

FACIAL FLAP SURGERY



**GLENN D.
GOLDMAN**

**LEONARD M.
DZUBOW**

**CHRISTOPHER B.
YELVERTON**



**DVD
INCLUDED**

**Mc
Graw
Hill**

Facial Flap Surgery

Editors

Glenn D. Goldman, MD

Professor and Chief of Dermatology
Fletcher Allen Health Care
University of Vermont College of Medicine
Burlington, Vermont

Leonard M. Dzubow, MD

Private Practice
Media, Pennsylvania



Christopher B. Yelverton, MD

Assistant Professor of Dermatology
Fletcher Allen Health Care
University of Vermont College of Medicine
Burlington, Vermont



Medical

New York Chicago San Francisco Lisbon London Madrid
Mexico City Milan New Delhi San Juan Seoul Singapore Sydney Toronto

Facial Flap Surgery

Copyright © 2013 by The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. All rights reserved. Printed in China. Except as permitted under the United States Copyright Act of 1976, no part of this publication may be reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 CTP/CTP 17 16 15 14 13 12

SET ISBN 978-0-07-174925-1
SET MHID 0-07-174925-X
BOOK ISBN 978-0-07-174923-7
BOOK MHID 0-07-174923-3
DVD ISBN 978-0-07-174924-4
DVD MHID 0-07-174924-1

Notice

Medicine is an ever-changing science. As new research and clinical experience broaden our knowledge, changes in treatment and drug therapy are required. The authors and the publisher of this work have checked with sources believed to be reliable in their efforts to provide information that is complete and generally in accord with the standards accepted at the time of publication. However, in view of the possibility of human error or changes in medical sciences, neither the authors nor the publisher, nor any other party who has been involved in the preparation or publication of this work, warrants that the information contained herein is in every respect accurate or complete, and they disclaim all responsibility for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from use of the information contained in this work. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources. For example and in particular, readers are advised to check the product information sheet included in the package of each drug they plan to administer to be certain that the information contained in this work is accurate and that changes have not been made in the recommended dose or in the contraindications for administration. This recommendation is of particular importance in connection with new or infrequently used drugs.

This book was set in Trade Gothic by Aptara, Inc.
The editors were Anne M. Sydor and Christie Naglieri.
The production supervisor was Catherine Saggese.
Project management was provided by Adipta Chauhan, Aptara, Inc.
The designer was Alan Barnett; the cover designer was Anthony Landi.
China Translation & Printing Services, Ltd., was the printer and binder.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Facial flap surgery / editors, Glenn Goldman, Leonard Dzubow.
p. ; cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-07-174925-1 (set : alk. paper) – ISBN 0-07-174925-X (set : alk. paper) –
ISBN 978-0-07-174923-7 (hardcover : alk. paper) – ISBN 0-07-174923-3 (hardcover :
alk. paper) – ISBN 978-0-07-174924-4 (DVD) – ISBN 0-07-174924-1 (DVD)

I. Goldman, Glenn, 1965- II. Dzubow, Leonard M.

[DNLM: 1. Face—surgery. 2. Surgery, Plastic. 3. Surgical Flaps. WE 705]
617.5'20592—dc23

2011050173

McGraw-Hill books are available at special quantity discounts to use as premiums and sales promotions, or for use in corporate training programs. To contact a representative, please e-mail us at bulksales@mcgraw-hill.com.

Facial Flap Surgery

DEDICATION

This book is dedicated to our friends and mentors in dermatologic surgery. I thank David Leffell for providing me with an outstanding residency education in dermatologic surgery and Leonard Dzubow for teaching me his artful understanding of tissue motion. The core of this text reflects his insight into the complexities of biomechanics in facial reconstruction. I thank Joel and Jonathan Cook for inspiring me with their beautiful reconstructions and for sharing their expertise and criticism throughout my career. Jonathan has provided several of the figures for this text. I am grateful to my residents and fellows, with whom it is so much easier and more enjoyable to operate. To Todd Holmes I owe special thanks. He was my second fellow, and he is now my outstanding associate. His contributions to this book are artful. My last fellow, Christopher Yelverton spent hundreds of hours carefully editing and providing voiceovers for the DVD. Videography was ably provided by my medical assistant, Leah Fox. My first technician, Elizabeth Robson spent a dozen years cutting many thousands of histology sections, arranging my schedule, and assisting me in surgery as I learned my craft. Lastly I am indebted to the many inspired surgeons who created the path for us to follow. Every time I think that I have done something new, I find that someone else has been there before. Our goal in writing this text was to analyze the accomplishments of the many who came before us, and to distill their successes and failures into a guide for aesthetic and functional reconstruction.

