.boeckle@uni-due.de

Richard Pink
Departement Mathematik
ETH Zürich
Rämistrasse 101
8092 Zürich
Switzerland
E-mail: pink@math.ethz.ch

2010 Mathematical Subject Classification: 11-02, 14-02

Key words: Characteristic p cohomologies, Drinfeld modules, A-motives, L-functions, Weil conjecture

ISBN 978-3-03719-074-6

The Swiss National Library lists this publication in The Swiss Book, the Swiss national bibliography, and the detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at http://www.helveticat.ch.

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, re-use of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in other ways, and storage in data banks. For any kind of use permission of the copyright owner must be obtained.

© 2009 European Mathematical Society

Contact address:

European Mathematical Society Publishing House Seminar for Applied Mathematics ETH-Zentrum FLI C4 CH-8092 Zürich Switzerland

Phone: +41 (0)44 632 34 36 Email: info@ems-ph.org Homepage: www.ems-ph.org

Typeset using the authors' $T_{\mbox{\footnotesize E}}X$ files: I. Zimmermann, Freiburg Printed in Germany

987654321

EMS Tracts in Mathematics 9

EMS Tracts in Mathematics

Editorial Board:

Carlos E. Kenig (The University of Chicago, USA)
Andrew Ranicki (The University of Edinburgh, Great Britain)
Michael Röckner (Universität Bielefeld, Germany, and Purdue University, USA)
Vladimir Turaev (Indiana University, Bloomington, USA)
Alexander Varchenko (The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, USA)

This series includes advanced texts and monographs covering all fields in pure and applied mathematics. *Tracts* will give a reliable introduction and reference to special fields of current research. The books in the series will in most cases be authored monographs, although edited volumes may be published if appropriate. They are addressed to graduate students seeking access to research topics as well as to the experts in the field working at the frontier of research

- 1 Panagiota Daskalopoulos and Carlos E. Kenig, Degenerate Diffusions
- 2 Karl H. Hofmann and Sidney A. Morris, The Lie Theory of Connected Pro-Lie Groups
- 3 Ralf Meyer, Local and Analytic Cyclic Homology
- 4 Gohar Harutyunyan and B.-Wolfgang Schulze, *Elliptic Mixed, Transmission and Singular Crack Problems*
- 5 Gennadiy Feldman, Functional Equations and Characterization Problems on Locally Compact Abelian Groups
- 6 Erich Novak and Henryk Woźniakowski, *Tractability of Multivariate Problems. Volume I: Linear Information*
- 7 Hans Triebel, Function Spaces and Wavelets on Domains
- 8 Sergio Albeverio et al., The Statistical Mechanics of Quantum Lattice Systems

Preface

For global fields of positive characteristic p, Drinfeld and later Anderson defined objects, now called Drinfeld modules and A-motives, which bear many similarities to abelian varieties over number fields. The Tate modules of these objects provide interesting examples of strictly compatible systems of Galois representations. In many cases they also possess an analytic uniformization and a kind of Hodge theory, which altogether can be viewed as étale, Betti and de Rham realizations.

A natural next step was taken by Goss, who assigned an L-function to any family of such objects over a variety of finite type over \mathbb{F}_p , which is a power series in a variable T. By analogy to the L-function of a constructible ℓ -adic sheaf in the case $\ell \neq p$, Goss conjectured that this L-function is, in fact, a rational function of T. In 1996 Taguchi and Wan proved this conjecture, using analytic methods à la Dwork. This development parallels that around the Weil conjecture, where Dwork's analytic proof of rationality predated the algebraic proof of Grothendieck et al. based on ℓ -adic étale cohomology.

The present monograph performs some of the next logical steps in this direction. It provides a set of algebro-geometric and homological tools to give a purely algebraic proof of Goss's rationality conjecture and – hopefully – much more. Specifically, we introduce a notion of *crystals*, which contains families of A-motives as special cases, and develop a complete cohomological theory for them. We also prove a Lefschetz trace formula that expresses the L-function in terms of explicitly computable cohomology groups. These cohomology groups contain finer information than the analytic rationality proof and will deserve further study. We also describe their relation to the cohomology of étale sheaves of \mathbb{F}_p -modules over varieties in characteristic p.

The book is intended for researchers and advanced graduate students interested in the arithmetic of function fields and/or cohomology theories for varieties in positive characteristic. A prerequisite to reading this book is a good working knowledge in algebraic geometry as well as familiarity with homological algebra and derived categories. Most of the necessary background can be found, for instance, in the books [26] on *Algebraic Geometry* by Hartshorne and [47] on *Homological Algebra* by Weibel. From that point on, we have tried to be largely self-contained.

