

8202075

TREATMENT OF CANCER AND ALLIED DISEASES

- SECOND EDITION

VOLUME VI-

R73,0.5

E601.2

Tumors of the Female Genitalia

- BY TWENTY-SEVEN AUTHORS

Edited by GEORGE T. PACK, M.D., F.A.C.S.

and IRVING M. ARIEL, M.D., F.A.C.S.



With 272 Illustrations, 10 in full color



PITMAN MEDICAL PUBLISHING CO. LTD.

TREATMENT OF CANCER AND ALLIED DISEASES

Second Edition

Volume VI: Tumors of the Female Genitalia

Copyright @ 1940, 1962 by Harper & Brothers

Printed in the United States of America

All rights reserved. For information address HOEBER MEDICAL DIVISION—HARPER & BROTHERS 49 East 33rd Street, New York, N.Y.

F-M

Published in Great Britain by PITMAN MEDICAL PUBLISHING CO. LTD. 46 Charlotte Street, London, W. 1

TREATMENT OF CANCER AND ALLIED DISEASES

-SECOND EDITION

- 1: PRINCIPLES OF TREATMENT
- II: TUMORS OF THE NERVOUS SYSTEM
- III: TUMORS OF THE HEAD AND NECK
- IV: TUMORS OF THE BREAST, CHEST, AND ESOPHAGUS
- v: Tumors of the Gastrointestinal Tract, Pancreas, Biliary System, and Liver
- VI: TUMORS OF THE FEMALE GENITALIA
- VII: TUMORS OF THE MALE GENITALIA AND THE URINARY SYSTEM
- VIII: TUMORS OF THE SOFT SOMATIC TISSUES AND BONE
 - IX: LYMPHOMAS AND RELATED DISEASES
 - x: Tumors of the Skin

Dedicated to the late

GÖSTA FORSSELL

a pioneer in cancer research and an early and important contributor to the scientific application of irradiation in the treatment of cancer

and to

MAUD WILCOX

who dedicated her energies and time over a long and productive lifetime to the advancement of cancer education and research

此为试读,需要完整PDF请访问: www.ertongbook.com

Science is at the same time the motivating force of society in the campaign against cancer and the eagle eye searching to discover weapons.

—Gösta Forssell

Contributing Authors

IRVING M. ARIEL, M.D., F.A.C.S.

Associate Clinical Professor of Surgery, Attending Surgeon, and Chief of the Neoplastic Service, Department of Surgery, New York Medical College, Flower and Fifth Avenue Hospitals; Attending Surgeon, Hospital for Joint Diseases; Surgeon, Pack Medical Group, New York, New York.

JULIETTE BAUD, M.D.

Physician, Curie Foundation, Paris,
France.

ELEANOR DELFS, M.D.

Associate Professor of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine and Hospital, Baltimore, Maryland.

PAUL J. L. DESAIVE, M.D.

Professor at the University of Liège; Director, Centre Anticancéreux de l'Université de Liège, Liège, Belgium.

ROBERT E. FRICKE, M.D., F.A.C.R.

Emeritus Associate Professor of Radiology, University of Minnesota Graduate School of Medicine; Emeritus Consultant in Radiology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota.

CHESTER J. GAJEWSKI, M.D., F.A.C.S.

Clinical Associate Professor of Ob-

stetrics and Gynecology, Stritch School of Medicine of Loyola University, Chicago; Senior Attending Staff, Obstetrics and Gynecology, Oak Park Hospital, Oak Park; Consultant Gynecologist, Hines Veterans Administration Hospital, Hines, Illinois.

JOHN B. GRAHAM, M.D., F.A.C.S.

Chief of Gynecology, Roswell Park

Memorial Institute, Buffalo, New

York.

S. Leon Israel, m.d., f.a.c.s.

Professor of Gynecology and Obstetrics, University of Pennsylvania Schools of Medicine; Chairman, Department of Gynecology-Obstetrics, Graduate School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania; Director, Division of Women, Pennsylvania Hospital, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

ROBERT A. KIMBROUGH, JR., M.D., F.A.C.S., F.A.C.O.G.