FOREWORD

A teacher affects eternity; he can never tell where his influence stops.

—Henry Brooks Adams

The honor of writing a foreword is usually bestowed upon wise, skilled, and qualified experts and teachers. As a perennial student of the authors of this superb work, I feel not only privileged and overjoyed but also humbled by the prospect of writing an introduction for an essential text for surgeons. Perhaps the youth of today's dermatologic surgery will take for granted yet another treatise on surgical reconstruction of the face. Yet it was not so long ago that a handful of dermatologic surgeons were pioneering their way into uncharted and at times what may have felt like unwelcome territory for their beloved specialty. We often forget that the surgical flaps we readily perform in our offices are the distilled product of years of surgical reconstructive evolution brought about by our multiple and diverse predecessors' creativity, curiosity, necessity, refinement, and courage sprinkled in with some serendipity. As readers of this text, we have the incredible good fortune to learn from two masters, an ingenious and magnificently understated teacher and his daring and creative student who pushes the reconstructive envelope further and is now teaching others.

This comprehensive text reviews the fundamental principles of surgical reconstruction and then describes the ideal use of those principles in each anatomic region of the face. Solely the work of its

authors (and unlike edited texts), this book reads more uniformly and hence its ability to guide the reader from simple to more complex reconstruction never falters. That same uniform quality is manifested in the invaluable clinical photographs that capture the full story of the reconstruction with abundant intraoperative photographs. The text is replete with the complex and challenging defects surgeons face in their daily reality and it explains, using both clarity and honesty, how to progress from "preoperative" to "postoperative" with unpretentious warnings of pitfalls for the beginner. And the authors do not shy away from critically evaluating the limitations of beautiful yet theoretical geometric principles and their use in the very tangible and practicality of a patient's face. Finally, to top it all off, a collection of narrated videos revealing step-by-step instruction provides the reader with essentially the magician's secrets and perhaps the fulfillment of a seemingly impossible wish in the form of a virtual apprenticeship.

Just like a teacher who influences eternity, these authors have compiled their experience and wisdom to influence the lives of not only us, their humble students, but also countless grateful patients.

Sumaira Z. Aasi, MD
Clinical Associate Professor of Dermatology
Director, Dermatologic and Mohs Surgery
Stanford University
Palo Alto, California

PREFACE

There are few things more gratifying than the elegant repair of a facial operative wound. To be a good surgeon requires a thorough knowledge of anatomy, a mastery of operative technique, and an appreciation of the principals of tissue motion. About 20 years ago, Dr. Dzubow published his text on biomechanics and regional application. The field of reconstructive dermatologic surgery has matured greatly over the last two decades, but successful reconstruction still requires a deep understanding of how tissue feels, how it moves, and how it can be manipulated to achieve repair of wound.

The history of reconstruction is long and fascinating. It lies beyond the scope of this text. However, as we deftly and relatively easily repair an operative wound on the nose with a bilobed flap, it is worthwhile to recognize the tremendous efforts and abilities of those who came before us. In this entire text, there is a single figure that I believe may be novel. Otherwise, someone has always been there ahead of us. Where we have been able to do so, we have tried to identify and cite the strongest references we could find for each subject.

The purpose of this book is not to provide an algorithmic approach to reconstruction. It is the worst form of practice to have a cookbook formula to reconstruction. Each operative wound is profoundly different. The same size defect in the same location on two different noses with different sizes, textures, and shapes will call for entirely different reconstructive plans. A good reconstructive surgeon assesses a wound based on host anatomy, wound configuration, the shape and nature of the surrounding facial tissues, and then, perhaps most importantly, the desires and expectations of the patient.

