

# **advances in production management systems**

**edited by  
g.doumeingts and w.a.carter**



**IFIP**

**north-holland**

# *ADVANCES IN PRODUCTION MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS*

## *Production Management Systems in the Eighties*

---

Proceedings of the IFIP WG 5.7 Working Conference on  
Advances in Production Management Systems – APMS "82"  
Bordeaux, France, 24-27 August, 1982

edited by

**Guy DOUMEINGTS**

*Laboratoire GRAI*

*Université de Bordeaux 1*

*Talence, France*

and

**William A. CARTER**

*Computer Aided Manufacturing International*

*Arlington, Texas 76011*

*U.S.A.*



1984

NORTH-HOLLAND – AMSTERDAM • NEW YORK • OXFORD

© IFIP, 1984

*All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the copyright owner.*

ISBN: 0 444 86827 5

*Published by:*

ELSEVIER SCIENCE PUBLISHERS B.V.

P.O. Box 1991

1000 BZ Amsterdam

The Netherlands

*Sole distributors for the U.S.A. and Canada:*

ELSEVIER SCIENCE PUBLISHING COMPANY, INC.

52 Vanderbilt Avenue

New York, N.Y. 10017

U.S.A.

**Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data**

IFIP WG 5.7 Working Conference on Advances in Production  
Management Systems (1982 : Bordeaux, France)  
Advances in production management systems.

1. Production management--Data processing--Congresses.  
I. Doumeingts, Guy. II. Carter, William A., 1938-  
III. International Federation for Information Processing.  
TS155.A1I42 1982 658.5 83-20680  
ISBN 0-444-86827-5

PRINTED IN THE NETHERLANDS

# ADVANCES IN PRODUCTION MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

Production Management Systems  
in the Eighties

IFIP WG 5.7 Working Conference on  
Advances in Production Management Systems – APMS '82"  
Bordeaux, France, 24-27 August, 1982

organized by

GRAI: Laboratoire d'Automatique de  
l'Université de Bordeaux 1

ADETAA: Association pour le DEveloppement des  
Techniques d'Automatisation en Aquitaine

sponsored by

IFIP Working Group 5.7

Automation of Production and Planning Control  
International Federation for Information Processing (IFIP)

AFCET: Association Française de Cybernétique  
Economique et Technique

Laboratoire GRAI – Université de Bordeaux 1

with the financial support of

ADI: Agence de l'Informatique

CNRS: Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique

Conseil Général de la Gironde

Conseil Régional d'Aquitaine

Ministère de la Recherche et de la Technologie



NORTH-HOLLAND – AMSTERDAM • NEW YORK • OXFORD

## FOREWORD

Production Management is certainly one of the oldest functions in Production but also one of the most complex because of the integration of various aspects : technical, economic and social.

Nevertheless the new economic context compels companies to computerize Production Management. According to this new situation, researches and new developments in the field of Production Management become necessary with a strong link between academic and practical sides.

In 1978, the Working Group 5.7 "Automation of Production Planning and Control" was created in IFIP (International Federation for Information Processing). The professional origin of the members of the W.G. 5.7 is a good illustration of the previous principle.

The first activity organized by the W.G. 5.7 was a workshop in Trondheim in September 1980, chaired by the chairman of the W.G.5.7 : A. Rolstadas.

The conference APMS 82 "Advances in Production Management Systems" which is the support of this book, was the second activity organized by the W.G. 5.7 and the first international conference. The conference was sponsored by IFIP, AFCET (Association Française pour la Cybernétique Economique et Technique), by the GRAI Laboratory of the University of Bordeaux 1 and by a local non profit organization, ADETAA (Association pour le DEveloppement des Techniques d'Automatisation en Aquitaine). This conference attracted 280 participants from 24 countries.

This book includes the papers presented during the conference and the discussions which have followed every presentation.