Acknowledgments. We thank David Goss for providing much interest and stimulus toward the present work. His motivation was invaluable during certain stages. We also thank Brian Conrad for helpful correspondence regarding some questions in algebraic geometry.

Contents

	Preia	ice	•			
1	Intro	oduction	1			
2	Categorical preparations					
	2.1	Categories	13			
	2.2	Localization :	17			
	2.3	Abenan categories	20			
	2.4	Gromenateer entegories	23			
	2.5	Triangulated categories	26			
	2.6	Delived categories	27			
	2.7	Delived functions	30			
	2.8	Combination of deliver in the second	32			
	2.9	Comparison of derived categories	36			
3	Fundamental concepts 39					
	3.1	Conventions	39			
	3.2	τ -sheaves	40			
	3.3	Nilpotence	42			
	3.4	A-crystals	47			
	3.5	Examples	49			
4	Functors					
	4.1	Inverse image	52			
	4.2	Tensor product	58			
	4.3		60			
	4.4		61			
	4.5		64			
	4.6		69			
5	Deri	ived categories	71			
	5.1		71			
	5.2		76			
	5.3		81			
	5.4		84			
6	Derived functors					
U	6.1	red functions	88			
	6.2	and the second s	90			
	6.3		93			
	6.4	Change of coefficients	93			
	6.5		97			
	0.5	Zueer minge in				

	~
V111	Contents

	6.6 6.7	Extension by zero	99 101				
7	Flatr 7.1 7.2 7.3 7.4 7.5 7.6 7.7	Flatness of modules	105 107 109 112 113 117 120				
8	Naiv 8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5	Basic properties	121 122 124 126 129 134				
9	Crys 9.1 9.2 9.3 9.4 9.5 9.6 9.7	talline L-functions Characteristic polynomials A primary decomposition for rational functions The local L-factor The global L-function The L-function of a complex Functoriality Arbitrary coefficients Change of coefficients	138 139 143 145 148 150 151 157 160				
10	10.1 10.2 10.3 10.4 10.5	E cohomology Basic Definitions Functors Equivalence of categories Derived categories and functors Flatness L-functions	163 163 167 169 173 173				
Bil	Bibliography 17						
Lis	List of notation						
Index							

Chapter 1

Introduction

In the late 1940s Weil posed the challenge to create a cohomology theory for algebraic varieties X over an arbitrary field k with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} . Weil had a deep arithmetic application in mind, namely to prove a conjecture of his concerning the ζ -function attached to a variety over a finite field: Its first part asserts the rationality of this ζ -function, the second claims the existence of a functional equation, and the third predicts the locations of its zeros and poles. Assuming a well-developed cohomology theory with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} , possessing in particular a Lefschetz trace formula, he indicated a proof of these conjectures, cf. [48].

As we now know, for fields k of positive characteristic one cannot hope to construct meaningful cohomology groups $H^i(X, \mathbb{Z})$. Nevertheless Weil's quest provided an important stimulus. Starting with Serre and then with the main driving force of Grothendieck, several good substitutes for such a theory have been constructed. Many later developments in arithmetic algebraic geometry hinge crucially on these theories.

Among the most important cohomology theories for algebraic varieties over a field k are the following: If k is of characteristic zero, one may pass from k to the field of complex numbers $\mathbb C$ and consider the singular cohomology of the associated complex analytic space $X(\mathbb C)$. Other invariants in the same situation are given by the algebraic de Rham cohomology of X. For general k and a prime ℓ different from the characteristic of k, there is the cohomology theory of étale ℓ -adic sheaves due to Grothendieck et al. All these are examples of good cohomology theories in the sense of Grothendieck, in that they possess a full set of functors f^* , \otimes , $f_!$, f_* , Hom, $f^!$ and a duality with certain properties. Moreover, there are important comparison isomorphisms between them.

If k is of positive characteristic p and ℓ is equal to p, one also has cohomological theories of p-adic and mod p étale sheaves. But these are not good theories in Grothendieck's sense, as they do not possess a duality and not all of the six functors above have a reasonable definition. For proper varieties over fields of characteristic p, a good theory for $\ell = p$ is crystalline cohomology.