Patients usually do want to look normal. They do not all want to be perfect, but it is a mistake to assume that older individuals and those who may not be models (most of us) do not have a strong investment in their appearance. Too many times in my career I have seen physicians perform an expedient or “safe” repair, either out of a lack of confidence or out of the misguided feeling that as

long as the wound healed the patient would be satisfied. There is a difference between accepting a repair and being pleased with it. Having said that, some patients do not want an involved repair, and in those cases, with appropriate discussion, very basic and simple repairs are warranted.

This text is divided into 16 chapters. The first five chapters deal with the concepts of tissue motion and the intricacies of advancement, rotation, transposition, and island flaps. The sixth chapter deals with interpolated pedicle flaps. Chapters 7–15 are regional reconstruction chapters. Chapter 16 deals with complications, how to deal with them, and how to learn from them to avoid repeating the same mistakes.

We have tried in as many cases as possible to include only photos that are of the same size and exposure for preoperative, intraoperative, postoperative, and long-term follow-up views. Most common flaps and variations are shown in this text, but there are a few we have not gotten around to. Every surgeon has his favorite and least favorite flaps, so the text is inherently biased, but not all flaps are created equal, and some flaps are more equal than others. In the accompanying DVD, we have filmed and edited 27 videos that have been cropped to 2–5 minutes each, all of them accompanied by narration.

My best friend in plastic surgery, David Leitner, once told me that no one should ever create a wound he or she cannot reconstruct. I would further that the greatest joy for a dermatologic surgeon is to remove a very challenging tumor and then perform an artful reconstruction. It is a true privilege that we have, the laying on of hands, and the responsibility we accept for our patients. As the practice of medicine becomes more complex than most of us wish to accept, this challenge, this gift is something that cannot be taken away. It is worth doing with excellence.

Glenn D. Goldman, MD
Leonard M. Dzubow, MD

CONTENTS

Foreword	ix	Chapter 7: Nose	104
Preface	xi	Bioanatomy and biomechanics	104
Chapter 1: Introduction	1	Repair of the nasal bridge	108
Bioanatomy of tissue movement	2	Repair of the nasal sidewall	114
Mechanics of tissue movement	6	Repair of the nasal tip including the bilobed transposition flap	120
Chapter 2: Advancement	20	Repair of the nasal ala including the single-stage nasolabial transposition flap	134
Introduction	20	Reconstruction of full-thickness nasal wounds	147
Biomechanics of advancement	20	Chapter 8: Ear	157
Advancement flap subtypes	25	Anatomy and biomechanics	157
Chapter 3: Rotation Flaps	31	Repair of the helical rim	161
Introduction	31	Repair of the anterior surface of the ear	172
Biomechanics of rotation	31	Repair of the tragus, antitragus, and lobule	177
Rotation flap sites	35	Repair of the posterior surface of the ear	178
Chapter 4: Transposition Flaps	42	Chapter 9: Lip	184
Rhombic flap and variations	43	Bioanatomy and biomechanics	184
Banner flaps	51	Repair of the upper lip subunits	186
Bilobed transposition flaps	53	Repair of the lower lip	208
Chapter 5: Island Pedicle Flaps	62	Chapter 10: Eyelid and Periocular	222
Introduction	62	Bioanatomy and biomechanics	222
Geometry and flap dynamics	62	Lid wedge and linear repairs	223
Island flap variations	65	Transposition flaps	226
Regional application of the island pedicle flap	65	Rotation and advancement flaps	230
Chapter 6: Staged Pedicle Flaps	74	Repair of medial canthal wounds	236
Introduction and flap dynamics	74	Chapter 11: Cheek	244
Regional application	75	Bioanatomy and biomechanics	244
<i>The paramedian forehead flap</i>	75	Advancement and rotation flaps	249
<i>Cheek to nose pedicle flaps</i>	86	Transposition flaps	255
<i>Mastoid pedicle flaps to the ear</i>	93	Island pedicle flaps	259
<i>Cross-lip pedicle flaps</i>	97		

