INUJUAMUT FORAGING STRATEGIES

Evolutionary Ecology of an Arctic Hunting Economy





ERIC ALDEN SMITH

INUJJUAMIUT FORAGING STRATEGIES

Evolutionary Ecology of an Arctic Hunting Economy

Eric Alden Smith



About the Author

Eric Alden Smith is Associate Professor of Anthropology at the University of Washington. Educated at University of California, Santa Barbara (B.A.) and Cornell University (Ph.D.), he is a specialist in Native American ethnography, ecological and economic anthropology, and evolutionary theory. He and Bruce Winterhalder have edited the seminal *Hunter-Gatherer Foraging Strategies*, and they are currently completing a volume surveying the application of evolutionary ecology to human behavior. Dr. Smith's next major project involves an analysis of the economic and demographic history of the Inujjuaq region.

Copyright © 1991 Walter de Gruyter, Inc., New York All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher.

ALDINE DE GRUYTER A division of Walter de Gruyter, Inc. 200 Saw Mill River Road Hawthorne, New York 10532

The paper used in this publication meets the minimum requirements of American National Standard for Information Sciences—Permanence of Paper for Printed Library Materials, ANSI Z39.48-1984. ⊚

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data Smith, Eric Alden.

Inujjuamiut foraging strategies: evolutionary ecology of an arctic hunting economy / Eric Alden Smith.

p. cm. — (Foundations of human behavior) Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-202-01181-X (cloth) — ISBN 0-202-01182-8 (pbk.)

1. Eskimos—Québec (Province)—Ungava Peninsula—Economic conditions. 2. Eskimos—Québec (Province)—Ungava Peninsula—Québec (Province)—Ungava Peninsula. I. Title. II. Series. E99.E7S538 1991

330.9714′111—dc20

Manufactured in the United States of America

91-16781 CIP

10987654321

INUJJUAMIUT FORAGING STRATEGIES

FOUNDATIONS OF HUMAN BEHAVIOR

An Aldine de Gruyter Series of Texts and Monographs

SERIES EDITOR
SARAH BLAFFER HRDY
University of California, Davis

Richard D. Alexander, The Biology of Moral Systems

Eric Alden Smith, Inujjuamiut Foraging Strategies

Eric Alden Smith and Bruce Winterhalder (Eds.), Ecology, Evolution, and Human Social Behavior

Laura L. Betzig, Despotism and Differential Reproduction: A Darwinian View of History

Russell L. Ciochon and John G. Fleagle (Eds.), **Primate Evolution and Human Origins**

Martin Daly and Margo Wilson, Homicide

Irenäus Eibl-Eibesfeldt, Human Ethology

Richard J. Gelles and Jane B. Lancaster (Eds.), Child Abuse and Neglect: Biosocial Dimensions

Kathleen R. Gibson and Anne C. Petersen (Eds.), Brain Maturation and Cognitive Development: Comparative and Cross-Cultural Perspectives

Warren G. Kinzey (Ed.), New World Primates: Ecology, Evolution and Behavior

Frederick E. Grine (Ed.), Evolutionary History of the "Robust" Australopithecines

Jane B. Lancaster, Jeanne Altmann, Alice S. Rossi, and Lonnie R. Sherrod (Eds.), Parenting Across the Life Span: Biosocial Dimensions

Jane B. Lancaster and Beatrix A. Hamburg (Eds.), School Age Pregnancy and Parenthood: Biosocial Dimensions

Richard B. Potts, Early Hominid Activities at Olduvai

Wenda R. Trevathan, Human Birth: An Evolutionary Perspective

James W. Wood, Dynamics of Human Reproduction

I dedicate this work to the memories of Danieli Weetaluktuk and Davidi Naluktuk: fine hunters, dear friends, and uniquely schooled in the old and new ways, who left us in the prime of their lives.