The first significant progress towards the Weil conjecture came, however, from another approach by Dwork, who resolved the first part and some cases of the second part of the Weil conjecture by p-adic analytic methods, cf. [16]. Only later Grothendieck et al. gave a cohomological proof of this along the lines proposed by Weil. Key ingredients in this proof are the cohomological theory of ℓ -adic étale sheaves together with the Lefschetz trace formula. The latter yields an explicit formula for the L-function of an ℓ -adic étale sheaf as the L-function of a complex representing the cohomology with compact support of this sheaf. It thereby provides finer information about the L-function than Dwork's analytic proof. In 1974, Deligne gave an ingenious proof of the remaining parts of the Weil conjecture, again using extensively the cohomological method, cf. [13].

Around the same time, Drinfeld initiated an arithmetic theory for objects over global fields of positive characteristic p, cf. [14]. For this one fixes a Dedekind domain A that is finitely generated over the field with p elements \mathbb{F}_p and whose group of units is finite. The simplest example is the polynomial ring $A = \mathbb{F}_q[t]$ over a finite extension with q elements \mathbb{F}_q . The ring A plays a role analogous to that of \mathbb{Z} in classical arithmetic geometry.

The first examples of these new objects were what are now called $Drinfeld\ A-modules$. Related but more algebro-geometric objects, also due to Drinfeld, are $elliptic\ sheaves$ and more generally shtukas. Inspired by this, in the mid 1980s Anderson introduced the notion of t-motives for $A = \mathbb{F}_q[t]$, which has a natural generalization to arbitrary A under the name of A-motives. The category of A-motives contains (via a contravariant embedding) that of Drinfeld A-modules, but is more flexible than the latter: While the only operation on Drinfeld A-modules is pullback along morphisms, on A-motives, in addition, one has all the standard operations from linear algebra such as direct sum and tensor product.

These A-motives bear many analogies to abelian varieties. For any prime ℓ of $\mathbb Z$ one associates the ℓ -adic Tate module to an abelian variety, and for any place v of A one associates the v-adic Tate module to an A-motive. The former is isomorphic to $\mathbb Z_\ell^{2g}$ if the abelian variety has dimension g, and the latter is isomorphic to A_v^r if the A-motive has rank r. In either case the Tate module carries a continuous action of the absolute Galois group of the base field. If the base field is finite, the Galois representation is completely described by the action of the Frobenius automorphism. Its main invariant is therefore the dual characteristic polynomial of Frobenius, which is an element of $1+t\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}[t]$ or $1+tA_v[t]$. One proves that this polynomial is independent of the choice of ℓ or v and lies in $1+t\mathbb{Z}[t]$ or 1+tA[t], respectively.

More generally consider a family of abelian varieties or A-motives over a base scheme X of finite type over \mathbb{F}_p . Following Weil, it is customary to attach an L-function to such a family by taking the product over all closed points $x \in X$ of the inverses of the above dual characteristic polynomials, stretched by the substitutions $t \mapsto t^{\deg(x)}$. This L-function is a priori a power series in $1 + t\mathbb{Z}[[t]]$ or 1 + tA[[t]], respectively. The first part of the Weil conjecture asserts that the L-function of a family of abelian varieties is, in fact, a rational function of t.

Inspired by the analogy with abelian varieties, Goss [22] conjectured that the L-function of a family of A-motives should be rational as well. This was proved in 1996 by Taguchi and Wan [44] for φ -sheaves, which include A-motives as a special case. Their method was inspired by Dwork's, with the field of p-adic numbers replaced by the field of Laurent series over \mathbb{F}_p . Taguchi and Wan also proved a large portion of a conjecture by Goss on a certain analytic L-function similar to the ζ -function of a scheme of finite type over **Spec** \mathbb{Z} . We shall return to this point at the end of this introduction.

With the paradigm of the development around the Weil conjecture, our main motivation for the present work was to develop a set of algebro-geometric and cohomological tools to give a purely algebraic proof of Goss's rationality conjecture. With further applications in mind that are described at the end of this introduction, we develop our theory in great generality and much detail. For the same reasons as with p-adic étale cohomology in characteristic p, we do not obtain a good cohomology theory in the sense of Grothendieck. In particular we do not obtain an $Rf^!$ or an internal Hom, and certainly there is no duality theory. But with the functors f^* , \otimes^L , Rf_* for proper f, and $Rf_!$ for compactifiable f, as well as the trace formula we achieve a good half, enough for the application to L-functions.

A trace formula for *L*-functions. One of our central results is a trace formula. Since it motivates much of the theory, we explain a basic version of it before giving more details on the individual sections of the book.

