INTERNATIONAL DOUBLE TAXATION









International Double Taxation

Mogens Rasmussen



Published by: Kluwer Law International PO Box 316 2400 AH Alphen aan den Rijn The Netherlands Website: www.kluwerlaw.com

Sold and distributed in North, Central and South America by:
Aspen Publishers, Inc.
7201 McKinney Circle
Frederick, MD 21704
United States of America
Email: customer.service@aspenpublishers.com

Sold and distributed in all other countries by: Turpin Distribution Services Ltd. Stratton Business Park Pegasus Drive, Biggleswade Bedfordshire SG18 8TQ United Kingdom Email: kluwerlaw@turpin-distribution.com

Printed on acid-free paper.

ISBN 978-90-411-3410-3

© 2011 Kluwer Law International BV, The Netherlands

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without written permission from the publisher.

Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to: Permissions Department, Wolters Kluwer Legal, 76 Ninth Avenue, 7th Floor, New York, NY 10011-5201, USA. Email: permissions@kluwerlaw.com

Printed in Great Britain.

Preface

This book is an updated expansion of the compendium which for several years has been used for teaching and lecturing in Denmark as well as abroad.

The contents are mainly based on the OECD Model Convention and its commentaries. Furthermore, the description of 'partnerships' is to a great extent based on extracts from the report 'The Application of the OECD Model Tax Convention to Partnerships'.

The purpose of the book is partly to form the basis of instruction on international double taxation at different levels and partly to give guidance to persons dealing with these matters in practice.

Emphasis is laid on the provisions which allocate the taxation right to different categories of income between two countries with a tax agreement. The remaining provisions, for example the provisions concerning transfer pricing, non-discrimination, mutual agreement procedure, exchange of information, etc. are only dealt with briefly.

Various 'triangular cases' are dealt with although these might be rare in practice. The reason for including these cases is partly that they are important in order to understand the theoretical structure of the Model Convention and partly to enable the practitioner to handle this, often complicated, issue correctly.

A number of examples from the commentaries, from Danish administrative practice and from court decisions are also given. However, there is nothing 'particularly Danish' in the examples. The situations that are described may occur between any countries.

Further the book contains a description of the most important points where the United Nations Model Double Taxation Convention (the UN Model Convention) deviates from the OECD Model Convention and a description of a number of provisions that can occur in Conventions but are not found in the Models.

Finally, a rather detailed description is given of the changes in the Commentary in connection with the 2010-update of the Model Convention.

Prefac	e	xiii
Chapte	er 1	
	uction	1
§ 1.01	Definition of a Double Taxation Convention	1
§ 1.02	Juridical Double Taxation	1
§ 1.03	Why Does Double Taxation Occur?	1
§ 1.04	Economic Double Taxation	2
§ 1.05	The Legal Status of the Danish Conventions	1 2 3 3
§ 1.06	The Model Convention	
§ 1.07	Survey of the Systematization of the Model Convention	4
	[A] Brief Overview of the Model Convention	4
	[B] The Technique Behind Elimination of Double Taxation	4
	[C] Elimination of Double Taxation	4
§ 1.08	Summary of the Articles in the Model Convention	5
§ 1.09	Example of the Application of a Convention in Practice	9
§ 1.10	The Commentary to the Model Convention	10
§ 1.11	Why Conclude a Convention?	10
	[A] Certainty of the Tax Treatment in a State	11
	[B] Reduction of Withholding Taxes	11
	[C] Exchange of Information	11
	[D] Mutual agreement Procedure	11
Chapte	er 2	
	ECD Model Convention	13
	Article 1: Persons Covered	13
	[A] A PE Is Subject Only to Limited Tax Liability, that Is a	
	PE Is Not Regarded as a Person Who Is a Resident	13