Preface

In July 1977, my wife and I stepped off a Twin Otter airplane onto the sandy landing strip of Inujjuaq, an Inuit (Canadian Eskimo) village on the east coast of Hudson Bay. I had come to conduct a field study of Inuit hunting strategies, guided by a body of theory developed by theoretical ecologists known as "optimal foraging theory." Except for a brief interlude, I remained in and around Inujjuaq for over a year, through August 1978.

During my stay, as is typical of fieldwork experiences, what had once seemed simple and straightforward grew more and more complex. I found that hunting strategies could not be studied apart from larger social and economic contexts, that in the modern Inuit economy flows of energy were inextricably linked to flows of money, and that both the natural environment and Inuit behavior were rather more complicated and subtle than I had originally anticipated. Yet the fieldwork persisted, the data poured into notebook and tape recorder, and optimal foraging models—although not sufficient in themselves—remained extremely useful for structuring the research.

This monograph is an attempt to set out what I learned from the dialogue between theory and data, between expectation and experience. The core of the research consists of an analysis of the material investments and outcomes for the approximately 600 foraging trips I studied through interview or observation, as detailed in Chapters 5–9. But to be meaningful, these data must be set in a social, historical, and environmental context, as attempted in Chapters 3 and 4. And to define the intellectual significance of my research, something must be said about its broader theoretical context, which task occupies the first two chapters that follow.

Acknowledgments

Any study involving extended fieldwork, and even more extended (though episodic) analysis and writing, necessarily involves the assistance and cooperation of numerous individuals. Acknowledgment in the abstract medium of written language is small recompense for the many gifts, large and small, that made this book possible. Here I limit

my thanks to those who helped most directly with my field research and subsequent analysis, reanalysis, and writing. I hope any omissions will be forgiven.

For assistance with the field research, I am most grateful to Lorraine Brooke, Bill Kemp, and the staff of the Northern Quebec Inuit Association; Lazarusi Epoo and the Inukjuak Community Council; Danieli Weetaluktuk; Lucasi Tukai and his extended family; Inukpuk Naktialuk, Sara Naluktik, and Caroline Palliser; Brian and Mavis Ford; Davidi Naluktik; and Derek Robertson. Above all, I am deeply indebted to the foragers and other good people of Inujjuaq—nakurmimarialuk!

For helpful criticism of the ideas, and for comments on various parts of the manuscript over the years, I thank Mary Anderson, Wendy Arundale, John R. Atkins, Asen Balikci, Rob Boyd, Gardner Brown, Ruth Buskirk, Tom Caraco, Ric Charnov, Elizabeth Cashdan, William Chasko, Jr., Michael Chibnik, David Damas, Bill Durham, Rada Dyson-Hudson, Steve Emlen, Davydd Greenwood, Ray Hames, Henry Harpending, Carolyn Harper, Kristen Hawkes, Kim Hill, Sarah Blaffer Hrdy, Gene Hunn, Marshall Hurlich, Robert Jarvenpa, Art Keene, Dick Nelson, Michael Nowak, Gordon Orians, Stu Plattner, Ron Pulliam, Rick Riewe, Tom Schoener, Monty Slatkin, Dave Stephens, Brooke Thomas, George Wenzel, Richard Wrangham, and most especially Bruce Winterhalder.

The research was supported by a National Institute of Mental Health predoctoral fellowship No. 1 F31 MH05668, by two grants-in-aid from the Arctic Institute of North America (with the financial assistance of the Firestone Foundation), by a National Science Foundation postdoctoral fellowship, and by the University of Washington Graduate School Research Fund. The bulk of the final draft was completed during a sabbatical leave granted by the University of Washington. This leave, and three subsequent summers, were spent on Shaw Island, and I am grateful to Bob and Dottie Osborne, Lynette Trucco and the Shaw Island School Board, and Kath Melville for graciously providing secluded writing space free from distractions.