These papers may be classified into four themes :

- Design of control systems : the main presentations deal with :
  - . design methods,
  - . analysis of decisions and connection between decisions in the main functions of the plant (inventory control, scheduling realtime monitoring...),
  - . influence of social environment,
- Design of information systems :
  - . design methods and conceptual models,
  - . data acquisition in production,
  - . how to improve the man/machine dialog ?
- Implementation of automated production control systems, examples of applications in small and medium size plants, in the automotive industry,

- Flexible manufacturing systems in a very broad field, the main subjects were :

- . use of simulation techniques in the design of manufacturing systems,
- . how to control such FMS
- . examples of implementation.

In addition to these topics the book includes the state of the art in production of management presented from various points of view : K. TAKEDA from Japan, A. ROLSTADAS from Norway, J.R. KING from England, E. HAUTZ from Germany and G. DOUMEINGTS from France.

Now the W.G. 5.7 is well established and the work done, certainly, will favour the development of Production Management particularly in developing research, improving the relation between research and industry and in spreading the techniques. Therefore, every year, the W.G. 5.7 organizes workshops (in 1983 in Vienna (A) and in 1984 in Copenhagen (DK), usually in September) and the next APMS conference will be held in Budapest (Hungary) in 1985. This event will be a good opportunity to measure the progress made in the field of Production Management Systems.

W.A. CARTER  
G. DOUMEINGTS

## INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMME COMMITTEE

S. AUGUSTIN (Siemens A.G.) (D)  
A. BUCHEL (Technische Hochschule Zürich) (CH)  
W.A. CARTER (Senior Vice-President and General Manager CAM-I) (GB)  
G. DOUMEINGTS (Université de Bordeaux 1) (F), Chairman of "APMS 82"  
S. EILON (Imperial College of Science and Technology) (GB)  
P. FALSTER (Technical University) (DK), Vice-Chairman IFIP WG 5.7  
I. HAM (Pennsylvania State University) (U.S.A.)  
H.C. HOFER (Hilti AG) (FL)  
H. HUBNER (Institute for Advanced Studies Vienna) (A)  
D. LEVY (Cisi) (F)  
R.B. MAZUMDER (Brown Boveri & Cie) (CH)  
E.P. MOE (Profo) (N)  
M. NAPIERALA (University of Wroclaw) (PL)  
N. OKINO (Hokkaido University) (J)  
A. ROLSTADAS (NTH-Sintef) (N), Chairman IFIP WG 5.7  
N.W. SMITH (Westinghouse Electric Corporation) (U.S.A.)  
B. SVARDSON (Habberstad A/B) (S)  
E. SZELKE (Hungarian Academy of Sciences) (H)  
K. TAKEDA (Production Engineering Research Lab. Hitachi) (J)  
P. TIMM (LK-NES) (DK)  
P. URONEN (University of Oulu) (SF)  
H. WILDEMAN (Indust. Betriebswirtschaftslehre zu Köln) (D)  
H. YOSHIKAWA (University of Tokyo) (J)



## INTERNATIONAL SPONSORING COMMITTEE

A. DANZIN (AFCET, Paris) (F)  
 G. GIRALT (Laboratoire d'Automatique et d'Analyse des Systèmes) (F)  
 J. HATVANY (Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest) (H)  
 E. HAUTZ (Siemens AG, München) (D)  
 G.K. HUTCHINSON (University of Wisconsin) (USA)  
 J.R. DE JONG (Utrecht) (NL)  
 D. KOCHAN (Technische Universität Dresden) (D) Chairman IFIP WG 5.3  
 J. LAGASSE (Direction scientifique et Technique, R.N.U.R.) (F)  
 J.L. LE MOIGNE (Grasce, Université d'Aix-marseille III) (F)  
 H.L. MARTAN (Wroclaw Technical University) (PL)  
 J. MELESE (Paris) (F)  
 G. PERSSON (Norwegian School of Management, Oslo) (N)  
 L. PUN (Laboratoire GRAI, Université Bordeaux 1) (F)  
 B. ROY (LAMSADE, Université Paris-Dauphine) (F)  
 P. VIDAL (Université des Sciences et Techniques, Lille 1) (F)  
 J. VLIETSTRA (Philips Telecom. Industry) (NL), Chairman IFIP TC 5  
 H.J. WARNECKE (Inst. Indust. Fertigung Fabrikbetrieb, Stuttgart) (D)  
 T.J. WILLIAMS (Lab. for applied industrial control, Purdue) (USA)