		[1] The Bilateral Situation	13
		[2] Three States Are Involved	13
	[B]	Partnerships	16
		[1] Different Characterization of the Tax Subject	16
		[a] Treatment of the Partnership in the State	
		of Residence of the Partner	16
		[b] Treatment of the Partnership in the Source State	18
		[2] Different Characterization of Items of Income	
		(Or Capital)	25
§ 2.02		icle 2: Taxes Covered	26
		Enumeration of Taxes	26
	[B]	Social Security Taxes	27
§ 2.03		cle 3: General Definitions	27
	[A]		27
	[B]	Definition of the Terms 'Person' and 'Company'	28
	[C]	Terms Which Are Not Defined	28
§ 2.04		cle 4: Resident	28
	[A]	The Term 'Resident'	28
	[B]	Individuals	29
	[C]	Is It of Any Significance that a Person Is Fully Liable	
		to Tax in a State (in the Example Below, Denmark)	
		Which, for Purposes of the Convention, Has Been	
		Classified as the Source State?	30
	[D]	Legal Persons	30
		[1] The 'Place of Effective Management' Is Crucial	30
		[2] Dual Residence Dealt with on a Case-by-Case Basis	31
	[E]	Taxation on a Global Or Territorial Basis	31
	[F]	Some States Apply Both Principles	31
§ 2.05		cle 5: Permanent Establishment	32
	[A]	Definition	32
	[B]	Examples	32
	[C]	The Meaning of the Word 'Fixed'	33
		[1] Commercial and Geographic Coherence	33
	ED1	[2] The Duration of the Activity	34
	[D]	Leasing of Equipment	35
	[E]	When Does a PE Begin and Cease to Exist?	35
	[F]	Can a PE Have a PE?	36
	[G]	A Building Site Or Construction Or Installation	
		Project	37
		[1] Activities Covered by 'a Building Site or Construction	2004200
		or Installation Project'	37
		[2] Commercial and Economic Coherence	37
		[3] What Is a Geographic Area?	38
		[4] Interruptions during the Building Period	38
	IHI	Pipelines, Cables Et Cetera	38

	[I]	Activities Which Do Not Constitute a PE	40
		[1] Sale Activity from the Place of Business	40
		[2] The PE Performs Essential Activities	41
		[3] The Term 'Delivery' in Subparagraph a) of Paragraph 4	42
	[J]	Dependent Agents	42
	[K]	Independent Agents	43
	[L]	Electronic Commerce	43
§ 2.06		cle 6: Income from Immovable Property	44
§ 2.07		cle 7: Business Profits	44
	[A]	Profit May Be Taxed in the State Where the PE Is Situated [1] The 'Force of Attraction' Principle Is Not Applied in the OECD Model	44 45
	F D 1		43
	[B]	Basically a PE Shall Be Treated As an Independent	45
		Enterprise [1] The Report 'Attribution of Profits to PEs'	45
			43
		[2] Especially about PEs under Paragraph 3 of Article 5 (Building Site, Etc.)	46
	[C]	Determination of the Profits: Deduction for Expenses	46
	[C]	[1] Payments between the Head Office and the PE	46
		[a] Internal Transfer of Goods	47
		[b] Services	47
		[c] Interest from Internal Claims	47
		[d] Special Rule for Financial Enterprises	48
		[e] Intangible Rights	48
		[2] Interest of Debts Incurred by the Enterprise	48
	[D]	Indirect Costs	49
	[E]	Foreign Exchange Gains Or Losses	50
§ 2.08		cle 8: Shipping and Air Transport	50
8 2.00	[A]	Profit from Operation in International Traffic	50
	[B]	The Term 'International Traffic'	50
	[C]	Activities Connected with Shipping and Air Transport	51
	[C]	[1] Bare Boat Charter and Time Charter	51
		[2] Other Activities	51
		[3] Inland Transportation	51
		[4] Leasing of Containers	52
		[5] Sale of Tickets	52
		[6] Income from Advertising	53
	[D]	Investment Income of Shipping Or Air Transport Enterprises	53
	[E]	Relation between Article 8 and Article 5	53
	[F]	Freight Taxes	53
	[G]	Widening of the Scope of the Provision	54
§ 2.09		cle 9: Associated Enterprises	54
\$ 2.10		cle 10: Dividends	55
,	[A]	Dividends May Be Taxed in the State of Residence	55
	[B]	The Source State Has a Limited Right to Tax Dividends	55
		- I and the state of the state	00