Lorraine Eckstein and Kris Nyrop spent long and often tedious hours in computer programming, data analysis, and number checking; I would still be working on this project (or have given up) if it weren't for their contribution. Shannon Erickson expertly typed an early version of the manuscript, and Dana Smith (no relation) assisted with word processing in later stages. Dana also did much of the work involved in the immense task of assembling the bibliography. The figures were drawn by Terry Trudell, April Ryan, and Jim Pullen. Sara Nelson assisted with the index.

Carol Poliak was my adviser and companion throughout, put up with my incessant labors and nasty moods, and made it all worthwhile.

A Note on Terminology and Orthography

For nonspecialists, a brief note of clarification on the terms "Inuit" and "Eskimo" is in order. "Eskimo" is a term of debatable origin (see Woodbury 1984) used by scholars to designate an ethnolinguistic category: speakers of an Eskimoan language, and members of an Eskimo society. The languages in question fall into two groupings: Yu'pik languages, spoken in Western and Southwestern Alaska and on the Northeast tip of Siberia, and Inupiaq, a single multidialect language (or chain of dialects) spoken across the vast expanse of North Alaska, arctic Canada, and Greenland.

Since Eskimo is not an indigenous term of self-reference for any of these people, and is sometimes held to have pejorative connotations, many favor the term Inuit as a replacement. However, Inuit is a self-referential term (meaning, literally, "the people") only for certain dialects of Inupiaq, in particular those spoken in Canada east of the Mac-Kenzie Delta. Thus, at present it is properly applied only to the Canadian Eskimos, including the Inuit of Inujjuaq (but excluding the MacKenzie Delta *Inuvialuit*). The term "Eskimo" is the only one suitable for referring to all native Eskimoan speakers collectively, and should be reserved for this purpose. This is the procedure followed in this monograph. If the recent tendency of pan-Eskimo organizations (such as the Inuit Circumpolar Conference) to refer to all Eskimos as Inuit becomes more widely accepted, then perhaps scholars will abandon the term Eskimo, but until that time we must make do with the latter term as the only accurate one to include all the arctic peoples referred to in this note.

The orthography employed in this book is the one developed by Lefebvre (1960) and Gagne (1961), and currently considered standard for the Canadian Eastern Arctic dialects of Inupiaq (commonly referred to as Inuttitut), including the Ungava Peninsula (Gagne 1958; Dorais 1975). In conformity with this orthography, I have spelled the name of the settlement where I did my fieldwork "Inujjuaq" and that of its inhabitants "Inujjuamiut" ("people of Inujjuaq"). In practice, the settlement name is more commonly written as "Inukjuak," and I have retained this in cases where it is part of an official title (e.g., "Inukjuak Cooperative"). (The francophone spelling of the settlement is "Inoucdjouac," while the older anglophone name is "Port Harrison.")

In the Eastern Arctic, Inuttitut is considered to have 3 simple vowels and 13 consonants; diphthongs, elongated vowels, and consonant clusters are also recognized in the standard orthography. The basic orthographic glosses that English speakers can employ in sounding Inuttitut words are as follows (after Dorais 1975):

Single consonants

k, l, m, n, p, t, and v have values close to standard English g is given a velar articulation (midway between English g and k) j occurring singly is sounded like the English y in yes ng is a nasalized n, as in sing q is a velar fricative, as in the Spanish j or the German ch r is articulated in the throat
s is given its English value except when preceding u, when it sounds sh

Consonant Clusters

mary vowels.

jj is similar to the English consonant cluster dj as in adjoin
 ll is similar to the English consonant cluster dl as in peddler
 ss is sounded as English ts or (less commonly) ks
 Various other consonant clusters or double (elongated) consonants
 take their approximate English values in most cases.