---

## NATIONAL ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

D. BREUIL (GRAI - Université de Bordeaux 1), Chairman.  
 C. BREUIL (ADETAA)  
 E. FAYOLLAS (AFCET)  
 C. GOUDY (ADETAA)  
 J. GRISLAIN (GRAI - Université de Bordeaux 1)  
 J. LOQUET (Président de l'ADETAA)  
 D. MAGOT (Animateur Informatique Régional)  
 D. ODIN (ADETAA)  
 J.P. ROBIN (Bordeaux-Congrès)  
 A. SICARD (Bordeaux-Congrès)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Foreword	v
Committees	vii
<u>OPENING SESSION:</u> State of the art on advances in production management systems	
G. DOUMEINGTS - France and U.S.A.	1
E. HAUTZ - Germany	21
J.R. KING - England	31
A. ROLSTADAS - Norway	37
K. TAKEDA - Japan	41
Discussion	49
<u>SESSION 1:</u> General concepts in production management systems Chairman - A. Büchel	
Strategy oriented production management H. HUEBNER and H. HOEFER	51
Discussion	73
How to select a standard software package for production/inventory control J.C. WORTMANN	75
Discussion	87
<u>SESSION 2:</u> Real time monitoring Chairman - B. Espinasse	
A monitoring and control system in a paper mill R. SALO, K. LEIVISKA and E. JUTILA	91
Discussion	101
A decentralized control method for on-line shop floor expediting systems K. TAKEDA, S. SHIMOYASHIRO, N. NAKAMURA and S. MOROI	103
Discussion	113
<u>SESSION 3:</u> Design of management production systems Chairman - H. Höfer	
A human-computer interactive evaluation method in variety production systems I. INOUE and M. MANAGAKI	115
Discussion	125

Use of GRAI method to analyse and design production management system	
H. MALOUBIER, D. BREUIL, G. DOUMEINGTS and J. GAVARD	127
Discussion	141
 <u>SESSION 4:</u> Applications	
Chairman - J. Lagasse	
An advanced MPS application	
T. HESKJE and A. CLAY	143
Discussion	155
Management of skills in a complex production control system	
J.P. LAMBERT	157
Discussion	171
 <u>SESSION 5:</u> Theory and optimization	
Chairman - J.R. King	
Computerized inventory control with tables of decision	
R. CANONNE, J.L. DAMRET, S & J.P. REMEZY and D. ROLLINAT	173
Discussion	181
A general approach to linear-quadratic production planning problems	
P.J. O'GRADY and M.C. BONNEY	183
Discussion	191
 <u>SESSION 6:</u> Graphical model	
Chairman - W.A. Carter	
Graphic modellings by Petri nets for the production planning	
G.R. OH, J. FAVREL and J.-P. CAMPAGNE	193
Discussion	203
Grafcet synthetised computer system and procedure description in F.M.S.	
B. GAGI and P. LADET	205
Discussion	215
 <u>SESSION 7:</u> Applications	
Chairman - G. Persson	
Monitored production of car wire bundles in Citroen's assembly plant at Rennes	
J.-J. TOURNIER and C. MORRISON	217
Discussion	225
Application of the Kanban-system in German companies	
H. WILDEMAN	227
Discussion	237

SESSION 8: Computer aided decision  
Chairman - J. Hatvany

An application of a parallel systems planning language in decision support-production scheduling K. SZENES	241
Discussion	251
Information aggregation in production management J. GRISLAIN, L. PUN and C. BERARD	253
Discussion	261

SESSION 9: General concepts in production management systems  
Chairman - A. Rolstadas

Reflections over the computer aided management of production processes L. MARTAN	265
Discussion	269
A systematic approach to the design of production planning and control systems A. BÜCHEL	271
Discussion	289