	[C]	The Concept 'the Beneficial Owner'	55
	[0]	[1] Condition for Reduction of Danish Tax on Dividends	56
	[D]	The Danish Legislation Concerning Dividends	56
	[E]	The Concept 'Beneficial Owner' and Conduit Companies	56
	[2]	[1] What Is a Conduit Company?	57
		[2] How to Prevent This Abuse?	58
		[a] Widened Interpretation of the Concept 'Beneficial	
		Owner'	58
		[b] The Concept 'Limitation of Benefits'	58
		[c] A Decision from the Swiss Supreme Court	60
		[d] Can Abuse be Avoided Effectively?	61
	[F]	The Dividends Are Connected with a Permanent	
	8 8	Establishment	61
	[G]	Branch Profits Tax	62
§ 2.11	Arti	cle 11: Interest	62
	[A]	Interest May Be Taxed in the State of Residence	62
	[B]	The Source State Has a Limited Right to Tax Interest	62
	[C]	Special Agreements Concerning Certain Categories of	
		Interest	63
	[D]	The Interest Is Connected with a Permanent	
		Establishment	64
	[E]	Determination of the Source of the Interest	64
		[1] Two States Involved	64
		[2] Three States Involved	65
	[F]	Special Relationship between the Payer and the Beneficial	"
		Owner	66 66
§ 2.12		cle 12: Royalties	
	[A]	Royalties Are Taxable Only in the State of Residence	66
	[B]	The Definition of Royalties in the OECD Model	66 67
	[C]	Denmark Can Tax Outgoing Royalties The Manning of the Words the Use of Or the Right	07
	[D]	The Meaning of the Words 'the Use of Or the Right to Use'	67
	[12]	The Distinction between Know-How and Services	68
	[E] [F]	Especially about Software	68
	[L]	[1] Transfer of Rights to the Underlying Copyright	69
		[2] Transfer of Rights in a Copy of the Program	69
		[3] Transfer of Know-How or Secret Formula	69
	[G]	Royalty Connected with a PE	70
	[U]	Relationship between the Payer and the Beneficial Owner	70
	[1]	Practical Examples	70
§ 2.13	150	cle 13: Capital Gains	75
§ 2.13 § 2.14		cle 14: Independent Personal Services	76
§ 2.14 § 2.15		cle 15: Income from Employment	77
3 2.15	[A]	Income from Employment	77
	[B]	Exception if Certain Conditions Are Met	77
	[]	CONTRACTOR	

	[1] Presence Not More Than 183 Days	78
	[2] The Employer May Not Be a Resident of the Wor	rk
	State	79
	[3] Salary Is Not Borne by a PE	79
	[a] A Triangular Case	79
	[C] Hiring Out of Labour	80
§ 2.16		82
§ 2.17		82
§ 2.18		83
b	[A] The Pensions Are Taxable Only in the State	
	of Residence	83
	[B] Social Pensions and Other Social Security Benefits	83
	[C] Annuity Assurances	84
	[D] Danish Treaty Policy	84
	[1] Example of the Wording of Article 18 in a Danisl	h
	Convention	84
	[E] Payments Covered by the Social Security Legislation	85
	[F] Another Wording of Article 18 in the Danish	
	Conventions	85
	[G] Limited Right to Deduct Payments to Foreign Pension	
	Funds, Etc.	86
	[H] Proposal Contained in the OECD Model Convention	86
	[I] Deduction for Payments to Foreign Pension Schemes	88
	[J] Partial Renunciation of Taxing Pensions	89
§ 2.19		90
3	[A] Public Authorities	90
	[B] Salary Is Taxable Only in the Working State	91
	[C] Public Pensions and Other Similar Remuneration	91
	[D] Business Carried on by the State	91
§ 2.20		92
§ 2.21	Article 21: Other Income	92
3 2.21	[A] Examples of Items of Income Covered by the Article	92
	[B] Income from Third States	92
	[C] Income Associated with a PE	94
§ 2.22		94
§ 2.23		94
.,	[A] The Credit Method	95
	[B] The Exemption Method	97
	[1] 'Old' Exemption	97
	[2] 'New' Exemption	98
	[C] Exemption Method and Employment Income	98
§ 2.24		100
§ 2.25		101
§ 2.26	Article 26: Exchange of Information	102
		102