Vowels

a is sounded as in law
i is sounded like the second vowel in marine
u is sounded as in flute
Various diphthongs and elongated vowels also occur, generally sounded as combinations or lengthenings of the above three pri-

Contents

List of	Tables	xi
List of	Figures	xv
reface		xvii
A Note	e on Terminology and Orthography	xix
1	The Research Context	1
	Introduction	1
	General Research Strategy	8
	Evolution, Culture, and Human Behavior Prospect	13 24
2	Selection, Optimization, and Foraging	
	Strategies	31
	Natural Selection, Optimization, and	
	Evolutionary Ecology	32
	Optimal Foraging Theory: Logic and Structure	40
3	Natural History	65
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	The Natural Setting	65
	Prey Species	80
	Conclusion	96
4	Human History	99
	Prehistory	100

viii		Contents
	History of the Hudson Bay Coast The Contemporary Village	110 130
5	Inujjuamiut Foraging: An Overview	143
	Fieldwork: Logic and Methods Contemporary Foraging Patterns	144 155
	Inputs and Outputs: The Time/Energy Budget	169
6	Prey Choice	197
	Anthropological Views	198
	Models of Optimal Prey Choice	203
	Inujjuamiut Prey Choice	208
	Summary and Conclusions	227
7	Time Allocation and Patch Choice	245
	Time Allocation and Patch Choice:	
	Theory Time Allocation and Patch Choice:	246
	Evidence	258
	Conclusions	279
8	Cooperative Foraging	287
	The Social Dimensions of Foraging	287
	Theory of Cooperative Foraging	289
	Inujjuamiut Foraging Groups	309
9	Foraging in a Mixed Economy	357
	The Problem of the Mixed Economy	357
	Integrated Measures of Production	361
	The Costs and Benefits of Foraging	374
	Summary and Discussion	388

Contents	13
Contents	12

10	Conclusions and Implications	399
	Summary of Findings Implications	399 402
	References	411
	Author Index	441
	Subject Index	447

×

List of Tables

Chapter 3

3.1.	Terrestrial Species Diversity in Arctic Canada	69
3.2.	English, Latin Binomial, and Inuttitut	01
	Names of Inujjuamiut Prey Species	81
Chap	ter 4	
4.1. 4.2.	Outline of Canadian Arctic Prehistory and Climate Generalized Annual Cycle for Itivimiut	100
	during the Early Contact Period	114
Chap	eter 5	
5.1.	Representativeness of Survey Samples by	
	Occupational Category	151
5.2.	Annual Cycle of Contemporary Inujjuamiut Foragers	155
5.3.	A Classification of Inujjuamiut Hunt Types	159
5.4.	Summary of Equipment Depreciation Data	175
5.5.	Summary of Apportioned Equipment Depreciation	
	Estimates	178
5.6.	Summary of Estimated Depreciation and	
	Operating Costs	179
5.7.	Average Weights and Caloric Values of	
	Inujjuamiut Prey Species	181
5.8.	Total Annual Harvest (Study Period),	
	by Prey Class	182
5.9.	Direct Monetary Value of Harvested	
	Game, by Species	184
5.10.	Monetary Income from Foraging: Sample	
	versus Aggregate Data	185
5.11.	Foraging Effort, Harvest, and Efficiency,	
NUM PINOP	by Hunt Type	187
5.12	Foraging Effort, Harvest, and Efficiency, by Month	188
5.13.	Inclusive Effort, Harvest, and Efficiency, by Month	100