Medical Director of The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, Chicago, Illinois; former Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Pennsylvania Schools of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. HANS L. KOTTMEIER, M.D., F.A.C.S.

Chief of Department of Gynecology,
Radiumhemmet, Karolinska Sjukhuset, Stockholm, Sweden.

JOHN L. McKelvey, M.D., C.M.

Professor and Head of Department of
Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Minnesota Medical School,
Minneapolis, Minnesota.

JOE VINCENT MEIGS, M.D., F.A.C.S.

Emeritus Clinical Professor of Gynecology, Harvard Medical School; Consulting Visiting Surgeon, Massachusetts General Hospital; Consulting Visiting Gynecologist, Vincent Memorial Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts.

Daniel G. Morton, M.D., F.A.C.O.G.

Professor and Chairman, Department
of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of California School of Medicine, Los Angeles; Obstetrician and
Gynecologist in Chief, University of
California, Los Angeles Hospital, Los
Angeles, California.

EQUINN W. MUNNELL, M.D., F.A.C.S., F.A.C.O.G.

Associate Professor of Clinical Obstetrics and Gynecology, and Associate Attending Obstetrician and Gynecologist, Columbia-Presbyterian Medical Center, New York, New York.

JAMES F. NOLAN, M.D., F.A.C.S.

Associate Clinical Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of California School of Medicine, Los Angeles; Gynecologist, Los Angeles Tumor Institute, Los Angeles, California.

GEORGE T. PACK, M.D., F.A.C.S.

Associate Professor of Clinical Surgery, Cornell University Medical College; Attending Surgeon, Memorial

Center for Cancer and Allied Diseases; Surgeon, Pack Medical Group, New York, New York.

Langdon Parsons, M.D., F.A.C.S.

Professor of Gynecology, Boston University School of Medicine; Chief, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Massachusetts Memorial Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts.

*Herbert E. Schmitz, M.D., F.A.C.S.

Professor and Chairman, Department
of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Stritch
School of Medicine of Loyola University; Chairman of Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Mercy Hosvital, Chicago, Illinois.

Sidney M. Silverstone, M.D., F.A.C.R.
Attending Radiotherapist, Mount Sinai
Hospital, New York, New York.

Frank R. Smith, M.D., F.A.C.S., F.A.C.O.G.

Professor of Clinical Obstetrics and
Gynecology, Cornell University Medical College; Director of Gynecological
Service, Roosevelt Hospital; Attending Gynecologist, Lying-In Hospital;
Associate Attending Gynecologist,
Memorial Center for Cancer and Allied Diseases, New York, New York.

HOWARD C. TAYLOR, JR., M.D., F.A.C.S., F.A.C.O.G.

Professor and Chairman, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, and Director of the Obstetrical and Gynecological Service, Columbia-Presbyterian Medical Center, New York, New York.

Herbert F. Traut, M.D., F.A.C.S.

Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of California School of Medicine, San Francisco, California.

* Deceased

Contributing Authors

SALVADOR S. TRINIDAD, M.D.

Pathologist, Doctors Hospital, New
York, New York.

GRAY H. TWOMBLY, M.D.

Professor of Gynecology, New York

University Medical Center, New York,

New York.

THOMAS A. WATSON, M.B., CH.B., D.M.R. Director, Saskatoon Cancer Clinic and Director of Cancer Services for Saskatchewan; Professor of Therapeutic Radiology, University of Saskatchewan, and Head of Department of

Therapeutic Radiology, University Hospital, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan.

KARL M. WILSON, M.D., F.A.C.S.

Emeritus Professor of Obstetrics and
Gynecology, University of Rochester
School of Medicine and Dentistry and
Strong Memorial Hospital, Rochester,
New York.