Chapte		105
	N Model	105
§ 3.01	Introduction	105
§ 3.02	Article 5: Permanent Establishment	105
	[A] A Building Site and Furnishing of Services	105
	[B] Activities Which Do Not Constitute a PE	106
	[C] Dependent Agents	107
	[D] Insurance Provision	107
	[E] Independent Agents	108
§ 3.03	Article 7: Business Profits	108
	[A] 'Force of Attraction'	108
	[B] Determination of the Profits: Deduction for Expenses	109
§ 3.04	Article 8: Shipping, Inland Waterways Transport and Air	
	Transport	109
§ 3.05	Article 9: Associated Enterprises	110
§ 3.06	Article 10: Dividends	110
§ 3.07	Article 11: Interest	110
§ 3.08	Article 12: Royalties	110
	[A] The Source State Is Entitled to Tax Royalties	111
	[B] The Definition of Royalties Is Widened	111
	[C] Determination of the Source of the Royalty	111
§ 3.09	Article 13: Capital Gains	112
§ 3.10	Article 14: Independent Personal Services	112
§ 3.11	Article 16: Director's Fees and Remuneration of Top-Level	
	Managerial Officials	113
§ 3.12	Article 18: Pensions and Social Security Payments	113
§ 3.13	Article 21: Other Income	114
Chapte		
	ions Which Are Not Included in the Model Conventions	115
§ 4.01		115
§ 4.02	Professors, Teachers and Researchers	116
§ 4.03	Activities in Connection with Preliminary Surveys, Exploration	
	or Extraction of Hydrocarbons	116
§ 4.04	Tax Sparing (Matching Credit)	119
§ 4.05	Most-Favoured Nation Clause	120
§ 4.06	Taxation of Services	120
Chapt		
	ments Containing a Single Provision Concerning ange of Information'	123
Chapte		
	Wording of Article 7 in the 2010-Update of the Model	125

Chapt	er 7	
Chang	es in the Commentaries in the 2010-Update of the Model	
Conve		127
§ 7.01	Cross-Border Issues Relating to Collective Investment Vehicles	127
	[A] The Application of the OECD Model Convention to CIVs	128
	[B] Proposals for Provisions Modifying the Treatment of CIVs	129
§ 7.02	New Commentaries to Article 7	131
	[A] The Report	131
	[B] The New Commentaries	136
	[1] The Commentary to Paragraph 1	137
	[2] The Commentary to Paragraph 2	138
	[3] The Commentary to Paragraph 3	142
	[4] The Commentary to Paragraph 4	144
§ 7.03	New Commentaries to Article 15 Concerning the Hiring	
	Out of Labour	145
§ 7.04	Application of Tax Treaties to State-Owned Entities,	
	Including Sovereign Wealth Funds	149
§ 7.05	Issues Relating to Common Telecommunication Transactions	150
Appen	dix I ECD Model and the UN Model Arranged as Parallel Texts	153
The O	ECD Model and the ON Model Arranged as Faranci Texts	133
	dix II ment on Mutual Administrative Assistance Concerning nge of Information	201
Agreei Gover	dix III ment between the Government of Denmark and the nment of the Cayman Islands Concerning Information & Matters	207
Index		215

Chapter 1 Introduction

§ 1.01 DEFINITION OF A DOUBLE TAXATION CONVENTION

A Double Taxation Convention (hereinafter 'convention') is an agreement which is concluded between two States in order to prevent a person who is fully liable to tax in one of the States (or sometimes in both States) from being taxed on the same income (or capital) in both States.

§ 1.02 JURIDICAL DOUBLE TAXATION

The fact that two States (in certain cases, more than two States) tax the same taxpayer on the same income (or capital) is called juridical double taxation.

§ 1.03 WHY DOES DOUBLE TAXATION OCCUR?

Double taxation occurs because most States tax their residents (both individuals and companies) on the total annual income irrespective of whether the income derives from their country of residence or from abroad (full tax liability). Besides taxing persons who are fully liable to tax, States also tax persons who are not fully liable to tax on different kinds of activities performed in the territory of the State (limited tax liability).

Example 1

A person who is a resident of Denmark and accordingly subject to full tax liability there works in Norway for four months for a Norwegian employer.

Chapter 1

The income from Norway is included in the Danish tax return. However, the income from Norway is also taxed in Norway in accordance with the national Norwegian tax rules on the taxation of persons who are subject to limited tax liability.

Example 2

Dividend from a Danish company is subject to a withholding tax of 28%. If the shareholder is an individual resident in Russia, the dividend is also taxed in Russia since the dividend is included in the total income of the shareholder.

§ 1.04 ECONOMIC DOUBLE TAXATION

In addition to the above-mentioned juridical double taxation, *economic* double taxation occurs when two different persons are taxable in respect of the same income or capital. Economic double taxation is *not* covered by the convention (see, however, paragraph 2 of Article 9 of the Model Tax Convention on Income and on Capital (hereinafter the Model Convention) published by Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD)). This provision is briefly described in the section Article 9: Associated Enterprises.