Chapter 12: Forehead	263	Chapter 15: Chin	305
Bioanatomy and biomechanics	263	Bioanatomy and biomechanics	305
Linear repairs	264	Linear repairs	305
Repair of the medial forehead	266	Advancement and rotation flaps	306
Repair of the lateral forehead	271	Transposition and island flaps	309
Eyebrow reconstruction	277	Repair of the jawline	312
 Chapter 13: Temple	282	 Chapter 16: Complications and Revisions	315
Bioanatomy and biomechanics	282	Hematoma	315
Linear repairs	283	Flap failure	318
Advancement and rotation	284	Hypertrophic scarring	328
Transposition	287	Depressed scars and their revision	328
 Chapter 14: Scalp	292	Revision of the nasolabial flap	330
Bioanatomy and biomechanics	292	Z-plasty	335
Linear repairs	294	 Index	341
Rotation flaps	294		
Transposition flaps	298		
Island flaps	300		

The mobilization of soft tissues to reconstruct cutaneous operative wounds is more than just an exercise in geometry.¹ Instead, reconstructive procedures involve the manipulation of biologic tissues with the primary purpose being an approximation of the preoperative state of “normalcy.” The mystical attainment of an invisible scar and a complete restoration of the presurgical condition is a worthwhile goal that can be closely approximated—even if perfection is unattainable. The degree to which a minimally perceived result is approached is dependent on a number of biologic factors beyond the surgeon’s control. These include the patient’s age and general health, the long-term use of certain medications, whether or not the patient smokes, and a number of uncontrollable cutaneous variables such as skin thickness, sebaceous quality, pigmentation, elasticity, actinic damage, prior surgical scarring, and individual variations in scar formation.

Many mechanical tissue parameters are amenable to manipulation. The interaction of the intrinsic biologic properties and the mechanical operations performed on tissues may be aptly described as the biomechanical aspects of wound closure.²⁻⁴ The biology of tissue is a major determinant of its ability to move. This is readily observed in the skin tension lines on the face, where closure in one direction is facile, and perpendicular closure is challenging.⁵ The response of tissue during reconstruction involves both intrinsic biologic and mechanical properties and the physics of forces and motion. Knowledge of the mechanics of reconstruction augments the surgeon’s ability to design an appropriate wound closure. The limiting biologic properties dictate the available menu of reconstructive designs available for wound closure. Concepts that seem simple and intuitive often have hidden complexity that in select instances become important in optimizing the final closure result. The goals of a successful reconstruction procedure can be arbitrarily divided along biologic and mechanical lines, and each plays an important role in a successful reconstruction.

The mechanical plan of tissue movement is designed to achieve a closure: (1) under minimal tension; (2) without distortion of critical anatomic structures and landmarks such as the lip, nasal rim, eyebrow, and hairline; (3) using skin of matching pigment and texture to the affected region; and (4) with consideration to optimal placement of scars along cosmetic unit junctions. The mechanical reconstructive design is therefore implemented in an attempt to reestablish an aesthetic and functional baseline.

The biologic considerations to tissue movement involve: (1) maintenance of the viability of mobilized tissues; (2) preservation of sensory and motor innervation; (3) appropriate mobilization of tissues to allow for wound closure; and (4) prevention of morbidity such as hematoma, infection, and dehiscence. In order to understand macrobiomechanical concepts, an anatomic model for facial surgery will first be introduced.⁶ This will be followed by a discussion of the manipulations used to modulate or decrease tension, redistribute tension vectors, and to reposition redundant tissue. Jointly, these topics are the crux of clinical biomechanics, as they pertain to successful adjacent tissue transfers.

BIOANATOMY OF TISSUE MOVEMENT

Rather than reiterating classical anatomy,^{7,8} biomechanics is better understood by introducing, for the face, a heuristic, clinically applicable model of structural organization. The three units to be introduced are fascia, vasculature, and nerve distribution. The anatomic patterns are repeatedly underscored in order to emphasize the biologic implications and their influences on tissue movement.