J. DONALD WOODRUFF, M.D.

Associate Professor of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine and Hospital; Vice-Chief of Staff, The Hospital for Women, Baltimore, Maryland.

Preface

This volume describes and critically evaluates all methods currently employed in the treatment of neoplasms arising from the female genital tract. Each therapeutic method—surgical, radiologic, chemical, or hormonal—is considered as to technic, accomplishments, and complications.

Neoplasms of the female genital system have served as the proving ground for testing new methods of treating cancer. The surgical attack upon intra-abdominal organs began with an ovariectomy for a cyst of the ovary (Ephraim McDowell, 1809). Some of the first attempts to utilize x-rays and radium (Zowers, 1906) were for cancer of the uterus. Since these pioneer efforts, each new development in surgical practice and in the science of radiotherapy has been applied to the treatment of tumors of the female genitalia. There has developed a healthy competition between surgeons and radiotherapists as to the relative efficacy of each of these sciences in curing gynecologic cancers. This competition reflects attempts by all interested in treating this group of neoplasms to improve their methodology, striving ever for an increased number of cured patients.

The surgical era in the treatment of gynecologic cancer received its impetus in 1898 when Ernst Wertheim began his series of radical hysterectomies for cancer of the cervix, an operation developed experimentally by Ries of Chicago in 1895 and performed clinically, in the same year, by J. G. Clark of the Johns Hopkins Hospital and by Howard A. Kelly of Baltimore and E. T. Thring of Sydney. Radical hysterectomy was gradually extended to include resection of the inguinal and iliac lymph nodes (Taussig, 1930; Nathanson, 1932) in selected instances.

With the development of improved radiation equipment and refinements of technic, this modality enjoyed increasing popularity in the treatment of gynecologic cancer and was employed extensively during the 1920's and 1930's. Technics of intracavitary radium irradiation of cervical cancer were devised by the Stockholm group, who applied an intensive course of radium at weekly intervals, and, simultaneously, by the group at the Curie Radium Institute in Paris, who utilized a continuous, low intensity radium source. In the United States, high voltage x-radiation and the vaginal radium applicator were extensively used by William P. Healy. Dosimetry was improved, and in 1938 the Tod and Meredith Manchester dosage system was devised in order to obtain more uniformity for radium distribution.

Improvement in surgical technic then led to a resurgence of the surgical attack on these neoplasms which has continued to the present. Pelvic exenteration for cervical cancer was first performed by Brunschwig in 1946 and by Parsons in 1947. The present ability of surgeons to remove practically any pelvic organ and to construct artificial conduits for elimination demands careful evaluation to determine which patients are suitable candidates for these extensive procedures.

Paralleling this renascence of interest in the surgical treatment of gynecologic cancers there

has been continued progress in the development of radiation apparatus, dosimetry, and the clinical application of radiation to these cancers: rotation therapy, supervoltage x-ray therapy (1932), the betatron (Kerst, 1941), the cyclotron (Lawrence, 1932), with the associated radioactive isotopes, the proton beam, the neutron beam, and the deuteron beam. At present, both surgery and radiation therapy are extensively utilized, either singly or in combination, in the treatment of cancer of the female genital tract.

It has been the Editors' aim to present the current status of each therapeutic modality employed in the treatment of gynecologic cancer. Included are a chapter on high energy irradiation of pelvic cancers and separate chapters on the surgical and radiation treatment of neoplass a arising from each organ of

the female genital system.

The chapters on vulvar cancer indicate why this neoplasm responds poorly to irradiation and describe the technics and accomplishments of radical vulvectomy and bilateral groin dissection, an operation based on the routes of spread of this neoplasm as originally shown by Basset in 1912.

Vaginal cancer—considered by Way to be the most difficult gynecologic cancer to treat successfully and concerning which Taussig in 1935 stated, "We acknowledge our total inability to do anything effective for primary cancer of the vagina"—is now responding to modern methods of treatment. The radiation treatment of this cancer is critically evaluated; and the more radical surgical procedures (e.g., radical hysterectomy and vaginectomy), which are curing a significant number of vaginal cancers, are described and illustrated.