Example

A, a resident of Denmark, complained that the tax authorities had refused to allow him to deduct alimony to his wife and children who lived in the Netherlands. The wife and the children were taxed in the Netherlands on the alimony. The deduction was refused by the Danish tax authorities on the grounds that the alimony had not been approved by the Danish authorities.

A argued that the refusal of the deduction resulted in an economic double taxation that was contrary to Article 25 (Mutual agreement procedure) in the convention between Denmark and the Netherlands. According to this article, a person who considers that the action of a Contracting State results in a taxation which is not in accordance with the convention may present the case to the competent authority of the Contracting State of which he is a resident. The competent authority must endeavour to solve the question if necessary by a mutual agreement with the other State.

However, the National Income Tax Tribunal did not change the decision of the local authority and stated that Article 25 of the convention did not apply since no double taxation had occurred within the meaning of the convention. Because of this fact there was no reason to contact the foreign tax authority.

§ 1.05 THE LEGAL STATUS OF THE DANISH CONVENTIONS

Denmark has concluded more than seventy conventions with foreign States; and from 1994 the conventions have to be adopted by the Danish parliament, by law.

Before 1994 the Government was authorized, subject to reciprocity, to permit such *relief* in the duty to pay taxes that double taxation would be wholly or partly avoided.

The word 'relief' is very important. The conventions can only eliminate (relieve) a tax. A convention which Denmark has concluded with a foreign State therefore implies that the Danish tax rules are suspended to the extent necessary to respect the convention.

This means that a convention cannot make taxation more burdensome or, in other words, a convention cannot introduce a taxation which is not contained in the existing tax legislation.

According to the preparatory works of the Act of 1994, this is still the situation.

Example

According to Article 11 of the convention, Denmark is entitled to tax interest paid to a person resident in the other State at 10%. A person who is a resident of the other State receives interest from Danish bonds. Since Denmark, according to its national legislation, is not entitled to tax interest paid to non-residents, Denmark cannot make use of the taxation right in Article 11.

§ 1.06 THE MODEL CONVENTION

The number of conventions worldwide is very considerable. Most of the conventions are based on the Model Convention. In order to be able to work with a convention it is important to be familiar with the systematization and structure of the Model Convention.

However, no conventions correspond wholly to the recommendations in the Model Convention.

For this reason, when dealing with specific cases, it is always necessary to read the relevant articles in the convention in question and be aware that a protocol is attached to most of the conventions. This protocol sometimes contains important rules, which supplement the text of the articles. Examples of protocol provisions are given in section § 4.01 Technical Fees.

§ 1.07 SURVEY OF THE SYSTEMATIZATION OF THE MODEL CONVENTION

[A] Brief Overview of the Model Convention

The Model Convention consists of thirty-one articles.

Articles 1–5 and 9 lay down and define some fundamental concepts. Article 4 defines in which of the two States a person is considered to be a resident for purposes of the convention is applied.

Articles 6–8 and 10–22 establish in which of the States different kinds of income (and capital) may be taxed.

Article 23 A and B establishes how the double taxation must be eliminated.

Articles 24–31 contain special provisions which, only indirectly, have to do with the right to tax the different kinds of income.

[B] THE TECHNIQUE BEHIND ELIMINATION OF DOUBLE TAXATION

In order for a convention to be applied, one State must be nominated as the State of residence. Therefore, the first step is to determine which State must be considered to be the State of residence of the taxpayer. This determination is made by using the provisions of Article 4. The State of residence, and only this State, has the right to tax the person on his worldwide income and this right is not limited by the convention.

The other State is classified as the State of source, and this State may tax income which it is entitled to tax according to several articles (Articles 6, 7, 10, 11, paragraphs 1, 2 and 4 of Article 13, paragraph 1 of Article 15, 16, 17, 19 and paragraph 2 of Article 21). In these articles the wording *may be taxed* is used (except in Article 19 where the wording 'shall be taxable only' is used).

Regarding other articles (Articles 8, 12, paragraph 5 of Article 13, paragraph 2 of Article 15, 18 and paragraph 1 of Article 21), an exclusive taxation right is given to the State of residence. Accordingly, when income is attributable to one of these articles no double taxation occurs. In these articles the wording *shall be taxable only* is used.