■ Fascia

Fascia provides the structural skeleton for the anatomic organization of vascular and neural structures.⁹⁻¹¹ The fascia of the face is nosologically divided into a deep and a superficial component¹² (Fig. 1.1). Superficial fascia is composed of a fatty subcutaneous portion and a deeper fibrous layer that appears to be derived from the interlobular septae of the fat. The fibrous component of the superficial fascia integrates and connects the various muscles of facial expression. Where there is an absence of facial musculature, the fibrous component is a thick, nonstretchable membrane. Clinically, this is observed as the galea of the scalp, as the superficial temporal fascia, and as the superficial musculoaponeurotic system (SMAS) of the cheek. In the presence of the facial musculature, the fibrous portion of the superficial fascia bifurcates to envelope the muscle (Fig. 1.2). The component that splits superficial to the muscle is typically thin and mobile, but the deep component retains the thick, inelastic quality of the fibrous fascia of the nonmuscular areas. This network of fibrous fascia interlinking and enveloping the facial musculature integrates and coordinates complex facial movements (Fig. 1.3).

The deep fascia of the face is separated from the superficial fascia by loose, relatively avascular areolar tissue. Facial deep fascia covers cartilage, bone, muscles of mastication, and visceral structures. Similar to the superficial fascia, the deep fascia is a continuous sheet. The nomenclature is altered as it involves various




Figure 1.1 The superficial fascia has two layers separated by loose areolar tissue. The superficial fascia attaches to the overlying adipose through small fibrous attachments. The deep fascia envelopes the facial musculature and parotid gland and is more densely adherent

structures, and therefore, deep fascia encompasses the perichondrium, periosteum, temporalis muscle fascia, and parotid-masseteric fascia.

Significant biomechanical consequences result from the incorporation of fascia into mobilized tissues. Mechanically, the fibrous component of the superficial fascia inhibits tissue mobility and prevents the normal elasticity of the skin from contributing to the closure process. Clinically, this situation is exemplified on the scalp and forehead. Undermining is readily accomplished in the deep avascular plane beneath the galea. However, despite extensive undermining, little tissue mobility is gained. The fascia in this area simply does not stretch.

Fascia may be used mechanically for benefit. Plication of the fibrous component of the superficial fascia can be used to relieve closure tension on the dermis. The fascia of the cheek and neck is frequently plicated in rhytidectomies and reconstructive cheek closures to minimize skin closure tensions¹³ (Fig. 1.4). Because of the attachment of the dermis to fascia through interlobular septae, skin is moved without being under tension itself. As an avascular structure with few nutritional requirements, the fibrous component of the superficial fascia is able to bare significant force without vascular compromise. Similarly, the superficial fascia can be anchored to the deeper fascia, thus preventing tension on a free margin such as the eyelid.¹⁴

Tension redistribution is also relevant when using the deep fascia in a repair. As noted earlier, deep fascia may be used as an immobilizing structure or anchor to which tissue may be fixed to prevent pull and distortion. Suspension sutures may be placed between mobilized tissue and deep fascia, especially the periosteum, to prevent tension on anatomic landmarks and moveable structures. In the malar area, for example, tissue may be suspended to the infraorbital rim periosteum to prevent vertical tension and ectropion. Flaps from the temple and cheek may be tacked to the lateral orbital rim periosteum to prevent tension on the lateral canthus and lateral ectropion. Therefore, although fascia may mechanically inhibit tissue elasticity, it may be selectively used to relieve skin tension and guide wound closure.

■ Vasculature

The axial vasculature of the face consists of named branches and their associated angiosomes. An angiosome is a three-dimensional tissue block consisting of muscle, fascia, subcutaneous fat, and skin that is supplied by a particular source artery. On the face there are 13 angiosomes corresponding to larger arterial branches.¹⁵ The named axial arteries such as the facial artery, superficial temporal artery, infraorbital artery, supratrochlear artery, and supraorbital artery branch widely and anastomose broadly to provide a rich arterial supply to the face.