Similarly, the volume includes a critical analysis and detailed descriptions of all technics (surgical and radiologic) utilized in the treatment of cancer of the uterine cervix, corpus uteri, fallopian tubes, and ovaries.

Additional chapters are devoted to such problems as precancerous lesions of the vulva; the treatment of myomas of the uterus as they pertain to uterine sarcoma; the treatment of endometriosis; hydatidiform mole and choriocarcinoma; sarcoma of the uterus; and the treatment of neoplasms of the female genital organs when complicated by pregnancy.

The female genital system continues to be the testing field for the clinical application of new methods of cancer control. One gynecologic neoplasm, choriocarcinoma, is the only cancer which, at this writing, has apparently been cured by an anticancer drug (Methotrexate). Similarly, the detection and treatment of early cervical cancer, the carcinoma-in-situ, represents a dramatic advance in the control of a frequent form of cancer in women. The roles of such relatively new disciplines as hormonal therapy, chemotherapy, and radioisotopic therapy in the management of gynecologic cancer are discussed. The indications, technics, accomplishments, and complications of radical exenteration of extensive pelvic cancer, and the treatment of pelvic radiation reactions following the irradiation of uterine neoplasms are considered at length.

The treatment of cancer of the female genitalia is in a state of dynamic development, and every effort has been made to present in this volume all aspects of the present-day therapy of these common neoplasms. Great strides have been made in this field of oncology. Many patients are being cured; but despite these successes, approximately thirty thousand women will die in the coming year in the United States from cancer arising in organs of the female genital system. It is the hope of the Editors and each of the contributing authors that the procedures described in this volume, which have as their aim the earlier diagnosis of gynecologic cancer and improved treatment by means of precision irradiation and well-planned, often radical surgery, may contribute to a precipitous decline in the mortality from these neoplasms.

> G. T. P. I. M. A.

New York

Acknowledgments

The Editors acknowledge, with pleasure and appreciation, their indebtedness to those who have made this book possible:

The contributing authors, each an authority on the particular topic assigned him, for their generous sharing of their time and extensive experience with the treatment of gynecologic cancer.

The medical artists and photographers whose excellent illustrations contribute significantly to the clarity and practical value of the textual presentation.

The many authors, medical journals, and publishers who have graciously permitted the use of graphic and statistical material previously published. Specific acknowledgments are given in legends and text.

Miss Ebba M. Rogstad, our editorial associate, for critically reviewing the manuscripts, reading the proofs, and indexing the volume.

Miss Mildred P. Ashley, our research assistant, for her competent assembling of statistical and referential data.

The Paul D. Perutz Fund and Mr. Julius Shure; the late Mr. Adolf Leon; the Pack Medical Foundation, Inc., Mrs. Maud Wilcox, and the American Cancer Society, Inc. for grants-in-aid.

Mr. Paul B. Hoeber and his able associates, Mrs. Eunice C. Stevens and Miss Claire E. Drullard, for their continued interest and valued guidance in the problems of publication.