From now on we abbreviate $k := \mathbb{F}_q$, where q is a power of a prime p. Let A be a Dedekind domain that is finitely generated over k and whose group of units is finite, such as A = k[t]. Let X be a scheme of finite type over k and set $C := \mathbf{Spec}\ A$. The Frobenius endomorphism of X relative to k, which on sections takes the form $f \mapsto f^q$, is denoted σ_X or simply σ . In what follows, schemes X, Y, etc., are thought of as base schemes for families of objects with *coefficient ring* A. We define these objects in terms of sheaves on $X \times C$, so that C plays the role of a *Coefficient scheme*. As a general convention, whenever tensor or fiber products are formed over k, the subscript k will be omitted.

The basic objects of our theory are pairs $\underline{\mathcal{F}} = (\mathcal{F}, \tau)$, where \mathcal{F} is a coherent sheaf on $X \times C$ and τ an $\mathcal{O}_{X \times C}$ -linear homomorphism $(\sigma \times \mathrm{id})^* \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$. Such pairs are called *coherent* τ -sheaves on X. For simplicity of exposition we assume that \mathcal{F} is the pullback of a coherent sheaf \mathcal{F}_0 on X. To any such $\underline{\mathcal{F}}$ we wish to assign an L-function as a product of pointwise L-factors.

Let |X| denote the set of closed points of X. For any $x \in |X|$ let k_x denote its residue field and d_x its degree over k. Then the pullback \mathcal{F}_x of \mathcal{F} to $x \times C$ inherits a homomorphism $\tau_x : (\sigma_x \times \mathrm{id})^* \mathcal{F}_x \to \mathcal{F}_x$; hence it corresponds to a free $k_x \otimes A$ -module of finite rank M_x together with a $\sigma_x \otimes \mathrm{id}_A$ -linear endomorphism $\tau_x : M_x \to M_x$. The iterate $\tau_x^{d_x}$ of the latter is $k_x \otimes A$ -linear, and one knows that

$$\det_{k_X \otimes A} (\mathrm{id} - t^{d_X} \tau_X^{d_X} | M_X) = \det_A (\mathrm{id} - t \tau_X | M_X).$$

This is therefore a polynomial in $1 + t^{d_x} A[t^{d_x}]$. Since there are at most finitely many $x \in |X|$ with fixed d_x , the following product makes sense:

Definition 1.1. The *naive L-function* of $\underline{\mathcal{F}}$ is

$$L^{\text{naive}}(X, \underline{\mathcal{F}}, t) := \prod_{x \in |X|} \det_A (\operatorname{id} - t \tau_x | M_x)^{-1} \in 1 + t A[[t]].$$

For the trace formula suppose first that X is proper over k. Then for every integer i the coherent cohomology group $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}_0)$ is a finite dimensional vector space over k. Moreover, the equality $\mathcal{F} = \operatorname{pr}_1^* \mathcal{F}_0$ yields a natural isomorphism $H^i(X \times C, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^i(X, \mathcal{F}_0) \otimes A$. This is therefore a free A-module of finite rank. It also carries a natural endomorphism induced by τ ; hence we can consider it as a coherent τ -sheaf on

Spec k, denoted by $H^i(X, \underline{\mathcal{F}})$. The first instance of the trace formula for L-functions then reads as follows:

Theorem 1.2.
$$L^{\text{naive}}(X, \underline{\mathcal{F}}, t) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} L^{\text{naive}}(\operatorname{Spec} k, H^i(X, \underline{\mathcal{F}}), t)^{(-1)^i}$$
.

A standard procedure to extend this formula to non-proper X is via cohomology with compact support. For this we fix a dense open embedding $j:X\hookrightarrow \overline{X}$ into a proper scheme of finite type over k. We want to extend the given $\underline{\mathcal{F}}$ on X to a coherent τ -sheaf $\underline{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}}$ on \overline{X} without changing the L-function. Any extension whose τ_z on $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_z$ is zero for all $z\in |\overline{X}\setminus X|$ has that property, and it is not hard to construct one. In fact, any coherent sheaf on \overline{X} extending \mathcal{F} , multiplied by a sufficiently high power of the ideal sheaf of $\overline{X}\setminus X$, does the job. However, there are many choices for this $\underline{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}}$, and none is functorial. Thus there is none that we can consider a natural extension by zero " $j_!\underline{\mathcal{F}}$ " in the sense of τ -sheaves. Ignoring this for the moment, let us nevertheless provisionally regard $H^i(\overline{X},\underline{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}})$ as the cohomology with compact support $H^i_c(X,\underline{\mathcal{F}})$. Then from Theorem 1.2 we obtain the following more general trace formula:

Theorem 1.3.
$$L^{\text{naive}}(X, \underline{\mathcal{F}}, t) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} L^{\text{naive}}(\operatorname{Spec} k, H_c^i(X, \underline{\mathcal{F}}), t)^{(-1)^i}$$
.