Figure 1.2 The fibrous portion of superficial fascia envelopes the muscles of facial expression. The supramuscular fascia is thin while the deep fascia is thick and inelastic




Figure 1.3 The fibrous portion of superficial fascia envelopes the muscles of facial expression, interconnecting them for coordination of complex movements

Facial vascular patterns may be organized by vessel caliber, depth, and orientation¹⁶⁻²² (Fig. 1.5). The named arteries branch and ascend to run in within the superficial fibrous fascia, from which point they give off numerous vertically oriented vessels, which then ascend into the adipose tissue where they branch and interconnect to form a subcutaneous vascular plexus. The subcutaneous plexus is in turn connected to the deep dermal vascular plexus which is then connected to the superficial dermal plexus. The superficial and deep intradermal plexi are composed of a microvascular network that is usually unable to support tissue viability when a flap is performed. The shallowest substantial vascular supply that can support an adjacent tissue transfer is the subdermal vascular plexus. The vessels of the subdermal plexus run horizontally within the superficial subcutaneous tissues. They are preserved by leaving a layer of adipose on the undersurface of any adjacent tissue transfer.

In most facial reconstructions, the redundancy of the subdermal plexus allows for a reliable blood flow; however, incorporation of vessels from the deep plexus can be needed in certain instances due to flap design, flap tension, or underlying host factors such as heavy smoking. Mechanical planning must always be coupled with an awareness of how tissue viability is to be maintained.

■ Nerves

Neural input is unimportant to flap survival, but flap design and actuation should take into consideration the underlying nerves, as neural function is of crucial importance to host biology and function.

Two patterns of neural organization apply: sensory innervation and motor innervation. Sensory innervation of the face is derived primarily from branches of the trigeminal nerve and the first several cervical nerves. After leaving their foramina of origin, the trigeminal nerve branches ascend to the level of the superficial fascia where they run just above the fibrous component of the superficial fascia or within the subcutaneous tissues (Fig. 1.6). In areas where the SMAS envelopes facial musculature, the sensory nerves are within the thin supramuscular component and are often accompanied by small axial vascular branches creating neurovascular bundles. Small branches of these nerves intermittently ascend to innervate portions of the overlying skin. Therefore, undermining at any level is capable of causing sensory denervation.

Several areas are particularly prone to sensory disruption. The forehead is richly innervated by the supratrochlear and supraorbital nerves. While these nerves emerge deeply from bony foramina, they ascend quickly to run over the surface of the frontalis muscle. Incisions on the forehead, especially those that are horizontal, risk disruption of these nerve branches. While the most common




Figure 1.4 The SMAS may be plicated to achieve reduction in surface (dermal) wound closure tension




Figure 1.5 Cutaneous and subcutaneous vasculature is composed of horizontally and vertically oriented networks with the caliber of vessels largest where they run within or just deep to the fascia




Figure 1.6 (A) Sensory nerves are usually paired with axial vessels where they run as neurovascular bundles within the thin superficial fascia. Motor nerves run within the deeper fibrous component. (B) Where facial muscle exists, sensory nerves run superficial to the muscle, whereas motor nerves run deep to muscle

eventuality of such disruption is a band of numbness on the forehead and anterior scalp, permanent numbness and neuralgia can result. Another area in which sensory disruption is common is in the periauricular region, where the large greater auricular nerve lies above the fascia just behind and beneath the earlobe. The auriculotemporal nerve is vulnerable just superior to and anterior to the tragus. Other sensory nerves tend to lie more deeply beneath fascial layers.

More important to host function is the integrity of the motor nerves supplying the muscles of facial expression. Motor innervation is derived primarily from the branches of the facial nerve.²³⁻²⁶ The facial nerve is protected by the parotid gland on the lateral face. After leaving the parotid fascia, the branches of the facial nerve ascend to the level of the superficial fascia where they travel within the fibrous component. For that reason, undermining above the fibrous component of the superficial fascia and within the subcutaneous fat will rarely lead to motor nerve injury. However, careless incisions and/or undermining can easily damage these nerves. As the fibrous component envelopes the facial muscles, the nerves

stay within the thick deep portion to produce appropriate innervation.