TREATMENT OF CANCER AND ALLIED DISEASES

Volume VI: Tumors of the Female Genitalia

Contents

	Contributing Authors	ix				
	Preface	xiii				
	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	XV				
	Introduction: Neoplasms of the Female Genital System: An Appraisal Daniel G. Morton	1				
1.	CHEMOTHERAPEUTIC AND HORMONAL CONTROL OF NEOPLASMS OF THE FEMALE GENITAL SYSTEM Paul J. L. Desaive					
2.	HIGH ENERGY RADIATION TREATMENT OF CANCER OF THE FEMALE GENITALIA Thomas A. Watson	40				
3.	PRECANCEROUS LESIONS OF THE VULVA J. Donald Woodruff	53				
4.	MALIGNANT TUMORS OF THE VULVA John L. McKelvey	69				
5.	RADIATION THERAPY OF CANCER OF THE VULVA Juliette Baud	91				
6.	TREATMENT OF CARCINOMA OF THE VAGINA Frank R. Smith	101				
7.	MALIGNANT MELANOMA OF THE VAGINA Irving M. Ariel and Salvador S. Trinidad	119				
8.	RADIATION TREATMENT OF CARCINOMA OF THE UTERINE CERVIX James F. Nolan	125				
9.	USE OF RADIOACTIVE COLLOIDAL GOLD IN THE TREATMENT OF CANCER OF THE UTERINE CERVIX Hans L. Kottmeier	155				
0.	SURGICAL TREATMENT OF CANCER OF THE UTERINE CERVIX Joe Vincent Meigs	159				
1.	RADIATION THERAPY OF CANCER OF THE CORPUS UTERI Sidney M. Silverstone	186				

viii	C	ontents				
12.	Surgical Treatment of Carcinoma of the Corpus Uteri $\ensuremath{\mathit{John}}$ B. $\ensuremath{\mathit{Graham}}$	214				
13A.	Treatment of Myoma of the Uterus Herbert E. Schmitz and Chester J. Gajewski	225				
13B.	SARCOMA OF THE UTERUS Irving M. Ariel and George T. Pack	241				
14.	TREATMENT OF TUMORS OF THE OVARY Howard C. Taylor, Jr. and Equinn W. Munnell	254				
15.	Treatment of Primary Neoplasms of the Fallopian Tube Karl M. Wilson					
16.	Treatment of Endometriosis Herbert F. Traut	271				
17.	Treatment of Hydatidiform Mole and Chorioepithelioma $\it Eleanor\ \it Delfs$					
18.	Exenteration of the Pelvic Organs for Extensive Cancer of the Female Genital Tract Langdon Parsons	291				
19.	TREATMENT OF CARCINOMA OF THE FEMALE URETHRA Robert E. Fricke					
20.	TREATMENT OF PELVIC RADIATION REACTIONS FOLLOWING IRRADIATION OF UTERINE CANCER Gray H. Twombly	318				
21.	TREATMENT OF NEOPLASMS OF THE FEMALE GENITAL ORGANS COMPLICATED BY PREGNANCY					
	Robert A. Kimbrough, Jr. and S. Leon Israel	330				
	BIBLIOGRAPHIES	343				
	INDEX	371				

INTRODUCTION

Neoplasms of the Female Genital System: An Appraisal

Daniel G. Morton

INCIDENCE

Cancer affecting the female genital organs constitutes one of the principal causes of death in women and thus is a subject of great importance. In 1962, approximately 30,000 women in the United States will die because of it. Actually, the number of deaths from this cause per 100,000 women in the population has declined significantly during the last fifteen to twenty years, principally because of earlier diagnoses of cervical cancer, the most frequent cancer of the female pelvic organs. While cancer of the lung has been increasing shockingly as a killer, cancer of the cervix has been decreasing significantly. Public Health reports reveal that between 1940 and 1954, deaths from uterine cancer decreased in New York State 36 per cent and in California 41 per cent, to rates of 19.1 and 14.8 per 100,000, respectively. These rates are somewhat lower than those for cancer of the breast and for eancer of the digestive organs, but still are of great moment. The high death rate in women from pelvic cancer is particularly tragic because it is so often needless; many of the deaths could be avoided by carrying out simple diagnostic measures currently available. Much has been accomplished in this respect in the last two decades, and it seems likely that progress will continue.

ETIOLOGIC CONSIDERATIONS

The specific causes of pelvic cancers remain obscure although a great deal has been learned regarding predisposing conditions and etiologic factors.