[C] Elimination of Double Taxation

Article 23 A and B provides how double taxation must be eliminated. It is *always* the State of residence (the State which is given a primary right to tax the whole income) which must eliminate the double taxation.

Double taxation is eliminated by using either the *credit method* or the *exemption method*.

The *credit method* is applied by a great number of States, one State among which is Denmark. According to this method, the State of residence must, when income may be taxed in the other State (the source State), allow the tax paid in the State of source to be deducted from the tax on the income levied in the State of residence. However, the deduction may not exceed that part of the income tax, which is attributable to the income which may be taxed in the State of source. In other words, if the tax in the source State is lower than the tax in the State of residence, deduction must be allowed for the tax paid in the source State. If the tax in the source State is higher than the tax in the State of residence, the State of residence is not obliged to allow deduction for the excess amount.

When the *exemption method* is applied, the State of residence is always obliged to exempt the income from the source State from taxation, regardless of the amount of the foreign tax.

The credit method and the exemption method are described in section § 2.23 Article 23: Methods for Elimination of Double Taxation.

A typical example of a double taxation situation was given in section § 1.03 Why Does Double Taxation Occur?:

A person who is a resident of Denmark and accordingly subject to full tax liability there works in Norway four months for a Norwegian employer. The income from Norway is included in the Danish tax return. However, the income from Norway is also taxed in Norway in accordance with the national Norwegian tax rules on taxation of persons who are subject to limited tax liability.

When solving this case the first step is, as already mentioned, to determine the State of residence of the person in question. According to Article 4, the first test is to see in which State the person has a permanent home available. During his stay in Norway the person lived in a rented room and in the present case there is no doubt that Denmark must be regarded as the State of residence. In accordance with paragraph 1 of Article 15, Norway has the right to tax the income earned in Norway. Denmark must hereafter give credit for the Norwegian tax. The final result is that the person pays the same tax as if the total income was earned in Denmark; (since the Norwegian tax is lower than the Danish tax, the total amount of Norwegian tax is deducted from the Danish tax).

§ 1.08 SUMMARY OF THE ARTICLES IN THE MODEL CONVENTION

In the following, substantive aspects of some of the individual articles will be described. It is important to compare these brief descriptions with the full contents of the individual articles in order to be familiar with the language of the convention. After this summary, the articles will be described in detail and a number of examples will be given.

Article 1 applies to persons who are residents of one or both of the two States. The term 'resident' is defined in Article 4.

According to *Article 2*, the convention applies to taxes on income and capital. Each State enumerates the taxes that the State wants to be covered by the convention.

Article 3 contains definitions of a number of terms used in the Model Convention. It should be noted that the term 'person' includes both individuals and legal persons.

Article 4 'Resident' is a crucial provision. In order to apply the convention, only one State may be appointed as the State of residence that is the State which is entitled to tax the person on his worldwide income.

As regards *individuals*, it follows from paragraph 2 that the individual may be deemed to be a resident only of the State in which he has a permanent home available to him. As regards *other persons than an individual* (a company and other legal entity), it follows from paragraph 3 that such person may be deemed to be a resident only of the State where it has its place of effective management.

In most cases it is evident which State is the State of residence, but sometimes a person is considered to be subject to full tax liability in more than one State according to the national legislation of these States. Article 4 contains rules for solving the conflict which arises when more than one State subjects the same person to tax on his worldwide income. Such a conflict arises, for example, in cases where an individual has a permanent home available to him in either the States or where a company is registered in one State and has its place of effective management in the other State. When it is settled which State is considered to be the State of residence, the other State is automatically classified as the State of source.

According to paragraph 1 of *Article 5*, a Permanent Establishment (PE) means a fixed place of business through which the business of an enterprise is wholly or partly carried on. It is emphasized that the activity in question, which an enterprise performs in the other State, is *not* performed through a company or a similar legal entity. If this were the case, the activities would be performed by a person who is fully liable to taxation in the State in question; this is not the case for a PE which is subject to limited tax liability.

Examples of a PE are as follows: a branch, an office, a shop, a building or construction site, etc. In principle, all kinds of activities performed in a country to achieve a profit may constitute a PE. In this connection it must be mentioned that banks and insurance companies normally operate abroad through branches.

According to paragraph 3 of the article, a building site constitutes a PE only if it lasts for more than twelve months.

It appears from the above-mentioned that the first five articles contain a rule for determining in which State a person must be considered to be a resident for purposes of the convention and further contain different definitions.