Since the factors on the right hand side are polynomials in 1 + tA[t] or inverses of such polynomials, the *rationality* of $L^{\text{naive}}(X, \mathcal{F}, t)$ is an immediate consequence.

We hasten to add that the order of presentation of the above theorems is for expository purposes only. We actually first prove Theorem 1.3 when X is regular and affine over k and then generalize it to arbitrary X by devissage. The proof in the affine case is based on a trace formula by Anderson from [2]. While Anderson formulated it only for A = k, Taguchi and Wan [45] already noted that it holds whenever A is a field, and we extend it further. Also, the formula in [2] is really the Serre dual of the one in Theorem 1.3 and therefore avoids any mention of cohomology.

The program in this book is to develop a full cohomological theory for τ -sheaves and to prove a relative trace formula for arbitrary compactifiable morphisms $f:Y\to X$. The formalism includes the inverse image functor f^* , the tensor product \otimes , and the direct image under a proper morphism f_* . To define an extension by zero functor $j_!$, we formally invert any morphism of coherent τ -sheaves on whose kernel and cokernel τ is nilpotent. The result is called a localization of the category of coherent τ -sheaves on X, with the same objects but other morphisms and more isomorphisms, which we call the category of crystals on X. In this category all the above $\underline{\mathcal{F}}$ become naturally isomorphic, and any one of them represents the extension by zero $j_!\underline{\mathcal{F}}$ in the sense of crystals.

This somewhat artificial construction is more than a cheap trick. It has several beneficial side effects; for example, it turns the pullback functor f^* , which is only right exact on coherent sheaves, into an exact functor on crystals. Thus crystals behave more like constructible sheaves than like coherent sheaves. The passage to crystals is perhaps the key innovation of this book compared to [2] or [45].

We construct a derived category of crystals and the corresponding derived functors f^* , \otimes^L and $Rf_!$ for compactifiable f, and we calculate them in terms of the underlying τ -sheaves. We discuss flat crystals and more generally complexes of crystals

of finite Tor-dimension, to which we can associate what we call crystalline L-functions. One of the main results is then the following relative trace formula generalizing Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 1.4. Let $f: Y \to X$ be a morphism of schemes of finite type over k. Suppose that A is an integral domain that is finitely generated over k. Then for any complex \mathcal{F}^{\bullet} of finite Tor-dimension of crystals on Y, one has

$$L^{\operatorname{crys}}(Y, \underline{\mathcal{F}}^{\bullet}, t) = L^{\operatorname{crys}}(X, Rf_!\underline{\mathcal{F}}^{\bullet}, t).$$

We now discuss in detail the individual chapters of the book. With the exception of Chapter 2 we follow their order of appearance. From now on X, Y, \ldots will denote arbitrary noetherian schemes over k, and A will denote an arbitrary localization of a finitely generated k-algebra. In the examples A will typically be a finitely generated field, a Dedekind domain, or finite.

Basic objects (Chapter 3). The starting point is the notion of a coherent τ -sheaf, which, due to its importance, we state again:

Definition 1.5. A coherent τ -sheaf over A on X is a pair $\underline{\mathcal{F}} := (\mathcal{F}, \tau_{\mathcal{F}})$ consisting of a coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on $X \times C$ and an $\mathcal{O}_{X \times C}$ -linear homomorphism

$$(\sigma \times id)^* \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\tau_{\mathcal{F}}} \mathcal{F}.$$

A homomorphism of coherent τ -sheaves $\underline{\mathcal{F}} \to \underline{\mathcal{G}}$ on X is a homomorphism of the underlying sheaves $\varphi \colon \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}$ which is compatible with the action of τ .

The category of coherent τ -sheaves over A on X is denoted by $\mathbf{Coh}_{\tau}(X, A)$. It is an abelian A-linear category, and all constructions like kernel, cokernel, etc. are the usual ones on the underlying coherent sheaves, with the respective τ added by functoriality.

Any φ -sheaf on X in the sense of Taguchi and Wan is a coherent τ -sheaf whose underlying coherent sheaf is locally free, where A is a suitable Dedekind domain such as k[t]. In particular any Drinfeld A-module and any A-motive can be regarded as a coherent τ -sheaf.

The next definition is a preparation for the concept of crystals:

Definition 1.6. (a) A coherent τ -sheaf $\underline{\mathcal{F}}$ is called *nilpotent* if the iterated homomorphism $\tau_{\mathcal{F}}^n: (\sigma^n \times \mathrm{id})^* \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ vanishes for some $n \gg 0$.