SESSION 10: Design of management production systems  
Chairman - H.C. Höfer

Controllability engineering E. ELORANTA and R. SULONEN	293
Discussion	303

SESSION 11: Information and aggregation  
Chairman - P. Falster

The use of computer color graphics for information aggregation R. LORVIK	305
Discussion	321
Integrated production control systems in Wakayama steel works (WODAS) K. AZUMI, M. ISONO, R. SATO and K. INOUE	323
Discussion	335

SESSION 12: Control of management production systems  
Chairman - K. Takeda

Interactive production planning and control A.-W. SCHEER	337
Discussion	349
An adaptive system of production control E. SZELKE, J. NAGY and M. MESZAROS	353
Discussion	361

SESSION 13: Scheduling

Chairman - E. Szelke

A combined approach to due-date scheduling E.T.C. CHENG	363
Discussion	375
Digital stochastic simulation - A method for planning and optimizing the availability of manufacturing systems R. SEIDEL	377
Discussion	387
Computer-aided solving of complex plannings with no anteriority constraints M. SCHNEIDER and D. PAYS	389
Discussion	399

SESSION 14: Flexible production

Chairman - L. Pun

Periodic loading of flexible manufacturing systems J. ERSCHLER, D. LEVEQUE and F. ROUBELLAT	401
Discussion	415
Abstraction tools for the logical specification of an information system for a flexible workshop J. LONCHAMP, J.F. DUFOURD and M. CREHANGE	419
Discussion	429

SESSION 15: Theory and optimization

Chairman - H. Hübner

Production planning in a deterministic environment: Concave and convex costs - planning horizon A. BENSOUSSAN and J.M. PROTH	433
Discussion	445
The economic lot size in multistage production systems - Common raw materials to several products J.P. GERVOIS and J. MIGNOT	447
Discussion	457

SESSION 16: Flexible production

Chairman - G.K. Hutchinson

Production control for flexible production systems J.L. BURBIDGE	459
Discussion	471
Simulation of a flexible manufacturing system: Case study of the Citroen factory, Meudon Y. DALLERY, B. DESCOTES-GENON and R. BONETTO	473
Discussion	485

A flexible production planning system in an integrated pulp and paper mill H. KOMOKALLIO, M. SANAKSENAHO and M. KIURU	487
Discussion	499
 <u>CLOSING SESSION:</u> Case studies Chairman - H. Wildemann	
Computers - A drug for PM-problems? Planning and realizing the information layout S. AUGUSTIN and R. GUENDLING	501
Discussion	511
Real time production control in the automotive industry P. REMY and M. SORIEL	513
Discussion	517
ROUND TABLE	519

## STATE OF THE ART IN PRODUCTION MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

### IN FRANCE AND U.S.A.

Guy DOUMEINGTS  
GRAI Laboratory  
University of Bordeaux 1  
FRANCE

Production Management Systems (P.M.S.) is the function which links the production with the management of the firm and coordinates the various manufacturing functions : process planning, assembly process, robotics, numerical control machine tools, quality control, etc...

The computerization of P.M.S. is recent because of the complexity of this function, which has various integrated aspects : technical, economic and social.

In this state of the art we will present first the realizations of Production Management Systems in France and U.S.A., then their lack of efficiency and finally the trends of the coming years.

### 1 - STATE OF THE ART IN PRODUCTION MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

We focus our state of the art more on P.M.S. used in USA and France. As our Laboratory is an educational member of C.A.M.-I (Computer Aided Manufacturing-International) and a member of CAM-I's Factory Management Project and works in research, closely with industry, we may be able to draw on the state of the art on P.M.S.'s both in U.S.A. and France.

Figure 1 shows an overview of what Production Management System must be. At the top level we find Master Production Scheduling. This function acts as an interface between commercial forecasting and manufacturing function. Usually decisions are made for a long term horizon according to the orders horizon (one year).