VIRUSES AS CARCINOGENIC AGENTS

The suspicion that one of the potent carcinogenic agents is viral in nature has gained ground steadily in recent years, although there is no definite evidence to substantiate this belief in the human at the present time. Indeed, there are many similarities between cancer and infection, particularly with respect to the manner in which the body reacts to these two harmful stimuli. However, it seems highly probable that there is no specific relation between ordinary bacterial infection and cancer. On the other hand, it is known that viruses can cause cancer in fowl, and inclusion bodies have been found on occasion in the epithelial cells of the uterine cervix of women. Speculation regarding a relationship between nucleic acid and viruses has appeared in the literature of the last few years and further research should soon reveal whether the speculation is justified. Should there be such a relationship, the kind of evidence that could tie viruses and cancer together might well be forthcoming.

Additional observations bearing on a possible relationship between cancer and infection are: (1) When cancer of the cervix has been treated successfully, even though the lesion may have been a minimal one, the patient's general health frequently improves astonishingly, just as it does when a focus of infection has been removed. (2) Tumors of equal extent often react in diametrically opposite ways to the same treatment. Why? Differences in bodily resistance seem to be the most logical reason. Ruth Graham has attempted to show

that differences in bodily resistance to cancer of the cervix are reflected in the character of the normal cells shed into the vagina and the tabulations of her results are convincing (Table Introd.-1). (3) It is known that individuals sometimes remain alive and clinically well for many years with live cancer cells in their systems that then become active and the patients die of recurrence at some remote period after treatment. This, too, suggests a body resistance. Late recurrences of breast

SMEGMA

Attempts to correlate the development of cervical cancer with smegma have not been completely successful, although a relationship has long been suspected. While Jewish women have fewer cervical cancers than do non-Jewish women, in some parts of the non-Jewish world where the men have all been circumcised, as in the case of Jewish men, the women develop cancer of the cervix as frequently as do women of other groups. So,

TABLE INTROD.-1.—CANCER OF THE CERVIX: FIVE-YEAR SURVIVALS IN RELATION TO SENSITIZATION RESPONSE*

	Poor sensitization response			Good sensitization response				
	Surgical treatment		Irradiation		Surgical treatment		Irradiation	
Stage	Number	Per cent	Number	Per cent	Number	Per cent	Number	Per cent
I	49/71	69	7/24	29	8/15	53	9/14	64
II	19/28	68	9/41	22	5/15	33	22/29	76
TOTAL	68/99	69	26/65	24.6	13/30	43	31/43	72

^{*} From Ruth Graham [12], courtesy American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology.

cancer are notorious. Although late recurrences, ten or more years after treatment, of cervical cancer are possibly less common, they do occur in a not inconsiderable number.

INFLUENCE OF CELIBACY AND OF PARITY

Very striking are the findings of Gagnon and also of Janet Towne in their studies of nuns. These celibate women had a practically negligible incidence of cervical cancer although the incidence of other pelvic neoplasms, including endometrial cancer, was similar to that of the general population.

On the other hand, mere childbearing seems not to be specifically related, because the incidence is no greater in women who have borne six children than it is in those who have had one; or, indeed, who have simply been married, if we take into consideration the fact that most women have married and had children by the time they reach forty-five years, which is the age of greatest incidence for cervical cancer. What is the explanation? Does coitus initiate an infection that sometimes has dire results? There is, indeed, a definite correlation between cervical cancer and noncelibacy.

while smegma may play a role, it is not an exclusive one.

ENDOCRINOLOGIC RELATIONSHIP

Hormones certainly have marked effects upon the cellular growth of some tissues and have been suspected of playing a part in carcinogenesis. The production of mammary cancer in certain strains of mice by the administration of large doses of estrogens is well known. However, it is also known that this susceptibility exists only in certain strains of these animals, many other strains being virtually immune to such action. Although heredity definitely plays a role in mice, no such definite correlation has been established in humans.

So far as the female genitalia are concerned, the relationship between endometrial carcinoma and excessive estrogen production and/or long-maintained estrogenic activity is the most suggestive piece of evidence that hormones can be responsible for carcinogenesis. That there is an increased incidence of endometrial carcinoma in women with feminizing tumors of the ovary, particularly thecoma, seems to be well established. In addition, hyper-