(b) \tilde{A} homomorphism of coherent τ -sheaves is called a *nil-isomorphism* if both its kernel and cokernel are nilpotent.

Nil-isomorphisms satisfy certain formal properties that make them a multiplicative system in the category $\mathbf{Coh}_{\tau}(X, A)$. To any multiplicative system one associates a certain localized category in which all members of the multiplicative system become isomorphisms and which has a universal property, like the localization of a ring.

Definition 1.7. The category $\mathbf{Crys}(X, A)$ of *A-crystals on X* is the localization of $\mathbf{Coh}_{\tau}(X, A)$ at the multiplicative system of nil-isomorphisms.

The objects of $\mathbf{Crys}(X,A)$ are those of $\mathbf{Coh}_{\tau}(X,A)$, but the homomorphisms are different. Homomorphisms $\underline{\mathcal{F}} \to \underline{\mathcal{G}}$ in $\mathbf{Crys}(X,A)$ are diagrams $\underline{\mathcal{F}} \leftarrow \underline{\mathcal{F}}' \to \underline{\mathcal{G}}$ in $\mathbf{Coh}_{\tau}(X,A)$ where the homomorphism $\underline{\mathcal{F}}' \to \underline{\mathcal{F}}$ is a nil-isomorphism, up to a certain equivalence relation. The result is again an A-linear abelian category, and a coherent τ -sheaf represents the zero crystal if and only if it is nilpotent.

Functors (Chapter 4). Consider a morphism $f: Y \to X$ and a k-algebra homomorphism $A \to A'$. Then we have the following A-linear, resp. A-bilinear, functors:

- (i) inverse image: f^* : $Coh_{\tau}(X, A) \to Coh_{\tau}(Y, A)$,
- (ii) tensor product: $_\otimes _$: $\mathbf{Coh}_{\tau}(X, A) \times \mathbf{Coh}_{\tau}(X, A) \rightarrow \mathbf{Coh}_{\tau}(X, A)$,
- (iii) change of coefficients: $\bigotimes_A A'$: $\mathbf{Coh}_{\tau}(X, A) \to \mathbf{Coh}_{\tau}(X, A')$,
- (iv) direct image: f_* : $\mathbf{Coh}_{\tau}(Y, A) \to \mathbf{Coh}_{\tau}(X, A)$ if f is proper.

All these functors are defined by the corresponding operations on the underlying coherent sheaves, with $(f \times id)^*$ and $(f \times id)_*$ in place of f^* and f_* , and with the associated τ added by functoriality. All of them preserve nil-isomorphisms and therefore pass to functors between the corresponding categories of crystals.

Next we consider an open embedding $j: U \hookrightarrow X$ with a closed complement $i: Y \hookrightarrow X$. Then we can extend any coherent τ -sheaf $\underline{\mathcal{F}}$ on U to a coherent τ -sheaf $\underline{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}}$ on X such that $i^*\underline{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}}$ is nilpotent (compare the discussion preceding Theorem 1.3). Any homomorphism between two such extensions, which is the identity on U, is a nil-isomorphism. Thus on crystals we obtain:

Theorem 1.8. There is an exact A-linear functor

(v) extension by zero: $j_!$: $\mathbf{Crys}(U, A) \to \mathbf{Crys}(X, A)$, uniquely characterized by the properties $j^*j_! = \mathrm{id}$ and $i^*j_! = 0$.

Sheaf-theoretic properties (Chapter 4). Surprisingly, crystals behave more like constructible sheaves than like coherent sheaves. For instance:

Theorem 1.9. For any morphism $f: Y \to X$ the inverse image functor f^* on crystals is exact.

In particular, let $i_x : x \hookrightarrow X$ denote the natural embedding of a point of X. Then the *stalk at* x of a crystal $\underline{\mathcal{F}}$ on X is defined as the crystal $\underline{\mathcal{F}}_x := i_x^*\underline{\mathcal{F}}$. The following result justifies this definition:

Theorem 1.10. (a) A sequence of crystals is exact if and only if it is exact in all stalks.

(b) The support of a crystal $\underline{\mathcal{F}}$, i.e., the set of points $x \in X$ for which $i_x^*\underline{\mathcal{F}}$ is non-zero (as a crystal!), is a constructible subset of X.

Moreover crystals enjoy a rigidity property that is not shared by τ -sheaves, but well known for étale sheaves. We state it here in its simplest form:

Theorem 1.11. Let $f: X_{\text{red}} \hookrightarrow X$ denote the canonical closed immersion of the induced reduced subscheme. Then the functors f^* and f_* are mutually quasi-inverse equivalences between Crys(X, A) and $\text{Crys}(X_{\text{red}}, A)$.