The objectives of the function are to balance production capacities and customer orders (or forecast). We consider that there is an upper level (we call company level on a longer horizon : three or five years) where the investments of the manufacturing systems are defined.

To process the master production scheduling we need several types of information :

- orders information : customer orders or forecast orders,
- strategical information : given by the management of the firm, which allows us to determine the policies for inventory, subcontractors, etc... related to the investment of the firm,
- work centers : it is important to determine the main work centers of the manufacturing system, particularly the bottle neck work center, to study their load,

- Parts list : which describes the products and the components,
- aggregated routes : in agreement with the work centers defined previously, we determine the load and the lead time for each product,
- aggregated bill of material : to link the orders (forecast), finished goods and eventually the critical purchasing components,
- state of production : an aggregated state of production issued from the work-in-process recording allows reaction when the situation is not correct.

From this master production scheduling information, the Production Planning is completed which gives the load of each work center, the list of critical purchases etc... With this results the production manager is able to take decisions about inventory level, manufacturing activities...

The master production scheduling must be integrated with a simulation tool which must be designed according to the needs of the top level managers. The main requirement of this level is to work aggregated data, which is not the case for most of P.M.S.'s.

The second level is requirement planning. From the bill of material and from the orders (what is to be delivered) the system computes the gross requirements.

The horizon of this level is smaller than the previous level : it must be in agreement with the manufacturing cycle, so we get more precise and detailed information : we know the orders with more precision.

From the gross requirements and from information coming from work shop level (inventory, purchased articles, work-in-process) we compute the net requirements planning which gives the components to purchase and the components to manufacture. This function is usually called : Material Requirements Planning (M.R.P.).

The results of these computations will be used by the purchasing function and capacity planning for which decisions are made on a medium term horizon.

Then at the bottom of P.M.S. we find scheduling functions and manufacturing orders dispatching functions.

To complete the system, we have a data acquisition system which feeds the information system with work-in-process. All the filters are the interfaces between information and P.M.S. to adapt the data at the decision level.

What is the situation now about the use of such system by manufacturers ? More important, what is the degree of satisfaction of the users ?

The information has two origins and the conclusions are quite similar. The first is our contracts with industrial firms or software houses. The second is a study made in the United States by A.P.I.C.S. (American Production Inventory Control Society).



This study has been made with the collaboration of the University of Minnesota and involved 1700 societies, located in an industrialized area : Center, North and East of U.S.A. Out of 1700 companies, 679 answered and 64 % of them used computer for production control. The majority of answers issued from companies in which the type was discrete manufacturing.

The figure 2 gives interesting information about the level of automation function by function, and indicates whether the company uses or not M.R.P. (Material Requirement Planning).

We can deduce some conclusions from this table :

- MRP function improve the computerization of other functions,
- Computers are used mainly for bill of material control, inventory control, requirements, which obviously are the easiest functions to be computerized.
- The less computerized functions are : work-in-process control, work order scheduling, capacity requirement planning, order forecasting.

On Fig. 1 we have cross-hatched the field of the most computerized functions. When considering the French companies, we get the same results.

So our conclusions are that the higher level and the lower level of the P.M.S. are certainly less computerized : we note that the basic functions of those level are connected with planning, scheduling and data acquisition system. For planning and scheduling we note that decision making techniques prevail.

Now we can present some major deficiencies about Production Management Systems.

## 2 - DEFICIENCIES IN P.M.S.'s

We have determined five major points in P.M.S., which present serious deficiencies.

- methodology to design, to get specification and to implement P.M.S. ;
- data acquisition system at the workshop level ;
- scheduling ;
- computerized tools to make decisions at long term level functions are those in which decisions making are prevailing ;
- links with the other production functions.

### 2.1 - Methodologies to design, to get specification and to implement P.M.S.

Our experience in P.M.S.'s shows that there is a great lack in methodology in designing and in determining the specifications of the P.M.S. The main problem is to get the real structure of P.M.S., i.e. the links between all functions. The difficulties are the following :

- the complexity of the system with its various aspects : technical, economical and social,