xii List of Tables

Chapter	6

6.1.	Some Potential Prey Species Rarely or	
0.1.	Never Taken by Contemporary Inujjuamiut	210
6.2.	Prey Choice Analysis: Summer Canoe Hunt Type	215
6.3.	Prey Choice Analysis: Fall Canoe Hunt Type	216
6.4.	Prey Choice Analysis: Canoe Ptarmigan Hunt Type	220
6.5.	Prey Choice Analysis: Winter Caribou Hunt Type	221
6.6.	Prey Choice Analysis: Spring Goose Hunt Type	222
6.7.	Prey Choice Analysis: Jig/Goose Hunt Type	223
6.8.	Harvest Rates for Prey taken on Jig/Goose Hunts	226
	Prey Choice Analysis: Lake Ice Jig Hunt Type	227
6.9.		221
6.10	Prey Choice Analysis: Summer Canoe with	233
<i>(</i> 11	Full Processing Costs	233
6.11.	Mean Handling Times and Return Rates for	22
	Selected Resources	234
C1	· · · · 7	
Chap	ter /	
7.1.	Seasonal Time Allocation and Return Rate,	
	by Habitat	260
7.2.	Monthly Time Allocation and Return, by Habitat	261
7.3.	Seasonal Foraging Effort and Return, by Patch Type	264
7.4.	Foraging and Inclusive Effort and Return, by	
	Patch Type and Month	266
7.5.	Foraging Time Allocation and Return, by Season	
	and Hunt Type	272
7.6.	Differential Time Allocation to Hunt-Type Classes	
	within Seasons	273
7.7.	Return Rates of Focal Hunt Types in Month they	
	are added to the Foraging Itinerary	
	Compared to Mean Return Rate for all other	
	Concurrent Hunt Types during that Same Month	276
7.8.	Summary of Results on Inujjuamiut Time Allocation	
7.0.	and Patch or Hunt-Type Choice	280
	and rates of fram type choice	200
Chap	ter 8	
·p	•	
8.1.	Summary of Results on Group Size, by Hunt Type	316
8.2.	Risk Analysis of Breathing Hole Hunts	329
8.3.	Seasonal Changes in Size of Caribou Herds and	32.
0.0.	S	224
0 1	Hunting Parties Fox Transing Hunt Duration and Prov Types as a	336
8.4.	Fox Trapping: Hunt Duration and Prey Types as a	224
0.5	Function of Group Size	338
8.5.	Joiner's versus Member's Rules: The Effect of	
	Social Interaction	348

List of Tables xiii

Chapter 9

9.1.	Aggregate Annual Monetary Income from Foraging,	
	Crafts, and Wage Labor	362
9.2.	Aggregate Monetary Income from Carvings, Crafts,	
	Furs, and Game	363
9.3.	Sample Data on Monetary Income from Transfer	
	Payments	364
9.4.	Monetary Production Costs and Returns, by Hunt Type	367
9.5.	Foraging Profit Rates, by Hunt Type	376
9.6.	Comparison of Foraging and Inclusive Efficiency,	
	by Hunt Type	381
9.7.	Market and Production Costs of Country Food	386
9.8.	Comparative Productivity of Foraging versus	
	Alternative Sources of Meat and Fish	387

List of Figures

Chap	ter 1	
1.1. 1.2.	Sketch of the structure of functionalist explanation. The cycle of hypothetico-deductive research.	6 9
Chap	ter 2	
2.1. 2.2.	The extreme variance rule. Minimizing the probability of a shortfall.	55 56
Chap	ter 3	
3.1. 3.2.	Map of the study site and vicinity. Monthly snowfall data for Inujjuaq.	66 73
3.3. 3.4. 3.5.	Monthly air temperature data for Inujjuaq. Total monthly precipitation at Inujjuaq. Range of dates for freeze-up, break-up, and	73 74
3.6.	snow cover phenomena. Days of blowing snow or fog in Inujjuaq.	75 76
3.7. 3.8.	Snow cover profiles for Inujjuaq. Average monthly wind speeds at Inujjuaq.	77 79
Chap	ter 4	
4.1. 4.2.	Map of the Canadian Eastern Arctic. Map of Northern Quebec.	102 111
Chap	ter 5	
5.1. 5.2.	Projected monthly harvest (weight and kcal). Comparison of foraging efficiency and inclusive efficiency, by month.	183 191
Chap	ter 7	
7.1. 7.2.	Patch depletion as a step function. A simple graphical version of the marginal value theorem.	251 254