As a general rule, undermining at a level to include the subdermal plexus in mobilized tissue is without risk. Inclusion of deeper axial vessels may prove hazardous over zones where branches of the facial nerve traverse. The frontal and marginal mandibular divisions are at particular risk, because of their shallow locations and the paucity of interconnecting anastomoses.^{27,28}

Particular attention must be paid to the frontal branch of the facial nerve.^{29,30} As the nerve ascends over the zygomatic arch, the superficial fascial complex is elevated closer to the surface and the fatty layer thins. Thus, although the pattern relationship is constant, the nerve is more exposed. While some texts have listed the nerve as running above the fascia, the nerve does lie within the innominate fascia just deep to the SMAS over the zygomatic arch and then ascends just slightly more superficially to lie within the superficial temporal fascia. This relationship has been exquisitely demonstrated by cadaver dissection.³¹ (Fig. 1.7). Incisions and undermining in this area, which stay above the fascia, are safe (Fig. 1.8). Coincidentally, this area harbors the temporal artery and large branches thereof (Fig. 1.9). They can serve as a marker for the depth at which excision and undermining expose the nerve to injury.

Bioanatomy is therefore used to facilitate successful tissue movement while preventing host morbidity. Fascial structure is an organizing framework for conceptualizing vascular and neural relationships. Fascia may be used mechanically to decrease tension forces in the skin and to immobilize critical structures. Knowledge of vascular and neural anatomy is important in the design of adjacent tissue movement in order to maintain viability and avoid morbidity.

MECHANICS OF TISSUE MOVEMENT

Adjacent tissue transfer involves the planned manipulation of closure force vectors to minimize the tension of wound closure and prevent anatomic distortion. This goal is accomplished by considering three variables: (1) tension reduction, (2) tension redistribution, and (3) dog-ear manipulation.

■ Tension Reduction

Low closure tension is the desirable choice to prevent tissue ischemia and necrosis, to avoid anatomic distortion, to minimize the spread of a scar, and to avoid wound dehiscence.^{32,33} Increased closure tension reproducibly reduces blood flow, alters repair viability, and may lead to flap necrosis.³⁴ Appropriate undermining can substantially reduce closure tensions;^{35,36} however, a common misconception is that progressive undermining uniformly




Figure 1.7 Illustration depicting the fascial transition zone (dotted circle) where the frontal branches transition from the innominate fascia to the superficial temporal fascia. The x-axis measurement was the distance posterior to the lateral orbital rim and the y-axis measurement was the distance superior to the upper border of the zygomatic arch (Reproduced with permission from Agarwall CA, Mendenhall SD, Foreman KB, et al. The course of the frontal branch of the facial nerve in relation to fascial planes: An anatomic study. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2010;125:532-537. Copyright Wolters Kluwer Health.)




Figure 1.8 The frontal branch of the facial nerve is seen as it courses just beneath the nick created in the superficial fascia

diminishes closure tension.³⁷⁻³⁹ In order to truly increase skin mobility, the tissue to be moved must be effectively separated from whichever structures are imposing the restriction. Effective undermining is more important than extensive undermining. Skin stretchability may be inhibited by direct or indirect attachment to the fascia, muscle, and bone. The mechanism of restriction is site specific and dependent on the particular anatomic organization.⁴⁰

The scalp, for example, is tightly bound to the inelastic fibrous superficial fascial component termed *galea*, through the interlobular septae of the fat.⁴¹ The fascia is then attached to the bony supraorbital ridge, anteriorly, and occiput, posteriorly. This further inhibits mobility. If undermining is performed in the typical subgaleal avascular plane, the major restrictive component persists and significant laxity is not achieved. Movement may be partially gained by carrying undermining over the supraorbital ridge or nuchal crest, detaching the tissue from the bony restriction. Adverse consequences include eyebrow elevation anteriorly (desirable in the forehead lift) and potential damage to neurovascular bundles anteriorly and posteriorly. Scalp flaps may also be raised (with care) above fascia, thus diminishing the effect of galeal restraint (Fig. 1.10).

Substantial movement may be achieved by interrupting the continuity of the rigid fascial sheath. For example, side-to-side closure of donor defects from wide scalp flaps can be facilitated by shifting undermining levels from the subgaleal to the suprafascial plane. This allows for a greater degree of stretch by allowing for the natural elasticity of the skin to be unencumbered by the rigid galeal fascia. Interruption of the fascia may also be created by surgical incision. On the scalp, fascial discontinuity may be percutaneous or subcutaneous. A percutaneous galeotomy is achieved by the creation of a bipedicle advancement flap^{42,43} (Fig. 1.11). The galea is incised inferior and superior to the bipedicle flap. When the wound edges are apposed, the galea is left unclosed. The skin fat complex achieves a degree of stretch via the galeal incisions. If mechanically feasible, galeal incisions, or scoring, may be purely subcutaneous. If subcutaneous galeotomies are created, attention must be directed toward avoiding major vessels and nerves as injury to large, deep vessels can cause copious bleeding.