Thus crystals extend in a unique way under infinitesimal extensions. In other words they grow in a prescribed way, as the name suggests.

Derived categories and functors (Chapters 5 and 6). A major part of this book deals with the extension of the functors (i)–(v) to derived functors between derived categories of crystals. As usual, the construction of derived functors requires resolutions by acyclic objects, but these cannot be found within the categories of coherent τ -sheaves or crystals. We therefore consider the much larger categories of *quasi-coherent* τ -sheaves and *quasi-crystals*, which are defined in an analogous way except that the underlying sheaves are only quasi-coherent. In these we dispose of Čech resolutions and resolutions by injectives. These categories and the functors between them are already studied in Chapters 3 and 4.

For technical reasons we also need to consider the category of all filtered direct limits of coherent τ -sheaves, which we call *ind-coherent* τ -sheaves. This category is properly sandwiched between that of coherent τ -sheaves and quasi-coherent τ -sheaves, An analogous situation occurs for crystals and quasi-crystals. A large part of Chapter 5 is devoted to clarifying the relations between the derived categories of quasi-coherent, ind-coherent and coherent τ -sheaves and of the corresponding quasi-crystals and crystals.

Once the comparison of derived categories is complete, it is relatively straightforward to construct the derived functors arising from (i)–(iv), namely derived pullback Lf^* , which equals f^* on the derived category of crystals, derived tensor product \otimes^L , and derived direct image under a proper morphism Rf^* . The derived direct image with compact support $Rf_!$ for a compactifiable morphism $f=\bar{f}\circ j$ is then defined as the composite of the exact functor $j_!$ from (v) with the derived direct image under a proper morphism $R\bar{f_*}$. We establish all compatibilities between these functors that could reasonably be expected, including the proper base change theorem and the projection formula. The proofs of these formulas make essential use of the universal properties of derived functors.

We also show that the individual derived functors $L_i f^*$ and $H^{-i}(_\otimes^L_)$ and $R^i f_*$ for proper f can be computed in the 'naive' way by taking the corresponding derived functors of (quasi-) coherent sheaves with the respective τ added by functoriality. This is important for calculations, but would not serve as a very good definition of these functors, because one would still not know the true derived functors of crystals.

Categorical preparations (Chapter 2). We also have to deal with a number of categorical issues in relation to derived categories. Let us give three examples:

(i) There are at least two natural ways to go from the category of quasi-coherent τ -sheaves to the derived category of quasi-crystals. One can first localize at nil-isomorphisms and then derive the abelian category of quasi-crystals or, alternatively, one may first pass to the derived category of quasi-coherent τ -sheaves and then localize at nil-quasi-isomorphisms. We show that the two procedures agree.

- (ii) In our constructions of derived functors, we want to stay within the setting of quasi-coherent τ -sheaves and quasi-crystals. The reader familiar with the approach in Hartshorne [25] may remember that the construction of the derived inverse image and the derived tensor product uses categories of non-quasi-coherent sheaves of modules. We bypass this by giving another criterion for the existence of derived functors.
- (iii) The construction in [25] of a derived functor Rf_* of coherent sheaves for proper f depends on a comparison theorem between the derived category of coherent sheaves and the full triangulated subcategory of the derived category of quasi-coherent sheaves with coherent cohomology. The proof of this comparison theorem uses the fact that every quasi-coherent sheaf is a filtered direct limit of coherent sheaves. As mentioned above, this is not so for τ -sheaves and (quasi-) crystals. Nevertheless we prove the corresponding comparison theorem, although its proof is surprisingly intricate.

Chapter2 also contains a brief review of categorical foundations and further preparations.

Flatness (Chapter 7). Traces and characteristic polynomials and therefore L-functions can be defined only for locally free modules. The corresponding notion for crystals is flatness.

Definition 1.12. A crystal \mathcal{F} is flat if the functor $\mathcal{F} \otimes _$ on crystals is exact.

Clearly any crystal whose underlying coherent sheaf is locally free is flat. More importantly, any crystal on X whose underlying sheaf is a pullback of a coherent sheaf under the projection $\operatorname{pr}_1: X \times C \to X$ is flat. Some basic properties on functorialities and on stalks are:

Theorem 1.13. (a) Flatness of crystals is preserved under inverse image, tensor product, change of coefficients and extension by zero.

- (b) A crystal is flat if and only if all its stalks are flat.
- (c) If f is compactifiable and $\underline{\mathcal{F}}^{\bullet}$ is a bounded complex of flat crystals on Y, then $Rf_!\underline{\mathcal{F}}^{\bullet}$ is represented by a bounded complex of flat crystals.

Note that by (a) the analog of assertion (c) for inverse image, tensor product and change of coefficients also holds.

We have observed that any crystal represented by a coherent τ -sheaf whose underlying sheaf is locally free is flat. For the definition of an L-function we would wish to go the other way and represent every flat crystal by such a τ -sheaf. It is a highly non-trivial matter to decide when, precisely, that is possible. As the L-function is a product of Euler factors attached to points $x \in X$ with finite residue field, it suffices to address this question over a single such point. But even then the question does not always have a positive answer. We have, however, the following important special case:

Theorem 1.14. Suppose that $x = \operatorname{Spec} k_x$ for a finite field extension k_x of k and that A is artinian. Then for any A-crystal $\underline{\mathcal{F}}$ on x we have:

- (a) The crystal $\underline{\mathcal{F}}$ can be represented by a coherent τ -sheaf \mathcal{F}' whose homomorphism $\tau: (\sigma \times \mathrm{id})^* \mathcal{F}' \to \mathcal{F}'$ is an isomorphism.
- (b) The representative in (a) is unique up to unique isomorphism. We denote it by $\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{ss}$ and call it the semisimple representative of $\underline{\mathcal{F}}$.
- (c) The assignment $\underline{\mathcal{F}} \mapsto \underline{\mathcal{F}}_{ss}$ is functorial.
- (d) The crystal $\underline{\mathcal{F}}$ is flat if and only if the coherent sheaf underlying $\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{ss}$ is locally free.

(Crystalline) L-functions (Chapters 8 and 9). In view of Theorem 1.14 (d) – and its failure for general A – we first assume that A is artinian.

Consider a flat crystal $\underline{\mathcal{F}}$ over a scheme X of finite type over k. As before let |X| denote the set of closed points of X. For any $x \in |X|$ let d_x denote its degree over k and $i_x \colon x \hookrightarrow X$ its natural embedding. Then the stalk $\underline{\mathcal{F}}_x := i_x^*\underline{\mathcal{F}}$ is again flat by Theorem 1.13, and so the coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}_{x,ss}$ underlying its semisimple representative $\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{x,ss}$ is locally free. Choose a locally free coherent sheaf \mathcal{B} on $x \times C$ such that $\mathcal{F}_{x,ss} \oplus \mathcal{B}$ is free, and turn it into a τ -sheaf $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$ by setting $\tau_{\mathcal{G}} := 0$. Then the local factor at x of the crystalline L-function of $\underline{\mathcal{F}}$ is defined as

$$L^{\operatorname{crys}}(x, \underline{\mathcal{F}}_x, t) := L^{\operatorname{naive}}(x, \underline{\mathcal{F}}_{x,\operatorname{ss}} \oplus \underline{\mathcal{G}}, t) \in 1 + t^{d_x} A[[t^{d_x}]]$$

with L^{naive} from Definition 1.1. One easily shows that this is independent of the choice of $\underline{\mathscr{G}}$. As there are at most finitely many $x \in |X|$ with fixed d_x , the following product makes sense:

$$L^{\operatorname{crys}}(X,\underline{\mathcal{F}},t) := \prod_{x \in |X|} L^{\operatorname{crys}}(x,\underline{\mathcal{F}}_x,t) \in 1 + tA[[t]].$$

This definition is extended to any bounded complex $\underline{\mathcal{F}}^{\bullet}$ of flat A-crystals on X by defining its crystalline L-function as

$$L^{\operatorname{crys}}(X,\underline{\mathcal{F}}^{\bullet},t) := \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} L^{\operatorname{crys}}(x,\underline{\mathcal{F}}^i,t)^{(-1)^i} \in 1 + tA[[t]].$$

This definition is invariant under quasi-isomorphisms and thus naturally extends to any complex of crystals of bounded Tor-dimension.

Since the L-function of a crystal must be independent of the representing τ -sheaf, there is essentially no other sensible definition. In particular, before taking the characteristic polynomial one must purge any τ -subsheaf or quotient on which τ is nilpotent, because any such τ -sheaf represents the zero crystal. This has the somewhat unfortunate consequence that the naive L-function of a coherent τ -sheaf whose underlying coherent sheaf is free may differ from the crystalline L-function of the associated crystall. For example, if τ on $\mathcal F$ is nilpotent, the naive L-function can be any polynomial with constant term 1 and nilpotent higher coefficients, while the crystalline L-function is necessarily 1. The problem disappears only when A is reduced. It was also the reason for the name 'naive L-function'.