Theoretically, the skin of the scalp may be totally separated from fascial restriction by undermining in the subcutaneous plane above the galea. Although this provides good mobility, it may be associated with morbidity. Since major vessels and sensory nerves reside within this plane, such undermining risks vascular compromise, bleeding, and sensory denervation, as well as hair bulb injury.

Tissue movement in the forehead region is restricted similar to the scalp. The same bony attachments are




Figure 1.9 The temporal vessels run just beneath the subcutis in the superficial fascia. The vessels run shallow to the frontal branch of the facial nerve. They are readily identified and staying above them when undermining provides a measure of safety




Figure 1.10 A scalp flap raised above galea has much more elasticity than one containing the deep fascia. Care must be taken, however, not to compromise flap viability

relevant. The subcutaneous tissue is thin, providing little innate elasticity. The fibrous fascia and frontalis muscle, both inelastic structures, are tightly bound to the skin via the interlobular septae. Undermining in the subcutaneous level above fascia and muscle is a suitable alternative; however, it must be done with direct visualization to avoid vascular and neural injury.

Over the temple, the fat is somewhat thicker, providing some baseline stretch. Detachment of the interlobular septae from the fascia clearly increases mobility. However, since the frontal branch of the facial nerve lies just below the superficial temporal fascia, it is important to maintain a uniform, careful undermining plane just above the fascia (Fig. 1.12). Extensive undermining at this superficial level may create vascular compromise at the distal portion of the flap edges.

The cheek has a thick layer of subcutaneous fat. Therefore, sufficient mobility is often available, even without extensive undermining. Due to a predictable vasculature, extensive flaps may be elevated just above the SMAS and will maintain reliable viability unless placed under substantial tension. Over the lateral cheek, undermining at any depth within the fat is safe, since the facial nerve branches are well protected within the parotid gland (Fig. 1.13). Undermining in the lateral cheek releases restrictive forces by separating the fibrous component of the superficial fascia or SMAS from the interconnecting links of the interlobular septae.⁴⁴ Medially on the cheek, there is a less defined fascial layer, and the neurovascular bundles lie in the deep to the loose subcutaneous fat where they intermingle with the muscles of facial expression (Fig. 1.14). Undermining in this area should be within the adipose and should involve direct visualization.

Undermining in adipose tissue has historically been done bluntly and many texts advocate undermining at a relatively shallow level. On the cheek in particular, two patterns of adipose exist. The shallow adipose, just beneath the dermis, is somewhat adherent to dermis, is composed of small lobules, and is richly vascularized with small arterioles. The deeper adipose is composed of large, oblong fascicles, which are traversed by many fewer, larger vessels (Fig. 1.15). Blunt, shallow undermining can lead to profuse bleeding and postoperative edema. It may also fail to release deep restraint. Sharp undermining with an undermining scissors under direct visualization and at a level within the deep, loose, minimally vascularized adipose is less traumatic and achieves a greater degree of tension release and flap mobility.⁴⁰

On the nose, the effect of undermining is limited by the thickness and inelasticity of sebaceous skin as well as the deep attachment of nasalis muscle. Undermining below fascia and muscle, and above perichondrium, is safe and relatively avascular. If restricted to the nose only, this does not lead to substantial mobility. However, this level




Figure 1.11 A bipedicle advancement flap increases cutaneous mobility by interrupting the continuity of the galea. (A) Operative wound and planned repair. (B) An incision is made to periosteum within the hair-bearing scalp. (C) The skin and fat are closed. The galea is not sutured (Adapted with permission from Flint ID, Siegle RJ. The bipedicle flap revisited. *J Dermatol Surg Oncol* 1994;20:394-400. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.)